COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN POLISH SPACE FOR NONSELF MAPPING

Rajesh Shrivastava¹& Richa Gupta²

- 1. Prof. & Head, Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science & Commerce college Benazir Bhopal,India
- 2. Head, Department of Mathematics, RKDF institute of Science & Technology Bhopal, India Email of the corresponding author:richasharad.gupta@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

We prove some Common Fixed Point theorems for Random Operator in polish spaces, by using some new type of contractive conditions taking non-self mappings.

Key Words: - Polish Space, Random Operator, RandomMultivalued Operator, Random FixedPoint,Measurable Mapping, Non-self mapping

1. Introduction

Probabilistic functional analysis has emerged as one of the important mathematical disciplines in view of its role in analyzing Probabilistic models in the applied sciences. The study of fixed point of random operator forms a central topic in this area. Random fixed point theorem for contraction mappings in Polish spaces and random fixed point theorems are of fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis. There study was initiated by the Prague school of Probabilistic, in 1950, with their work of Spacek [15] and Hans [5,6]. For example survey are refer to Bharucha-Reid [4]. Itoh [8] proved several random fixed point theorems and gave their applications to Random differential equations in Banach spaces. Random coincidence point theorems and random fixed point theorems are stochastic generalization of classical coincidence point theorems and classical fixed point theorems.

Random fixed point theorems are stochastic generalization of classical fixed point theorems. Itoh [8] extended several well known fixed point theorems, thereafter; various stochastic aspects of Schauder's fixed point theorem have been studied by Sehgal and Singh [14], Papageorgiou [12], Lin [13] and

many authors. In a separable metric space, random fixed point theorems for contractive mappings were proved by Spacek [15], Hans [5,6]. Afterwards, Beg and Shahzad [2], Badshah and Sayyad studied the structure of common random fixed points and random coincidence points of a pair of compatible random operators and proved the random fixed point theorems for contraction random operators in Polish spaces.

2. Preliminaries: before starting main result we write some basic definetions.

Definition: 2.1

A metric space (**X**, **d**) is said to be a Polish Space, if it satisfying following conditions:-

- i. X, is complete,
- ii. X is separable,

Before we describe our next hierarchy of set of reals of ever increasing complexity, we would like to consider a class of metric spaces under which we can unify 2^{ω} , ω^{ω} , \mathcal{R} and there products. This will be helpful in formulating this hierarchy. (as well as future ones) Recall that а metric space (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{d}) is complete if whenever $(\mathbf{x}_n; n \in \omega)$ is a sequence of member X, such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N, such that $m, n \ge N$ of implies $d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) < \epsilon$, there is a single **x** in **X** such that $\lim_{n < \omega} \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}$. It is easy to see that $2^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega}$ are polish space, So in fact is ω under the discrete topology, whose metric is given by letting d(x,y) = 1 when $x \neq y$ and d(x,y) = 0 when x = y. Let (X, d)be а Polish space that is a separable complete metric space and (Ω, \mathbf{q}) be Measurable space. Let $2^{\mathbf{x}}$ be a family of all subsets of X and CB(X) denote the family of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of X. mapping А

 $T: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$ is called measurable if for subset С Χ, any open of $T^{-1}(C) = \{\omega \in \Omega: f(\omega) \cap C \neq \phi\} \in q. A$ mapping $\xi: \Omega \to X$ is measurable said to be $T: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{X}$, if ξ is measurable selector of a measurable mapping and for any $ω \in \Omega, \xi(ω) \in T(ω)$. A mapping $f: \Omega \times X \to X$ is called random operator, if for any random multivalued $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is measurable. A Mapping $T: \Omega \times X \to CB(X)$ is а operator, if for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})$ is measurable. A measurable mapping $\boldsymbol{\xi} \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is called point of a random multivalued operator T: $\Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ (f: $\Omega \times X \rightarrow X$) if for every random fixed $\xi(\omega) \in T(\omega, \xi(\omega)), f(\omega), \xi(\omega) = \xi(\omega)).$ Let $T: \Omega \times X \to CB(X)$ $\omega \in \Omega_{c}$ be random а operator And $\{\xi_n\}$ a sequence of measurable mappings, $\xi_n: \Omega \to X$. The sequence be asymptotically T-regular if $d(\xi_n(\omega), T(\omega, \xi_n(w)) \rightarrow 0$. $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1

Let X be a Polish space. Let $T, S: \Omega \times X \to CB(X)$ be two continuous random multivalued operators. If there exists measurable mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta: \Omega \to (0,1)$ such that,

$$H(S(\omega, \mathbf{x}), T(\omega, \mathbf{y})) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(\mathbf{x}, S(\omega, \mathbf{x})), d^2(\mathbf{y}, T(\omega, \mathbf{y}))\}}{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}$$

+
$$\beta(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(y,S(\omega,x)),d^2(x,T(\omega,y))\}}{d(x,y)}$$
 3.1(a)

For each $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ with $0 \le \alpha(\omega) + 2\beta(\omega) + \gamma(\omega) + 2\delta(\omega) < 1$, and

 $1 - \beta(\omega) \neq 0$ there exists a common random fixed point of S and T.

(hence H represents the Hausdroff metric on CB(X) induced by the metric d)

Proof: Let $\xi_0 : \Omega \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping

 $\xi_1: \Omega \to X$ such that $\xi_1(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. then for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

$$H\left(S(\omega,\xi_0(\omega)),T(\omega,\xi_1(\omega))\right) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\max\left[d^2\left(\xi_0(\omega),S(\omega,\xi_0(\omega))\right),d^2\left(\xi_1(\omega),T(\omega,\xi_1(\omega))\right)\right]}{d(\xi_c,\xi_1)}$$

 $+ \beta(\omega) \frac{\max\left\{d^2\left(\xi_1(\omega), S(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))\right), d^2\left(\xi_0(\omega), T(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))\right)\right\}}{d(\xi_0, \xi_1)}$

Further there exists a measurable mapping $\xi_2 : \Omega \to X$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega, \xi_2(\omega) \in T(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))$ and

$$d\big(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)\big) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega)),d^2(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega))\}}{d(\xi_1,\xi_2)}$$

$$+ \beta(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_1(\omega)),d^2(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_2(\omega))\}}{d(\xi_1,\xi_2)}$$

$$d\big(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)\big) \leq \frac{\alpha(\omega)+\beta(\omega)}{1-\beta(\omega)}d\big(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega)\big)$$

Let $\mathbf{k} = \frac{\alpha(\omega) + \beta(\omega)}{1 - \beta(\omega)}$

This gives



$$d(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)) \leq k d(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega))$$

By Beg and Shahzad [2, lemma 2.3], we obtain a measurable mapping $\xi_3 : \Omega \to X$ such that for all

 $\omega \in \Omega, \xi_3(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))$ and

 $d\big(\xi_2(\omega),\xi_3(\omega)\big) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)),d^2(\xi_2(\omega),\xi_3(\omega))\}}{d(\xi_2,\xi_3)}$

 $+ \beta(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^{2}(\xi_{2}(\omega),\xi_{2}(\omega)),d^{2}(\xi_{1}(\omega),\xi_{3}(\omega))\}}{d(\xi_{2},\xi_{3})}$

$$d(\xi_2(\omega),\xi_3(\omega)) \leq k d(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)) \leq k^2 d(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega))$$

Similarly, proceeding the same way, by induction, we get a sequence of measurable mapping $\xi_n: \Omega \to X$ suct that for n > 0 and for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\xi_{2n+1}(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_{2n}(\omega))$$
, and $\xi_{2n+2}(\omega) \in T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega))$

This gives,

$$d\big(\xi_{\mathtt{r}}(\omega),\xi_{\mathtt{n}+1}(\omega)\big) \leq kd\big(\xi_{\mathtt{n}-1}(\omega),\xi_{\mathtt{n}}(\omega)\big) \leq \cdots \ldots \ldots \leq k^{\mathtt{n}}d\big(\xi_{\mathtt{I}}(\omega),\xi_{\mathtt{I}}(\omega)\big)$$

For any $m, n \in N$ such that m > n, also by using triangular inequality we have

$$d(\xi_{r}(\omega),\xi_{m}(\omega)) \leq \frac{k^{n}}{1-k}d(\xi_{0}(\omega),\xi_{1}(\omega))$$

Which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\{\xi_n(\omega)\}\$ is a Cauchy sequence and there exists a measurable mapping $\xi : \Omega \to X$ such that $\xi_n(\omega) \to \xi(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. It implies that $\xi_{2n+1}(\omega) \to \xi(\omega)$. Thus we have for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$d\left(\xi(\omega),S(\omega,\xi(\omega))\right) \leq d\left(\xi(\omega),\xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right) + d\left(\xi(\omega),S(\omega,\xi_{2n+2}(\omega))\right)$$

$$d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \leq d\left(\xi(\omega), \xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right) + H\left(T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_{2n+2}(\omega))\right)$$

Therefore,

$$d(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \le d(\xi(\omega), \xi_{2n+2}(\omega)) +$$

$$\alpha(\omega) \frac{\max\{d^2(\xi_{2n+2}(\omega), S(\omega, \xi_{2n+2}(\omega))), d^2(\xi_{2n+1}(\omega), T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)))\}}{d(\xi_{2n+2}, \xi_{2n+1})}$$

$$+ \beta(\omega) \frac{\max\{d(\xi_{2n+1}(\omega), S(\omega, \xi_{2n+2}(\omega))), d(\xi_{2n+2}(\omega), T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)))\}}{d(\xi_{2n+2}, \xi_{2n+1})}$$

Taking as $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}$, we have

$$d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \leq (\alpha(\omega) + \beta(\omega)) d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right)$$

Which contradiction, hence $\zeta(\omega) - S(\omega, \zeta(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Similarly, for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$d(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \leq d(\xi(\omega), \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)) + H(S(\omega, \xi_{2n}(\omega)), T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)))$$



Hence $\xi(\omega) = T(\omega, \xi(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

It is easy to see that, $\xi(\omega)$ is common fixed point for **S and T** in X.

Uniqueness

Let us assume that, $\xi^*(\omega)$ is another fixed point of S and T in X, different from $\xi(\omega)$, then we have

$$d(\xi(\omega),\xi^*(\omega)) \leq d(\xi(\omega),S(\omega,\xi_{2n}(\omega))) + H(S(\omega,\xi_{2n}(\omega)),T(\omega,\xi_{2n+1}(\omega)))$$

$$+ d \left(T(\omega,\xi_{2n+1}(\omega)),\xi^*(\omega)\right)$$

By using **3.1(a)** and $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$d\big(\xi(\omega),\xi^*(\omega)\big) \leq 0$

Which contradiction,

So we have, $\xi(\omega)$ is unique common fixed point of S and T in X.

Corollary 3.2

Let X be a Polish space. Let $S^{p}, T^{q} : \Omega \times X \to CB(X)$ be two continuous random multivalued operators. If there exists measurable mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta : \Omega \to (0,1)$ such that,

 $H\big(S(\omega, x), T(\omega, y)\big) \leq \alpha(\omega) \max\{d\big(x, S(\omega, x)\big), d\big(y, T(\omega, y)\big)\}$

$+ \beta(\omega) \ \max \bigl\{ d\bigl(y, S(\omega, x)\bigr), d\bigl(x, T(\omega, y)\bigr) \bigr\} \qquad 3.2(a)$

For each $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $0 \leq \alpha(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + 2\beta(\boldsymbol{\omega}) < 1$, and $1 - \beta(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \neq 0$,

there exists a common random fixed point of S and T.

(hence II represents the Hausdroff metric on CB(X) induced by the metric d)

Proof: From the theorem 3.1, it is immediate to see that, the corollary is true. If not then we choose a $\xi_0 : \Omega \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping $\xi_1 : \Omega \to X$ such that $\xi_1(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. then for each $\omega \in \Omega$, and by using 3.2(a) the result is follows.

Now our next result is generalization of our previous theorem 3.1, in fact we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3: Let X be a Polish space. Let $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{S}: \Omega \times \mathbf{X} \to CB(\mathbf{X})$ be two continuous random multivalued operators. If there exists measurable mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta: \Omega \to (0, 1)$ such that,

$$H(S(\omega, \mathbf{x}), T(\omega, \mathbf{y})) \le \alpha(\omega) \frac{\min \left\{ \max\{d^2(\mathbf{x}, S(\omega, \mathbf{x})), d^2(\mathbf{y}, T(\omega, \mathbf{y}))\} \right\}}{\max\{d^2(\mathbf{y}, S(\omega, \mathbf{x})), d^2(\mathbf{x}, T(\omega, \mathbf{y}))\} \right\}} \qquad 3.3(a)$$

For each $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbf{\Omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}^+$ with $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) < \mathbf{1}$, there exists a common random fixed point of S and T.

(hence H represents the Hausdroff metric on CB(X) induced by the metric d)

Proof

www.iiste.org

Let $\xi_0 : \Omega \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping $\xi_1 : \Omega \to X$ such that $\xi_1(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. then for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

$$H\left(S(\omega,\xi_{0}(\omega)),T(\omega,\xi_{1}(\omega))\right) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\min\left\{\max\left\{d^{2}\left(\xi_{0}(\omega),S(\omega,\xi_{0}(\omega))\right),d^{2}\left(\xi_{1}(\omega),T(\omega,\xi_{1}(\omega))\right)\right\}\right\}}{d(\xi_{0},\xi_{1})}$$

Further there exists a measurable mapping $\xi_2 : \Omega \to X$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega, \xi_2(\omega) \in T(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))$ and

$$d\big(\xi_{\underline{\cdot}}(\omega),\xi_{\underline{2}}(\omega)\big) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{\min\left\{\max\{d(\xi_{\underline{0}}(\omega),\xi_{\underline{1}}(\omega)),d(\xi_{\underline{1}}(\omega),\xi_{\underline{2}}(\omega))\}\right\}}{\max\{d(\xi_{\underline{1}}(\omega),\xi_{\underline{1}}(\omega)),d(\xi_{\underline{0}}(\omega),\xi_{\underline{2}}(\omega))\}\right\}}$$

$$d(\xi_1(\omega),\xi_2(\omega)) \leq \alpha(\omega) d(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega))$$

By Beg and Shahzad [2, lemma 2.3], we obtain a measurable mapping $\xi_3 : \Omega \to X$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\xi_3(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))$ and by using 3.3 (a), we have

$$d(\xi_{2}(\omega),\xi_{3}(\omega)) \leq \alpha(\omega) d(\xi_{1}(\omega),\xi_{2}(\omega)) \leq (\alpha(\omega))^{2} d(\xi_{0}(\omega),\xi_{1}(\omega))$$

Similarly, proceeding the same way, by induction, we get a sequence of measurable mapping $\xi_n: \Omega \to X$ suct that for n > 0 and for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

 $\xi_{2n+1}(\omega) \in S\big(\omega, \xi_{2n}(\omega)\big) \quad \text{, and} \quad \xi_{2n+2}(\omega) \in T\big(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)\big)$

This gives,

$$d\big(\xi_n(\omega),\xi_{n+1}(\omega)\big) \leq \alpha(\omega)d\big(\xi_{n-1}(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)\big) \leq \cdots \ldots \ldots \leq \big(\alpha(\omega)\big)^n d\big(\xi_0(\omega),\xi_1(\omega)\big)$$

For any $m, n \in N$ such that m > n, also by using triangular inequality we have

$$d(\xi_{r}(\omega),\xi_{m}(\omega)) \leq \frac{(\alpha(\omega))^{n}}{1-\alpha(\omega)}d(\xi_{0}(\omega),\xi_{1}(\omega))$$

Which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\{\xi_n(\omega)\}\$ is a Cauchy sequence and there exists a measurable mapping $\xi : n \to X$ such that $\xi_n(\omega) \to \xi(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in n$. It implies that $\xi_{2n+1}(\omega) \to \xi(\omega)$. Thus we have for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{split} &d\left(\xi(\omega),S\left(\omega,\xi(\omega)\right)\right) \leq d\left(\xi(\omega),\xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right) + \ d\left(\xi(\omega),S\left(\omega,\xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &d\left(\xi(\omega),S\left(\omega,\xi(\omega)\right)\right) \leq d\left(\xi(\omega),\xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right) + \ H\left(T\left(\omega,\xi_{2n+1}(\omega)\right),S\left(\omega,\xi_{2n+2}(\omega)\right)\right) \end{split}$$

Therefore, by using **3.3(a)** we have

$$d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \leq \alpha(\omega) d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right)$$

Which contradiction, hence $\xi(\omega) = S(\omega, \xi(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Similarly, for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$d\left(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \leq d\left(\xi(\omega), \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)\right) + H\left(S(\omega, \xi_{2n}(\omega)), T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega))\right)$$

www.iiste.org



Hence $\xi(\omega) = T(\omega, \xi(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

It is easy to see that, $\xi(\omega)$ is common fixed point for **S and T** in X.

Uniqueness

Let us assume that, $\xi^*(\omega)$ is another fixed point of S and T in X, different from $\xi(\omega)$, then we have

$$d(\xi(\omega),\xi^*(\omega)) \leq d(\xi(\omega),S(\omega,\xi_{2n}(\omega))) + H(S(\omega,\xi_{2n}(\omega)),T(\omega,\xi_{2n+1}(\omega)))$$

$$+ d \left(T(\omega, \xi_{2n+1}(\omega)), \xi^*(\omega)\right)$$

By using **3.3(a)** and $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$d\big(\xi(\omega),\xi^*(\omega)\big) \leq 0$

Which contradiction,

So we have, $\xi(\omega)$ is unique common fixed point of S and T in X.

Corollary 3.4

Let X be a Polish space. Let $S^{p}, T^{q}: \Omega \times X \to CB(X)$ be two continuous random multivalued operators. If there exists measurable mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Omega \to (0,1)$ such that,

$$H(S(\omega, x), T(\omega, y)) \leq \alpha(\omega) \min \begin{cases} \max\{d^2(x, S(\omega, x)), d^2(y, T(\omega, y))\}, \\ \max\{d^2(y, S(\omega, x)), d^2(x, T(\omega, y))\} \end{cases} 3.4(a)$$

For each $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{R}^+$ with $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) < 1$ there exists a common random fixed point of S and T.

(hence H represents the Hausdroff metric on CB(X) induced by the metric d)

Proof:- From the theorem 3.3, it is immediate to see that, the corollary is true. If not then we choose a $\xi_0 : \Omega \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping $\xi_1 : \Omega \to X$ such that $\xi_1(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. then for each $\omega \in \Omega$, and by using 3.3(a) the result is follows.

References:-

- 1. Beg I. and Azam A., J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. 53 (1992) 313-326.
- 2. Beg I. and Shahzad N., Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993) 835-347.
- 3. Beg I. and Shahzad N., J. Appl. Math. And Stoch. Analysis 6 (1993) 95-106.
- Bharucha Reid A.T., "Random Integral Equations," Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- 5. Hans O., Reduzierede, Czech Math, J. 7 (1957) 154-158.
- Hans O., Random Operator Equations, Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probability (1960), Voll. II, (1961) 180- 202.
- 7. Heardy G. E. and Rogers T.D., Canad. Math. Bull., 16 (1973) 201-206.
- 8. Itoh. S., Pacific J. Math. 68 (1977) 85-90.
- 9. Kanan R., Bull. Callcutta Math. Soc. 60(1968) 71-76.
- Kuratowski K. and Ryll-Nardzewski C., Bull. Acad. Polo. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965) 397-403.
- 11. Lin. T.C. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103(1988)1129-1135.
- 12. Papageorgiou N.S., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97(1986)507-514.

- Rohades B.E., Sessa S. Khan M.S. and Swaleh M., J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser.A) 43(1987)328-346.
- 14. Seghal V.M., and Singh S.P., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95(1985)91-94.
- 15. Spacek A., Zufallige Gleichungen, Czechoslovak Math. J. 5(1955) 462-466.
- 16. Wong C.S., Paci. J. Math. 48(1973)299-312.
- 17. Tan. K.K., Xu, H.K., On Fixed Point Theorems of non-expansive mappings in product spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113(1991), 983-989.

First Author:Prof. Dr. Rajesh Shrivastav, Head of Dept., Govt. Science & Commerce College Benazir, Bhopal..

He has worked in various Governmental Colleges of Madhya Pradesh and achieved great success in teaching Topology, Algebra, Non linear analysis. He has teaching experience of 25 years . His areas of research include Fixed point theorem in abstract spaces like Menger spaces Metric spaces, Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces, 2-Banach spaces, Fuzzy logic and its applications. He has published 76 national / international papers till now. Some papers are ready to be published.

At present, Dr. Shrivastava is member of Board of Study- Mathematics & Exam Committee Barkatullah University, Bhopal (MP) INDIA. He is life member of the Indian Science Congress Association.

Second Author: Mrs. Richa Gupta Head of Maths Dept. R.K.D.F IST. Bhopal(M.P)India. She has completed M.Sc.(maths) in 1997. She has 14 yrs experience in teaching Engineering mathematics. She is pursuing Ph.D under Dr. Rajesh Shrivastava. Till now, she has published 6 international research papers.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

