New Media and Mass Communication
ISSN 2224-3267 (Paper) ISSN 2224-3275 (Online)
Vol.107, 2025

The Generation and Governance of Fake News in the Context of Generative Artificial Intelligence

Shuihan Yu

School of Journalism and Communications, Anhui University, No.111 Jiulong Road, Shushan District, Hefei City, Anhui Province, China.

* E-mail of the corresponding author: 547188803@qq.com

Abstract

The rapid advancement of Generative Artificial Intelligence (Generative AI) technology has exerted a profound impact on various domains, including information dissemination and news production. However, the application of this technology in the generation of fake news has also led to issues such as information pollution and a crisis of public trust. Currently, the academic community has conducted extensive research on the role of Generative AI in the creation and propagation of fake news, encompassing multiple dimensions such as technological governance, legal regulation, and social governance. This paper will employ the Information Disorder Theory and the Multiple Streams Framework as theoretical lenses to explore the hierarchical operational mechanisms of fake news and its governance pathways in the context of Generative AI. This research not only deepens academic understanding but also provides significant references for practical governance efforts.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence, Fake news,News governance,Information authenticity

DOI: 10.7176/NMMC/107-07
Date of Publication: March 30th 2025

1. Introduction

The rapid development of Generative Artificial Intelligence (Generative AI) has triggered profound transformations in the fields of information production and dissemination, particularly within the news industry. Generative AI not only enhances the efficiency of automated news production but also alters the methods and scale of fake news dissemination. AI-generated content, including text, images, and videos, can spread on a massive scale in an extremely short time, increasing the difficulty for the public to discern the authenticity of information.

This study, based on the Information Disorder Theory and the Multiple Streams Framework, delves into the operational mechanisms of Generative AI in the creation and dissemination of fake news and proposes corresponding governance pathways. The Information Disorder Theory helps to understand the dissemination mechanisms of fake news through phenomena such as misinformation, disinformation, and malinformation [1]. The Multiple Streams Framework reveals how effective governance pathways for fake news can be formed by coordinating the problem stream, policy stream, and political stream during the policy-making process [2].

1.1 Information Disorder Theory

The theory of information dysfunction emphasizes the appearance of three core types of dysfunction in the information dissemination process—misleading information, false information, and malicious information—which significantly distort the public's perception of reality. Generative AI, as a producer of fake news, further amplifies the complexity of these dysfunctional phenomena.

Firstly, misleading information refers to content that is inaccurate but not maliciously spread. For example, AI-generated news may produce misleading information due to lack of fact-checking or inaccurate data sources. Such content often appears indistinguishable from real news in terms of language style and narrative structure, making it more deceptive.

Secondly, false information is content that is intentionally fabricated and disseminated. Generative AI is used to mass-produce such information, especially in fields like politics and economics. AI-generated false news is maliciously exploited to disrupt public opinion.

Lastly, malicious information is not only false but also has harmful intentions. It often involves the use of real information for harmful purposes. For example, deepfake technology is used to forge speeches of political

figures. This type of information, with its higher authenticity and deceptiveness, severely undermines the public's cognitive system.

Under the framework of the theory of information dysfunction, the generation of fake news can be understood as generative AI using complex algorithmic techniques to extract and reprocess information from vast amounts of data, thereby producing highly deceptive news content. These false messages not only conceal their true nature through technical means during their generation and dissemination but also spread rapidly through social media and other channels, causing serious dysfunction in the information environment. Therefore, the theory of information dysfunction provides a perspective for understanding how generative AI interferes with the information ecosystem through technological means and reveals how fake news affects social and individual cognition through dysfunctional phenomena.

1.2 Multiple Streams Framework

The Multiple Streams Theory, proposed by John Kingdon, aims to explain how policy-making is advanced through the intersection of problem streams, policy streams, and political streams in complex policy environments. This theory holds significant explanatory power in the governance of fake news.

Firstly, the problem stream represents the increasing prominence of social issues caused by fake news. Driven by generative AI, the scale and speed of fake news dissemination have significantly increased. This not only harms the public but also poses substantial governance challenges to governments and various organizations.

Secondly, the policy stream represents existing or available policy instruments and tools. In the governance of fake news, current policy frameworks such as content moderation, platform self-regulation, and technological oversight are already in place. However, in the face of the technological innovations of generative AI, these policies often lag behind or fail to adapt to the new information dissemination environment.

Lastly, the political stream reflects the role of social and political contexts in driving policy change. Globally, the harm caused by fake news has garnered widespread political attention. In particular, how to balance the protection of freedom of speech with the control of fake news has become a crucial issue for policymakers.

The Multiple Streams Theory provides a dynamic perspective for understanding the governance of fake news. The effectiveness of policies depends not only on the advancement of technological means but also on the interplay and cooperation among multiple stakeholders in the policy-making process. By identifying and coordinating the relationships between the problem, policy, and political streams, more systematic governance pathways for fake news can be developed, ensuring effective international cooperation and regulatory enforcement in the context of globalization.

2. Generative AI and the Mechanism of Fake News Generation

2.1 The mechanism of fake news generation at the technical level

The core technology of generative AI relies on deep learning algorithms, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and pre-trained models (e.g., GPT-3). These models learn from massive datasets and can automatically generate highly realistic text, images, and video content. In the process of generating fake news, generative AI first learns from vast amounts of news text, images, and video data during training, identifying language patterns, visual features, and narrative structures. Subsequently, the AI generates new news content through its generator, which often closely mimics real news. Through adversarial interactions between the generator and discriminator in GANs, the generated results are continuously optimized, ultimately producing news that appears real but is entirely fabricated. This generation mechanism not only depends on complex algorithmic techniques but is also closely related to the quality of the training data. If the training data contains false or biased information, the news generated by the AI will exhibit similar issues [6].

Another critical factor at the technical level is data pollution. During the training process of generative AI, if the dataset contains a significant amount of misleading or false information, the AI model will replicate these distortions when generating new content, further exacerbating the spread of fake news. The essence of data pollution lies in the fact that generative AI depends on large-scale datasets for training, and the sources of information in these datasets are diverse and complex, making it difficult to ensure their accuracy and authenticity. Since fake news itself is part of the information ecosystem, generative AI can easily absorb inaccurate or false information, thereby mass-producing deceptive content. Thus, the process of fake news generation not only involves the optimization of technical algorithms but also relies on quality control of data

input.

2.2 The dissemination mechanism of fake news at the social level

The dissemination of fake news generated by AI relies on the powerful diffusion capabilities of modern social media platforms. With the widespread adoption of social media globally, fake news spreads rapidly through the algorithmic recommendation mechanisms of these platforms, forming a robust information dissemination network. Social media algorithms tend to prioritize content that generates high user engagement, and fake news, due to its highly appealing and provocative nature, often quickly garners significant views, likes, and shares. As a result, social media platforms have become the primary carriers for the spread of fake news, with AI-generated false content rapidly proliferating through these platforms, making it difficult to control.

At the same time, there are strong economic motivations behind the production and dissemination of fake news. Generative AI has significantly reduced the cost of producing fake news, enabling creators of false information to generate large volumes of attractive content at a low cost and monetize it through advertising revenue and traffic on social media. This economic incentive further drives the spread of fake news, creating a self-reinforcing cycle—AI generates fake news, social platforms amplify its dissemination, and the financial gains from fake news [7] stimulate the production of even more false content.

2. 3 Challenges at the Legal and Ethical Levels

The generation and dissemination of AI-generated fake news also face significant legal and ethical challenges. Firstly, existing legal frameworks have not yet fully adapted to the rapid development of generative AI technology. Many countries lack clear regulations targeting AI-generated content, making the supervision and accountability of fake news extremely difficult. The cross-border nature of fake news dissemination further complicates legal regulation, as the absence of unified legal standards and cooperation mechanisms among countries often allows fake news propagators to evade legal sanctions. Additionally, AI-generated fake news raises ethical issues, particularly in balancing technological advancement with social responsibility. There is growing public concern about the misuse of AI technology, especially the widespread application of deepfake technology, which may infringe on personal privacy and even threaten public safety.

3. The Governance Pathways for Fake News

3.1 The Enhancement of Technological Measures

To govern the fake news generated by generative AI, it is first necessary to rely on more advanced technological means. Although technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have shown initial effectiveness in detecting fake news, these technologies still have significant rates of false positives and false negatives when facing the ever-evolving capabilities of generative AI. To more effectively detect and prevent the generation and dissemination of fake news, future technological measures must focus more on controlling the input of false data at the source and enhancing the intelligence level of AI detection systems. For example, combining multiple algorithmic models for cross-validation, or using blockchain technology to record the generation and dissemination pathways of news content, can effectively trace the origins of fake news. In addition, the application of technological means should not be limited to the detection of fake news, but should also be extended to the prevention and prediction of fake news generation.

3.2 The Synergy of Laws and Policies

Although technological means are important tools for the governance of fake news, they can only play a greater role with effective support from laws and policies. The global governance of fake news requires consensus among countries on legal frameworks. In particular, when dealing with fake news that spreads across borders, how to coordinate the regulatory mechanisms of different countries is a key issue in the governance of fake news. Taking the European Union's Digital Services Act as an example, the act has put forward stricter requirements for the responsibilities of social platforms in the governance of fake news. However, globally, similar legal frameworks are still lacking in terms of popularity. Therefore, the governance of fake news not only relies on the unilateral laws of individual countries, but also needs to strengthen international cooperation to establish unified global legal standards and regulatory mechanisms.

At the same time, the enforcement of laws must be combined with real-time supervision. Governments can work together with social platforms to jointly combat the generation and dissemination of fake news. For developers and users of generative AI, the law should clearly define the responsibilities they should bear, especially when their technology is misused to generate fake news. Developers and platforms should bear corresponding legal responsibilities in such cases. This collaborative governance model not only helps to enhance the enforcement of laws, but also effectively reduces the negative social impact of fake news.

3.3 The Enhancement of Social Education

The governance of fake news relies not only on technological and legal means, but also on enhancing the public's media literacy to reduce its dissemination and impact. The core of social education is to help the public improve their ability to identify fake news and raise awareness in resisting false information. By integrating media literacy education into the school curriculum and widely conducting information verification training in communities and society, the public's sensitivity to fake news generated by generative AI can be effectively increased. Such education can not only help the public better identify fake news, but also enhance their judgment in daily information consumption, avoiding being misled by false information.

Moreover, training for news practitioners is also necessary. With the widespread application of generative AI in news production, journalists must be able to identify AI - generated content and strictly adhere to the principle of news authenticity. By providing relevant training and tools for journalists, the quality of news reporting can be further improved and the generation and spread of fake news can be reduced.

4. Case Analysis

In analyzing the generation and governance of fake news in the context of generative AI, the practices of the United States and China provide important references. During the 2020 U.S. presidential election, generative AI technology was widely used for the automated creation and dissemination of false political news. These fake news items not only spread widely through social media but also directly influenced voters' political attitudes. This case demonstrates that generative AI can automatically generate false political news through text generation technology and rapidly disseminate it through social platforms. It not only highlights the significant potential of technological means in generating fake news but also indicates the inadequacies of existing regulatory mechanisms when facing generative AI.

In contrast, during the COVID-19 pandemic, China successfully curbed the spread of fake news through a government-led combination of legal frameworks and technological measures. For example, the Douyin platform used generative AI technology to monitor information related to the pandemic in real-time, combined with a human review mechanism, to filter out and delete a large number of fake news items. This successful governance case shows that the combination of government legal support and platform technological supervision can effectively curb the spread of fake news, especially in situations involving public health and safety, where the synchronized operation of technological means and legal frameworks is particularly important.

Through the analysis of the cases of fake news generation and governance in the United States and China, it can be seen that the organic combination of technological means, legal supervision, and public education is the key to effectively governing fake news. Future governance of fake news will not only require strengthening technological innovation and development but also must promote cooperation and coordination among countries at the legal and policy levels on an international scale.

5. Conclusion

This article delves into the mechanisms of fake news generation and governance pathways in the context of generative AI, using the theories of information dysfunction and multiple flows. The theory of information dysfunction explains the phenomena of the generation, dissemination, and cognitive dissonance of fake news, while the multiple flows theory provides a framework for policy-making in the governance of fake news. Globally, the governance of fake news urgently requires a collaborative effort from technology, law, and society. By integrating technological measures, improving legal frameworks, and enhancing social education, we can more effectively address the threat of fake news generated by AI in an increasingly complex information environment. Future research and practice should focus on strengthening international cooperation, promoting the standardization of information governance globally, and continuously improving the prevention and control

mechanisms for fake news through the application of new technologies.

References

Pasquale, C. C. (2019), "The Information Disorder: A Research Agenda", Institut Montaigne, Paris.

Kingdon, J. W. (2014), "Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies", Longman, New York.

Qi, C. H. (2023), "The Risk Characteristics of False Information and Governance Pathways of Generative AI", Journal of Journalism and Communication Studies, 45(3), 67-81.

Chesney, R., & Citron, D. (2019), "Deepfakes and the New Disinformation War: The Coming Age of Post-Truth Geopolitics", Foreign Affairs, 98(1), 147-155.

Cui, Y. (2023), "Value Challenges and Optimization Strategies of Generative AI in News Production", Media Observation, 7(5), 34-41.

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017), "Information Disorder: Toward an Interdisciplinary Framework for Research and Policy Making", Council of Europe, 6-12.

Schmidt, A., & Wiegand, M. (2017), "A Survey on Hate Speech Detection Using Natural Language Processing", Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Social Media, 7(1), 1-10.

Zhou, Y. (2023), "Hierarchical Operation Mechanism and Governance of False Information under Generative AI", Journalist, 12(4), 56-70.

Zhu, Y. (2023), "Governance Framework for Generative AI: Content Analysis of ZGCGC Accident Reports", Journal of Journalism and Communication Studies, 12(3), 45-58.

Liu, M. X. (2023), "Truth Restoration in the Age of Intelligent Media: A Case Study of Yidian Infomation Debunking Algorithm", Journal of Journalism, 10(1), 23-31.

Balkin, J. M. (2018), "Free Speech in the Algorithmic Society: Big Data, Private Governance, and New School Speech Regulation", UC Davis Law Review, 51(2), 1149-1210.

Smith, J. (2020), "Managing Misinformation in the Age of AI: The Role of Social Media Platforms", Journal of Information Technology, 12(3), 45-58.

Xu, J. (2023), "Practice of Human-Machine Coupling in News Production and Generative AI", Journal of Communication University of China, 11(3), 34-45.

Müller, R. (2020), "AI and Public Trust: The Role of Media Literacy in Tackling Disinformation", AI & Society, 35(4), 715-728.

Zhang, Z. Y. (2021), "A Review of Information Detection, Dissemination, and Control in Online Social Networks from the Perspective of AI", Computer Science, 51(2), 23-41.

Chen, H. M. (2023), "Monopoly Regulation, Responsibility Definition, and Interest Balance: International Experience and Implications for Digital Platform Governance", Chinese Journal of Management Science, 15, 89-102.

Shi, A. B. (2023), "Chatbots and the Full-Chain Reconstruction of News Communication", Journal of Journalism and Communication Studies, 32, 45-58.

Zhou, Q. X. (2023), "False News in the Context of Media Embodiment: An Analysis of 96 Rumors from the China Internet United Rumor Refutation Platform", Journal of Journalism and Communication Studies, 40, 76-88.

Brown, A., & Black, S. (2022), "The Digital Services Act and its Implications for AI Governance", European Journal of Law and Technology, 14(2), 23-37.

Zhang, Y. (2021), "The Regulation of AI-Generated Misinformation in China: A Comparative Analysis", Chinese Journal of Communication, 8(1), 15-29.

Jones, A. (2021), "Legal Challenges in Governing AI-generated Misinformation", Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Law, 14(2), 101-120.