# Impact of Organizational Behavior on Job Satisfaction with Its Effect on Organizational Performance

Mrs. Syeda Sarah Alif

Department of Business Administration, Port City International University, Bangladesh

#### Abstract:

Job satisfaction is a subjective phenomenon in a business that aids in the achievement of positive organizational performance and the maintenance of the overall working environment. Performance within an organization is largely influenced by employee output, and employees' work performance output is mainly affected by workplace job satisfaction. This study found that organizational behavioral factors have a direct effect on job satisfaction in relation to organizational performance. The study is focused on determining the many critical elements that have a significant impact on organizational performance and job satisfaction in a given firm. The study's findings indicate that organizational behavior influences job satisfaction via having an impact on organizational performance.

**Keywords:** Organization Behavior; Job Satisfaction; Motivational Factors; Superstore Retail Organization **DOI:** 10.7176/RHSS/13-3-02

Publication date: February 28th 2023

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Job satisfaction is correlated with how well an employee's expectations for their job match their actual experiences in the workplace. A worker's expectations of the job have been considered in certain research when examining job satisfaction. Although there are many different ways to define job satisfaction, all of these definitions agree that a satisfied worker enjoys their work, has a feeling of dedication to their work, and is content with their work and/or aspects associated with their work. Improving leader attitudes will raise employees' overall job satisfaction and serve as a foundation for employee engagement (Alan H. Rosenstein, 2015). Job satisfaction is the emotional reaction of a worker he has towards his/her job after a comparison of the outputs he /she expects or desires with real outputs (Cranny et al., 1992). The employee's attitude towards her/his work shows job satisfaction if it reflects the positive mood, but job dissatisfaction is demonstrated if it reflects the negative mood (Dinler, 2010). Efficient employees are considered as the most valuable asset for an organization. Qualified, devoted & skilled employees of an organization are needed to achieve its goal (Nahar et al., 2008). Employee's devotion, loyalty, skill and the likes are influenced by the level of employee's job satisfaction. Cetin (2011) has defined job satisfaction as the extent to which the employees like what they do. According to Vroom (1964), job satisfaction is a worker's positive or negative emotional reaction to his/her role at work or in work-related matters. The motivating elements (the nature of work, the achievement from their work, the recognition, the responsibility that is granted to them, and opportunities for personal growth and advancement) assist employees in determining their value in relation to the value placed on them by the company (Baah and Amoako, 2011). Additionally, this may enhance employees' levels of motivation, which will ultimately increase their internal contentment, which will lead to satisfaction.

Organizational behavior (OB) simply refers to the way people behave in organizations and the relationship between human behaviors and organizational settings. The challenge for organizations today is to institute a new organizational culture that reflects shared values, regardless of previous organizational affiliation, or geographic location. While managing change, organizations will have to become more psychologically minded; that is, they will have to understand the personalities of their subordinates better, particularly the unconscious factors in motivation (Newstrom, 2008). Diagnosing organizational behavior is an ongoing cycle of recognizing areas of concerns, explaining the short term and long-term implications of each behavior, and continuously developing best practices and strategies that can help an organization transform into a robust, high-performing, and dynamic entity (Kaifi, 2011). Organizational behavior is particularly interested in the distinctive behavior displayed by a specific individual inside an organization, because human behavior is essential to performance and organizational effectiveness. Organizational behavior emphasizes both social dynamics and the fundamentals of systems thinking. Organizational behavior is the study of human behavior in groups and organizations. It can be broadly split into two categories: the organization's perspective on individuals and the human perspective on the organization (Sule & Priansa, 2018). The cornerstone of corporate human resources is the study of organizational behavior, which encompasses fields of study devoted to enhancing job satisfaction, job performance, and creativity.

## 1.1. Objectives of the Study

i) To determine the various critical factors that have a significant impact on organizational performance and job satisfaction.

ii) To appraise the significant relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction through the mediating effect of organizational performance.

#### 1.2. Hypotheses of the Study

To achieve the objective of the study, this hypothesis was formulated and tested at the 0.05 level of significance. The following hypotheses have been developed in order to test the relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction.

 $H_0$ : There is no significant relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction with its effect on organizational performance.

 $H_1$ : There is a significant relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction with its effect on organizational performance.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2.1. Organizational Behavior

Organizational behavior is the academic study of how individuals behave in groups, and its concepts are mostly used in efforts to improve corporate operations effectively and efficiently. Organizational behavior includes the layout of the workplace as well as the psychological, emotional, and interpersonal behavioral dynamics that affect organizational effectiveness. Organizational behavior (OB) or organisational behaviour is the "study of human behavior in organizational settings, the interface between human behavior and the organization, and the organization itself (Moorhead & Griffin, 1995). Individual's exhibit certain behaviors when they are in groups or when they are assigned organizational roles, OB is the study of such behaviors and how they affect organizations.

Organizational behavior has the aim of improving the attitudes of workers at their workplace, enhancing organizational leadership, promoting job innovation, and satisfaction, among others. Organizational behavior implies that effective leadership must be based on understanding rather than on techniques alone, on mature relationships of mutual trust rather than on manipulation. Nelson and Quick (2003) organizational behavior is primarily concerned with the psychological, interpersonal, and behavioral dynamics in organizations necessary for performance festiveness. Organizational behavior seeks to understand why one person could respond favorably to a scenario while another responds badly to the same circumstance. Good organizational behavior is typically observed in the actions of workers who are familiar with one another, share goals, are connected, communicate, can lead and be led, and have responsibilities and a division of labor as a way to contribute to the organization. Such conduct will have an impact on the most powerful organizations and wheels.

Organizational behavior is a field of study to research and examine the consequences of organizational actors and structures in organizations with a view to implementing science and knowledge to improve the organization (Sule & Priansa, 2018). According to Kreitner and Kinicki (2004) there are three basic levels of analysis in organizational behaviour, which are; individual, group and organizational levels. While the individuals and groups bring their skills, knowledge, values, motives and attitudes into the organization, thereby affecting the organization, the organization on the other hand, modifies or restructures the individuals and groups through its own system of operations. Organizational behavior is not only bound towards the enhancement of the effectiveness of organizations, it is the field that seeks enhanced knowledge of behavior in organizational settings through the scientific study of individual, group, organizational processes politics and power, and the goal of such knowledge being the enhancement of both organizational effectiveness and individual well-being (Sidle, 2008; Strohmair, 2013; Swanson, 2015; Williams and Anderson, 1991). However, organizational behavior has a great impact on employee job satisfaction at the workplace of a company.

## 2.2. Job Performance

Job performance evaluates how successfully a person does their job. Job performance refers to how an employee carries out their duties in their position of employment and involves an evaluation of their attendance, attitudes, effort, knowledge, behaviors, and abilities. To achieve organizational objectives (high levels of productivity) and maintain the business' ability to gain competitive advantages, high-performing people are required (Sonnentag and Frese, 2002). In general, high performers are given hiring preference over low performers since they are able to perform better. Job performance is characterized as the sum of the projected benefits to the business from each discrete behavioral episode that a person engages in over a predetermined time frame. Due to their high levels of motivation and morale, employees who are highly satisfied with their jobs can exert extraordinary effort and improve their performances.

Organ (1977) has especially emphasized that the provision of job satisfaction to the employee is an act of increasing the organizational effectiveness, i.e. performance, with the social change theory, while Lawler and Porter (1967) have highlighted that job satisfaction is a result of performance with the expectancy theory. Performance is the practices and behaviors that are useful in fulfilling the objectives established by the organization and depend on the employee (Bashur et al., 2007: 190). Thus, we can say that the organizational performance is the sum of the individual performances of the employees within the organization.

This is due to the fact that organizational success is typically based on organizational performance, which is heavily reliant on the performance of each and every individual in the company (Pushpakumari, 2008). When workers are satisfied in their work positions, they are more likely to be motivated, willing to put up more effort, and dedicated to their job. Therefore, a company's success depends on how satisfied its employees are at their jobs, especially when it comes to raising job performance.

#### 2.3. Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction is one of the topics most extensively studied in the fields of organizational behavior and human resource management. Detailed descriptions of fair outcomes, treatment, and practices are necessary for job satisfaction. Therefore, the characteristics that can affect job satisfaction include the nature of the job, a suitable price or compensation, pleasant working conditions, coworker support, and personality compatibility with work (Robbins, 2006). To achieve the organizational quantitative and qualitative goals and enhancing employee's performance effective intrinsic and extrinsic incentives must be given to employee's (Broad, 2007). Job satisfaction refers to the attitude a person has toward their work (Daft, 2003). Individual has satisfied in his/her job place if they get what they were expected.

Job satisfaction as how far the employees are satisfied with their work (Furnhamet et al., 2009). Job satisfaction is defined as the level of contentment employees feel with their job. This goes beyond their daily duties to cover satisfaction with team members/managers, satisfaction with organizational policies, and the impact of their job on employees' personal lives. Job satisfaction has also been defined as more of an attitude, an internal state that could be associated with a personal feeling of achievement, either quantitative or qualitative (Mullins, 2005). Job satisfaction is a form of self-expression of the rewards related to work, which is received by him (Fauzi & Warso, 2016). Each individual has their own character, therefore the level of job satisfaction will vary. Job satisfaction, an unquantifiable metric, is defined as a positive emotional response you experience when doing your job or when you are present at work. It's important to remember that job satisfaction varies from employee to employee. In the same workplace under the same conditions, the factors that help one employee feel good about their job may not apply to another employee.

The high level of organizational performance is the indicator of the successful management in all organizations, whether public or private. Good organizational performance basically depends on job satisfaction that means if employees are satisfied in their work place then their performance will be high and its influence on the organizational performance. The high level of organizational performance in the private sector is perceived as an effective and efficient use of public resources, while it provides competitive advantage to organizations against their competitors. Theoretically, job satisfaction has a relationship with work performance. An organization with more satisfied employees tends to be more effective and productive. Besides, employees with high levels of satisfaction will have a low number of turnovers (Chen, 2006). Employees are resources in an organization, and therefore they need to be developed properly in order to achieve an organization's goals and expectations (Brewster, 2007). An organization must determine the elements that significantly affect an employee's performance at work and must take the necessary corrective action. Employees play a critical role in the survival of organizations. Therefore, the success of any organization mainly depends on the performance of its employees and the major determinate of employee's performance is job satisfaction.

According to Spector (1997) recognized a set of mediating factors that can influence job satisfaction. These variables are categories into five distinct fragmentations (i) ) rewards (pay, promotion, recognition, (ii) organizational context (policies, promotion opportunities, procedures, working conditions), (iii) self or individual differences (internal motivation, moral values), (iv) satisfaction with work attributes (the nature of the work, autonomy, responsibility), and (v) other people (supervisors, co-workers) (Spector, 1997). As stated above, this study is concerned with the factors that produce job satisfaction and with the interconnectedness of those factors to organizational performance.



www.iiste.org

IISTE

Figure 1 | A Conceptual Framework

## **3. RESEARCH METHODS AND PROCEDURE**

#### 3.1. Nature of the Study

It is a descriptive study which is designed to find out how organizational behavior influences job satisfaction with its effect on organizational performance of Shwapno retail organization. However, this study report contributed to the confirmation of the significance of organizational behavioral aspects that influence present job satisfaction levels and organizational work performance.

## 3.2. Population and Sample

For the purpose of gathering sample data, this study adopted a non-probability convenient sampling approach. This research paper aims to measure the impact of organizational behavior on job satisfaction in a superstore in Shwapno retail organization, Bangladesh. A total of 150 respondents were included in the survey's sample, which was conducted from June 2022 to December 2022. Primary data has been gathered from a variety of employee groups at several outlets in the Chittagong metropolitan area in Bangladesh.

## 3.3. Data Collection Technique

This study basically depends on primary data collected from a survey. For this reason a structured questionnaire has been developed and distributed to the employees of Shwapno retail organization. Excepting the questions relating to respondents demographic characteristics, the factors relating to job satisfaction like salary and provident fund, working conditions, allowance, bonus, training and development, insurance benefits, vacation facilities, and achievement and these investigated through 5-point Likert scale standardized by (Brayfield-Rothe, 1951). The measure consists of five responses available for each i.e. 5= strongly agree, 4= agree, 3= undecided, 2= disagree, 1= strongly disagree.

## 3.4. Reliability of Scale and Data Analysis Technique

SPSS software package version 21 has been deployed for statistical analysis. Cronbach Alpha (Cronbach, 1951) was used to assess data reliability. Cronbach Alpha was 0.762. Alpha should be higher than 0.7, which is suggested by Nunnally (1978), and therefore data collection can be considered reliable. The questionnaire is divided into two sections: The first segmentation of the questionnaire is demographic data, which comprises age, education, sex, and marital status. The second section included respondents' scale items aimed at analyzing the organizational behavior factors that have a mediating influence on job satisfaction in superstore retail organizations, as recommended by the literature. A demographic profile is understood through the use of descriptive statistical methods like mean, frequency, and percentage. Multiple regression analysis was used to

determine the significance relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction in order to provide answers to research questions.

## 4. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Demographic Information

Table 01 shows the respondents' demographic items of the study. The researcher surveyed 150 sample questions to distribute the respondents and among them 100 percent respondents show their name. From demographic table researchers overlook that 100 percent of respondents were service holders and doing the job in Shwapno superstore retail organization in Bangladesh.

| Table 01: Demographics of the respondents |                 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|--|
| Age                                       | Bellow 20 years | 17        | 11.3    | 11.3               |  |
| 8   | 20-30 years     | 127       | 84.7    | 96.0               |  |
|   | 30-40 years     | 4         | 2.7     | 98.7               |  |
|   | Above 40 years  | 2         | 1.3     | 100.0              |  |
|   | Total           | 150       | 100.0   |                    |  |
| Education                                 | Bellow SSC      | 8         | 5.3     | 5.3                |  |
|   | SSC             | 10        | 6.7     | 12.0               |  |
|   | HSC             | 41        | 27.3    | 39.3               |  |
|   | Bachelor        | 70        | 46.7    | 86.0               |  |
|   | Master          | 20        | 13.3    | 99.3               |  |
|   | Others          | 1         | .7      | 100.0              |  |
|   | Total           | 150       | 100.0   |                    |  |
| Sex                                       | Male            | 131       | 87.3    | 87.3               |  |
|   | Female          | 19        | 12.7    | 100.0              |  |
|   | Total           | 150       | 100.0   |                    |  |
| Marital Status                            | Single          | 141       | 94.0    | 94.0               |  |
|   | Married         | 9         | 6.0     | 100.0              |  |
|   | Total           | 150       | 100.0   |                    |  |

From above table 01 revealed that 11.3% respondents age below 20 years, 84.7% respondents age 20-30 years, 2.7% people age 30-40 years, and 1.2% participants age above 40 years old. Among the respondents educational qualification table 01 overlooked that 5.3% were below SSC, 6.7% were SSC, 27.3% were HSC, 46.7% were bachelor, 13.3% were master degree, and 0.7% respondents were Ph.D and others, that means the majority of respondents have been highly educated. From the above table 01 reveals that a total 131 respondents were male (87.3% samples) and 19 respondents were female (12.7% samples) that means the majority of respondents were male and all are currently working in Shwapno Super Shop. Among the respondents, marital status table 01 disclosed that 94.0% people were single and 6.0 percent respondents were married.

#### 4.2. Regression Analysis

The regression analysis is performed to determine the impact of organizational behavior (achievement, bonus, training and development, allowance, insurance, salary and provident fund, vacation facilities, and working conditions) on job satisfaction. In Table 2, the model summary of the regression analysis shows R = .586 and  $R^2 = .343$ , standard error of the estimate is 0.260, and the Durbin-Watson value is 1.606. Table 02: Model Summary

|       |       | Std. Error of the |                   |          |               |  |
|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|--|
| Model | R     | R Square          | Adjusted R Square | Estimate | Durbin-Watson |  |
| 1     | .586ª | .343              | .306              | .260     | 1.606         |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Achievement, Bonus, Training and Development, Allowance, Insurance, Salary and Provident fund, Vacation Facilities, Working Condition

#### b. Dependent Variable: Job Satisfaction

The coefficient of correlation (*R*) is 58.6%, showing that there is a positive linear relationship between organizational behavioral factors and job satisfaction through the mediating effect of organizational performance. If the independent variable increases then this will result in the dependent variable increasing accordingly. That means a 1 percent shift in organizational behavior will cause a 58.60 percent shift in job satisfaction, which will have an effect on organizational performance. Table 02 shows the regression analysis ( $R^2$ ) value of 0.343 which reveals that organizational behavior independently accounts for 34.3% of the variation in job satisfaction.

## Table 03: ANOVA

| Mode | 1          | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F     | Sig.  |
|------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------|
| 1    | Regression | 4.970          | 8   | .621        | 9.198 | .000ª |
|      | Residual   | 9.523          | 141 | .068        |       |       |
|      | Total      | 14.492         | 149 |             |       |       |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Achievement, Bonus, Training and Development, Allowance, Insurance, Salary and Provident fund, Vacation Facilities, Working Condition

#### b. Dependent Variable: Job Satisfaction

If the significance (P value) is less than alpha ( $\alpha = .050$ ), it suggests that the model is significant. Therefore, in determining the adequacy of the model, table 03 discloses the analysis of variance (ANOVA) results with a value F(8,141) = 9.198, p=.000 and significance at p < .050. The F-statistics of 9.198 reveals that the model is statistically significant at 0.05 significant levels. And the value of t = 6.105 is also statistically significant; however, it can be certainly deduced that the null hypothesis is rejected. **Table 04: Coefficients** 

|       |                           | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized<br>Coefficients |       |      |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|------|
| Model |                           | В                           | Std. Error | Beta                         | t     | Sig. |
| 1     | (Constant)                | 1.351                       | .221       | •                            | 6.105 | .000 |
|       | Salary and Provident Fund | .067                        | .033       | .152                         | 1.997 | .048 |
|       | Working Conditions        | .072                        | .038       | .165                         | 1.887 | .061 |
|       | Allowance                 | .087                        | .041       | .159                         | 2.130 | .035 |
|       | Bonus                     | .086                        | .044       | .147                         | 1.928 | .046 |
|       | Training and Development  | .067                        | .036       | .158                         | 1.872 | .063 |
|       | Insurance                 | .054                        | .040       | .107                         | 1.339 | .183 |
|       | Vacation Facilities       | .082                        | .034       | .193                         | 2.383 | .019 |
|       | Achievement               | .029                        | .025       | .083                         | 1.144 | .255 |

a. Dependent Variable: Job Satisfaction

According to the information gathered, the following regression equation may be created (table 01):  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + \beta_7 X_7 + \beta_8 X_8 + e$ 

Where, Y = Job Satisfaction (Dependent Variable);

Independent Variables [organizational behavior: (X<sub>1</sub> = Salary and Provident Fund, X<sub>2</sub> = Working Conditions, X<sub>3</sub> = Allowance, X<sub>4</sub> = Bonus, X<sub>5</sub> = Training and Development, X<sub>6</sub> = Insurance, X<sub>7</sub> = Vacation Facilities, X<sub>8</sub> = Achievement)];  $\beta_0$  (Constant) = 1.351; *e* (Error term) = .260

As  $X_2$ ,  $X_5$ ,  $X_6$ , and  $X_8$  have an insignificant result that is at p > 0.05, therefore, the regression equation has been reduced to the following:

 $Y = 1.351 + .067X_1 + .087X_3 + .086X_4 + .082X_7 + .260$ 

The study of regression analysis revealed that components of organizational behavior like salary and provident fund, allowance, bonus, vacation facilities, working conditions, training and development, insurance, and achievement will significantly predict job satisfaction with its effect on organizational performance. In a nutshell, the study's result clarified the significant positive relationship between organizational behavior and job satisfaction towards organizational performance.

## 5. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

There are certain limitations of the study. Firstly, Primary data sources for the study may be heavily reliant on participant cooperation. Secondly, this study empirically tests the factors of organizational behavior and its effect on job satisfaction towards organizational performance as the theoretical base. Thirdly, data is gathered at a specific point in time, hence the results are only valid for that time period. Fourth limitation is the sample size was limited to just one leading super shop in Chattogram, Bangladesh, but future research might expand the sample to include other corporate businesses there as well as take into account other job satisfaction factors besides achievement, bonus, training and development, allowance, insurance, salary and provident fund, vacation facilities, and working conditions.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

According to the findings of the study, there is a strong correlation between organizational behavior and job satisfaction. Organizations must devote greater attention to these components of the job, as the results of the regression analysis indicated that organizational behavior has a direct impact on job satisfaction (R square is 34.3 percent) and its influence on organizational performance. Organization should more concern the factors like salary and provident fund, allowance, bonus, and vacation facilities as well as prioritize the elements i.e. working conditions, training and development, insurance and achievement that are contributed to satisfy the job satisfaction which is aided to the organizational performance. Employees in this era of globalization are highly qualified and more concerned with their rights while doing job in an organization which includes salary and provident fund, allowance, bonus, vacation facilities, working conditions, training and development, insurance and achievement as mentioned in this study that the companies recognize and meet the needs of their employees to ensure effective achievement of their goals and objectives.

#### REFERENCES

Alan H. Rosenstein, M. M. (2015). Strategies to Enhance Physician Engagement. Medical Practice Management.

- Baah, K., & Amoako, G. K. (2011). Application of Frederick Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory in Assessing and Understanding Employee Motivation at Work: a Ghanaian Perspective. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 3(9), 1-8.
- Bashur, R. M., Hernandez, A. vePeiro, J. M. 2011. The Impact of Underemployment on Individual and Organizational Performance. D. C. Maynard & D. C. Feldman (Ed.). Underemployment: Psychological, Economic, and Social Challenges (pp.187-213) New York: Springer.
- Board, L. M. (2007). Coaching a stockholder on performance improvement option, ASTD International conference Atlanta GA, USA.
- Brayfield, A. H., & Rothe, H. F. (1951). An Index of Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 35(5), pp. 307-311.
- Brewster, C. (2007). Comparative HRM: European views and perspectives. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(5), 769-787.
- Çetin, F. (2011). ÖrgütselVatandaşlıkDavranışlarınınAçıklanmasındaÖrgütselBağlılık, İşTatmini, KişilikveÖrgütKültürününRolü, Ankara ÜniversitesiSosyalBilimlerEnstitüsü, DoktoraTezi, Ankara.
- Chen, C.-F. (2006). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and flight atten-dants' turnover intentions: A note. *Journal of Air Transport Management*,12 (2006), pp. 274–276.
- Cranny, C. J., Smith, P. C., and Stone, E. F. (1992). Job Satisfaction: How People Feel About Their Jobs and How It Affects Their Performance, New York: Lexington Books.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and Internal Structure of Test. Psychometrika, Vol. 16, pp. 297-334.
- Daft, R.L. (2003). Management (6th Ed). Mason, OH: South-Western.
- Fauzi, M., & Warso, M. (2016). Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi dan Job Satisfaction terhadap Kinerja Karyawan dengan Komitmen Organisasi Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Journal of Management*, Vol. 02, No. 02, pp. 3.
- Furnham, A., Eracleou, A., & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2009). Personality, motiva-tion and job satisfaction: Hertzberg meets the Big Five. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 24(8), pp. 765–779.
- Kaifi, B. A. (2011). Organizational Behavior: A Study on Managers, Employees, and Teams. *Journal of Management Policy and Practice*, Vol. 12, pp. 89.
- Kreitner, R. and Kinicki, A. (2004). Organizational behaviour. 6th edn: McGraw-Hill: Boston, USA.
- Lawler, E. E., III. ve Porter, L. W. (1967). The Effect of Performance on Job Satisfaction, Industrial Relations, 7, s. 20-28.
- Moorhead, G., & Griffin, R. W. (1995). *Organizational behavior: Managing people and organizations* (5th edition). Boston. Houghton Mifflin.
- Mullins, L. (2005). Management and Organisational Behaviour, (7th Ed), Pearson Education Limited, UK.
- Nahar, R., Ullah, K.T., Arif, I. and Khan, T.Z. (2008). HR maintenance, Job Satisfaction and Labor Management relationship: A Study on RMG Sector of Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Studies, Southeast University*, Vol. IV, No. 1, pp.131-143.
- Nelson, D. L. and Quick, J. C. (2003). Social Responsibility. In D. L. Nelson and J. C. Quick (eds) Organizational behaviour: Foundations, realities and challenges. 4th edn: Thomson, South-Western: Australia. 62–78.
- Newstrom, J.W. (2008). Organizational Behaviour. Human Behaviour at Work. Delhi: Tata Mc-Graw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Organ D.W. (1977), "A reappraisal And Reinterpretation of the Satisfaction–Causes–Performance Hypothesis", Academy of Management Review, 2, s. 46–53.
- Pushpakumari, M.D. (2008). The Impact of Job Satisfaction on Job Performance: An Empirical Analysis.89-105. Retrieved from: 202.11.2.113/ SEBM/ronso/no9\_1/08\_PUSHPAKUMARI.pdf.

www.iiste.org

Robbins, S. (2006). Organizational behavior. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

- Spector, P.E. (1997). Job Satisfaction: Application, Assessment, Causes, and Consequences, London: Sage Publications.
- Sidle, S. D. (2008). Workplace stress management interventions: What works best? Academy of Management Perspectives, 22(3): 111-12.
- Sonnentag, S. and M. Frese (2002). Performance Concepts and Performance Theory. In: Sonnentag, S. (Ed.), Psychological Management of Individual Performance. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, pp: 3-25.
- Strohmair, S. (2013). Employee relationship management-realizing competitive advantage through information technology. *Human Resource Management Review*, 23(1): 93–101.
- Sule, E., & Priansa, D. (2018). Kepemimpinan & Perilaku organisasi: Membangun Organisasi Unggul di Era Perubahan. Bandung: PT. Refika Aditama.
- Swanson, R. A. (2015). Human resource development: Performance is the key. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 6(2): 207–13.
- Vroom, H. V. (1964). The determination of job satisfaction work and motivation. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Williams, L. J. and Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as prediction of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviour. *Journal of Management*, 17(3): 601–17.