



Jordan (σ, τ) - Higher Homomorphisms of a ring R into a ring R'

Fawaz Raad Jarulla¹ Claus Haetinger²

Department of Mathematics, college of Education, Al-Mustansirya University , Iraq¹

Center of Exact and Technological Sciences, UNIVATES University Center, Brazil²

Abstract

Let R, R' be two prime rings and σ^n, τ^n be two higher homomorphisms of a ring R for all $n \in N$, in the present paper we show that under certain conditions of R , every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a prime ring R' is either (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism or (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Mathematic subject classification : 16 N 60 , 16 U 80 .

Key Words: prime ring , homomorphism , Jordan higher homomorphism.

1. Introduction

A ring R is called a prime if $aRb = (0)$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, where $a, b \in R$, this definition is due to [3].

A -ring R is called semiprime if $aRa = (0)$ implies $a = 0$, such that $a \in R$, this definition is due to [3].

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and suppose that $a, b \in R$ if $arb + bra = 0$, for all $r \in R$, then $arb = bra = 0$, this definition is due to [3].

Let R be a ring then R is called 2-torsion free if $2a = 0$ implies $a = 0$, for every $a \in R$, this definition is due to [3].

Let θ be an additive mapping of a ring R into a ring R' , θ is called a homomorphism if $\theta(a + b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b)$.

And θ is called a Jordan homomorphism if for all $a, b \in R$

$$\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\theta(b) + \theta(b)\theta(a) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R, [4] .$$

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R' . Then θ is said to be higher homomorphism if for every $n \in N$ we have $\phi_n(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a)\phi_i(b)$

, for all $a, b \in R$,and Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R' .



Then θ is said to be Jordan higher homomorphism if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\phi_n(ab + ba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a)\phi_i(b) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(b)\phi_i(a), \text{ for all } a, b \in R, [1].$$

Also, N.Jacobson and C.E.Rickart [4] proved that every Jordan homomorphism of a ring into an integral domain is either homomorphism or an anti homomorphism.

Later 1956 , I.N.Herstein [2] proved that every Jordan homomorphism of a ring into prime ring of characteristic different from 2 and 3 is either a homomorphism or an anti homomorphism.In 1957, M.F.Smiley [5] simplified the result as:

Every Jordan homomorphism of a ring into a 2-torsion free prime ring is either a homomorphism or an anti homomorphism. After this in 1969 ,I.N.Herstein [3] proved that every Jordan homomorphism of a ring onto prime ring is either a homomorphism or an anti homomorphism. In 2006 A.K.Faraj [1] proved that every Jordan higher homomorphism of a ring R onto a 2-torsion free prime ring R' is either higher homomorphism or higher anti homomorphism .

Now, the main purpose of this paper is that every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a prime ring R' is either (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism or (σ, τ) - higher anti homomorphism and every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a ring R into a 2-torsion free ring R' such that , such that $, \sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i, \tau^{i^2} = \tau^i, \sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$. Then θ is Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism .

2- Jordan(σ, τ)-Higher Homomorphisms on Rings

Definition (2.1):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R' and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of R . θ is called a **(σ, τ)-higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)), \text{ for all } a, b \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} .$$

Example (2.2):

Let S_1, S_2 be two rings and $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring S_1 into a ring S_2 . Let $R = S_1 \oplus S_1$ and $R' = S_2 \oplus S_2$. Let $\phi = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R' , such that

$$\phi_n((a,b)) = (\theta_n(a), \theta_n(b)), \text{ for all } (a,b) \in R.$$

Let σ_1^n, τ_1^n be two endomorphisms of R , such that

$$\sigma_1^n((a,b)) = (\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b)), \tau_1^n((a,b)) = (\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)).$$

Then ϕ_n is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Definition (2.3):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R'

and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of R . θ is called **Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(ab + ba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)), \text{ for all } a, b \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$\in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark(2.4):

Clearly every (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism is Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism but the converse is not true in general, as shown by the following example :

Example (2.5):

Let S be any ring with nontrivial involution $*$ and $R = S \oplus S$, such that $a \in Z(S)$, $a^2 = a$ and $s_1as_2 = 0$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself defined by:

$$\phi_n((s,t)) = \begin{cases} ((2-n)a)s, (n-1)t^* & , n = 1, 2 \\ 0 & , n \geq 3 \end{cases}, \text{ for all } (s,t) \in R.$$

Let σ^n, τ^n be two endomorphisms of R , such that $\sigma^n((s,t)) = (ns,t)$, $\tau^n((s,t)) = (n^2s,t)$. Then θ is a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism but not (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Definition (2.6):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R' and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of R . θ is called a **Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(aba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)), \text{ for all } a, b \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition (2.7):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into a ring R into a ring R' and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of R . θ is called a **(σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)), \text{ for all } a, b \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma (2.3.8):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R' , then for all $a, b, c \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$



(i) $\phi_n(abc + cba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) +$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

(ii) In particular , if R, R' be two commutative rings and R' is a 2-torsion free ring , then

$$\phi_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)).$$

Proof:

(i) Replace $a+c$ for a in Definition (2.6) , we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n((a+c)b(a+c)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a+c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a+c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a) + \tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n((a+c)b(a+c)) &= \phi_n(aba + abc + cba + cbc) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \phi_n(abc + cba) \\ &\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1) and (2), we get:

$$\phi_n(abc + cba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

(ii) By (i) and since R, R' be two commutative rings and R' is a 2-torsion free ring

$$\phi_n(abc + abc) = 2\phi_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

Definition (2.9):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R', then for all $a, b \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $G_n: R \times R \rightarrow R'$ by:

$$G_n(a, b) = \phi_n(ab) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b))$$

Lemma (2.10):

If $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R', then for all $a, b, c \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

(i) $G_n(a, b) - G_n(b, a)$

- (ii) $G_n(a+b,c) = G_n(a,c) + G_n(b,c)$
 (iii) $G_n(a,b+c) = G_n(a,b) + G_n(a,c)$

Proof:

(i) By Definition (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n(ab + b\alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \phi_i(\tau^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \\
 &= \phi_n(ab) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) = -(\phi_n(ba) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))) \\
 G_n(a,b) &= -G_n(b,a) \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad G_n(a+b,c) &= \phi_n((a+b)c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a+b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(ac + bc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(ac) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \phi_n(bc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= G_n(a,c) + G_n(b,c) \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad G_n(a,b+c) &= \phi_n(a(b+c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b+c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(ab + ac) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(ab) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \phi_n(ac) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= G_n(a,b) + G_n(a,c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark (2.11):

Note that $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R' if and only if $G_n(a,b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.12):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R' , such that $\sigma^{n^2} = \sigma^n$, $\tau^n \sigma^n = \sigma^n$, $\sigma^i \tau^{n-i} = \tau^i \sigma^i$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$, then for all

$a, b, m \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) = 0$$

Proof:

We prove by using the induction, for $n = 1$

Let $w = abmba + bamab$, since θ is Jordan (σ, τ) -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(w) &= \theta(a(bmb)a + b(ama)b) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(a))\theta(\sigma\tau(bmb))\theta(\tau(a)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\theta(\sigma\tau(ama))\theta(\tau(b)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(a))\theta(\sigma(\sigma\tau(b)))\theta(\sigma\tau(\sigma\tau(m)))\theta(\tau(\sigma\tau(b)))\theta(\tau(a)) +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma(\sigma\tau(a))) \theta(\sigma\tau(\sigma\tau(m))) \theta(\tau(\sigma\tau(a))) \theta(\tau(b)) \dots(1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(w) &= \theta((ab)m(ba) + (ba)m(ab)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ba)) + \theta(\sigma(ba)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) (\theta(\sigma\tau(a)) \theta(\tau^2(b)) + \theta(\sigma\tau(b)) \theta(\tau^2(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(ab))) + (-\theta(\sigma(ab)) + \theta(\sigma^2(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma^2(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a))) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) \\
 &= -\theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) (\theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma\tau(a)) \theta(\tau^2(b))) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) (\theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma\tau(b)) \theta(\tau^2(a))) + \\
 \theta(\sigma^2(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) &+ \theta(\sigma^2(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) \dots(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1), (2) and since $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) - \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma^2(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) + \theta(\sigma^2(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma^2\tau(b)) \theta(\sigma^2\tau^2(m)) \theta(\sigma\tau^2(b)) \theta(\tau(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma^2\tau(a)) \theta(\sigma^2\tau^2(m)) \theta(\sigma\tau^2(a)) \theta(\tau(b))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ and $\tau^2 = \tau$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) - \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\tau(ab)) + \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\sigma\tau(b)) \theta(\tau(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \theta(\sigma\tau(a)) \theta(\tau(b)) \\
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) - \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) (\theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma\tau(b)) \theta(\tau(a))) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) (\theta(\tau(ab)) - \theta(\sigma\tau(a)) \theta(\tau(b))) \\
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) - \theta(\sigma(ab)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) \\
 &\quad G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) \\
 0 &= -(\theta(\sigma(ab)) - \theta(\sigma(b)) \theta(\tau\sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) - \\
 &\quad (\theta(\sigma(ab)) - \theta(\sigma(a)) \theta(\tau\sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)))
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$G(\sigma(a), \sigma(b)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(b), \tau(a)) + G(\sigma(b), \sigma(a)) \theta(\sigma\tau(m)) G(\tau(a), \tau(b)) = 0.$$

Now, we can assume that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_s(\sigma^s(a), \sigma^s(b)) \phi_s(\sigma^s(m)) G_s(\tau^s(b), \tau^s(a)) + \\
 G_s(\sigma^s(b), \sigma^s(a)) \phi_s(\sigma^s(m)) G_s(\tau^s(a), \tau^s(b)) = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, m \in R, \text{ and } s, n \in N, s < n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = abmba + bamab$

Since θ is a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism, then

$$\phi_n(w) = \phi_n(a(bmb)a + b(ama)b)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(bmb))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(ama))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(m)))\phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b))) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(m)))\phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a))) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \\
 &= \phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(b)))\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\
 &\quad \phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(a)))\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \dots (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\phi_n(w) = \phi_n((ab)m(ba) + (ba)m(ab))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ba)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ba))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i((\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) - \phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \right)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(a))\phi_j(\tau^j\sigma^j(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(b))\phi_j(\tau^j\sigma^j(a)) - \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab)) \right) \phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i((\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) - \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a)) \\
 &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))(\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b))) - \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))(\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a))) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b)) \\
 &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) - \\
 &\quad \phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) + \\
 &\quad \phi_n(\sigma^{n^2}(a))\phi_n(\tau^n\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\phi_n(\tau^n(ab)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) + \\
 &\quad \phi_n(\sigma^{n^2}(b))\phi_n(\tau^n\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\phi_n(\tau^n(ab)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \dots (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (3), (4) and since $\sigma^{n^2} = \sigma^n$, $\tau^n\sigma^n = \sigma^n$, $\sigma^i\tau^{n-i} = \tau^i\sigma^i$, $\sigma^i\tau^i = \tau^i\sigma^i$

$$0 = -\phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) - \phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) +$$

$$\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))(\phi_n(\tau^n(ab)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))) +$$

$$\phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))(\phi_n(\tau^n(ab)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\phi_i(\tau^i(b))) -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))$$

$$G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))(\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) -$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\phi_j(\tau^j(a))) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))$$

$$(\phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\phi_j(\tau^j(b)))$$

$$0 = -\phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) - \phi_n(\sigma^n(ab))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) +$$

$$\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))$$

$$G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab))$$

$$\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))$$

$$G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))$$

$$0 = -G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) -$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(b), \sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(a), \sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))$$

$$0 = -(G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))) -$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(b), \sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b)) +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(a), \sigma^i(b))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a)))$$

By our hypothesis, we have:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) = 0.$$

Lemma (2.13):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a ring R into a 2-torsion free prime ring R' , then for all $a, b, m \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) &= \\ G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

By Lemma (2.12), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma (Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and suppose that $a, b \in R$ if $arb + bra = 0$, for all $r \in R$, then $arb = bra = 0$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) &= \\ G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (2.14):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a ring R into a prime ring R' , then for all $a, b, c, d, m \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) = 0$$

Proof:

Replacing $a + c$ for a in Lemma (2.13), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a+c), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a+c)) &= 0 \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (2.13), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) = 0 \\ = -G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \\ G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the primeness of R' :

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$



Now, replacing $b + d$ for b in Lemma (2.13), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b+d))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b+d), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (2.13), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since M' is a prime ring, then:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

Thus, $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b+d), \tau^n(a+c)) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a)) + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By (1), (2) and Lemma (2.13), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) = 0.$$

3- The main result

Theorem (3.1):

Every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a ring R into a prime ring R' is either (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism or (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Proof:

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a prime ring R' .

Then by Lemma (2.14) :

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) = 0$$

Since R' is a prime ring therefore either $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b)) = 0$ or

$$G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in R \text{ and } n \in N.$$

If $G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) \neq 0$ for all $c, d \in R$ and $n \in N$ then $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b)) = 0$

for all $a, b \in R$ and $n \in N$, hence, we get θ is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

But if $G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c)) = 0$ for all $c, d \in R$ and $n \in N$ then θ is a (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Proposition (3.2):

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a ring R into 2-torsion free ring R' , such that, $\sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i$, $\tau^{i^2} = \tau^i$, $\sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$. Then θ is a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Proof:

Replace b by $ab + ba$ in Definition (2.3), we get :

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n(a(ab + ba) + (ab + ba)a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(ab + ba)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(ab + ba)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)\tau^i(b) + \tau^i(b)\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)\sigma^i(b) + \sigma^i(b)\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(a)) \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) \right) + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(a)) \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(b)) \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(a)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(a)) \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(b)) \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(a)) + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i \sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ & \sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i, \tau^{i^2} = \tau^i, \sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i} \text{ and } \sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i, \text{ we get} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

On the other hand:

$$\phi_n(a(ab + ba) + (ab + ba)a) = \phi_n(aab + aba + aba + baa)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + 2 \phi_n(aba) \dots(2)$$

Compare (1) and (2), we get:

$$2 \phi_n(aba) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)).$$



Since R' is a 2-torsion free ring, we obtain that θ is a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

References:

- [1] A.K.Faraj , A.H.Majeed , C.Haetinger and N.Rehman , " Generalized Jordan higher homomorphisms", Palestine Journal of Mathematics ,Volume(3),No.3, 2014, pp.406 – 421.
- [2] Herstein .I.N , "Jordan Homomorphisms", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.81, pp.331-341, 1956.
- [3] I.N.Herstien , "Topics in ring theory", Univ.Of Chicago Press, Chicago,1969.
- [4] N.Jacobson andC.E.Rickart,"Jordan homomorphism of rings", Trans.Amer.Math. Soc.VOLUME(69)1950,pp.479-502.
- [5] Smiley . M.F , "Jordan Homomorphisms onto Prime Rings", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.84, pp.426-429, 1957.