# Interval Valued intuitionistic Fuzzy Homomorphism of BF-algebras

B. Satyanarayana , D.Ramesh and Y Pragathi Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India. E. Mail:<u>drbsn63@yahoo.co.in, : ram.fuzzy@gmail.com</u>, : <u>pragathi.ys@gmail.com</u>

#### Abstract

The notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets was first introduced by Atanassov and Gargov as a generalization of both interval-valued fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Satyanarayana et. al., applied the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals to BF-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy homomorphism of BF-algebras and investigate some interesting properties. **Keywords:** BF-algebras, interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideals

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

For the first time Zadeh (1965) introduced the concept of fuzzy sets and also Zadeh (1975) introduced the concept of an interval-valued fuzzy sets, which is an extension of the concept of fuzzy set. Atanassov and Gargov, 1989 introduced the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, which is a generalization of both intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets. On other hand, Satyanarayana et al., (2012) applied the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals. In this paper we introduce the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy homomorphism of BF-algebras and investigate some interesting properties.

By a BF-algebra we mean an algebra satisfying the axioms:

(1). x \* x = 0,

(2). x \* 0 = x,

(3). 0 \* (x \* y) = y \* x, for all  $x, y \in X$ 

Throughout this paper, X is a BF-algebra.

**Example 1.1** Let R be the set of real number and let A = (R, \*, 0) be the algebra with the operation \* defined by

$$\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}, \text{ if } \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.2** The subset I of X is said to be an ideal of X, if (i)  $0 \in I$  and (ii)  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I \Longrightarrow x \in I$ .

**Definition 1.3** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  of BF-algebra is called a homomorphism if f(x \* y) = f(x) \* f(y), for all  $x, y \in X$ . Note that if f is a homomorphism of BF-algebras, then f(0)=0.

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (shortly IFS) in a non-empty set X is an object having the form  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ , where the function  $\mu_A : X \to [0,1]$  and  $\lambda_A : X \to [0,1]$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of non membership (namely  $\lambda_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$ . For the sake of simplicity we use the symbol form  $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$  or  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ 

By interval number D we mean an interval  $[a^-, a^+]$  where  $0 \le a^- \le a^+ \le 1$ . The set of all closed subintervals of [0, 1] is denoted by D[0, 1]. For interval numbers  $D_1 = [a_1^-, b_1^+]$ ,  $D_2 = [a_2^-, b_2^+]$ . We define

• 
$$\min(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cap D_2 = \min([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+])$$
  
=  $[\min\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \min\{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$ 

•  $\max(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cup D_2 = \max([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+])$ =  $[\max\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \max\{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$  $D_1 + D_2 = [a_1^- + a_2^- - a_1^- . a_2^-, b_1^+ + b_2^+ - b_1^+ . b_2^+]$ 

And put

• 
$$D_1 \le D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- \le a_2^- \text{ and } b_1^+ \le b_2^+$$

• 
$$D_1 = D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- = a_2^- \text{ and } b_1^+ = b_2^+$$
,

• 
$$D_1 < D_2 \Leftrightarrow D_1 \le D_2$$
 and  $D_1 \ne D_2$ 

• 
$$mD = m[a_1^-, b_1^+] = [ma_1^-, mb_1^+], \text{ where } 0 \le m \le 1.$$

Let L be a given nonempty set. An interval-valued fuzzy set B on L is defined by B = {(x, [ $\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)$ ]:x  $\in$  L}, Where  $\mu_B^-(x)$  and  $\mu_B^+(x)$  are fuzzy sets of L such that  $\mu_B^-(x) \leq \mu_B^+(x)$  for all  $x \in$  L. Let  $\tilde{\mu}_B(x) = [\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)]$ , then B = {(x,  $\tilde{\mu}_B(x)$ ):x  $\in$  L} Where  $\tilde{\mu}_B$ :L  $\rightarrow$  D[0, 1].

A mapping  $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A) : L \to D[0, 1] \times D[0, 1]$  is called an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set (i-v IF set, in short) in L if  $0 \le \mu_A^+(x) + \lambda_A^+(x) \le 1$  and  $0 \le \mu_A^-(x) + \lambda_A^-(x) \le 1$  for all  $x \in L$  ( that is,  $A^+ = (X, \mu_A^+, \lambda_A^+)$  and  $A^- = (X, \mu_A^-, \lambda_A^-)$  are intuitionistic fuzzy sets), where the mappings  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] : L \to D[0, 1]$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x) = [\lambda_A^-(x), \lambda_A^+(x)] : L \to D[0, 1]$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ ) and degree of non-membership(namely  $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  of each element  $x \in L$  to A respectively.

## 2. MAIN RESUTS

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Definition 2.1: An interval-valued IFS } A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A) \mbox{ is called interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (shortly i-v IF ideal) of BF-algebra X if it satisfies (i-v IF1) \\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \geq \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \mbox{ and } \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \mbox{ (i-v IF2) } \\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \min \Big\{ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x * y), \\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \Big\} \mbox{ (i-v IF3) } \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \leq \max \Big\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x * y), \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(y) \Big\}, \mbox{ for all } x, y \in X \mbox{ .} \end{array}$ 

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Let A be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set in X defined by  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(0) = \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(1) = [0.6, 0.7]$  and  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(2) = \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(3) = [0.2, 0.3], \ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(0) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(1) = [0.1, 0.2], \ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(2) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(3) = [0.5, 0.7].$  It is easy to verify that A is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

**Definition 2.3** Let  $f: X \to X'$  be a homomorphism of BF-algebras. For any interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (X', \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  in X' we define a new interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A^f = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A^f, \tilde{\lambda}_A^f)$  in X, by  $\tilde{\mu}_A^f(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x))$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_A^f(x) = \tilde{\lambda}_A(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Theorem 2.4 Let X and X' be BF-algebras and f is a homomorphism from X onto X'.

(i). If  $A = (X', \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X', then  $A^f = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A^f, \widetilde{\lambda}_A^f)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

(ii). If  $A^f = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A^f, \widetilde{\lambda}_A^f)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X, then  $A = (X', \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X'.

**Proof:** (i) Suppose  $A = (X', \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X'. For  $x' \in X'$  there exist  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = x', we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{f}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(0), \mu^{+}_{A}(0) \end{bmatrix} & \text{and} & \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}^{f}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(0), \lambda^{+}_{A}(0) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(f(0)), \mu^{+}_{A}(f(0)) \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(f(0)), \lambda^{+}_{A}(f(0)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(0'), \mu^{+}_{A}(0') \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(0'), \lambda^{+}_{A}(0') \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(x'), \mu^{+}_{A}(x') \end{bmatrix} & \leq \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(x'), \lambda^{+}_{A}(x') \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(f(x)), \mu^{+}_{A}(f(x)) \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(f(x)), \lambda^{+}_{A}(f(x)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(x), \mu^{+}_{A}(x) \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(x), \lambda^{+}_{A}(x) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-}_{A}(x), \mu^{+}_{A}(x) \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-}_{A}(x), \lambda^{+}_{A}(x) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{f}(x) & = \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}^{f}(x) \end{split}$$

Let  $x, z \in X, y' \in X'$  then there exists  $y \in X$  such that f(y) = y'. We have  $\widetilde{\mu}_A^f(x) = \widetilde{\mu}_A(f(x))$  $\geq \min{\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(f(x) * y'), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y')\}}$  $= \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(x * y)), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(y))\}$  $= \min \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}^{f} (x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}^{f} (y) \}$ and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}^{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(f(\mathbf{x}))$  $\leq \max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda} (f(x) * y'), \lambda_{\Lambda} (y') \right\}$  $= \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\Delta} (f(x) * f(y)), \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Delta} (f(y))\}$  $= \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda} (f(x * y)), \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda} (f(y))\}$  $= \max \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{\Delta}^{f} (x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Delta}^{f} (y) \}$ Hence  $A^f=(X,\widetilde{\mu}^f_A\,,\widetilde{\lambda}^f_A\,)\,$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . (ii) Since  $f : X \to X'$  is onto, for  $x, y \in X'$  there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that f(a) = x, f(b) = y. Now  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(f(a)) = \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{f}(a) \ge \min \left| \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{f}((a*b), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{f}(b) \right|$  $= \min \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(a*b)), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(b)) \}$  $= \min \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(a) * f(b)), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda} (f(b)) \}$  $=\min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}(x*y),\widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}(y)\}$ and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(f(a)) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}^{f}(a) \le \max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}^{f}(a * b), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}^{f}(b) \right\}$  $= \max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A} (f(a * b)), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A} (f(b)) \right\}$  $= \max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A} (f(a) * f(b)), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A} (f(b)) \right\}$  $= \max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}), \widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{y}) \right\}$ 

Hence  $A = (X', \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal X'.

**Definition 2.5** Let f be a mapping on set X and  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X. Then the i-v fuzzy sets  $\widetilde{u}$  and  $\widetilde{v}$  on f(X) is defined by  $\widetilde{u}(y) = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\widetilde{v}(y) = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  for all

 $y \in f(X) \text{ is called image of } A \text{ under } f \text{ . If } \widetilde{u} \text{ and } \widetilde{v} \text{ are i-v fuzzy sets in } f(X) \text{ , then the fuzzy set} \\ \widetilde{\mu}_A = \widetilde{u} \circ f \text{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_A = \widetilde{v} \circ f \text{ is called the pre-image of } \widetilde{u} \text{ and } \widetilde{v} \text{ respectively under } f.$ 

**Definition 2.6** An i-v IFS  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  in X is said to satisfy the "sup-inf" property if for any sub –set  $T \subseteq X$  there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in T$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x_0) = \sup_{t \in T} \tilde{\mu}_A(t)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_A(y_0) = \inf_{s \in T} \tilde{\lambda}_A(s)$ .

www.iiste.org

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $f: X \to X'$  be onto homomorphism of BF- algebras. If  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X with "sup-inf" property. Then the image of A under f is also an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X'.

**Proof:** For any  $X \in X$  we have  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) \le \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$ .

Suppose  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X with "sup-inf" property. The image of A under f is defined by

$$\widetilde{u}:X'\to [0,1]$$
 by  $\widetilde{u}(y')=\sup_{x\in f^{-1}(y')}\widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$  for all  $y'\in X'$ 

and

$$\widetilde{v}: X' \to [0,1]$$
 by  $\widetilde{v}(y') = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  for all  $y' \in X'$ .

Since  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X. Thus  $\tilde{u}(0') = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \tilde{\mu}_A(t) = \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \ge \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Therefore  $\widetilde{u}(0') \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Further more we have  $\widetilde{u}(x') = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \widetilde{\mu}_A(t)$  for all  $x' \in X'$ .

Hence  $\widetilde{u}(0') \ge \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \widetilde{\mu}_A(t) = \widetilde{u}(x')$ . Therefore  $\widetilde{u}(0') \ge \widetilde{u}(x')$  for all  $x' \in X'$ 

And  $\widetilde{\nu}(0') = \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(t) = \widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) \le \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Therefore  $\widetilde{v}(0') \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Further more we have  $\widetilde{v}(x') = \inf_{\substack{t \in f^{-1}(x')}} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(t)$  for all  $x' \in X'$ . Hence  $\widetilde{v}(0') \leq \inf_{\substack{t \in f^{-1}(x')}} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(t) = \widetilde{v}(x'), \ \forall x' \in X'$ . Thus  $\widetilde{v}(0') \leq \widetilde{v}(x'), \forall x' \in X'$ .

Since f is onto mapping then for any  $x', y' \in X'$ . Since X' = f(X), then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that x' = f(x), y' = f(y). Let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x')$  be such that  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x_0) = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \widetilde{\mu}_A(t)$  and

hence 
$$\widetilde{u}(x') = \widetilde{u}(f(x)) = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(f(x))} \widetilde{\mu}_A(t)$$
  

$$= \widetilde{\mu}_A(x_0)$$

$$\geq \min\{ \widetilde{\mu}_A((x_0 * y)), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$$

$$= \min\{\widetilde{u}(f(x_0 * y)), \widetilde{u}(f(y))\}$$

$$= \min\{\widetilde{u}(f(x_0) * f(y)), \widetilde{u}(f(y))\}$$

$$= \min\{\widetilde{u}(x' * y'), \widetilde{u}(y')\}$$
Therefore  $\widetilde{u}(x') \ge \min\{\widetilde{u}(x' * y'), \widetilde{u}(y')\}$  for all  $x', y' \in X$ .

Let 
$$x_0 \in f^{-1}(x')$$
 be such that  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(x_0) = \inf_{\substack{t \in f^{-1}(x')}} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(t)$ .  
Now  $\widetilde{v}(x') = \widetilde{v}(f(x)) = \inf_{\substack{t \in f^{-1}(f(x))}} \widetilde{\lambda}_A(t)$   
 $= \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x_0)$   
 $\leq \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_A(x_0 * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_A(y)\}$   
 $= \max\{\widetilde{v}(f(x_0 * y)), \widetilde{v}(f(y))\}$   
 $= \max\{\widetilde{v}(f(x_0) * f(y)), \widetilde{v}(f(y))\}$   
 $= \max\{\widetilde{v}(x' * y'), \widetilde{v}(y')\}$  for all  $x', y' \in X$ 

Thus  $A = (X', \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X'.

**Definition 2.8** Let  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X and let  $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta} \in [0, 1]$  be such that  $\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} \leq [1, 1]$ . Then the set  $X_A^{\left(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}\right)} = \left\{ x \in X / \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \ge \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \le \widetilde{\beta} \right\}$  is called an  $\left(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}\right)$ -level sub set of  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$ .

**Theorem 2.9** Let  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X. Then  $X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})}$  is an ideal of X, for every  $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}) \in \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{\mu}_A) \times \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  with  $\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} \leq [1, 1]$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Proof: Let } x \in X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)}, \mbox{ then } x \in X, \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \widetilde{\alpha} \mbox{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \leq \widetilde{\beta} \Rightarrow \\ x \in X, \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \geq \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \widetilde{\alpha} \mbox{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \leq \widetilde{\beta} \ . \ \mbox{Therefore } 0 \in X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)}. \\ \mbox{Let } x, y \in X \mbox{ be such that } x * y \mbox{ and } y \in X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)} \mbox{ then } \widetilde{\mu}_A(x * y) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}, \ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x * y) \leq \widetilde{\beta} \ \mbox{ and } \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}, \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(y) \leq \widetilde{\beta} \ . \ \mbox{It follows from (i-v IF2) and (i-v IF3) that} \\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \min \left\{ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \right\} \geq \min \{ \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\alpha} \} = \widetilde{\alpha} \ \mbox{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \leq \max \{ \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x * y), \lambda_A(y) \} \leq \max \{ \widetilde{\beta}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \} = \widetilde{\beta} \\ \mbox{So that } x \in X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)}. \ \mbox{ Hence } X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)} \ \mbox{ is an ideal of } X. \\ \mbox{Theorem 2.10 Let } A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A) \ \mbox{ be an i-v IFS in } X \ \mbox{ such that } X_A^{\left( \widetilde{\alpha}, \, \widetilde{\beta} \right)} \ \mbox{ is an ideal of } X. \end{array}$ 

 $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}) \in \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{\mu}_{A}) \times \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{\lambda}_{A})$  with  $\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} \leq [1,1]$ , then  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{A})$  is an interval-valued IF ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $A(x) = (\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})$  for all  $x \in X$ , that is,  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) = \widetilde{\beta}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $0 \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})}$ , we have  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \ge \widetilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) \le \widetilde{\beta} = \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be

such that  $A(x * y) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)$  and  $A(y) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2)$ , that is,  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x * y) = \widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x * y) = \widetilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(y) = \widetilde{\alpha}_2$ ,  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(y) = \widetilde{\beta}_2$ . Then  $x * y \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$  and  $y \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2)}$ . We may assume that  $(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1) \leq (\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2)$ , that is,  $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 \leq \widetilde{\alpha}_2$  and  $\widetilde{\beta}_1 \geq \widetilde{\beta}_2$ , with out loss of generality. It follows that  $X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2)} \subseteq X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$ . So that  $x * y \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$  and  $y \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$ . Since  $X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$  is an ideal of X, we have  $x \in X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)}$ . Thus  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}_1 = \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) \leq \widetilde{\beta}_1 = \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_A(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_A(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Consequently  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

Note that: 
$$X_A^{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})} = \left\{ x \in x / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \ge \tilde{\alpha}, \ \tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \le \tilde{\beta} \right\} = \left\{ x \in X / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \right\}$$
 and  $\left\{ x \in X / \tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \right\}$   
= $U(\tilde{\mu}_A; \tilde{\alpha}) \cap L(\tilde{\lambda}_A; \tilde{\beta}).$ 

Hence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.11** Let  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X. Then A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X if and only if  $U(\widetilde{\mu}_A; \widetilde{\alpha})$  and  $L(\widetilde{\lambda}_A; \widetilde{\beta})$  are ideals of X, for every  $\alpha \in [0, \widetilde{\mu}_A(0)]$  and  $\beta \in [\widetilde{\lambda}_A(0), 1]$  with  $\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} \leq [1, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.12** Let  $I \subseteq X$  and  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\alpha}_{0} \text{ if } x \in I \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_{1} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\beta}_{0} \text{ if } x \in I \\ \widetilde{\beta}_{1} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in X$  where  $0 \le \widetilde{\alpha}_0 < \widetilde{\alpha}_1$ ,  $0 \le \widetilde{\beta}_0 < \widetilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\alpha}_i + \widetilde{\beta}_i \le 1$  for i = 0, 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1). A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

(2). I is an ideal of X.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Proof: Assume (1), that is, A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.}\\ \text{Let } x,y \in I. \ \text{Now } \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \geq \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \ \text{and so } \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \ \text{implies } 0 \in I.\\ \text{Let } x,y \in X \ \text{be such that } x \ast y \ \text{and } y \in I. \ \text{We have } \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x \ast y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_0, \widetilde{\alpha}_0\} = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \ \text{and so } x \in I. \ \text{Hence I is an ideal of } X.\\ \text{Assume (2), Let } x \in X \ \text{If } x \in I \ \text{implies } \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \ \text{, since } 0 \in I \ \text{we have } \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \ \text{and so } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) = \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x). \ \text{If } x \notin I \ \text{implies } \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_1 \ \text{and so } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x) = \widetilde{\beta}_1. \ \text{Now } \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 > \widetilde{\alpha}_1 = \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \ \text{and } \widetilde{\lambda}_A(0) = \widetilde{\beta}_0 < \widetilde{\beta}_1 = \widetilde{\lambda}_A(x). \end{aligned}$ 

Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that x \* y and  $y \in X$ . If  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  since I is an ideal of X. We have that  $x \in I$  and so  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{0} = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{0}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{0}\} = \min\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\beta}_{0} = \max\{\widetilde{\beta}_{0}, \widetilde{\beta}_{0}\} = \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$ If  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \notin I \Rightarrow x \notin I$  and so  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{1} = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{0}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{1}\} = \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\beta}_{1} = \max\{\widetilde{\beta}_{0}, \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\} = \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$ If  $x * y \notin I$  and  $y \in I \Rightarrow x \notin I$  and so  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{1} = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{0}\} = \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}$   $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\beta}_{1} = \max\{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}_{0}\} = \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$ If  $x * y \notin I$  and  $y \notin I \Rightarrow x \notin I$  and so  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{1} = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{1}\} = \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}$   $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \widetilde{\beta}_{1} = \max\{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\} = \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$ Therefore  $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) \ge \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) \le \max\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x * y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ Hence  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{A})$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

**Corollary 2.13** Let  $I \subseteq X$  and  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}, & \text{if } x \in I \\ \tilde{0}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}, & \text{if } x \in I \\ \tilde{0}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

(2) I is an ideal of X.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Proposition} & \mbox{2.14} & \mbox{Let} & A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A) & \mbox{be} & \mbox{an} & \mbox{intuitionistic} & \mbox{fuzzy} & \mbox{ideal} & \mbox{of} & X & \mbox{and} \\ (\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1), (\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2) \in Im(\widetilde{\mu}_A) \times Im(\widetilde{\lambda}_A) & \mbox{with} & \widetilde{\alpha}_i + \widetilde{\beta}_i \leq 1 & \mbox{for} i = 1, 2 & \mbox{Then} \\ X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1)} = X_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2)} & \mbox{if and only if} & (\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2) & \mbox{.} \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 2.15** Let  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  be an i-v IFS in X and  $Im(A) = \{(\widetilde{\alpha}_0, \widetilde{\beta}_0), (\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1), ..., (\widetilde{\alpha}_k, \widetilde{\beta}_k)\}$ where  $(\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{\beta}_i) < (\widetilde{\alpha}_j, \widetilde{\beta}_j)$  whenever i > j. Let  $\{G_r / r = 0, 1, 2, ..., k\}$  be family of i-v ideals of X such that  $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset ..., \subset G_k = X$  and  $A(G_r^*) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_r, \widetilde{\beta}_r)$ , that is,  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(G_r^*) = \widetilde{\alpha}_r$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(G_r^*) = \widetilde{\beta}_r$ , where  $G_r^* = G_r \setminus G_{r-1}$  and  $G_{-1} = \phi$  for  $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$ . Then  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

 $\textbf{Proof: Since } 0 \in G_0 \text{ , we have } \widetilde{\mu}_{\Delta}(0) = \widetilde{\alpha}_0 \geq \widetilde{\mu}_{\Delta}(x) \text{ and } \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Delta}(0) = \widetilde{\beta}_0 \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Delta}(x) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ . Let } X \in X \in X \text{ . Let } X \text{ . Let } X \in X \text{ . Let } X \text{ .$  $x, y \in X$ . To prove that  $A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\lambda}_A)$  satisfies the conditions (i - v IF 2) and (i - v IF 3). We discuss the following cases:

If  $x * y \in G_r^*$  and  $y \in G_r^* = G_r \setminus G_{r-1}$  then  $x \in G_r$ , because  $G_r$  is an ideal of X. Thus  $\widetilde{\mu}_{\Delta}(x) \ge \widetilde{\alpha}_{r} = \min\left\{ \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x \ast y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y) \right\}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{\Delta}(x) \le \widetilde{\beta}_{r} = \max\left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x \ast y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y) \right\}$ . If  $x * y \notin G_r^*$  and  $y \notin G_r^*$ , then the following four cases will be arise: 1.  $x * y \in X \setminus G_r$  and  $y \in X \setminus G_r$ , 2.  $x * y \in G_{r-1}$  and  $y \in G_{r-1}$ , 3.  $x * y \in X \setminus G_r$  and  $y \in G_{r-1}$ , 4.  $x * y \in G_{r-1}$  and  $y \in X \setminus G_r$ But, in either case, we know that  $\widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}(x) \ge \min \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}(x*y), \widetilde{\mu}_{\Lambda}(y) \} \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda}(x) \le \max \{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda}(x*y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{\Lambda}(y) \}$ If  $x * y \in G_r^*$  and  $y \notin G_r^*$  that either  $y \in G_{r-1}$  or  $y \in X \setminus G_r$ . It follows that either  $x \in G_{r}(or) x \in X \setminus G_{r}$ Thus  $\lim_{x \to 0} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) \ge \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x*y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\}$ and

$$\lambda_{A}(x) \le \max\{\lambda_{A}(x * y), \lambda_{A}(y)\}$$

If  $x * y \notin G_r^*$  and  $y \in G_r^*$ , then by similar processes, we have  $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \ge \min\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x*y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$  and  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x) \leq max \left\{ \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(x*y), \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}(y) \right\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad \text{Thus } A = (X, \widetilde{\mu}_{A}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{A}) \text{ is an interval-valued} \right\}$ 

intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

## References

Atanassov. K. T, 1986. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets an Systems, 20: 87-96

Antanassov. K. T, 1994. New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 61:137-142

Atanassov, K.T. and G. Gargov, 1989. Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 31: 343-349.

K. Iseki, K. and T. Shotaro, 1978. An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon, 23:1-26.

Iseki. K and T. Shotaro., 1976. Ideal theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica, 21:351-366.

Jianming. Z and T. Zhisong., 2003. Characterizations of doubt fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 29: 290-293.

Jun. Y. B and K. H. Kim, 2000. Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of BCK-algebras, Internat J. Math. Sci., 24:839-849.

Satyanarayana. B, D. Ramesh, M. V. Vijayakumar and R. Durga Prasad, 2010. On fuzzy ideal in BF-algebras, International j. Math. Sci. Engg. Apple., 4: 263-274.

Satyanarayana. B, M.V.Vijaya Kumar, D. Ramesh and R. Durga Prasad., 2012. Interval-valued Intuitionistic fuzzy BF-subalgebras, Acta Cienceia Indica, Vol. XXXVIII M, 4: 637-648.

Satyanarayana. B, D. Ramesh and R. Durga Prasad, 2012. On Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of BFalgebras, J. Comp. & Math. Sci. Vol.3, 1: 83-96.

Zadeh. L. A, 1965. Fuzzy sets, Informatiuon Control, 8: 338-353.

Zadeh, L.A., 1975. The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, Information Sciences, 8: 199-249.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

# CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## **MORE RESOURCES**

Book publication information: <u>http://www.iiste.org/book/</u>

Recent conferences: <u>http://www.iiste.org/conference/</u>

# **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

