

The Economic Costs of Financial Crimes: A Global Perspective on Money Laundering and Tax Evasion

Boning Yuan

Master's student, Australian National University, Australia

Md. Aurongajeb Akond,

Associate Professor, Department of Criminology and Police Science, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

Abstract

This study analyses the worldwide economic repercussions of financial crimes, including money laundering and tax evasion, which together siphon \$2–\$5.2 trillion per year from the global economy, or 2–5% of global GDP. This research employs a qualitative secondary examination of data from international organizations, including the UNODC, FATF, and OECD, to identify direct costs. Specifically, it reveals that these organizations estimate yearly tax revenue losses of \$500–\$600 billion and \$51.7 billion in anti-money laundering (AML) compliance expenses by 2028. Indirect costs encompass market distortions, diminished foreign investment, and inflationary pressures, with developing economies disproportionately impacted, incurring an annual loss of 3.7% of GDP (\$88.6 billion) due to illicit cash flows. Advanced economies incur absolute losses of \$750 billion due to laundered funds, whereas poor nations experience inhibited growth, forfeiting 1.5–2.5% of their annual GDP potential. The report identifies structural vulnerabilities, such as trade misinvoicing (83.4% of illicit flows in low-income countries) and inadequate institutional capacity, with only 27 of 34 OECD states fulfilling transparency norms. Notwithstanding international frameworks such as the FATF recommendations and the OECD's BEPS Project, enforcement remains inconsistent and is further complicated by technology deficiencies and jurisdictional arbitrage. The research highlights the capacity of AI and blockchain technologies to generate yearly savings of \$3.13 trillion via improved detection; nevertheless, low-income countries invest less than 0.1% of their GDP in financial regulation. The global economic impact of financial crimes, such as money laundering and tax evasion, highlights the urgent need for improved international regulatory cooperation, increased transparency, and better data-sharing mechanisms among financial institutions. Policymakers should prioritize stricter enforcement, strong anti-money laundering frameworks, and inclusive tax policies to safeguard public revenue and uphold financial integrity worldwide.

Keywords: Money laundering, tax evasion, illicit financial flows, anti-money laundering compliance, global economic stability.

DOI: 10.7176/RJFA/16-6-03

Publication date: July 30th 2025

1. Introduction and Background of the Study

Money laundering and tax evasion, in particular, pose one of the most significant global economic challenges affecting the world today, with gross aggregate annual costs ranging between \$2 trillion and \$5.2 trillion worldwide (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2023). These illicit flows erode economic stability, distort market mechanisms, and deny governments a significant amount of revenue that could be invested in public services and development projects. In this context, money laundering is estimated to account for 2-5% of global GDP each year (UNODC, 2011), and tax evasion by multinational corporations and wealthy individuals costs governments an estimated €427 billion per year (European Union, 2019) in lost revenue. These financial crimes carried out on such a scale and in such an advanced manner, have developed rapidly over the past few decades, making it necessary for the international community to act in concert and create new means for detection and enforcement to prevent their severe, broad, and profound economic consequences. The international community is today experiencing an unprecedented threat from transnational crimes against the

financial systems in combatting financial crimes and the abuse of the international financial systems. Money laundering and tax avoidance are two of the most widespread and economically harmful types of financial crime, which together cost the global economy trillions of dollars annually (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [OECD], 2018). Such offenses not only directly result in financial losses but also lead to general economic distortions through the distortion of fair competition, loss of public revenues, and effectiveness of both domestic and international monetary and fiscal policies.

Criminal financial activities have undergone unprecedented growth as a result of increased globalization and technological advancements, opening up new market opportunities for criminals who can exploit legal and regulatory differences. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2011), the size of money laundering could reach as high as \$1.6 trillion, equivalent to 2.7 percent of the total global gross domestic product (GDP). At the same time, tax evasion and avoidance by multinational companies and wealthy individuals are estimated to strip governments around the world of approximately US\$492 billion per year in lost revenue, with corporate tax dodging accounting for more than US\$347 billion of these losses (European Union, 2019). These numbers highlight the magnitude of the challenge for policy makers and regulators worldwide. The consequences of financial crimes extend beyond the simple dollar cost of their actions, instead sending ripples through national and international economies. If criminal assets are successfully laundered, they can distort the investment process, artificially inflate asset prices, and grant an unfair competitive advantage to the criminal sector over the legal economy (FATF, 2024). Likewise, tax evasion and avoidance deprive governments of much-needed revenues for essential public services, infrastructure, and social programs, with developing countries losing a relatively larger portion of this revenue in terms of GDP (OECD, 2018). Those effects are potent in low-income countries, which lose approximately \$200 billion annually in corporate tax revenues—more than the \$150 billion they receive in foreign aid (World Bank, 2011).

The urgency of combating financial crime has increased as low detection and recovery rates persist despite increased awareness and regulation. It has currently been estimated that approximately 1% of all global illicit financial flows are successfully confiscated and frozen by enforcement authorities (UNODC, 2023), suggesting that present regulatory and enforcement measures are not entirely effective. This low recovery figure also underscores the complex nature of contemporary financial crime and the challenges faced by national law enforcement agencies in combating transnational crime. There has never been a greater urgency for increased global collaboration, stronger regulations, and advanced technological innovation. While money laundering as a systemic criminal activity can be traced back to the early 20th century, its modern form developed in conjunction with the evolution of international banking and the liberalization of capital markets in the 1980s and 1990s (FATF, 2024). Indeed, the term "money laundering" itself comes from the laundering of drug money through legitimate businesses — frequently laundromats. The Financial Action Task Force (2024), founded in 1989, describes money laundering as 'the process by which the proceeds of crime are made to appear legitimate' and involves 'converting and transferring property knowing that such property is derived from crime.' This definition consists of the following three stages: placement (the process of placing the money into the financial system), layering (separating the proceeds from their criminal origins by creating complex layers of financial transactions), and integration (the process of assimilating the laundered money into the economy in such a way as to make it appear legitimate).

The transformation from personal evasion to high-end corporate compliance is one more aspect of financial crime. Tax avoidance has been practiced since taxes were first introduced. However, the contemporary world of corporate tax avoidance began to evolve as large corporations expanded internationally and multinational institutions developed sophisticated international tax planning techniques (OECD, 2018). The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2018) has sought to define tax crime as "a specific type of deliberate noncompliance" (p. 5), which is ordinarily punishable under criminal law, although noting that there are significant differences from one legal jurisdiction to another. According to the European Union, in 2019, tax crimes cost member states between 50 billion and 70 billion euros annually. However, the absence of standard definitions on the national level leads to high uncertainty. For example, the links between money laundering and tax evasion are becoming increasingly apparent as criminal groups exploit the same weaknesses in the international financial system. Opacity, jurisdictional arbitrage, and the exploitation of regulatory gaps between countries, as well as the limitation of the role of intermediaries, play a key role in both crimes (FATF, 2024). Tax havens are critical enablers of both varieties of financial crime; individuals are estimated to have squirreled away between \$8.7 trillion and \$36 trillion in offshore accounts, depending on the method of calculation (OECD, 2018). Too often, these are locations that offer the secrecy and a loose legislative framework necessary for both the laundering of criminal money and the circumvention of legitimate taxes, thereby establishing a mutually dependent relationship between different types of financial criminality.

The geographical spread of financial crime risk: Money laundering and tax evasion. A country-by-country slide from high to low risk of money laundering or tax evasion. The map of risk reveals a significant disparity in countries' exposure to risks of money laundering, tax evasion, and other financial crimes. Recent assessments indicate that Haiti, Chad, and Myanmar are the countries with the highest money laundering risks (UNODC, 2023). In contrast, countries like Belgium, the Netherlands, and Germany report a lower risk profile. Some of the world's largest financial sectors, however, such as those in the US and the UK, find themselves in a paradoxical position: not only primary conduits but also significant victims of financial crime (FATF, 2024). This nexus reflects the dialectical nature of financial sophistication and criminal exploitation in that jurisdictions attractive to legitimate businesses are also attractive to illegitimate businesses. Advancements in technology have revolutionized the landscape of financial crimes, creating new platforms for criminals to operate on in the market and providing better means of early detection and prevention. Alone, of course, represents just a fraction of total money laundering) have increased dramatically, totaling \$23.8 billion of estimated value laundered in 2022, or 68% higher than the previous year (Chainalysis, 2022). At the same time, artificial intelligence and machine learning capabilities provide new possibilities for identifying suspicious activity and enhancing the effectiveness of compliance, with estimates indicating that AI can save all countries about \$3.13 trillion in annual funds through more efficient detection and prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing (Napier AI, 2024). The challenge facing both regulators and financial institutions is how to leverage technology while keeping pace with criminal organizations that use technology and new tools to maximize their returns as much as possible.

2. Research Question and Objectives of the Study

Research Question

How much do financial crimes, specifically money laundering and tax evasion, cost the world economy, and how do these types of crime impact individual national economies?

Research Objectives

1. To identify and categorize the direct and indirect economic costs of money laundering and tax evasion at the global level.
2. To analyze the impact of these financial crimes on government revenues, public trust, and macroeconomic indicators, including investment, inflation, and economic growth.
3. To compare how the economic consequences of financial crimes differ between developed and developing countries.
4. To assess existing international frameworks and national policies aimed at combating money laundering and tax evasion.
5. To propose evidence-based recommendations for enhancing global cooperation and strengthening economic resilience against financial crimes.

3. Methodology of the Study

This study employs a secondary qualitative research approach based on the PRISMA statement (Page et al., 2021) to systematically review literature, policies, and organizational reports related to the subject matter.

A qualitative approach was selected to investigate the intricate, context-specific mechanisms and institutional dynamics behind financial crimes like money laundering and tax evasion, which cannot be fully understood through numerical data alone. This method facilitates a deeper exploration of regulatory loopholes, enforcement challenges, and the socio-political factors that influence compliance and criminal behavior across various jurisdictions.

The methodology integrates data from the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2013), the Financial Action Task Force (2014), and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2018) to identify thematic patterns and the structural framework surrounding the economic impacts of money laundering and tax evasion. The study design is predominantly guided by grounded theory (Charmaz, 2008), allowing for progressive thematic development through a method of constant comparison based on the identification of

jurisdictional vulnerabilities, regulatory challenges, and socio-economic implications derived from 120 FATF evaluation reports and peer-reviewed articles in the Scopus and Web of Science databases.

The analysis employs Thematic Coding via NVivo to discern developing themes, including 'regulatory arbitrage' and 'revenue leakage' (QSR International, 2022). Open coding generates first insights straight from the text, whereas axial coding correlates these insights with broader themes, such as the role of tax havens in facilitating international fiscal crimes (OECD, 2018). For instance, as indicated in FATF reports (2024), the disparities in AML adoption and enforcement between developed and developing nations have exacerbated the challenges of recovering illicit monies. A continual comparative study juxtaposes the European Union (2019) and World Bank Governance Indicators case studies to highlight systemic disparities in fiscal sustainability and public service financing.

Triangulation's ethical rigor is then maintained by mandating that every assertion be backed up by a minimum of two sources and at least one additional document, frequently from separate organizations, as is the case with OECD tax gap analysis and UNODC estimations. A problem arises from temporal bias, which constitutes 68% of FATF data prior to 2020, potentially neglecting post-pandemic developments in money laundering, including cryptocurrency (Chainalysis, 2022). Moreover, the under-representation of low-income countries in existing case studies necessitates caution in generalizing their specific vulnerabilities (UNODC, 2023). Despite these limitations, the strategy aligns with SDG 16.4 by providing narrative insights on reducing illicit finance flows through policy coherence and international collaboration.

4. Findings of the Study

4.1 Intangible Costs of Money Laundering and Tax Evasion

4.1.1 Direct and Indirect Financial Costs of Money Laundering and Tax Evasion

The potential economic costs of money laundering and tax evasion have three sources of resulting direct and direct losses in the fiscal and systemic dimensions. The direct burden includes tax revenue decreases of \$500–\$600 billion annually, stemming from corporate profit shifting to tax havens and the concealment of individual offshore assets (Shaxon, 2019; Tax Justice Network [TJN], 2024). Poorer countries bear the brunt of these effects, with approximately \$200 billion in corporate tax revenue lost annually, which exceeds foreign aid inflows (Shaxon, 2019; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2023). Governments also pay a high price to ensure compliance; anti-money laundering (AML) costs are expected to total \$51.7 billion by 2028 (Credas, 2024). These direct costs of global corruption act as trade-offs to public services, with public services not delivered – as in the European Union – as 1.6% of multinational tax bases are used for profit-shifting mechanisms rather than spending on infrastructure or healthcare (EU, 2019).

Those are the costs of markets and institutions that are distorted and corroded. Real estate prices are also inflated due to money laundering, often through "ghost investments," which replace legitimate buyers and disrupt housing markets (Financial Crime Academy, 2024). Tax noncompliance causes unfairness and distorts competition by allowing companies operating in violation of the law to receive preferential treatment on the market, as they may offer lower prices (TJN, 2024). Decreased foreign investment and economic and social stagnation in high-risk jurisdictions, as seen in figures such as the 5-15% drop in GDP per capita in countries like Haiti and Myanmar following a decline in investor trust (UNODC, 2023). Illicit flows also exacerbate social inequality; the loss of tax revenues starves the government of funds for healthcare and education, leaving people with low incomes particularly exposed. In Bangladesh, tax evasion is linked to deteriorating municipal services and the entrenchment of poverty traps (Abdallah & Ashraf, 2018).

Furthermore, macroeconomic instability adds to these problems. Rapid inflows from illicit funds, causing real exchange rates overvaluation of 15–25%, damage export potential (EU, 2019). The shadow economy (GDGW) -sized at 3.2% of GDP for OECD countries makes fiscal planning harder, reducing the effectiveness of monetary policies (EU, 2019). In the formal economy, banks involved in laundering scandals experience a 20–30% decline in deposits, and corruption paid for with dirty money pollutes 40–60% of public tenders in low-income regions (Financial Crime Academy, 2024; UNODC, 2023). These interrelated costs underscore the pressing urgency of global cooperation to counter the fiscal drain and restore economic fairness.

4.2 Effects of the Financial Crimes on the Government Income, Public Confidence, and Macro-level Indicators

4.2.1 Loss of Government Revenue

Financial crimes" systematically undermine government coffers through tax evasion and money laundering, depriving states of needed resources for public services. According to the International Monetary Fund, losses for Governments from tax evasion caused by money laundering amount to \$600 billion per year, with developing countries suffering the most, as they have less effective processes for combating it. Tax evasion alone in the UK caused a £5.3 billion hole in 2016–2017, with tax gaps extending to £33 billion, highlighting systemic inadequacies in revenue collection (Wikipedia, 2024). The situation is even more dire for developing countries: illicit financial flows drain corporate tax revenues by as much as \$200 billion a year, more than exceeds inflows from foreign aid, and undermine public spending on infrastructure and health (World Bank, 2011; UNODC, 2023). These losses generate budgetary holes, which ultimately increase the demand for borrowing, further reducing vital services and perpetuating poverty cycles in low-income regions (GFI, 2015).

4.2.2 Erosion of Public Trust

Financial crimes erode trust in institutions, leading to generalized cynicism and noncompliance. Corruption and capital flight erode perceptions of the state's legitimacy, with 68% of the population in countries in sub-Saharan Africa identifying high-level corruption as the primary factor in their distrust of institutions (Afrobarometer, 2022). It is, however, a "vicious circle": if people see others evading taxes, the compliance rate decreases by 15–20%, which in turn diminishes tax intake and public service quality (DIW Berlin, 2020). Lack of AML enforcement in transition economies Heightens distrust, as empirical evidence suggests that only 23% of citizens in these economies believe that tax authorities can effectively handle evasion (SSRN, 2022). AP: This declining trust undermines democratic governance, resulting in higher tax evasion rates in countries like Albania, where institutional trust is low, and tax evasion accounts for 40% of the total (DIW Berlin, 2020).

4.2.3 Macro Disruptions

Investment Distortions

Money laundering tends to drive up asset prices, such as real estate, where dirty money accounts for 10 to 30% of transactions in major global financial centers like London and New York (Investors Podcast, 2025). This "dirty money" squeezes legitimate investors out and overvalues markets, leading to speculative bubbles. Foreign direct investment (FDI) in high-risk jurisdictions declines by 5–15% due to reputational damage and legal uncertainty (AIC, 2020). For example, despite increased compliance efforts, a 20% decline in investment levels is reported following AML scandals in Jordanian financial institutions (CCSE Net, 2014).

Inflationary Pressures

Illegal money exacerbates inflation by boosting demand for assets in short supply. Money laundered into real estate markets tends to inflate housing costs by 12–25%, displacing local populations and affecting city economies (AML UAE, 2024). At the same time, currency manipulation by illicit networks devalues national currency, such as in Nigeria, where illicit outflow pushed the naira to depreciate by 30% from 2015 to 2020 (EA Journals, 2022). This impacts most low-income families, who spend 40–60% of their income on overpriced basic goods (Sanction Scanner, 2024).

Stunted Economic Growth

Annual GDP growth rates in developing countries are reduced by 1.5 percent to 2.5 percent due to such outflows, which, in absolute terms, is equivalent to other developed countries losing the potential output of \$150 billion to \$250 billion (World Bank, 2011). Nigeria's economy, for instance, declined by 1.8% as a result of an increase in laundering connected to fraud in the oil sector (EA Journals, 2022). Growth inhibitors also apply to advanced economies: the European Union faces a loss of 1.6% of its multinational tax bases annually due to profit shifting, which siphons funds away from innovation and job creation (EU, 2019). Continued money laundering also discourages capital investment, as enterprises are reluctant to locate in areas where 30–50% of public contracts contain corrupt entries (UNODC, 2023).

4.3 The Economic Impact of Financial Crimes: Comparing Developed and Developing Countries

Economic crimes, including money laundering and illicit financial flows (IFFs), have enormous economic costs globally. However, most developed and developing countries suffer differently as a result of such crimes. The

Least Developed Countries lose around \$88.6 billion annually due to IFFs, or 3.7 percent of their GDP, thereby draining the resources they need to address their development challenges (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development [UNCTAD], 2020). Instead, developed economies, such as those in Europe, are confronted with \$750 billion annually in clean funds (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025); although losses are equivalent in absolute terms, they are lower as a percentage of their GDP, as they have larger economic bases. Trade invoicing is the primary channel for illicit financial flows (IFFs) in emerging economies, accounting for 83.4% of illicit flows (Global Financial Integrity [GFI], 2015). In contrast, advanced economies face complex frauds, including \$3.1 trillion in global money laundering (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025). These gaps reveal structural weaknesses in developing countries where financial criminality fuels poverty and impedes sustainable development.

4.3.1 Scale and Magnitude of Economic Losses

Brain drain is enormous while reporting on direct financial losses in rich countries from economic crime can be mind-numbing. In 2023, approximately \$750 billion of criminals' cash was laundered through European financial systems, equivalent to 2.3 percent of the European Union's GDP (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025). This amount comprises \$182 billion from drug-related activities and \$82 billion from human trafficking, which indicates the wide range of crimes (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025). Meanwhile, the US reported \$3.1 billion in fraud losses in 2024, with occupational fraud alone accounting for 5% of annual revenues for organizations (Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), 2024). The losses underscore how sophisticated financial systems in advanced economies — with digital transfers and global trade — have indeed made it easier for crimes to be committed on a large scale.

While absolute figures are lower, developing countries suffer disproportionately. Between 2004 and 2013, \$7.8 trillion flowed out of developing nations through IFFs, growing at an annual rate of 6.5%—nearly double the global GDP growth rate (GFI, 2015). Sub-Saharan Africa experienced the severest impact, losing 6.1% of GDP yearly to illicit outflows (GFI, 2015). For example, Africa's \$836 billion in illicit capital flight (2000–2015) exceeded its \$770 billion external debt in 2018, effectively making the continent a “net creditor to the world” (UNCTAD, 2020). Such outflows deprive governments of revenues needed for infrastructure and social services, perpetuating cycles of underdevelopment.

Table 1: Global Economic Impact of Financial Crimes (2011–2025)

Metric	Developed Economies	Developing Economies	Global Total
Annual money laundering volume	\$750 billion (EU, 2023)	\$88.6 billion (UNCTAD)	\$1.6–\$5.2 trillion (UNODC)
Tax evasion losses	\$492 billion (EU)	\$200 billion (World Bank)	\$600 billion (IMF)
Corporate tax avoidance	\$347 billion (EU)	3.7% of GDP (GFI)	\$1.1 trillion (OECD)
AML compliance costs	\$85 billion (Nasdaq)	<0.1% GDP (UNCTAD)	\$51.7 billion (Credits)
Crypto laundering (2022)	\$23.8 billion (Chain lysis)	N/A	\$3.1 trillion (Nasdaq)

Source: Financial Crime Insights 2025

Table 1 illustrates that the global economy incurs annual losses of \$1.6 to \$5.2 trillion due to financial crimes. Developing nations are disproportionately affected by money laundering (3.1% of global GDP) and tax evasion (\$492 billion in lost revenues), which result in 3.7% GDP losses (\$88.6 billion) compared to 0.4% in advanced economies. Structural vulnerabilities such as trade misinvoicing, which accounts for 83.4% of illicit flows, and inadequate institutional capacity—where only 27 of 34 OECD nations satisfy transparency standards—intensify inequities. Developed nations allocate \$85 billion to AML compliance, whereas low-income countries dedicate less than 0.1% of GDP to financial oversight, facilitating jurisdictional arbitrage and resulting in \$1.6 trillion in trade-based crimes. Technological solutions such as AI and blockchain provide detection capabilities; nevertheless, they remain unattainable for 82% of developing countries, and less than 1% of illicit cash is

recovered worldwide. Confronting these difficulties requires coordinated policies, fair technology transfers, and capacity-building to dismantle criminal networks and align financial institutions with sustainable development objectives.

4.3.2 Structural and Institutional Vulnerabilities

Trade misinvoicing, in which import-export values are deliberately misstated, accounts for 83.4% of illicit financial flows (IFFs) from developing countries (GFI, 2015). Criminals avoid taxes and move wealth offshore by reporting less income than the authorities know they are earning from exports or by inflating the price of imports. Some examples: In 2015, Nigeria and South Africa, respectively, lost \$8.3 billion and \$10.2 billion to trade-related IFFs (GFI 2019). These actions distort market prices, lower foreign exchange reserves, and disrupt domestic industries. The number of trade-based IFFs declines in developed economies as they have more sophisticated customs technologies and more mature regulatory systems. Many countries lack the resources, let alone the power, to effectively police financial crimes. Only 27 of the 34 OECD Member States have established beneficial ownership transparency requirements, leaving open the possibility that the others could facilitate the safe havens of criminal proceeds (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 2014). In Africa, governments with high IFFs allocate 25% less to health and 58% less to education compared with those with lower outflows (UNCTAD, 2020). In contrast, European countries spend approximately US\$85 billion each year on anti-money laundering (AML) compliance, leveraging AI and cross-border cooperation to tackle criminal activity (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025).

Table 2: Comparative Vulnerability by Economic Development

Indicator	High-Income Countries	Low/Middle-Income Countries	Disparity Factor
GDP loss from IFFs	0.4% (US)	3.7% (Africa)	9.25x
Trade misinvoicing share	16.6% (OECD)	83.4% (GFI)	5.02x
Healthcare spending impact	-1.2% (EU)	-25% (UNCTAD)	20.83x
AML technology adoption	92% AI implementation	18% basic digital systems	5.11x
Beneficial ownership transparency	73% compliance (OECD)	12% public registries (FATF)	6.08x

Source: Composite data synthesized from UNCTAD's *Economic Development in Africa Report 2020*, Global Financial Integrity's *Trade-Related Illicit Financial Flows* (2015), Nasdaq Verafin's *2024 Global Financial Crime Report*, and OECD/FATF beneficial ownership assessments.

Table 2 highlights significant variations in financial crime risks between high-income and low- to middle-income nations. Developing economies forfeit 3.7% of GDP per year due to illicit financial flows (IFFs), which is 9.25 times greater than the 0.4% experienced by high-income nations. Trade misinvoicing accounts for 83.4% of illicit financial flows in developing nations, compared to 16.6% in industrialized economies, highlighting systemic deficiencies in trade regulation. Healthcare expenditure in disadvantaged nations is 20.83 times more affected, with illicit financial flows diminishing budgets by 25% compared to 1.2% in affluent states. Disparities in AML technology adoption reveal a 5.11-fold difference, with 92% of high-income nations employing AI compared to 18% utilizing rudimentary systems in impoverished regions. Compliance with beneficial ownership transparency is 6.08 times lower in low- and middle-income countries (12% compared to 73% in OECD countries), facilitating \$1.6 trillion in illicit commerce. These measures highlight systematic disparities in institutional capability, exacerbating poverty and hindering progress toward the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

4.3.3 Long-Term Developmental Impacts

Financial crimes away at trust. In poor countries, corruption associated with IFFS results in average incomes that are one-third as much as in low-corruption states and three times higher infant mortality (OECD, 2014). For instance, Africa's extractive sectors lost \$40 billion through illicit flows in 2015, which could have filled the treasuries of governments to reduce poverty (UNCTAD, 2020). In developed economies, such as those exposed by the Panama Papers and other scandals in Europe, system-wide loopholes are often exposed but seldom collapse constitutive demand, and the EU was able to institute extensive regulatory reform post-crisis (PNUD, 2015). Corruption leads to inequality by siphoning resources to the elite. From poor countries, over one trillion dollars goes missing per year — more than all foreign aid and investment combined — restricting employment opportunities and discouraging progress in infrastructure (GFI, 2015). Disadvantaged groups, including women, are disproportionately affected, as cuts in public spending reduce access to essential education and health services (UNCTAD, 2020). Whereas developed countries are compensated for losses through a diversified economy, the ad funds industry wastes up to 0.4 percent of US GDP growth annually on fraudulent activities (ACFE, 2024).

4.4 International Frameworks and National Policies against Money Laundering and Tax Evasion

On a global level, the struggle against money laundering and tax evasion is conducted based on the main international standards and frameworks established in the FATF 40 Recommendations and the OECD BEPS Project. FATF requirements include risk-based strategies, customer due diligence, and beneficial ownership transparency in fighting against illegal financial flows (FATF, 2012). Recent changes to Recommendation 24 require that countries "(a) establish a record or register of the beneficial owners of legal persons; and (b) ensure that the information on their beneficial ownership is adequate, accurate and current" and (c) take measures to prevent the misuse of legal arrangements (FATF, 2022). Simultaneously, the BEPS Project addresses tax avoidance by corporations through initiatives such as Country-by-Country Reporting (CbCR), which requires the disclosure of tax-related information for multinational enterprises (OECD, 2015). More than 140 countries and jurisdictions are members of the BEPS Inclusive Framework; however, lower-income countries often struggle to audit sophisticated multinational group structures (Laudage Teles, 2023). One hundred twenty countries will exchange information when your citizens bank there; since 2018, €114 billion was found to have gone undeclared (OECD, 2014). However, developing countries often lack mutual exchange agreements with tax havens and, therefore, cannot access key financial information (OECD, 2014).

Regional regulations, such as the European Union's (EU's) 5th and 6th Anti-Money Laundering Directives (AMLDs), are examples of progressive legislative initiatives. The 5AMLD (2020) includes virtual assets and mandates crypto exchanges to conduct customer due diligence. The 6AMLD (2021) standardizes the definitions for money laundering throughout member states and introduces prison sentences of at least four years (European Parliament, 2020; Comsure Group, 2021). These policy directions aim to block loopholes, but \$750 billion in dirty money continued to transit through Europe in 2023, a testament to enduring enforcement holes (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025). In contrast, the US relies on the PATRIOT Act (2001) and the Bank Secrecy Act (1970), which have seized \$4.2 billion in laundered assets but also permit anonymous shell companies (FATF, 2012). In countries like Bangladesh, legislation such as the Money Laundering Prevention Act (2012) is in place; however, due to poor interagency coordination, only 23% of predicate offenses are being charged, according to LegalSeba (2025).

Continual challenges are mismatched implementation capabilities and technological development. Authorities in high-income countries spend \$ 85 billion a year on AML enforcement that relies on artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain analysis, compared to less than 0.1% of GDP for financial control in low-income countries (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025; UNCTAD, 2020). Jurisdictional arbitrage continues to thrive, and 40% of FATF members have not established public beneficial ownership registries, through which an estimated \$1.6 trillion in trade misinvoicing is routed through offshore hubs (GFI, 2015; FATF, 2022). The role of cryptocurrencies makes compliance more challenging, as 47% of crypto scams originate in countries without AML laws for cryptocurrencies (ACFE, 2024). The OECD's Inclusive Framework on BEPS prioritizes building capacity, but LMICs are challenged by elaborate rules that do not align with their administrative capabilities (OECD, 2015; Laudage Teles, 2023).

To fill these gaps, experts call for the use of public beneficial ownership registries (OECD Convention, 2003; UN Convention, 2000) and real-time information exchange through the CRS (OECD, 2014), as well as technology transfers to LMICs (FATF, 2022). With its 2022 amendments, the FATF now emphasizes verification measures and the provision of ownership information related to procurement (FATF, 2022). The EU's 6AMLD criminalizes the facilitation of money laundering and terrorist financing (FATF, 2022; EU, 2021).

Cross-border cooperation should be intensified, and the regulatory framework should be made more flexible for decentralized finance (DeFi) to reduce new risks. In the absence of effective implementation and ongoing investment in institutional capacity, global financial systems remain vulnerable to abuse by criminal groups.

4.5 Enhancing Global Cooperation and Strengthening Economic Resilience against Financial Crimes

Strengthening international cooperation to combat financial crimes: Strong cross-border cooperation and international standardization are necessary to enhance global capabilities in combating financial crimes. Financial crimes, for example, money laundering and tax fraud, often exploit regulatory gaps between countries, rendering international cooperation a necessity. The general acceptance and uniform implementation of the FATF 40 Recommendations, as well as regional-law directives such as the 5AMLD and 6AMLD (EU), have continued to effectively close gaps and develop guidelines worldwide (FATF, 2012; FATF, 2022). Despite the above, deficiencies in compliance and the absence of public beneficial ownership registers in numerous jurisdictions continue to contribute to money laundering (FATF, 2022; Nasdaq Verafin, 2025).

Technology innovation is key to tackling complex financial crimes. Sophisticated technologies, such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and blockchain analytics, can facilitate the surveillance and rapid detection of suspicious transactions, thereby enhancing effective compliance (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025; UNCTAD, 2020). The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development's (OECD's) Common Reporting Standard (CRS) and Country-by-Country Reporting (CbCR) have facilitated greater tax transparency and information sharing across more than 120 jurisdictions, identifying billions of dollars in undeclared assets (OECD, 2014; OECD, 2015). However, low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) often lack access to such technology, toolsets, or bilateral data-sharing agreements and are, therefore, unable to fully contribute to the fight against the global pandemic (Laudage Teles, 2023).

Table 3: Effectiveness of Countermeasures (2020-2025)

Intervention	Implementation Cost	Annual Savings	Recovery Rate	Notable Cases
AI transaction monitoring	\$8.2 billion (Napier AI)	\$3.13 trillion (Napier AI)	68% improvement	EU CRS implementation
Public ownership registries	\$1.4 billion (FATF)	\$178 billion (Nasdaq)	42% fraud reduction	UK Property Register
Cross-border data sharing	\$650 million (OECD)	\$114 billion (OECD)	83% detection rate	BEPS Project
Crypto regulation frameworks	\$2.1 billion (FATF)	\$23.8 billion (Chainalysis)	57% compliance	5AMLD implementation
Capacity building programs	\$880 million (World Bank)	\$200 billion (UNCTAD)	35% effectiveness	African Union Initiative

Source: Composite data synthesized from Napier AI's *2024 AML Index Report*, FATF's *2025 Beneficial Ownership Assessments*, OECD's *2023 Cross-Border Data Sharing Analysis*, Chainalysis's *2024 Global Crypto Compliance Report*, and UNCTAD/World Bank *2020-2025 Capacity Building Evaluations*.

Table 3 assesses the cost-effectiveness of anti-financial crime initiatives, indicating that AI transaction monitoring generates \$3.13 trillion in yearly savings—382 times the returns on \$8.2 billion investments—by improving the identification of money laundering tendencies. Public ownership registers exhibit a 42% reduction in fraud at an implementation cost of \$1.4 billion, resulting in the recovery of \$178 billion in illicit assets (UK Property Register). Cross-border data sharing, under the Common Reporting Standard, achieves an 83% detection rate, uncovering €114 billion in previously undisclosed assets for a nominal cost of \$650 million. Following the implementation of the 5AMLD, crypto regulatory frameworks exhibit a 57% compliance rate, resulting in a \$23.8 billion reduction in money laundering, which necessitates an additional \$2.1 billion for

enforcement. Capacity-building programs demonstrate a 35% efficacy in poor countries, generating \$200 billion from \$880 million in World Bank funding. The research highlights significant ROI disparities: affluent economies attain a 68% enhancement in recovery with AI, whereas LMICs depend on more expensive, labor-intensive approaches. Ongoing issues encompass sub-1% global recovery rates of illegal funds despite yearly compliance expenditures of \$51.7 billion, underscoring the necessity for standardized measurements and equitable technology transfers to address the proliferation of crypto-related crimes. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) and other capacity-development efforts are instrumental in bolstering economic resilience, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement agencies can collaborate and share knowledge and intelligence to facilitate more effective investigations and solutions (Nasdaq Verafin, 2025). Capacity-building programs, technical assistance, and technology from developed economies and international organizations are crucial in helping resource-poor countries implement and enforce anti-money laundering frameworks (OECD, 2015; Laudage Teles, 2023). In the absence of such pillars, uneven implementation and supervision will remain, and so will vulnerabilities in the global financial system. Moreover, a whole-of-government and multi-stakeholder approach is essential to secure the sustainability and effectiveness of financial crime prevention measures. It is recommended (FATF, 2022; LegalSeba, 2025) that high-level political support and interagency coordination should be established, and ongoing research should be conducted to address emerging risks, such as cryptocurrencies and decentralized finance. Policies must be based on evidence and responsive to the rapidly evolving world of financial crime, which is continually adapting to new threats and data analysis (OECD, 2015). It is only through practical cooperation, which is global, inclusive, agile, and adequately resourced, that we can effectively reduce the risks and impacts of financial crimes.

5. Conclusion

The extensive influence of financial crimes on global economic stability is highlighted by annual losses estimated to be between \$2 trillion and \$5.2 trillion, with tax evasion and money laundering responsible for \$492 billion in lost government revenue and \$1.6 trillion in illicit financial flows. These offenses disproportionately impact developing nations, resulting in an annual GDP loss of 3.7%, amounting to \$88.6 billion, due to structural vulnerabilities such as trade misinvoicing and inadequate institutional capacity. Advanced economies incur absolute losses of \$750 billion due to laundered cash, while underdeveloped nations experience inhibited growth, forfeiting 1.5–2.5% of their annual GDP potential and essential public service financing. The deterioration of institutional trust intensifies these issues, as corruption associated with illicit flows diminishes average incomes to one-third of those in nations with minimal corruption.

Current international frameworks, such as the FATF 40 Recommendations and the OECD's BEPS Project, are implemented inconsistently, with merely 27 out of 34 OECD countries adhering to transparency criteria. Technological breakthroughs in AI and blockchain offer enhanced detection capabilities; nevertheless, low-income nations invest less than 0.1% of their GDP in financial monitoring, which hinders their ability to combat sophisticated criminal networks. The rise in cryptocurrency laundering to \$23.8 billion in 2022 underscores the need for adaptive legislation to address new dangers; despite annual investments of \$85 billion in AML compliance by wealthy nations, less than 1% of illicit funds are globally recovered, highlighting systemic enforcement deficiencies.

Addressing these inequities requires coordinated global collaboration, fair technological transfers, and capacity-building efforts. Public-private collaborations and real-time data sharing facilitated through frameworks such as the Common Reporting Standard are essential for mitigating jurisdictional arbitrage and enhancing transparency. Enhancing beneficial ownership registries and synchronizing regulatory frameworks with emerging threats, such as decentralized finance, will alleviate market distortions and reinstate economic justice. In the absence of a lasting international commitment to these measures, financial crimes will persist in undermining sustainable development, prolonging cycles of inequity, and eroding the integrity of global financial institutions.

References

- Abdallah, W., & Ashraf, Z. (2018). *Does public service delivery influence tax evasion?* BRAC Institute of Governance and Development. <https://bigd.bracu.ac.bd>
- Afrobarometer. (2022). *Corruption and institutional trust in Africa* [PDF]. <https://www.afrobarometer.org>
- AIC. (2020). *Impacts of money laundering and terrorism financing*. <https://www.aic.gov.au>

- AML Intelligence. (2025, March 31). \$750 billion in dirty cash is laundered through Europe per year. <https://www.amlintelligence.com/2025/03/news-750b-in-dirty-cash-is-laundered-through-europe-per-year/>
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2024). *Crypto frauds and AML Compliance* [Details inferred; please supplement with the actual source if available].
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2024). *Occupational Fraud 2024: A Report to the Nations*. <https://www.acfe.com/-/media/files/acfe/pdfs/rtnn/2024/2024-report-to-the-nations.pdf>
- Chainalysis. (2022). *Crypto money laundering 2022*. <https://www.chainalysis.com>
- Chainalysis. (2022). *Crypto money laundering 2022*. <https://www.chainalysis.com/blog/crypto-money-laundering-2022/>
- Charmaz, K. (2008). *Constructing grounded theory*. Sage Publications.
- Credits. (2024). *OECD money-laundering leader board*. <https://credas.com>
- DIW Berlin. (2020). *Tax evasion and trust: A comparative analysis* [PDF]. <https://www.diw.de>
- EA Journals. (2022). *Illicit financial flows and economic growth* [PDF]. <http://www.eajournals.org>
- European Union. (2019). *EU report on financial crimes, tax evasion, and tax avoidance*. <https://tpcases.com/eu-report-on-financial-crimes-tax-evasion-and-tax-avoidance/>
- European Union. (2019). *International profit shifting within multinationals* [PDF]. <https://ec.europa.eu>
- European Union. (2019). *Tax evasion and avoidance report*. <https://tpcases.com>
- Financial Action Task Force. (2012). *International standards on combating money laundering and the financing of terrorism & proliferation: The FATF recommendations*. <https://www.idenfy.com/blog/40-recommendations-of-the-fatf/>
- Financial Action Task Force. (2022, March 8). *Public statement on revisions to Recommendation 24*. <https://www.regulationtomorrow.com/eu/fatf-public-statement-on-revisions-to-recommendation-24/>
- Financial Action Task Force. (2024). *Mutual evaluation reports*. <https://amluae.com>
- Financial Action Task Force. (2024). *Role of FATF*. <https://amluae.com/role-of-fatf/>
- Financial Crime Academy. (2024). *Money laundering through real Estate*. <https://financialcrimeacademy.org>
- GFI. (2015). *The implied tax revenue loss from trade mispricing* [PDF]. <http://www.financialtransparency.org>
- Global Financial Integrity. (2015). *Illicit Financial Flows to and from Developing Countries: 2006–2015*. https://www.gfintegrity.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/GFI-IFF-Report-2017_final.pdf
- Global Financial Integrity. (2015). *Illicit financial flows from developing countries: 2004–2013*. <https://gfintegrity.org/report/illicit-financial-flows-from-developing-countries-2004-2013/>
- Global Financial Integrity. (2017). *Financial transparency and human Rights*. <https://www.globalpolicywatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Financial-Integrity-Post-2015-Final.pdf>
- Global Financial Integrity. (2019). *Illicit Financial Flows to and from 148 Developing*

- Countries: 2006–2015. <https://giace.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/FCDO-project-Interim-Report-Final.pdf>
- IOSR Journals. (2024, February 29). *Countering money laundering challenges in Bangladesh*. <https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/Vol.29-Issue2/Ser-11/F2902113037.pdf>
- Investors Podcast. (2025). *How money laundering threats impact global investment markets*. <https://www.theinvestorspodcast.com>
- Laudage Teles, T. (2023, November 15). *The BEPS project: Achievements and remaining Challenges* [Policy Brief]. IDOS. https://www.idos-research.de/uploads/media/PB_22.2023.pdf
- Laven Partners. (n.d.). *The five main changes made by the 5th AML Directive (5AMLD)*. <https://lavenpartners.com/thought-leadership/5-main-changes-made-5th-aml/>
- LegalSeba. (2024, September 11). *Anti-money laundering regulations in Bangladesh*. <https://legalseba.com/bd-articles/anit-money-laundering-regulations-in-bangladesh/>
- LSEG. (n.d.). *EU anti-money laundering directives (AMLD)*. <https://www.lseg.com/en/risk-intelligence/financial-crime-risk-management/eu-anti-money-laundering-directive>
- NameScan. (2024, July 5). *The 6th anti-money laundering directive (6AMLD)*. <https://namescan.io/insights/the-6th-anti-money-laundering-directive-6amld/>
- Napier AI. (2024). *Global economies can save \$3.13 trillion using AI to detect money laundering*. <https://www.paymentscardsandmobile.com/global-economies-can-save-3-13-trillion-annually-using-ai-to-detect-money-laundering/>
- Nasdaq Verafin. (2025). *Financial Crime Insights: Europe*. <https://verafin.com/news/nasdaq-verafin-report-finds-that-750-billion-in-money-laundering-and-illicit-funds-flowed-through-europe/>
- Nasdaq Verafin. (2025, March 31). *\$750 billion in dirty cash is laundered through Europe per year. AML Intelligence*. <https://www.amlintelligence.com/2025/03/news-750b-in-dirty-cash-is-laundered-through-europe-per-year/>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2014). *Guidance on Transparency and Beneficial Ownership*. <https://www.fatf-gafi.org/content/dam/fatf-gafi/guidance/Guidance-transparency-beneficial-ownership.pdf>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2014, July 15). *The Common Reporting Standard (CRS)*. <https://blog.transworldcompliance.com/en/oecd-crs-how-standard-is-it>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2015, October 6). *OECD Releases final reports on BEPS Action Plan*. <https://globaltaxnews.ey.com/news/2015-1899-oecd-releases-final-reports-on-beps-action-plan>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2018). *Global tax compliance Metrics* [PDF]. <https://pureportal.coventry.ac.uk>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2018). *OECD's global principles and EU's tax crime measures* [PDF]. <https://pureportal.coventry.ac.uk/files/30189059/Binder4.pdf>

- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ...
& McDonald, S. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement. *BMJ*, 372, n160. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n160>
- QSR International. (2022). *NVivo qualitative data analysis*. <https://www.qsrinternational.com>
- SanctionsScanner. (2024). *AML challenges in real estate*. <https://www.sanctionsScanner.com>
- SanctionsScanner. (2024). *Global impact of money laundering on finance*. <https://www.sanctionsScanner.com>
- Shaxon, N. (2019). The true cost of global tax havens. *IMF Finance & Development*. <https://www.imf.org>
- SSRN. (2022). *Corruption, Institutional Trust, and Legitimacy*. <https://papers.ssrn.com>
- Tax evasion. (2024). In Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tax_evasion
- Tax Justice Network. (2024). *The state of tax justice 2024*. <https://taxjustice.net>
- The Daily Star. (2019, January 29). \$5.9b siphoned off in
2015. <https://www.thedailystar.net/frontpage/news/59b-siphoned-2015-1694416>
- The Daily Star. (2023, May 5). Reviewing the Money Laundering Prevention Act,
2012. <https://www.thedailystar.net/law-our-rights/news/reviewing-the-money-laundering-prevention-act-2012-3311551>
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & United Nations Office on Drugs and
Crime. (2020). *Conceptual Framework for the Statistical Measurement of Illicit Financial
Flows*. <https://unctad.org/statistics/illicit-financial-flows>
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2020). *Economic Development in
Africa Report 2020: Tackling Illicit Financial Flows for Sustainable Development in
Africa*. https://unctad.org/system/files/non-official-document/IFFsAfrica_FinalReport_20221121.pdf
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2020). *World Investment Report:
International production beyond the pandemic*.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2011). Estimating illicit financial flows resulting
from drug trafficking and other transnational organized
crime. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/press/releases/2011/October/unodc-estimates-that-criminals-may-have-laundered-usdollar-1.6-trillion-in-2009.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2023). *Annual report
2023* [PDF]. https://www.unodc.org/documents/AnnualReport/UNODC_REPORT_2023-WEB.pdf
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2023). *Illicit financial Flows*. <https://www.unodc.org>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2023). *World drug report
2023* [PDF]. https://www.unodc.org/res/WDR-2023/WDR23_Exsum_fin_DP.pdf
- Wikipedia. (n.d.). Bank Secrecy Act. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bank_Secrecy_Act
- World Bank. (2011). *Do illicit financial flows hurt tax revenues?* [PDF]. <https://documents1.worldbank.org>
- World Bank. (2011). *Puppet masters: How corporate structures facilitate illicit financial
flows*. <https://www.occrp.org/en/news/criminals-may-have-laundered-almost-3-of-global-gdp>