

# Reliability Estimation for a Component Exposed Two, Three Independent Stresses Based on Weibull and InversLindley Distribution

Asst.Prof.Dr. Nada S. Karam and Hawraa G. Ramk  
Mathematical Department/College of Education/AL-Mustanseriya University/Iraq

## Abstract

The reliability function for a component which has strength independently exposed two stresses ; $R_1$ , also when exposed three stresses;  $R_2$  using Weibull distribution with unknown scale and known shape parameters ,and using Invers Lindley distribution . Estimate the reliability  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  for Weibull distribution by four methods (MLE,MOM,LSE and WLSE) and also in the numerical simulation study a comparison between the four estimates by MES ,MAPE are introduced.

**Keywords:** Weibull , Invers Lindley distribution , stress-strength, reliability estimation, MLE, MOM , LSE and WLS estimation.

## 1. Introduction

Acquire term stress especially in the contemporary human life the importance ahead of the second half of the twentieth century, we are all exposed in our daily lives to pressures or stresses constant psychological and variable On the other hand We do not have, enough strength or durability (Strength) to overcome these stresses or psychological stress, from this point has become a term stress - durability subject of study and research in the it humanities and psychology and genetics by trying to researchers give an explanation of the nature of the relationship between stress and the ability to afford it(AL- Badran 2014).a component which has strength independently exposed to two stresses studied by Hanagal & Karaday et al (Hanagal 1999), (Karaday et 2011).

The Weibull distribution is attributed to the Swedish physicist Waloddi Weibull which is derived and used this distribution in (1939) to study the properties of the industrially produced number (Ghanim 2015) it's also considered as one of the distributions that applied in many fields such as industrial engineering to represent replaced and manufacturing time (Laazm 2011)

The cdf of  $W(\theta, \alpha)$ is :  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha}}$   $x > 0; \theta, \alpha > 0$  Where  $\theta, \alpha$  are shape and scal parameters respectively. Its PDF is: $f(x) = \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha}}$   $x > 0; \theta, \alpha > 0$ .

The inverse Lindely is continuous distribution considering the fact that all inverse distribution possess the upside-down bathtub shape for their hazard rates, we ,in this article,proposed a inverted version of the Lindely distribution that can be effectively used to model the upside- down bathtub shape hazard rates data. if random variable Y has a Lindely distribution  $LD(\tau)$ ,then the random variable  $X=(1/Y)$  is said to be follow the inverse Lindely distribution having a scale parameter with its probability density function (Pdf), denoted by $f(x) = \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left( \frac{1+x}{x^3} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{x}}$ ;  $x > 0, \tau > 0$  andthe cumulative distribution function, cdf is:  $F(x) = \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{1+\tau} \frac{1}{x} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{x}}$   $x > 0, \tau > 0$  (Sharma et al 2014).

The main aim of this article is to discuss the derivation of the mathematical formula of reliability in case component has one strength and exposed two independent stress  $R_1$ , for weibull, inverse Lindely distribution also when case component has one strength its exposed three independent stress  $R_2$  for weibull, inverse Lindely distribution then estimation  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  for weibull distribution by using MLE,MOM,LSE and WLSE methods, and comparison among the results of the estimation methods by using mean square error (MSE) and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), that will get from a simulation study.

## 2.Two Stress- one Strength Component Reliability

when a component exposed to two independent  $Y_i, i=1,2$  stresses then the stress-strength reliabilit is  $R_1 = P(\max(Y_1, Y_2) < X)$ ,in section we will find Theoretical Expression of  $R_1$  for weibull and inverse Lindely distribution.

## 2.1 For weibull distribution

Let the strength random variable of the component represented by  $X$  as a  $W(\theta, \alpha)$ , and the component subjected to two stress random variables are represented by  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  following weibull distribution with the parameters  $W(\theta, \alpha_i); i = 1, 2$ . Probability density functions (pdf) and cumulative distribution functions cdf of the random variables are given as:

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha}} \quad x > 0; \theta, \alpha > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$F_1(y_1) = 1 - e^{-\frac{y_1^\theta}{\alpha_1}} \quad y_1 \geq 0, \theta, \alpha_1 > 0 \quad (2)$$

$$F_2(y_2) = 1 - e^{-\frac{y_2^\theta}{\alpha_2}} \quad y_2 \geq 0, \theta, \alpha_2 > 0 \quad (3)$$

Hence, the model reliability of such a component,  $R_1$ , is given by

$$R_1 = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \int_{y_1=0}^{\infty} \int_{y_2=0}^{\infty} f(y_1, y_2, x) dy_1 dy_2 dx$$

Since the r. vs are non- identical independently distributed, then:

$$R_1 = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} F_{1y_1}(x) F_{2y_2}(x) f(x) dx \quad (4)$$

the stress- strength reliability  $R_{1w}$  of weibull can be obtained by substitution (1), (2) and(3) in (4), as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1w} &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha_1}}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha_2}}\right) \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha}} \\ &= 1 - \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha})x^\theta} dx - \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha})x^\theta} dx + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha})x^\theta} dx \end{aligned}$$

By transformation:, we get the final Expression of  $R_1$  as:

$$R_{1w} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 + \alpha} + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_2 \alpha + \alpha_1 \alpha + \alpha_1 \alpha_2} \quad (5)$$

## 2.2 For Inverse Lindely distribution

Let  $X$  the strength random variable of inverse Lindely with parameter  $(\tau)$ , and  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  the stress random variables following inverse Lindely with the parameters  $(\tau_i)$ ;  $i = 1, 2$ . the Probability density functions (pdf) and cumulative distribution functions cdf of the random variables are given

$$f(x) = \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left(\frac{1+x}{x^3}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{x}}; x > 0, \tau > 0 \quad (6)$$

$$F_1(y_1) = \left(1 + \frac{\tau_1}{1+\tau_1} \frac{1}{y_1}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_1}{y_1}}, y_1 > 0, \tau_1 > 0 \quad (7)$$

$$F_2(y_2) = \left(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{1+\tau_2} \frac{1}{y_2}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_2}{y_2}}, y_2 > 0, \tau_2 > 0 \quad (8)$$

the stress- strength reliability  $R_{1ILD}$  of Invers Lindley can be obtained by substitution (6) , (7) and(8) in (4), as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1IL} &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\tau_1}{1+\tau_1} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_1}{x}} \right] \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{1+\tau_2} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_2}{x}} \right] \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left(\frac{1+x}{x^3}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{x}} dx \\ &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left(\frac{1+x}{x^3}\right) e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} dx \\ &\quad \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} dx + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} + \frac{\tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let, } \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left(\frac{1+x}{x^3}\right) e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} = \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \frac{1+(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2}$$

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} = \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} \right]$$

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} = \frac{\tau_1 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2} \right]$$

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \frac{1}{x} \frac{(1+x)}{x^3} e^{-\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{x}} = \frac{\tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2} \right]$$

then by transformation, we get the reliability  $R_{1ILD}$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1ILD} &= \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \frac{1+(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2} + \frac{\tau_1 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2} \right] + \frac{\tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^2} \right] + \\ &\quad \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau)^3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

## 3.Three Stress- one Strength Component Reliability

when a component exposed to three independent  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  stresses we get the stress-strength reliability as

$$R_2 = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} F_{1y_1}(x) F_{2y_2}(x) F_{3y_3}(x) f(x) dx \quad (9)$$

in section we will find Theoretical Expression of  $R_2$  for weibull and inverse Lindely distribution.

## 3.1 For weibull distribution

When the component have strength X of weibull distribution its exposed to three independent stress  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  following weibull distribution with the parameters  $(\theta, \alpha_i)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, 3$  then Probability density functions (pdf) and cumulative distribution functions cdf of the random variables are given in(1),(2),(3) and  $F_3(y_3) = 1 - e^{-\frac{y_3^\theta}{\alpha_3}}$   $y_3 \geq 0, \theta, \alpha_3 > 0$  (10)

the stress- strength reliability  $R_{2w}$  of weibull can be obtained by substitution (1) , (2 ),( 3)and(10) in (9), as:

$$R_{2w} = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha_1}}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha_2}}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha_3}}\right) \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\frac{x^\theta}{\alpha}} dx$$

$$= - \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx - \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx -$$

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx -$$

$$- \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{\alpha} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)x^\theta} dx$$

Finally the  $R_{2w}$  can be expressed as:

$$R_{2w} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha+\alpha_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha+\alpha_2} - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha+\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{\alpha_2\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_3}{\alpha_3\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_2\alpha_3}{\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} - \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \quad (11)$$

### 3.2 For Inverse Lindely distribution

when the component have strength X of inverse Lindely distribution its exposed to three independent stress  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  following inverse Lindely distribution with the parameters  $(\theta, \alpha_i)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, 3$  then Probability density functions (pdf) and cumulative distribution functions cdf of the random variables are given in(6),(7),(8) and  $F_3(x) = \left(1 + \frac{\tau_3}{1+\tau_3} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_3}{x}}$  ;  $x > 0, \tau_3 > 0$  (12)

the stress- strength reliability  $R_{2ILD}$  of Invers Lindley can be obtained by substitution(6), (7),( 8)and(12) in (9), as:

$$R_{2ILD} = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\tau_1}{1+\tau_1} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_1}{x}} \right] \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{1+\tau_2} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_2}{x}} \right] \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\tau_3}{1+\tau_3} \frac{1}{x}\right) e^{-\frac{\tau_3}{x}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \left( \frac{1+x}{x^3} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{x}} dx$$

$$R_{2ILD} = \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{1+x}{x^3} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx + \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx$$

$$+ \frac{\tau_1\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx + \frac{\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx$$

$$+ \frac{\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} + \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-6} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx$$

$$+ \frac{\tau_1\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx + \frac{\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx$$

$$\text{Let, } \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{1+x}{x^3} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \frac{1+(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2}$$

$$\frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_1\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau_1\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-4} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} = \frac{\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-6} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_5}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^5} + \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_1\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau_1\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} \right]$$

$$\frac{\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (1+x)x^{-5} e^{-\frac{-(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{x}} dx = \frac{\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right]$$

Finally the  $R_{2ILD}$ can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{2ILD} = & \frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau} \frac{1+(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} + \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} \right] + \\
 & \frac{\tau_1\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right] + \frac{\tau_2\tau^2}{(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right] + \frac{\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \\
 & \left[ \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^2} \right] + \frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_2)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \\
 & \left[ \frac{\Gamma_5}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^5} + \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} \right] + \frac{\tau_1\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} + \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} \right] + \frac{\tau_2\tau_3\tau^2}{(1+\tau_1)(1+\tau_3)(1+\tau)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_4}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^4} + \right. \\
 & \left. \frac{\Gamma_3}{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3+\tau)^3} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.Different method of estimation for weibull distribution

The unknown scale parameters of  $R_{1W}$  and  $R_{2W}$  for WD have been estimated by four methods of estimation; ML, MOM ,LS and WLS.

##### 4.1 Maximum likelihood function (MLE)

The term maximum likelihood refers to a method of estimating parameters of a population from a random sample. It is applied when we know the general form of distribution of the population but when one or more parameters of this distribution are unknown. The method consists in choosing an estimator of unknown parameter whose values maximize the probability of obtaining the observed sample(Alwan 2015).let  $x_1, x_2 \dots, x_n$  strength random sample of size n from  $W(\theta, \alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is unknown parameter and where  $\theta$  is known then the likelihood function using equation (1) as:-

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta, \alpha) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\theta}{\alpha}}$$

The partial derivative of log-likelihood function with respect to  $\alpha$  is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\theta}{\alpha^2} \quad (13)$$

Then by simplification equations (13), the ML's estimator for the unknown shape parameters  $\alpha$   $\hat{\alpha}_{(MLE)}$  is given by:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{(MLE)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\theta}{n}$$

In the same way, let  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  stress random variable have  $W(\theta, \alpha_1), W(\theta, \alpha_2)W(\theta, \alpha_3)$ , with sample size  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  respectively and the ML estimator of unknown parameters  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)} &= \frac{\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} y_{j_1}^\theta}{n_1} \\
 \hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)} &= \frac{\sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} y_{j_2}^\theta}{n_2} \\
 \hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)} &= \frac{\sum_{j_3=1}^{n_3} y_{j_3}^\theta}{n_3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)}$  the MLestimator of  $R_{1W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{1W(ML)}$  is obtained by substitute  $\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)}, \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}$  in and  $\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}$  equation(5) (by the invariant property of this method) as:

$$\hat{R}_{1W(ML)} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(ML)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(ML)}} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}}$$

the MLestimator of  $R_{2W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{2W(ML)}$ is obtained by substitute  $\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)}, \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}, \hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}$ in equation(11) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{R}_{2W} = & 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}} + \\
 & \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}} - \\
 & \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{(ML)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{2(ML)}\hat{\alpha}_{3(ML)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

##### 4.2 Moment method (MOM)

The method of moments is used for estimating the parameter distribution from a sample. The method is further developed and studied by Chuprov (1874–1926), Thiele, Thorvald Nicolai, Fisher, Ronald Aylmer, and Pearson, Karl, among others (Alwan 2015) since the strength X is weibull random variables with  $(\theta, \alpha)$ and the stresses  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are weibull variables with  $(\theta, \alpha_1), (\theta, \alpha_2), (\theta, \alpha_3)$  respectively then their population means are given by :

$$E(x) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1+\theta}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_1) &= \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})} \\ E(y_2) &= \alpha_2^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})} \\ E(y_3) &= \alpha_3^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})} \end{aligned}$$

according to the method of moment ,equating the samples means with the corresponding populations mean ,then the moments estimator of  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  denoted by  $\hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)}, \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)}, \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}, \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}$  respectively, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} &= \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{\Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})}} \right)^{\theta} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} &= \left( \frac{\bar{y}_1}{\Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})}} \right)^{\theta} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} &= \left( \frac{\bar{y}_2}{\Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})}} \right)^{\theta} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)} &= \left( \frac{\bar{y}_3}{\Gamma_{(1+\frac{1}{\theta})}} \right)^{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

the MOM of  $R_{1W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{1W(MOM)}$  is given by replacing the MOM parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(5) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{1W(MOM)} &= 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}} \end{aligned}$$

the MOM of  $R_{2W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{2W(MOM)}$  is given by replacing the MOM parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(11) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{2W} &= 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}} \\ &- \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{(MOM)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(MOM)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(MOM)}} \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.3 Least Square Method (LS)

The least square method estimator is very popular for model fitting, especially in linear and non-linear regression. (Hassan & Basheikh 2012)The least square method estimator can be produce by minimizing the sum of square error between the value and its expected value, (Ali 2013) The least square of the location and scale parameters of Weibull distribution suggested by Swain et al. (1988)are found by minimizing the following equation: (Kantar& Senoglu 2008)

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n [F(X_{(i)}) - E(F(X_{(i)}))]^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Where } E(F(X_{(i)})) \text{ equal to } P_i \text{ the plotting position, where } P_i = \frac{i}{n+1} \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (15)$$

We can use the least square method for the parameters of the WD by minimizing equation (15) with respect to the unknown parameter  $\alpha$  of strength random sample  $X \sim W(\theta, \alpha)$  with sample size n.

By taking natural logarithm to  $(1 - P_i) = e^{-\alpha x^\theta}$

, we get:

Where  $P_i$  plotting position (15), then equating to zero, we obtain:-

$$\ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x^\theta}{\alpha} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Substitution (16) in (14), we get:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x^\theta}{\alpha} \right]^2 \quad (17)$$

Deriving (17) with respect to the unknown shape parameter  $\alpha$  and equating the result to zero, we will get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha} &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left[ \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x^\theta}{\alpha} \right] \frac{-x^\theta}{\alpha^2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left[ \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x^\theta}{\alpha} \right] \frac{-x^\theta}{\alpha^2} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By solving the equation (18), we get:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_{(i)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{(i)}^{\theta} \ln(1-p_i)}$$

In the same way, we will estimate the unknown parameter  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  for the stresses random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  of WD with sample size  $n_1, n_2, n_3$ , we will obtain:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} = \frac{-\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} y_{1(j_1)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} y_{1(j_1)}^{\theta} \ln(1-P_{j_1})}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} = \frac{-\sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} y_{2(j_2)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} y_{2(j_2)}^{\theta} \ln(1-P_{j_2})}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)} = \frac{-\sum_{j_3=1}^{n_3} y_{3(j_3)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_3=1}^{n_3} y_{3(j_3)}^{\theta} \ln(1-P_{j_3})}$$

$$\text{where } P_{j_1} = \frac{j_1}{n_1+1}, j_1 = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$$

$$\frac{j_2}{n_2+1}, j_2 = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$$

$$\text{and } P_{j_3} = \frac{j_3}{n_3+1}, j_3 = 1, 2, \dots, n_3$$

$$(19) \quad P_{j_2} =$$

$$(20)$$

$$(21)$$

and the approximated LS of  $R_{1W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{1W(LS)}$  is given by replacing the LS parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(5) as:

$$\hat{R}_{1W(LS)} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)}} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}}$$

the LS of  $R_{2W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{2W(LS)}$  is given by replacing the LS parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(11) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{2W(LS)} &= 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}} \\ &- \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} + \hat{\alpha}_{1(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{2(LS)} \hat{\alpha}_{3(LS)}} \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 Weighted Least Square Method (WLS)

The weighted least squares estimators can be obtained by minimizing the following equation. (Karam& Jani 2015)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i [F(x_{(i)}) - E(F(x_{(i)}))]^2 \quad (22)$$

$$w_i = \frac{1}{Var[F(x_{(i)})]} = \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)}{i(n-i+1)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (23)$$

with respect to the unknown parameter  $\alpha$  of strength random variable  $X \sim W(\theta, \alpha)$  with sample size n.

By substitution (16) in (22), we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left[ \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x_{(i)}^{\theta}}{\alpha} \right]^2 = 0 \quad (24)$$

By taking the partial derivative to the equation (24) with respect to  $\alpha$ , and simplify the result we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n 2w_i \left[ \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{x_{(i)}^{\theta}}{\alpha} \right] \frac{-x_{(i)}^{\theta}}{\alpha^2} &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)}^{\theta} \ln(1 - P_i) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)}^{2\theta} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then, by solving the equation (25), we get:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{(LS)} = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)}^{\theta} \ln(1-p_i)}$$

Where  $P_i$  as in (15) and  $w_i$  as in (23)

In the same way, we will estimate the unknown parameter,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  for the stresses random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  of WD with sample size  $n_1, n_2, n_3$ , we will obtain:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{1(WLS)} = \frac{-\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} w_{j_1} y_{1(j_1)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} w_{j_1} y_{1(j_1)}^{\theta} \ln(1-P_{j_1})}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{2(WLS)} = \frac{-\sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} w_{j_2} y_{2(j_2)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} w_{j_2} y_{2(j_2)}^{\theta} \ln(1-P_{j_2})}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS) = \frac{-\sum_{j_3=1}^{n_3} w_{j_3} y_{3(j_3)}^{2\theta}}{\sum_{j_3=1}^{n_3} w_{j_3} y_{3(j_3)}^{\theta \ln(1-P_{j_3})}}$$

Where  $P_{j_1}, P_{j_2}, P_{j_3}$  as in (19),(20),(21) respectively

$$\text{and } w_{j_1} = \frac{1}{Var[F(y_{1(i)})]} = \frac{(n_1+1)^2(n_1+2)}{j_1(n_1-j_1+1)}, j_1 = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$$

$$w_{j_2} = \frac{1}{Var[F(y_{2(i)})]} = \frac{(n_2+1)^2(n_2+2)}{j_2(n_2-j_2+1)}, j_2 = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$$

$$w_{j_3} = \frac{1}{Var[F(y_{3(i)})]} = \frac{(n_3+1)^2(n_3+2)}{j_3(n_3-j_3+1)}, j_3 = 1, 2, \dots, n_3$$

and the approximated WLS of  $R_{1W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{1W(WLS)}$  is given by replacing the WLS parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(5) as:

$$\hat{R}_{1W(WLS)} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}(WLS)} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}(WLS)} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)}$$

the WLS of  $R_{2W}$  say  $\hat{R}_{2W(WLS)}$  is given by replacing the WLS parameters estimators instead of the parameters in equation(11) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{2W(WLS)} = & 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)} \\ & + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)} \\ & + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)} \\ & + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)} \\ & - \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)}{\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}(WLS) + \hat{\alpha}_1(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_2(WLS)\hat{\alpha}_3(WLS)} \end{aligned}$$

## 5.Simulation study

In this section ,Monte Carlo simulation is performed to compare the performances of the ML, MOM ,LS and WLS estimators for  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  (based on 10000 replication).

It made by assuming three cases of  $R_1$  , say[(2.2, 1.9, 1.5), (1.4, 1.2, 0.9), (1.7, 2.3, 1.5)] , three cases of  $R_2$ , say[(2.2, 1.2,1.3,0.5), (2.3, 1.4,1.7, 0.6), (2.2,1.3,1.4, 0.4)]

for different sample sizes.

in tables(3),(4), (5),(6) (7)and(8)below we have observed that:-

1- From the tables(3),(4)and(5)below, for  $R_1 = 0.4071, 0.4158, 0.3044$  we get:

- ❖ the MSE value decreasing by increasing sample size for MLE, MOM, LS, and WLS estimators. The best MSE value is LS estimator, followed by WLS, MOM and MLE.
- ❖ the MAPE value decreasing by increasing sample size for MLE, MOM, LS, and WLS estimators. The best MAPE value is LS estimator, followed by WLS, MOM and MLE.

2-From the tables(6),(7)and(8)below, for  $R_2 = 0.6853, 0.6682, 0.6510$  we get:

- ❖ the MSE value decreasing by increasing sample size for MLE, MOM, LS, and WLS estimators. The best MSE value is WLS estimator, followed by MOM, MLE and LS.
- ❖ the MAPE value decreasing by increasing sample size for MLE, MOM, LS, and WLS estimators. The best MAPE value is WLS estimator, followed by MOM, MLE and LS.

## 6.Conclusion

The performance LS was the best, followed by WLS, MOM and MLE for all sample sizes, as in the table below.

**Table (1):** The best estimation method of MSE and MAPE of W for  $R_1$ .

Method	MLE	MOM	LS	WLS	Best
Sample size					
All sample size	4	3	1	2	LS

The performance WLS was the best, followed by MOM, MLE and LS for all sample sizes, as in the table below.

**Table (2):** The best estimation method of MSE and MAPE of W for  $R_2$ .

Method	MLE	MOM	LS	WLS	Best
Sample size					
All sample size	3	2	4	1	WLS

## Reference

البداران, فراس منذر جاسم (2014). "تقدير دالة المعرفة لانموذج الاجهاد والمتناء لتوزيع ليندلي (دراسة مقارنة مع تطبيق عملي)", رسالة ماجستير , كلية الادارة والاقتصاد , الجامعة المستنصرية .

لازم, جاسم حسن(2011)." مقارنة طرائق بيز مع طرائق اخرى لتقدير معلمه القیاس لتوزيع ويبل باستخدام المحاكاة", مجله العلوم الاقتصاديه والاداريه , جامعة بغداد , Issue.63,Vol.17 . ISSN: 2227703x ,PP. 303-322 .

Ali, H.M.(2013)," Comparison of some methods to estimate some of stress – strength Lomax models" *M.SC. Thesis*, AL-Mustansiriya University.

Alwan ,S.S.(2015) , " Bayes and Empirical Bayes Estimators for Lomax Distribution" , *M.SC. Thesis*, Baghdad University.

Ghanim ,A.H.(2013) , " Estimation Of P(X<Y) For Different Densities Of Weibull And Gamma" , *M.SC. Thesis*, AL-Mustansiriya University.

Hangal ,D.D.(1999),"ESTIMATIONOFRELIABILITYOF A COMPOENT SUBJECTES TOBIVARIATE EXPONENTIAL STRESS",Vol.40, Issue.2,PP.211-220.

Hassan,A.S.&Basheikh ,H.M.(2012)," Reliability Estimation of stresses-strength model with Non-Identical Component strengths:The Exponentiated Pareto case", IJERA.Vol.2, Issue 3,pp.2774 - 2781 ,ISSN:2248-9622.

Karaday,N.,Saracoglu B. & Pekgor A., (2011) , "Stress- strength reliability its estimationfor a which is Exposed two independent stresses", Slcuk J. Appl. Math. Special Issue. 131-135.

Karam, N.S. & Jani,H.H.(2015)," Reliability Estimation in Multi-Component Stress-Strength Based on Burr- III Distribution" ,Mathematical Theory and Modeling,vol.5,No.11,ISSN:(2225-0522).

Kantar,Y.M.,Senoglu, B.(2008)," for A comparative study the location and scale parameters of the Weibull distribution with given shape parameter", Computers & Geosciences.

Sharma , V . K , Singh , S . K , Singh , U&agiwal ,V . (2014) , " THE INVERSE LINDLEY DISTRIBUTION : ASTRESS - STRENGTH RELIABILITY MODEL" Department of Statistics and DST-CIMS,Banaras ,Hindu, University Varanasi-221005,India.

**Table (3):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_1 = 0.4071$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (2.2, 1.9, 1.5, 1.6)$ ,  $(2.2, 1.9, 1.5, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
$(n, n_1, n_2)$		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.4330	0.4330	0.3615	0.3485	-
	MSE	0.0282	0.0272	0.0052	0.0067	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3391	0.3332	0.1461	0.1675	<b>LS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.4441	0.4422	0.3343	0.3281	-
	MSE	0.0178	0.0169	0.0072	0.0084	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2662	0.2591	0.1844	0.1993	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.4450	0.4453	0.3626	0.3488	-
	MSE	0.0106	0.0101	0.0031	0.0049	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2056	0.2002	0.1177	0.1498	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.4107	0.4738	0.3506	0.3364	-
	MSE	0.0091	0.0130	0.0044	0.0066	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1889	0.2286	0.1434	0.1777	<b>LS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.4475	0.4470	0.3378	0.3300	-
	MSE	0.0068	0.0064	0.0055	0.0070	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1623	0.1578	0.1704	0.1903	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.4486	0.4482	0.3416	0.3357	-
	MSE	0.0055	0.0052	0.0048	0.0060	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1479	0.1438	0.1611	0.1765	<b>MOM</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.4634	0.4697	0.2988	0.3085	-
	MSE	0.0606	0.0552	0.0163	0.0144	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.5095	0.4852	0.2765	0.2567	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.4872	0.4839	0.2563	0.2589	-
	MSE	0.0448	0.0382	0.0255	0.0248	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.4298	0.3955	0.3708	0.3644	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.4919	0.4964	0.2974	0.3086	-
	MSE	0.0318	0.0270	0.0139	0.0119	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.3597	0.3328	0.2699	0.2438	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.4938	0.4935	0.2703	0.2758	-
	MSE	0.0333	0.0277	0.0206	0.0194	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.3684	0.3370	0.3361	0.3228	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.5034	0.5032	0.2669	0.2707	-
	MSE	0.0237	0.0197	0.0208	0.0201	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.3102	0.2854	0.3444	0.3350	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.5046	0.5037	0.2718	0.2743	-
	MSE	0.0208	0.0173	0.0192	0.0190	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.2917	0.2700	0.3322	0.3261	<b>MOM</b>

**Table (4):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_1 = 0.4158$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1.4, 1.2, 0.9, 1.6), (1.4, 1.2, 0.9, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
<b><math>(n, n_1, n_2)</math></b>		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.4446	0.4459	0.3650	0.3519	-
	MSE	0.0285	0.0275	0.0058	0.0073	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3338	0.3281	0.1506	0.1732	<b>LS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.4560	0.4542	0.3380	0.3317	-
	MSE	0.0180	0.0171	0.0080	0.0093	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2618	0.2549	0.1913	0.2064	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.4576	0.4579	0.3662	0.3522	-
	MSE	0.0109	0.0104	0.0036	0.0056	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2043	0.1993	0.1255	0.1578	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.4249	0.4848	0.3543	0.3399	-
	MSE	0.0092	0.0133	0.0050	0.0073	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1865	0.2268	0.1514	0.1855	<b>LS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.4624	0.4618	0.3422	0.3339	-
	MSE	0.0072	0.0068	0.0061	0.0078	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1650	0.1603	0.1773	0.1975	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.4619	0.4614	0.3456	0.3393	-
	MSE	0.0059	0.0056	0.0055	0.0068	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1509	0.1471	0.1690	0.1846	<b>MOM</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.4779	0.4846	0.2944	0.3039	-
	MSE	0.0614	0.0561	0.0193	0.0172	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.5029	0.4795	0.2990	0.2789	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.5020	0.4996	0.2518	0.2544	-
	MSE	0.0454	0.0389	0.0296	0.0289	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.4243	0.3918	0.3945	0.3885	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.5085	0.5133	0.2929	0.3050	-
	MSE	0.0331	0.0285	0.0170	0.0145	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.3611	0.3355	0.2959	0.2672	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.3691	0.4717	0.2933	0.3035	-
	MSE	0.0215	0.0210	0.0165	0.0144	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2894	0.2860	0.2946	0.2703	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.5161	0.5170	0.2631	0.2664	-
	MSE	0.0242	0.0205	0.0245	0.0238	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.3092	0.2878	0.3672	0.3593	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.5213	0.5214	0.2674	0.2700	-
	MSE	0.0222	0.0190	0.0229	0.0226	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.2980	0.2805	0.3569	0.3507	<b>MOM</b>

**Table (5):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_1 = 0.3044$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1.7, 2.3, 1.5, 1.6), (1.7, 2.3, 1.5, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
<b><math>(n, n_1, n_2)</math></b>		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.2886	0.2890	0.3220	0.3093	-
	MSE	0.0222	0.0213	0.0033	0.0030	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.4026	0.3947	0.1482	0.1434	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.2901	0.2880	0.2965	0.2901	-
	MSE	0.0131	0.0125	0.0018	0.0022	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3090	0.3011	0.1131	0.1236	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.2786	0.2379	0.3091	0.3029	-
	MSE	0.0016	0.0053	0.0002	0.0004	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1090	0.2201	0.0416	0.0548	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.2349	0.2872	0.3060	0.2895	-
	MSE	0.0106	0.0069	0.0009	0.0015	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2868	0.2213	0.0823	0.1016	<b>LS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.2861	0.2852	0.3001	0.2920	-
	MSE	0.0043	0.0040	0.0006	0.0012	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1742	0.1685	0.0673	0.0901	<b>LS</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.2856	0.2849	0.3032	0.2972	-
	MSE	0.0034	0.0031	0.0004	0.0009	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1532	0.1484	0.0573	0.0792	<b>LS</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.2702	0.2690	0.3451	0.3547	-
	MSE	0.0441	0.0392	0.0067	0.0077	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.5846	0.5496	0.2142	0.2301	<b>LS</b>
<b>(20,20,10)</b>	Mean	0.2697	0.2611	0.2987	0.3007	-
	MSE	0.0295	0.0248	0.0032	0.0033	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.4737	0.4351	0.1485	0.1514	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,35)</b>	Mean	0.2593	0.2573	0.3444	0.3554	-
	MSE	0.0196	0.0155	0.0037	0.0050	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3846	0.3415	0.1627	0.1921	<b>LS</b>
<b>(35,35,20)</b>	Mean	0.2519	0.2844	0.3056	0.3162	-
	MSE	0.0203	0.0148	0.0021	0.0026	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3919	0.3280	0.1193	0.1326	<b>LS</b>
<b>(75,75,35)</b>	Mean	0.2584	0.2545	0.3110	0.3135	-
	MSE	0.0122	0.0096	0.0014	0.0018	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.3011	0.2680	0.0957	0.1088	<b>LS</b>
<b>(100,100,50)</b>	Mean	0.2560	0.2530	0.3167	0.3185	-
	MSE	0.0102	0.0082	0.0012	0.0017	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.2754	0.2461	0.0906	0.1073	<b>LS</b>

**Table (6):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_2 = 0.6853$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (2.2, 1.2, 1.3, 0.5, 1.6), (2.2, 1.2, 1.3, 0.5, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
<b><math>(n, n_1, n_2, n_3)</math></b>		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.7789	0.6222	0.6235	0.6274	-
	MSE	0.0088	0.0040	0.0038	0.0034	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.1367	0.0921	0.0901	0.0845	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.6045	0.6225	0.5954	0.5956	-
	MSE	0.0065	0.0039	0.0081	0.0080	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1179	0.0916	0.1312	0.1308	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.6772	0.6202	0.6037	0.6064	-
	MSE	0.0001	0.0042	0.0067	0.0062	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0119	0.0949	0.1191	0.1151	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.7762	0.6226	0.5807	0.5848	-
	MSE	0.0083	0.0039	0.0109	0.0101	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1327	0.0915	0.1526	0.1466	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.6493	0.6233	0.5630	0.5652	-
	MSE	0.0013	0.0038	0.0149	0.0144	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0525	0.0904	0.1784	0.1752	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.6047	0.6227	0.5562	0.5566	-
	MSE	0.0065	0.0039	0.0167	0.0166	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1176	0.0914	0.1883	0.1878	<b>MOM</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.5284	0.5336	0.7586	0.7584	-
	MSE	0.0248	0.0232	0.0054	0.0053	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2290	0.2214	0.1069	0.1066	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.5284	0.5335	0.7348	0.7343	-
	MSE	0.0247	0.0231	0.0025	0.0024	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2289	0.2214	0.0722	0.0715	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.4834	0.5308	0.7686	0.7646	-
	MSE	0.0408	0.0239	0.0070	0.0063	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2945	0.2254	0.1216	0.1158	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.5361	0.5301	0.7367	0.7325	-
	MSE	0.0223	0.0241	0.0026	0.0022	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2178	0.2265	0.0750	0.0689	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.5284	0.5335	0.7106	0.7096	-
	MSE	0.0246	0.0231	0.0006	0.0005	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2290	0.2215	0.0370	0.0355	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.5283	0.5335	0.6949	0.6936	-
	MSE	0.0247	0.0231	0.0009	0.0006	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2291	0.2216	0.0140	0.0121	<b>WLS</b>

**Table (7):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_2 = 0.6682$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (2.3, 1.4, 1.7, 0.6, 1.6), (2.3, 1.4, 1.7, 0.6, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
<b><math>(n, n_1, n_2, n_3)</math></b>		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.7461	0.6153	0.6218	0.6274	-
	MSE	0.0061	0.0028	0.0022	0.0017	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.1165	0.0791	0.0695	0.0611	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.7244	0.6151	0.5945	0.5992	-
	MSE	0.0032	0.0028	0.0054	0.0048	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0841	0.0795	0.1103	0.1033	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.6058	0.6243	0.6044	0.6046	-
	MSE	0.0039	0.0019	0.0041	0.0040	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0933	0.0657	0.0956	0.0952	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.6807	0.6240	0.5811	0.5845	-
	MSE	0.0001	0.0020	0.0076	0.0070	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0187	0.0661	0.1304	0.1253	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.6060	0.6245	0.5634	0.5637	-
	MSE	0.0039	0.0019	0.0110	0.0109	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0931	0.0654	0.1569	0.1564	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.6043	0.6221	0.5564	0.5568	<b>MOM</b>
	MSE	0.0022	0.0005	0.0090	0.0089	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0717	0.0444	0.1454	0.1447	<b>MOM</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.7709	0.5782	0.7715	0.7787	-
	MSE	0.0105	0.0081	0.0107	0.0122	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1536	0.1347	0.1546	0.1654	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.7467	0.7467	0.7444	0.7487	-
	MSE	0.0062	0.0077	0.0058	0.0065	<b>LS</b>
	MAPE	0.1175	0.1313	0.1141	0.1205	<b>LS</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.6599	0.5803	0.7873	0.7961	-
	MSE	0.0001	0.0077	0.0142	0.0164	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0125	0.1316	0.1783	0.1914	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.6129	0.5683	0.7166	0.7077	-
	MSE	0.0031	0.0100	0.0023	0.0016	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.0827	0.1495	0.0725	0.0590	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.6404	0.5770	0.7177	0.7259	-
	MSE	0.0007	0.0083	0.0025	0.0033	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0416	0.1365	0.0741	0.0863	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.5242	0.5298	0.6930	0.6917	-
	MSE	0.0208	0.0192	0.0006	0.0005	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2155	0.2071	0.0372	0.0352	<b>WLS</b>

**Table (8):** Results of Mean, MSE and MAPE values for WD  $R_2 = 0.6510$  for  $(\theta, \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (2.2, 1.3, 1.4, 0.4, 1.6), (2.2, 1.3, 1.4, 0.4, 2.5)$ .

<b><math>\theta = 1.6</math></b>						
<b><math>(n, n_1, n_2, n_3)</math></b>		<b>MLE</b>	<b>MOM</b>	<b>LS</b>	<b>WLS</b>	<b>Best</b>
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.7734	0.6224	0.6240	0.6282	-
	MSE	0.0150	0.0008	0.0007	0.0005	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.1880	0.0440	0.0415	0.0351	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.6851	0.6207	0.5952	0.5991	-
	MSE	0.0012	0.0009	0.0031	0.0027	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0525	0.0465	0.0857	0.0797	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.7187	0.6229	0.6037	0.6078	-
	MSE	0.0046	0.0007	0.0022	0.0019	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1040	0.0432	0.0727	0.0663	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.7768	0.6219	0.5809	0.5851	-
	MSE	0.0158	0.0008	0.0049	0.0043	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.1933	0.0447	0.1076	0.1012	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.6905	0.6227	0.5630	0.5653	-
	MSE	0.0016	0.0007	0.0077	0.0073	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0607	0.0434	0.1352	0.1316	<b>MOM</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.6043	0.6221	0.5564	0.5568	-
	MSE	0.0022	0.0008	0.0090	0.0089	<b>MOM</b>
	MAPE	0.0717	0.0443	0.1454	0.1447	<b>MOM</b>
<b><math>\theta = 2.5</math></b>						
<b>(10,10,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.5708	0.5268	0.7581	0.7591	-
	MSE	0.0065	0.0154	0.0115	0.0117	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.1232	0.1907	0.1645	0.1661	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(20,20,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.6055	0.5293	0.7343	0.7295	-
	MSE	0.0021	0.0148	0.0069	0.0062	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0699	0.1869	0.1280	0.1207	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(20,20,10,10)</b>	Mean	0.6101	0.5288	0.7690	0.7628	-
	MSE	0.0017	0.0149	0.0139	0.0125	<b>MLE</b>
	MAPE	0.0629	0.1876	0.1813	0.1718	<b>MLE</b>
<b>(35,35,20,20)</b>	Mean	0.5689	0.5313	0.7369	0.7310	-
	MSE	0.0067	0.0143	0.0074	0.0064	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.1261	0.1839	0.1320	0.1229	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(75,50,50,50)</b>	Mean	0.5082	0.5327	0.7109	0.7088	-
	MSE	0.0204	0.0140	0.0036	0.0033	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.2193	0.1817	0.0921	0.0888	<b>WLS</b>
<b>(100,75,75,75)</b>	Mean	0.5273	0.5325	0.6949	0.6936	-
	MSE	0.0153	0.0141	0.0019	0.0018	<b>WLS</b>
	MAPE	0.1900	0.1820	0.0675	0.0655	<b>WLS</b>