# Fixed Point Theorem Of Discontinuity And Weak Compatibility In Non complete Non-Archimedean Menger PM-Spaces

Pooja Sharma (Corresponding author) Career College, Barkatullah University M.I.G-9 sector 4B Saket Nager Bhopal, India Tel: +919301030702 E-mail: poojasharma020283@gmail.com

R.S.Chandel Govt. Geetanjali College, Barkatullah University Tulsi Nager, Bhopal, India Tel: +919425650235 E-mail: rschandel\_2009@yahoo.com

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to prove a related common fixed point theorem for six weakly compatible self maps in non complete non-Archimedean menger PM-spaces, without using the condition of continuity and give a set of alternative conditions in place of completeness of the space.

Keywords: key words, Non-Archimedean Menger PM-space, R-weakly commuting maps, fixed points.

#### 1. Introduction

There have been a number of generalizations of metric spaces, one of them is designated as Menger space propounded by Menger in 1972. In 1976, Jungck established common fixed point theorems for commuting maps generalizing the Banach's fixed point theorem. Sessa (1982) defined a generalization of commutativity

called weak commutativity. Futher Jungck (1986) introduced more generalized commutativity, which is called compatibility. In 1998, Jungck & Rhodes introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps and showed that compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse need not true. Sharma & Deshpande (2006) improved the results of Sharma & Singh (1982), Cho (1997), Sharma & Deshpande (2006). Chugh and Kumar (2001) proved some interesting results in metric spaces for weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity. Sharma and deshpande (2006) proved some results in non complete Menger spaces, for weakly compatible maps without appeal to continuity. In this Paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for six maps has been proved using the concept of weak compatibility without using condition of continuity.

We will improve results of Sharma & Deshpande (2006) and many others.

#### **Preliminary notes**

**Definition 1.1** Let X be any nonempty set and D be the set of all left continuous distribution functions. An order pair (X, F) is called a non-Archimedean probabilistic metric space, if F is a mapping from  $X \times X$ 

Into D satisfying the following conditions

- (i)  $F_x$ , y(t) = 1 for every t > 0 if and only if x = y,
- (ii)  $F_x, y(0) = 0 \text{ for } x, y \in X$
- (iii)  $F_x, y(t) = F_y, x(t)$  for every  $x, y \in X$
- (iv) If  $F_x$ ,  $y(t_1) = 1$  and  $F_y$ ,  $z(t_2) = 1$ ,
- Then  $F_x$ ,  $z(\max\{t_1, t_2\}) = 1$  for every x, y,  $z \in X$ ,

**Definition 1.2** A Non- Archimedean Manger PM-space is an order triple (X, F,  $\Delta$ ), where  $\Delta$  is a t-norm

Page | 33 www.iiste.org

## www.iiste.org



and (X, F) is a Non-Archimedean PM-space satisfying the following condition.

(v)  $F_x, z (\max\{t_1, t_2\}) \ge \Delta (F_x, y(t_1), F_y, z(t_2))$  for  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \ge 0$ . The concept of neighborhoods in Menger PM-spaces was introduced by Schwizer-Skla (1983). If  $x \in X$ , t > 0 and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then and  $(\in, \lambda)$ -neighborhood of x, denoted by  $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  is defined by

$$U_{x}(\mathcal{E}, \lambda) = \{ y \in X : F_{y}, x(t) > 1 - \lambda \}$$

If the t-norm  $\Delta$  is continuous and strictly increasing then  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is a Hausdorff space in the topology induced by the family  $\{U_x(t, y) : x \in X, t > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  of neighborhoods.

**Definition 1.3** A t-norm is a function  $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is associative, commutative, non decreasing in each coordinate and  $\Delta(a, 1) = a$  for every  $a \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 1.4** A PM- space (X, F) is said to be of type (C)  $_{\rho}$  if there exists a  $g \in \Omega$  such that

$$g(F_x, y(t)) \leq g(F_x, z(t)) + g(F_z, y(t))$$

for all x, y,  $z \in X$  and  $t \ge 0$ , where  $\Omega = \{g : g[0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty) \text{ is continuous, strictly decreasing, } g(1) = 0 \text{ and } g(0) > \infty \}.$ 

**Definition 1.5** A pair of mappings A and S is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

**Definition 1.6** Let A, S:  $X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. A and S are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g(FASx n, SAx n(t)) = 0$$

For all t > 0, whenever {x n } is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$  for some  $z \in X$ .

**Definition 1.7** A Non-Archimedean Manger PM-space (X, F,  $\Delta$ ) is said to be of type (D)<sub>g</sub> if there exists a g  $\in \Omega$  such that

 $g(\Delta(S, t) \leq g(S) + g(t))$  for all S,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 1.1** If a function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, -\infty)$  satisfying the condition

 $(\emptyset)$ , then we have

- (1) For all  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^n(t) 0$ , where  $\phi^n(t)$  is the n-th iteration of  $\phi(t)$ .
- (2) If  $\{t_n\}$  is non-decreasing sequence real numbers and  $t_{n+1} \leq \phi(t_n)$ , n = 1, 2, ..., then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{t}_n = 0. \text{ In particular, if } t \leq \phi(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \text{ then } t = 0.$ 

**Lemma 1.2** Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F y_n y_{n+1}(t) = 1$  for all t > 0.

If the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence in X, then there exit  $\mathcal{E}_0 > 0$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , two sequences

 $\{m_i\}, \{n_i\}$  of positive integers such that

(1) 
$$m_i > n_i + 1, n_i \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty,$$

(2) F y mi, y  $ni(t_0) < 1$ -  $\mathcal{E}_0$  and F y mi - 1, y  $ni(t_0) \ge 1$ -  $\mathcal{E}_0$ , i = 1,2,...

#### **Main Results**

Page | 34 www.iiste.org

www.iiste.org



Theorem 2.1 : Let A, B, S, T, P and Q be a mappings from X into itself such that

(i)  $P(X) \subset AB(X), Q(X) \subset ST(X)$ 

(ii)  $g(FPx, Qy(t)) \leq \phi[max\{g(FABy, STx(t)), g(FPx, STx(t)), g(FQy, ABy(t)), g(FQy, STx(t)), g(FQy, STx(t)),$ 

g(FPx, ABy(t))]

(for all x, y \in X and t>0, where a function  $\phi:[0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$  satisfies the condition ( $\emptyset$ ).

- (iii) A(X) or B(X) is complete subspace of X, then
- (a) P and ST have a coincidence point.
- (b) Q and AB have a coincidence point.

Further, if

(iv) The pairs (P, ST) and (Q, AB) are R-weakly compatible then A,B,S,T,P and Q have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Since P(X)  $\subset AB(X)$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$ , Such that  $Px_0 = ABx_1$ . Since  $Q(X) \subset ST(X)$  for this point  $x_1$ , we can choose a point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $Bx_1 = Sx_2$  and so on.. Inductively, we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that  $y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = ABx_{2n+1}$  and  $y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+2}$ , for n=1,2,3...

Before proving our main theorem we need the following :

**Lemma 2.2:** Let A,B,S,T,P,Q :  $X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying the condition (i) and (ii).

Then the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  define above, such that

 $\lim_{t \to 0} g(F y_n, y_{n+1}(t)) = 0, \quad \text{For all } t > 0 \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in X.}$ 

**Proof of Lemma 2.2:** Since  $g \in \Omega$ , it follows that

**lim** F  $y_n$ ,  $y_{n+1}$  (t) = 1 for all t>0 if and only if

 $\lim_{x \to \infty} g(F y_n, y_{n+1}(t)) = 0$  for all t>0. By Lemma 1.2, if  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence in X,

there exists  $\in_0 > 0$ , t>0, two sequence  $\{m_i\}, \{n_i\}$  of positive integers such that

(A)  $m_i > n_i + 1$ , and  $n_i \to \infty$  as  $i \to \infty$ ,

(B) (Fy  $m_i$ , y  $n_i$  ( $t_0$ )) > g(1- $\epsilon_0$ ) and g(Fy  $m_{i+1}$ , y  $n_i$  ( $t_0$ ))  $\leq$  g(1- $\epsilon_0$ ), i=1,2,3....

Thus we have

$$g(1 - \epsilon_0) < (Fy m_i, y n_i(t_0))$$

Page | 35 www.iiste.org



(v) 
$$\leq g(1-\epsilon_0) + g(F y_{m_i}, y_{m_{i-1}}(t_0))$$

Thus  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (v), we have

(vi) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g(F y_{m_i}, y n_i (t_0)) = g(1 - \epsilon_0).$$

On the other hand, we have

(vii)  $g(1-\epsilon_0) < g(F y_{m_i}, y n_i(t_0))$ 

$$\leq g(F y_{n_i}, y_{n_{i+1}}(t_0)) + g(F y_{n_{i+1}}, y_{m_i}(t_0)).$$

 $\leq$  g(Fy  $m_i$ ,  $y_{m_{i-1}}(t_0)$ ) + g(F  $y_{m_{i-1}}$ , y  $n_i(t_0)$ )

Now, consider g(F  $y_{n_{i+1}}$ ,  $y_{m_i}(t_0)$ ) in (vii. Without loss generality, assume that both  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are even. Then by (ii), we have

$$g(F yn_{i+1}, ym_i(t_0)) = g(FP x_{mi}, Q x_{ni+1}(t_0))$$

$$\leq \emptyset [\max\{g(\text{FST} x_{mi}, \text{AB} x_{ni+1}(t_0)), g(\text{FST} x_{mi}, \text{P} x_{mi}(t_0)), g(\text{FAB} x_{ni+1}, Q x_{ni+1}(t_0)),$$

g(FST 
$$x_{mi}$$
, Q  $x_{ni+1}(t_0)$ ), g(FAB  $x_{ni+1}$ , P  $x_{mi}(t_0)$ )}]

(viii) =  $\emptyset$  [max{g(Fy  $m_{i-1}$ , y  $n_i(t_0)$ ), g(Fy  $m_{i-1}$ , y  $m_i(t_0)$ ), g(Fy  $n_i$ , y  $n_{i+1}(t_0)$ ), g(Fy  $m_{i-1}$ , y  $n_{i+1}(t_0)$ ),

$$g(Fy n_i, y m_i(t_0)) \}]$$

Using (vi),(vii),(viii) and letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  n(viii), we have

$$g(1-\epsilon_0) \leq \emptyset[\max\{g(1-\epsilon_0), 0, 0, g(1-\epsilon_0), g(1-\epsilon_0)\}]$$

$$= \emptyset (g(1 - \epsilon_0))$$

$$< g(1 - \epsilon_0),$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

**Proof of the Theorem 2.1:** If we prove  $\lim n \to \infty$  g(Fy *n*, y  $n_{i+1}(t)$ ) = 0 for all t>0, Then by Lemma(2.2) the sequence {y<sub>n</sub>} define above is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now, we prove  $\lim n \to \infty$  g(Fy *n*, y n+1 (t)) = 0 for all t > 0. In fact by (ii), we have

 $\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{Fy}_{2n}\,,\,\mathsf{y}_{2n+1}\,(\mathsf{t}))=\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{FP}\,X_{2n}\,,\,\mathsf{Q}\,X_{2n+1}\,(\mathsf{t}))$ 

Page | 36 www.iiste.org

 $\leq \mathscr{O} \left[ \max \left\{ g(FST X_{2n}, AB X_{2n}, AB X_{2n+1}(t)), g(FST X_{2n}, P X_{2n}(t)), \right. \\ \left. g(FAB X_{2n+1}, Q X_{2n+1}(t)), g(F X_{2n}, Q X_{2n+1}(t)), g(FAB X_{n+1}, P X_{2n}(t)) \right\} \right] \\ = \mathscr{O} \left[ \max \{ g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)), g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)), g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)), \right. \\ \left. g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}(t)) \} \right], \\ = \mathscr{O} \left[ \max \{ g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)), g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)), g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)), \right. \\ \left. g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)) + g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)), \right] \right]$ 

www.iiste.org

IISTE

If  $g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t) \leq g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t) \text{ for all } t > 0$ , then we have

 $g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)) \leq \emptyset g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)),$ 

Which means that, by Lemma 1.1,  $g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)) = 0$  for all t > 0.

Similarly, we have  $g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)) = 0$  for all t > 0. Thus we have  $\lim n \to \infty g(Fy_n, y_n+1(t)) = 0$  for all t > 0. On the other hand, if  $g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)) \ge g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t))$ , then by (ii), we have

 $g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t)) \leq g(Fy_{2n-1}, y_{2n}(t)), \text{ for } t > 0.$ 

Similarly,  $g(Fy_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}(t)) \leq g(Fy_{2n}, y_{2n+1}(t))$  for all t > 0.

 $g(Fy n, y n+1 (t)) \leq g(Fy n-1, y n (t))$ , for all t >0 and n= 1,2,3,.... Therefore by Lemma (1.1)

 $\lim n \to \infty$  g(Fy *n*, y *n*+1 (t)) = 0 for all t > 0, which implies that {y *n*} is a Cauchy sequence in X. Now suppose that ST(X) is a complete. Note that the subsequence {y *n*+1} is contained in ST(X) and a limit in ST(X). Call it z. Let  $p \in (ST)^{-1}$  z.

We shall use that fact that the subsequence  $\{y_{2n}\}$  also converges to z. By (ii), we have

 $g(FP p, y_{2n+1}(kt)) = g(FP p, Qx_{2n+1}(kt))$ 

 $\leq \emptyset [\max\{g(\text{FST } p, \text{ABx}_{2n+1}(t)), g(\text{FST } p, \text{P } p(t)), g(\text{FAB } x_{2n+1}, Q x_{2n+1}(t)),$ 

g(FST p, Q  $x_{2n+1}$  (t)), g(FAB  $x_{2n+1}$ , P p (t))}]

= 
$$\emptyset$$
 [max{FST  $p$ ,  $y_{2n}$  (t),g(FST  $p$ , P  $p$  (t)), g(F  $y_{2n}$ ,  $y_{2n+1}$  (t)), g(F ST  $p$ ,  $y_{2n+1}$  (t)), g(F  $y_{2n}$ , P  $p$  (t))}]

Page | 37 www.iiste.org

Taking the limit  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

 $g(FP p, z(kt)) \leq \emptyset [max\{g(Fz, z(t)), g(Fz, P p(t)), g(Fz, z(t), g(Fz, z(t)), g(Fz, P p(t))\}]$ 

 $< \mathcal{O}(g(FP \ p, z(t))),$ 

For all t>0, which means that P p = z and therefore, P p = ST p = z, i.e. p is a coincidence point of P and ST. This proves (i). Since P(X)  $\subset$  AB(X) and , P p = z implies that  $z \in$  AB(X).

Let  $q \in (AB)^{-1}$  z. Then q = z.

It can easily be verified by using similar arguments of the previous part of the proof that Qq = z.

If we assume that ST(X) is complete then argument analogous to the previous completeness argument establishes (i) and (ii).

The remaining two cases pertain essentially to the previous cases. Indeed, if B(X) is complete, then by (2.1),  $z \in Q(X) \subset ST(X)$ .

Similarly if  $P(X) \subset AB(X)$ . Thus (i) and (ii) are completely established.

Since the pair {P, ST} is weakly compatible therefore P and ST commute at their coincidence point i.e. PST p = STP p or Pz = STz. Similarly QABq = ABQq or Qz = ABz.

Now, we prove that Pz = z by (2.2) we have

 $g(FPz, y_{2n+1}(t)) = g(FPz, Q x_{2n+1}(t))$ 

 $\leq \emptyset$  [max{g(FSTz, AB  $x_{2n+1}$ )), g(FSTz, Pz(t)), g(FAB  $x_{2n+1}$ , Q  $x_{2n+1}$ (t)),

 $g(FSTz, Q X_{2n+1}(t)), g(FST X_{2n+1}, Pz(t))\}].$ 

By letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have

 $g(FPz, z(t)) \leq \emptyset [max{g(FPz, z(t)), g(FPz, Pz(t)), g(Fz, z(t)), g(Fz, Pz(t)), g(Fz, Pz(t))}],$ Which implies that Pz = z = STz.

This means that z is a common fixed point of A,B, S, T, P, Q. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgment: The author is thankful to the referees for giving useful suggestions and comments for the improvement of this paper.

## References

Chang S.S.(1990) "Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued mappings in non-Archimedean Menger probabilistic metric spaces", Math. Japonica 35(5), 875-885

Chang S.S., Kang S. and Huang N.(1991), "Fixed point theorems for mappings in probabilistic metric spaces with applications", J. of Chebgdu Univ. of Sci. and Tech. 57(3), 1-4.

Cho Y.J., Ha H.S. and Chang S.S.(1997) "Common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (A) in non-Archimedean Menger PM-spaces", Math. Japonica 46(1), 169-179.

Chugh R. and Kumar S.(2001) "Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps", Proc. Indian

Page | 38 www.iiste.org

Acad. Sci. 111(2), 241-247.

Hadzic O.(1980) "A note on I. Istratescu's fixed theorem in non-Archimedean Menger Spaces", Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Rep. Soc. Roum. T. 24(72), 277-280,

Jungck G and Peridic(1979), "fixed points and commuting mappings", Proc. Amer Math. Soc. 76, 333-338.

Jungck G. (1986) "Compatible mappings and common fixed points", Internat, J. Math. Sci. 9(4), 771-779.

Jungck G, Murthy P.P. and Cho Y.J.(1993) "Compatible mappings of type(A) and common fixed points", Math.. Japonica 38(2), 381-390.

Jungck G and Rhoades B.E.(1998) "Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity ",ind. J. Pure Appl, Math. 29(3),227-238,.

Menger K.(1942) "Statistical metrices"; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Usa 28, 535-537.

Pant R.P. (1999), "R-weak commutativity and common fixed points", Soochow J. Math. 25(1),37-42.

Sessa S.(1982) "On a weak commutativity condition of Mappings n fixed point considerations", Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd 32(46), 146-153.

Sharma Sushil and Singh Amaedeep (1982) "Common fixed point for weak compatible", Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd 32(46), 146-153.

Sharma Sushil and Deshpande Bhavana (2006) "Discontinuity and weak compatibility in fixed point consideration in noncomplete non-archimedean menger PM-spaces";J. Bangladesh Aca. Sci. 30(2),189-201.

Singh S.L. and Pant B.D..(1988)," Coincidence and fixed point theorems for a family of mappings on Menger spaces and extension to Uniformspaces", math. Japonica 33(6), 957-973.

Shweizer. B. and Sklar, A(1983). "Probabilistic metric spaces"; Elsevier, North Holland.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

