

On s^*g - α -Proper Functions

Sabiha Ibraheem Mahmood Jumana Serri Tareq

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq
 E-mail: alzubaidy.sabiha@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new class of functions in topological spaces, namely, s^*g - α -proper functions. Also, we study the basic properties and characterizations of these functions. One of the most important of equivalent definitions to the s^*g - α -proper functions gives by using s^*g - α -limit points of nets. Moreover we define and study s^*g - α -perfect functions and s^*g - α -compact functions in topological spaces and we study the relation between s^*g - α -proper functions and each of proper functions, s^*g - α -perfect functions, closed functions, s^*g - α -closed functions and s^*g - α -compact functions and we give an example when the converse may not be true.

Key words: s^*g - α -proper functions, s^*g - α -perfect functions, s^*g - α -closed functions, s^*g - α -compact functions, s^*g - α -limit points, compactly s^*g - α -closed sets and s^*g - α -K-spaces.

Introduction

Levine, N. [6] introduced the concept of semi open sets. Also, Khan, M. and et.al. [5] introduced and investigated s^*g -open sets by using the concept of semi-closed sets. Mahmood, S. and Tareq, J. [7] we introduced and study s^*g - α -open sets and we can prove that the family of all s^*g - α -open subsets of a topological space (X, τ) from a topology on X which is finer than τ . The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of functions, namely, s^*g - α -proper functions. We give the definition by depending on the definition of s^*g - α -closed functions. Also, we give useful characterizations of s^*g - α -proper functions. The second equivalent definition to s^*g - α -proper functions by using s^*g - α -limit points of nets is more interesting than the first equivalent definition. Moreover we study the relation between s^*g - α -proper functions and certain types of functions such as proper functions, s^*g - α -perfect functions, closed functions, s^*g - α -closed functions and s^*g - α -compact functions and we give an example when the converse may not be true. Recall that a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a semi-open set if there exists an open subset U of X such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(U)$ [6]. The complement of a semi-open set is said to be semi-closed [6]. An s^*g -open set is also called \hat{g} -open [9], s^* -open [2] and w -open [8].

1. Preliminaries

1.1 Definition [5]: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called s^*g -open if $F \subseteq A^\circ$ whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is semi-closed in X . The complement of an s^*g -open set is defined to be s^*g -closed.

1.2 Definition [5]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then:

- i) The s^*g -closure of A , denoted by \overline{A}^{s^*g} is the intersection of all s^*g -closed subsets of X which contains A .
- ii) The s^*g -interior of A , denoted by $A^{s^*g\circ}$ is the union of all s^*g -open subsets of X which are contained in A .

1.3 Definition [7]: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called an s^*g - α -open set if $A \subseteq \overline{A^\circ}^{s^*g}$. The complement of an s^*g - α -open set is defined to be s^*g - α -closed. The family of all s^*g - α -open subsets of X is denoted by $\tau^{s^*g-\alpha}$.

1.4 Definition [7]: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called an s^*g - α -neighborhood of a point x in X if there exists an s^*g - α -open set U in X such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. The family of all s^*g - α -neighborhoods of a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $N_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$.

1.5 Proposition [7]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and B be a subset of X . Then B is s^*g - α -closed in X if and only if $\overline{B}^{s^*g\circ} \subseteq B$.

1.6 Definition [7]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then the s^*g - α -closure of A , denoted by $\overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$ is the intersection of all s^*g - α -closed subsets of X which contains A .

1.7 Theorem [7]: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then:

- i) $A \subseteq \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq \overline{A}$
- ii) $\overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed set in X .
- iii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq \overline{B}^{s^*g-\alpha}$.
- iv) A is $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed iff $\overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha} = A$.
- v) $\overline{\overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}}^{s^*g-\alpha} = \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$.
- vi) $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$ iff for every $s^*g-\alpha$ -open set U containing x , $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

1.8 Proposition: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and Y be an open subspace of X . If A is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed set in X , then $A \cap Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed set in Y .

1.9 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. If $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. Then if $A \times B$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed set in $X \times Y$, then A and B are $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed sets in X and Y respectively.

Proof: It is obvious.

1.10 Definition [7]: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called $s^*g-\alpha$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every $s^*g-\alpha$ -open subset of Y is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -open subset of X .

1.11 Proposition [7]: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed subset of Y is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed subset of X .

1.12 Definition [4]: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called compact if the inverse image of every compact set in Y is a compact set in X .

1.13 Definition: A family $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $s^*g-\alpha$ -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) is called an $s^*g-\alpha$ -open cover of a subset A of X if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$.

1.14 Definition: A topological space (X, τ) is called an $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact space if every $s^*g-\alpha$ -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

1.15 Definition: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact if every cover of A by $s^*g-\alpha$ -open subsets of X has a finite subcover.

1.16 Proposition: Every $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact space is a compact space.

The converse of proposition (1.16) is not true in general as shown by the following example:

1.17 Example: Let X be any infinite set and $p \in X$, then $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{p\}\}$ is a topology on X . Notice that (X, τ) is a compact space. However, it is not an $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact space, because $\{\{p, x\} : x \in X\}$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -open cover of X which has no finite subcover.

1.18 Proposition: The $s^*g-\alpha$ -irresolute image of an $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact space is $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: It is obvious.

1.19 Definition: A subset F of a topological space (X, τ) is called compactly $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed if $F \cap K$ is a compact set in X for each $s^*g-\alpha$ -compact set K in X .

Clearly every $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed subset of a topological space (X, τ) is compactly $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed. But the converse is not true in general as shown by the following example:

1.20 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ be a topology on X . Therefore the sets in $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are s^*g - α -closed in X . Thus $\{a\}$ is a compactly s^*g - α -closed set in X , but is not s^*g - α -closed.

1.21 Definition: A topological space (X, τ) is called an s^*g - α -K-space if every compactly s^*g - α -closed subset of X is s^*g - α -closed.

1.22 Definition: Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in a topological space (X, τ) . Then $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ s^*g - α -converges to $x \in X$ (written $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$) if for each s^*g - α -neighborhood U of x , there is some $d_0 \in D$ such that $d \geq d_0$ implies $x_d \in U$. This is sometimes said $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ s^*g - α -converges to x if $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is eventually in every s^*g - α -neighborhood of x . The point x is called an s^*g - α -limit point of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$.

1.23 Proposition: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. If x is a point of X , then $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$ if and only if there exists a net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in A such that $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$.

Proof: \Leftarrow Suppose that \exists a net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in A such that $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$. To prove that $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$. Let $U \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$, since $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x \Rightarrow \exists d_0 \in D$ such that $x_d \in U, \forall d \geq d_0$. But $x_d \in A, \forall d \in D \Rightarrow U \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall U \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$. Hence by theorem ((1.7),(vi)), we get $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$.

Conversely, suppose that $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$. To prove that \exists a net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in A such that $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$. Since $x \in \overline{A}^{s^*g-\alpha}$, then by theorem ((1.7),(vi)), we get $N \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$. Hence $D = \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$ is a directed set by inclusion. Since $N \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x) \Rightarrow \exists x_N \in N \cap A$.

Define $x : \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x) \rightarrow A$ by: $x(N) = x_N, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$. Thus $(x_N)_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)}$ is a net in A . To prove that $x_N \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$. Let $U \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$ to find $d_0 \in D$ such that $x_d \in U, \forall d \geq d_0$. Let $d_0 = U \Rightarrow \forall d \geq d_0 \Rightarrow d = M \in \mathcal{N}_{s^*g-\alpha}(x)$ i.e. $M \geq U \Leftrightarrow M \subseteq U \Rightarrow x_d = x(d) = x(M) = x_M \in M \cap A \subseteq M \subseteq U \Rightarrow x_M \in U \Rightarrow x_d \in U, \forall d \geq d_0$. Thus $x_N \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$.

1.24 proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is s^*g - α -irresolute iff whenever $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is a net in X such that $x_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} x$, then $f(x_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} f(x)$ in Y .

Proof: It is obvious.

1.25 Definition [3]: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is called a proper function if:

- i) f is a continuous function.
- ii) $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is closed for every topological space Z .

2. Properties of s^*g - α -Closed Functions

In this section we introduce a new definition (to the best of our knowledge), namely, s^*g - α -closed functions which is weaker than closed functions, and prove some of the results which relate to this concept. Also, we explain the relationship between an s^*g - α -closed function and an s^*g - α -compact function.

2.1 Definition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an s^*g - α -closed (resp. s^*g - α -open) function if the image of every closed (resp. open) subset of X is an s^*g - α -closed (resp. s^*g - α -open) set in Y .

2.2 Examples:

- i) Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then f is an s^*g - α -closed function.

ii) If F is an s^*g - α -closed (not closed) set in X , then the inclusion function $t_F : F \rightarrow X$ is s^*g - α -closed, but is not a closed function.

Since every closed set is an s^*g - α -closed set, then we have the following proposition.

2.3 Proposition: Every closed function is an s^*g - α -closed function.

The converse of proposition (2.3) may not be true in general as shown by the following example.

2.4 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ be sets and let $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau' = \{\phi, Y, \{x\}\}$ be topologies on X and Y , respectively. So the sets in $\{X, \phi, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ are closed in X . Also, the sets in $\{Y, \phi, \{y, z\}, \{z\}, \{y\}\}$ are s^*g - α -closed sets in Y . Define the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by: $f(a) = f(c) = z$, $f(b) = x$ and $f(d) = y$. Notice that f is an s^*g - α -closed function. But f is not a closed function, since $\{d\}$ is a closed set in X , but $f(\{d\}) = \{y\}$ is not a closed set in Y .

2.5 Theorem: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is s^*g - α -closed if and only if for each subset B of Y and each open subset U of X containing $f^{-1}(B)$, there exists an s^*g - α -open set V in Y containing B such that $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Proof: \Rightarrow Suppose that B is an arbitrary subset of Y and U is an arbitrary open subset of X containing $f^{-1}(B)$. Put $V = Y - f(X - U)$. Then by definition (2.1), V is an s^*g - α -open set in Y . Since $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U$
 $\Rightarrow X - U \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - B) \Rightarrow f(X - U) \subseteq Y - B \Rightarrow B \subseteq Y - f(X - U) \Rightarrow B \subseteq V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Conversely, Let F be any closed set in X . Put $B = Y - f(F)$, then we have $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq X - F$. Since $X - F$ is an open set in X , then by hypothesis there exists an s^*g - α -open set V in Y such that $B \subseteq V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X - F$. Therefore, we obtain $f(F) = Y - V$ and hence $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . This shows that f is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.6 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is s^*g - α -closed if and only if $\overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq f(\overline{A})$ for each $A \subseteq X$.

Proof: \Rightarrow suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Since $f(A) \subseteq f(\overline{A})$ and \overline{A} is a closed set in X , then $f(\overline{A})$ is s^*g - α -closed in Y . Therefore $\overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq \overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} = f(\overline{A})$. Hence $\overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq f(\overline{A})$ for each $A \subseteq X$. Conversely, assume that $\overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq f(\overline{A})$ for each $A \subseteq X$. Let F be a closed subset of X , thus by hypothesis $\overline{f(F)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq f(\overline{F}) = f(F)$. But $f(F) \subseteq \overline{f(F)}^{s^*g-\alpha}$, then $f(F) = \overline{f(F)}^{s^*g-\alpha}$. Hence $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.7 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A bijective function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function if and only if f is an s^*g - α -open function.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective s^*g - α -closed function and U be an open subset of X , thus U^c is closed. Since f is s^*g - α -closed, then $f(U^c)$ is s^*g - α -closed in Y , thus $(f(U^c))^c$ is s^*g - α -open. Since f is a bijective function, then $(f(U^c))^c = f(U)$, hence $f(U)$ is an s^*g - α -open set in Y . Therefore f is an s^*g - α -open function.

Conversely, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective s^*g - α -open function and F be a closed subset of X , thus F^c is open. Since f is s^*g - α -open, then $f(F^c)$ is s^*g - α -open in Y , thus $(f(F^c))^c$ is s^*g - α -closed. Since f is a bijective function, then $(f(F^c))^c = f(F)$, hence $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Therefore f is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.8 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If $\overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} = f(\overline{A})$

for each $A \subseteq X$, then f is a continuous s^*g - α -closed function.

Proof: To prove that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Let F be a closed subset of X , then $\overline{F} = F$. By hypothesis $\overline{f(F)}^{s^*g-\alpha} = f(\overline{F}) = f(F)$, hence $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Therefore $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Now, to prove that f is a continuous function. Since $f(\overline{A}) = \overline{f(A)}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ for each $A \subseteq X$, thus by ([10], theorem (7.2)), $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous function.

2.9 Theorem: Let (X, τ) , (Y, τ') and (Z, τ'') be three topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be two functions. Then:

- i) If f is closed and g is s^*g - α -closed, then $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -closed.
- ii) If $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -closed and f is continuous and onto, then g is s^*g - α -closed.
- iii) If $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -closed and g is one-to-one and s^*g - α -irresolute, then f is s^*g - α -closed.

Proof:

- i) To prove that $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Let F be a closed subset of X . Since f is closed, then $f(F)$ is a closed set in Y . But g is an s^*g - α -closed function, then $g(f(F))$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Z , hence $(g \circ f)(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Z . Thus $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.
- ii) To prove that $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Let F be a closed subset of Y , since f is continuous, then $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed set in X . Since $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -closed, then $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(F)) = g(f \circ f^{-1}(F))$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Z . Since f is onto, then $g(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Z . Thus $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.
- iii) To prove that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Let F be a closed subset of X , since $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -closed, then $(g \circ f)(F)$ is s^*g - α -closed in Z . Since g is s^*g - α -irresolute, then $g^{-1}(g \circ f)(F) = (g^{-1} \circ g)(f(F))$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Since g is one-to-one, then $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.10 Corollary: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function, then the restriction of f to a closed subset F of X is an s^*g - α -closed function of F into Y .

Proof: Since F is a closed set in X , then the inclusion function $\iota_F : F \rightarrow X$ is a closed function. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function, then by theorem ((2.9),(i)), $f \circ \iota_F : F \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. But $f \circ \iota_F = f|_F$, thus the restriction function $f|_F : F \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.11 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s^*g - α -closed function. Then for each open subset T of Y , the function $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is also s^*g - α -closed.

Proof: Let F be a closed subset of $f^{-1}(T)$, then there is a closed subset F_1 of X such that $F = F_1 \cap f^{-1}(T)$. Since $f_T(F) = f(F_1) \cap T$ and $f(F_1)$ is s^*g - α -closed in Y and T is an open subset of Y , then by proposition (1.8), $f(F_1) \cap T$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in T . Thus f_T is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.12 Remark: If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function and $T \subseteq Y$ is not an open set. Then $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ is not necessarily an s^*g - α -closed function as the following example shows.

2.13 Example: In example (2.4), let $T = \{y, z\}$, notice that T is not open in Y and $\tau'_T = \{\emptyset, T\}$, then $f^{-1}(T) = \{a, c, d\}$ and $\tau_{f^{-1}(T)} = \{f^{-1}(T), \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Define the function $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ by:

$f_T(x) = f(x), \forall x \in f^{-1}(T)$. Notice that the subset $\{d\}$ of $f^{-1}(T)$, but $f_T(\{d\}) = \{y\}$ is not an s^*g - α -closed set in T , since $\overline{\overline{(\{y\})_T}^{s^*g\alpha}}_T = T \not\subset \{y\}$. Thus f_T is not an s^*g - α -closed function.

The product of two s^*g - α -closed functions is not necessarily an s^*g - α -closed function as shown by the following example:

2.14 Example: Let $f_1 : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $f_1(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. And let $I_{\mathfrak{R}} : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $I_{\mathfrak{R}}(x) = x, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$ where $I_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is the identity function on \mathfrak{R} . Clearly f_1 and $I_{\mathfrak{R}}$ are s^*g - α -closed functions, but $f_1 \times I_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ such that $(f_1 \times I_{\mathfrak{R}})(x, y) = (0, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ is not an s^*g - α -closed function, since the set $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} : x y = 1\}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$, but $(f_1 \times I_{\mathfrak{R}})(A) = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{R} / \{0\}$ is not s^*g - α -closed in $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$.

2.15 Theorem: Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be two functions. If $f_1 \times f_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is s^*g - α -closed, then f_1 and f_2 are also s^*g - α -closed functions.

Proof: Suppose that $f_1 \times f_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. To prove that $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is s^*g - α -closed. Let F be a closed subset of X_1 , to prove that $f_1(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y_1 . Suppose that $G = f_1(F) \Rightarrow F \times X_2$ is a closed set in $X_1 \times X_2$. Since $f_1 \times f_2$ is s^*g - α -closed, then $(f_1 \times f_2)(F \times X_2) = f_1(F) \times f_2(X_2) = G \times f_2(X_2)$ is s^*g - α -closed in $Y_1 \times Y_2$ i.e. $\overline{\overline{G \times f_2(X_2)}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq G \times f_2(X_2)$. But by proposition (1.9), we have: $\overline{\overline{G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \times \overline{\overline{f_2(X_2)}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{G \times f_2(X_2)}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq G \times f_2(X_2) \Rightarrow \overline{\overline{G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq G$. Therefore by proposition (1.5), $G = f_1(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y_1 . Thus f_1 is an s^*g - α -closed function. By the same way we can prove that f_2 is an s^*g - α -closed function. Thus f_1 and f_2 are s^*g - α -closed functions.

2.16 Definition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called s^*g - α -compact if the inverse image of every s^*g - α -compact set in Y is a compact set in X .

2.17 Proposition: Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \tau')$ and (Z, τ'') be three topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be two functions. Then:

- i) If f is compact and g is s^*g - α -compact, then $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -compact.
- ii) If $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -compact and f is continuous and onto, then g is s^*g - α -compact.
- iii) If $g \circ f$ is s^*g - α -compact and g is s^*g - α -irresolute and one-to-one, then f is s^*g - α -compact.

Proof: The proof is similar of theorem (2.9).

2.18 Remark: s^*g - α -closed function and s^*g - α -compact function are in general independent. Consider the following examples:

2.19 Examples:(i) Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\tau' = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b\}\}$, and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ be a function which is defined by: $f(a) = f(c) = a$ and $f(b) = b$. Since X and Y are finite spaces, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X for each s^*g - α -compact subset K of Y . Hence f is an s^*g - α -compact function, but f is not an s^*g - α -closed function, since $\{b\}$ is a closed set in X , but $f(\{b\}) = \{b\}$ is not an s^*g - α -closed set in Y , since $\overline{\overline{\{b\}}^{s^*g\alpha}} = Y \not\subset \{b\}$.

(ii) Let (\mathfrak{R}, μ) be the usual topological space and let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then f is an s^*g - α -closed function, but f is not an s^*g - α -compact function, since $\{0\}$ is an s^*g - α -compact set in \mathfrak{R} , but $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \mathfrak{R}$ is not compact in \mathfrak{R} .

2.20 Proposition: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and (Y, τ') be an s^*g - α -K-space. Then every continuous s^*g - α -compact function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.

Proof: Let F be a closed set in X , to prove that $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Let K be an s^*g - α -compact set in Y . Since f is an s^*g - α -compact function, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X . Since $F \cap f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X and f is continuous, then by ([10], theorem (17.7)), $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K))$ is a compact set in Y . But $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K)) = f(F) \cap K$, thus $f(F) \cap K$ is a compact set in Y . Therefore by definition (1.19), $f(F)$ is a compactly s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Since Y is an s^*g - α -K-space, then by definition (1.21), $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Hence f is an s^*g - α -closed function.

2.21 Proposition: Any one-to-one s^*g - α -closed function is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Proof: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ be a one-to-one s^*g - α -closed function and K be an s^*g - α -compact set in Y . To prove that $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X . Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any open cover of $f^{-1}(K)$, then $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ and

U_α is an open set in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha)^c \subseteq X - f^{-1}(K)$, therefore $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha^c \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - K)$. Since

f is a one-to-one function, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha^c) = f(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha^c) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(Y - K)) \subseteq Y - K \Rightarrow K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (Y - f(U_\alpha^c))$.

Since f is an s^*g - α -closed function and U_α^c is a closed set in X for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $f(U_\alpha^c)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $\{Y - f(U_\alpha^c)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an s^*g - α -open cover of K . Since K is s^*g - α -compact, then

$\exists \{Y - f(U_{\alpha_i}^c)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{Y - f(U_\alpha^c)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ i.e. $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Y - f(U_{\alpha_i}^c)) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(K) \subseteq$

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - f^{-1}(f(U_{\alpha_i}^c))) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. So, $\{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Hence $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set

in X . Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -compact function.

2.22 Corollary: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and (Y, τ') be an s^*g - α -K-space. Then a one-to-one continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function if and only if f is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Proof: It is obvious.

2.23 Definition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an s^*g - α -homeomorphism if:

- i) f is bijective.
- ii) f is continuous.
- iii) f is s^*g - α -closed (resp. s^*g - α -open).

3. Properties of s^*g - α -Proper Functions

In this section we introduce a new definition (to the best of our knowledge), namely, s^*g - α -proper functions. Also, we study the basic properties and characterizations of these functions. Moreover we study the relation between s^*g - α -proper functions and certain types of functions such as proper functions, s^*g - α -perfect functions, closed functions, s^*g - α -closed functions and s^*g - α -compact functions.

3.1 Definition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is called an s^*g - α -proper function if:

- i) f is a continuous function.
- ii) $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed for every topological space Z .

3.2 Examples:

i) Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Notice that f is an s^*g - α -

closed function, but f is not s^*g - α -proper, since for the usual topological space (\mathfrak{R}, μ) , the function $f \times I_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ such that $(f \times I_{\mathfrak{R}})(x, y) = (0, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ is not an s^*g - α -closed function.

ii) An inclusion function $\iota_F : F \rightarrow X$ is s^*g - α -proper if and only if F is an s^*g - α -closed set in X .

Since every closed function is an s^*g - α -closed function, then we have the following proposition:

3.3 Proposition: Every proper function is an s^*g - α -proper function.

The converse of proposition (3.3) may not be true in general as shown by the following example:

3.4 Example: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ and let $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\tau' = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y\}$ be topologies on X and Y , respectively. Define the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by: $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = c$. Therefore f is an s^*g - α -proper function, but f is not a proper function, since f is not a closed function.

3.5 Proposition: Every s^*g - α -proper function is an s^*g - α -closed function.

Proof: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s^*g - α -proper function, then the function $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed for each topological space Z . Let $Z = \{t\}$, then $X \times Z = X \times \{t\} \cong X$ and $Y \times Z = Y \times \{t\} \cong Y$ and we can replace $f \times I_Z$ by f . Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function.

3.6 Remark: The converse of proposition (3.5) may not be true in general. Observe that in examples ((3.2),(i)), $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ is an s^*g - α -closed function, but is not an s^*g - α -proper function.

3.7 Theorem: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous, one-to-one function. Then f is an s^*g - α -proper function if and only if f is an s^*g - α -closed function.

Proof: \Rightarrow By proposition (3.5).

Conversely, assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. To prove that f is s^*g - α -proper i.e. to prove that $h = f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed for every topological space Z . Let C be any closed set in $X \times Z$. To prove that $h(C) = D$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in $Y \times Z$. Let $(y, s) \in D^c \Rightarrow h^{-1}(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c) \Rightarrow (f \times I_Z)^{-1}(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c) \Rightarrow (f^{-1} \times I_Z^{-1})(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \times \{s\} \subseteq C^c$, where C^c is an open set in $X \times Z$. Since f is a one-to-one s^*g - α -closed function, then by proposition (2.21), $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact set in X . Hence by ([10], theorem (17.6)) there are open sets U in X and V in Z such that $f^{-1}(y) \times \{s\} \subseteq U \times V \subseteq C^c \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \subseteq U$ and $\{s\} \subseteq V$. Since f and I_Z are s^*g - α -closed, then by theorem (2.5), there are s^*g - α -open sets U' in Y and V' in Z such that $\{y\} \subseteq U'$, $\{s\} \subseteq V'$, $f^{-1}(U') \subseteq U$ and $I_Z^{-1}(V') \subseteq V \Rightarrow (y, s) \in U' \times V' \subseteq D^c \Rightarrow D^c$ is an s^*g - α -open set in $Y \times Z \Rightarrow D$ is an s^*g - α -closed in $Y \times Z$. Hence $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

3.8 Corollary: Every s^*g - α -homeomorphism is an s^*g - α -proper function.

The converse of corollary (3.8) may not be true in general as shown by the following example:

3.9 Example: Let $f : ([0,1], \mu') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by: $f(x) = x$, $\forall x \in [0,1]$ where μ' is the relative usual topology on $[0,1]$. Clearly that f is an s^*g - α -proper function, but is not s^*g - α -homeomorphism.

3.10 Theorem: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous, function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) f is an s^*g - α -proper function.

ii) f is an s^*g - α -closed function and $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact set in X for each $y \in Y$.

iii) If $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is a net in X and $y \in Y$ is an s^*g - α -limit point of the net $(f(x_d))_{d \in D}$, then there is a cluster point $x \in X$ of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ such that $f(x) = y$.

Proof: (i \rightarrow ii). If f is an s^*g - α -proper function, then by proposition (3.5), f is an s^*g - α -closed function. Also, by ([1], theorem (3.1.12)), $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact set in X for each $y \in Y$.

(ii \rightarrow iii). Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in X and $y \in Y$ be an s^*g - α -limit point of a net $(f(x_d))_{d \in D}$ in Y . To prove that there is a cluster point $x \in X$ of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ such that $f(x) = y$. Claim $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$, if $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \Rightarrow y \notin f(X) \Rightarrow y \in (f(X))^c$, since X is a closed set in X and f is s^*g - α -closed, then $f(X)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Thus $(f(X))^c$ is an s^*g - α -open set in Y . Therefore $(f(x_d))_{d \in D}$ is eventually in $(f(X))^c$. But $f(x_d) \in f(X), \forall d \in D$, then $f(X) \cap (f(X))^c \neq \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$.

Now, suppose that the statement (iii) is not true, that means, for all $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ there exists an open set U_x in X contains x such that $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is not frequently in U_x . Notice that $f^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \{x\} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} U_x$.

Therefore the family $\{U_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$ is an open cover of $f^{-1}(y)$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact set, then there exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i})^c = \emptyset \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c) = \emptyset$. But

$(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is not frequently in $U_{x_i}, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$, thus $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is not frequently in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$ is an

open set in X , then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c$ is a closed set in X . Thus $f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Claim

$y \notin f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c)$, if $y \in f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c)$, then there exists $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c$ such that $f(x) = y$, thus $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$, but

$x \in f^{-1}(y)$, therefore $f^{-1}(y)$ is not a subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$, and this is a contradiction. Hence $y \notin f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c)$ and

by theorem ((1.7),(vi)), there is an s^*g - α -open set A in Y such that $y \in A$ and $A \cap f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c) = \emptyset \Rightarrow$

$$f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c)) = \emptyset \Rightarrow$$

$$f^{-1}(A) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}^c) = \emptyset \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}. \text{ But } (f(x_d))_{d \in D} \text{ is eventually in } A, \text{ then } (f(x_d))_{d \in D} \text{ is}$$

frequently in A , thus $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is frequently in $f^{-1}(A)$ and then $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ is frequently in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$, this is a

contradiction. Thus there is a cluster point $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ of $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ such that $f(x) = y$.

(iii \rightarrow i). To prove that $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function for every topological space Z . Let F be a closed subset of $X \times Z$ and $(f \times I_Z)(F) = G$. To prove that G is an s^*g - α -closed set in $Y \times Z$. Let

$(y, z) \in \overline{G}^{s^*g-\alpha}$, then by proposition (1.23), there exists a net $\{(y_d, z_d)\}_{d \in D}$ in G such that

$$(y_d, z_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} (y, z). \text{ Thus there is a net } \{(x_d, z_d)\}_{d \in D} \text{ in } F \text{ such that } (f \times I_Z)(x_d, z_d) = (y_d, z_d), \forall d \in D.$$

Since $(f(x_d), I_Z(z_d)) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} (y, z)$, then $f(x_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} y$ and $z_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z$, hence by hypothesis there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x_d \rightarrow x$ and $f(x) = y$. Since $z_d \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z$, then $z_d \rightarrow z$. Therefore $x_d \rightarrow x$ and

$z_d \rightarrow z \Rightarrow (x_d, z_d) \rightarrow (x, z)$. Since $\{(x_d, z_d)\}$ is a net in F and F is closed, thus by ([10], theorem

(11.7)), $(x, z) \in \overline{F} = F \Rightarrow (y, z) = (f \times I_Z)(x, z) \in G$. Thus $\overline{G}^{s^*g-\alpha} \subseteq G$

$\Rightarrow G = \overline{G}^{s^*g-\alpha}$. Hence G is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed set in $Y \times Z$. Therefore $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -closed function for every topological space Z . Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.

3.11 Corollary: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $\{p\}$ be a space consisting of a single point. Then a function $f : X \rightarrow \{p\}$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper if and only if X is a compact space.

Proof: It is obvious.

3.12 Definition: If the function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper and (X, τ) is a T_2 -space, then f is called an $s^*g-\alpha$ -perfect function.

3.13 Corollary: Every $s^*g-\alpha$ -perfect function is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.

3.14 Remark: The converse of corollary (3.13) may not be true in general. Consider the following example:

3.15 Example: Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}})$ be the identity function, where $\tau_{\text{cof.}}$ be the cofinite topology on \mathfrak{R} . Then f is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -homeomorphism and by corollary (3.8), f is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper. Since $(\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}})$ is not a T_2 -space, then f is not an $s^*g-\alpha$ -perfect function.

3.16 Theorem: Let (X, τ) , (Y, τ') and (Z, τ'') be topological spaces, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be continuous functions. Then:

- i) If f is proper and g is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper, then $g \circ f$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper.
- ii) If $g \circ f$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper and f is onto, then g is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper.
- iii) If $g \circ f$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper and g is one-to-one and $s^*g-\alpha$ -irresolute, then f is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper.

Proof:

- i) It is clear that $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous function. Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in X such that $(g \circ f)(x_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z \in Z$. Since g is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function and $g(f(x_d)) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z$, then by theorem (3.10), there is a point $y \in Y$ such that $f(x_d) \in y$ and $g(y) = z$. Since f is a proper function, then by [3], there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x_d \in x$ and $f(x) = y$. Hence there is $x \in X$ such that $x_d \in x$ and $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$. Thus $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.
- ii) Let $(y_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in Y such that $g(y_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z \in Z$. Since $(y_d)_{d \in D}$ is a net in Y and f is onto, then there is a net $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ in X such that $f(x_d) = y_d$, $\forall d \in D$. Hence $g(f(x_d)) = (g \circ f)(x_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} z$. Since $g \circ f$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper, then by theorem (3.10), there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x_d \in x$ and $(g \circ f)(x) = z$. Since f is continuous, then by ([10], theorem (11.8)), $f(x_d) \in f(x)$. Hence there is a point $f(x) \in Y$ such that $y_d \in f(x)$ and $g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x) = z$. Thus $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.
- iii) Let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ be a net in X such that $f(x_d) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} y \in Y$. Since g is $s^*g-\alpha$ -irresolute, then by proposition (1.24), $g(f(x_d)) \xrightarrow{s^*g-\alpha} g(y)$. But $g \circ f$ is $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper, then by theorem (3.10), there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x_d \in x$ and $(g \circ f)(x) = g(y)$. Since $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y)$ and since g is one-to-one, then $f(x) = y$. Thus $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.

3.17 Corollary: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function, then the restriction of f to a closed subset F of X is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function of F into Y .

Proof: Since F is a closed set in X , then the inclusion function $\iota_F : F \rightarrow X$ is a proper function. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function, then by theorem ((3.16),(i)), $f \circ \iota_F : F \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function. But $f \circ \iota_F = f|_F$, thus the restriction function $f|_F : F \rightarrow Y$ is an $s^*g-\alpha$ -proper function.

3.18 Corollary: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -perfect function, then the restriction of f to a closed subset F of X is an s^*g - α -perfect function of F into Y .

Proof: It is obvious.

3.19 Proposition: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s^*g - α -proper function. Then for each open subset T of Y , the function $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is also s^*g - α -proper.

Proof: Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then so is f_T . To prove that $f_T \times I_Z : f^{-1}(T) \times Z \rightarrow T \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed for every topological space Z . Since f is s^*g - α -proper, then $f \times I_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed for every topological space Z . Since $f_T \times I_Z = (f \times I_Z)_{T \times Z}$ and $T \times Z$ is an open subset of $Y \times Z$, then by proposition (2.11), $f_T \times I_Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Thus $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

3.20 Corollary: Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ') be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an s^*g - α -perfect function. Then for each open subset T of Y , the function $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is also s^*g - α -perfect.

Proof: It is obvious.

3.21 Proposition: If $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a proper function and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is an s^*g - α -proper function. Then $f_1 \times f_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

Proof: Let Z be any topological space. We can write $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z$ by the composition of $I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z$ and $f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z$. Since f_1 is proper, then $f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z$ is closed. Since f_2 is s^*g - α -proper, then $I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z$ is s^*g - α -closed, hence by theorem ((2.9),(i)), $(I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z) \circ (f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z)$ is s^*g - α -closed. But $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z = (I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z) \circ (f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z) \Rightarrow f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z$ is s^*g - α -closed. Thus $f_1 \times f_2$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

3.22 Theorem: Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be functions such that $f_1 \times f_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is an s^*g - α -proper function. Then f_1 and f_2 are s^*g - α -proper.

Proof: Let Z be any topological space. To prove that $f_2 \times I_Z : X_2 \times Z \rightarrow Y_2 \times Z$ is s^*g - α -closed. Let F be a closed set in $X_2 \times Z$ and $G = (f_2 \times I_Z)(F)$. To prove that G is s^*g - α -closed in $Y_2 \times Z$. Since $X_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $X_1 \times F$ is closed in $X_1 \times X_2 \times Z$. Since $f_1 \times f_2$ is s^*g - α -proper, then $(f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z)(X_1 \times F) =$

$f_1(X_1) \times G$ is s^*g - α -closed in $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Z$ i.e. $\overline{\overline{f_1(X_1) \times G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq f_1(X_1) \times G$. But by proposition (1.9), we have $\overline{\overline{f_1(X_1)}^{s^*g\alpha}} \times \overline{\overline{G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{f_1(X_1) \times G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq f_1(X_1) \times G \Rightarrow \overline{\overline{G}^{s^*g\alpha}} \subseteq G$. Hence by proposition (1.5), $G = (f_2 \times I_Z)(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in $Y_2 \times Z$. Therefore $f_2 \times I_Z$ is an s^*g - α -closed function. Thus f_2 is an s^*g - α -proper function. By the same way we can prove that f_1 is an s^*g - α -proper function.

3.23 Proposition: If X is any compact topological space and Y is any topological space, then the projection $pr_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

Proof: pr_2 factorizes into $X \times Y \xrightarrow{h} Y \times X \xrightarrow{I_Y \times f} Y$, where $h(x, y) = (y, x)$. h is a homeomorphism, hence h is proper. Since X is a compact space, then by corollary (3.11), $f : X \rightarrow \{p\}$ is s^*g - α -proper, since $I_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$ is proper, then by proposition (3.21), $Y \times X \xrightarrow{I_Y \times f} Y \times \{p\} \cong Y$ is s^*g - α -proper. Therefore by theorem ((3.16),(i)), $pr_2 = (I_Y \times f) \circ h$ is an s^*g - α -proper function.

Now, we shall explain the relationships between the s^*g - α -proper functions and the s^*g - α -compact functions.

3.24 Proposition: Every s^*g - α -proper function is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Proof: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ be an s^*g - α -proper function. To prove that f is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Let K be an s^*g - α -compact subset of Y and let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any open cover of $f^{-1}(K)$. Since f is an s^*g - α -proper function, then by theorem (3.10), $f^{-1}(k)$ is a compact set in X for each $k \in K$. But $f^{-1}(k) \subseteq f^{-1}(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$, thus there exists n_k such that $f^{-1}(k) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} U_{\alpha_i}$. Let $U_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} U_{\alpha_i}$, thus $f^{-1}(k) \subseteq U_k$.

Notice that for each $k \in K$, $k \in (Y \setminus f(X \setminus U_k))$. Hence $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K} (Y \setminus f(X \setminus U_k))$, but K is an s^*g - α -compact set in Y and the sets $(Y \setminus f(X \setminus U_k))$ are s^*g - α -open. Thus there exists k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j such that

$K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^j (Y \setminus f(X \setminus U_{k_\alpha}))$. Hence $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^j U_{k_\alpha}$. Therefore $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X . Hence the

function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ is an s^*g - α -compact function.

The converse of proposition (3.24) may not be true in general. Consider the following example:

3.25 Example: Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \tau)$ be a function from the usual topological space (\mathfrak{R}, μ) to a topological space (\mathfrak{R}, τ) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathfrak{R}, \{0\}\}$ such that $f(x) = x$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then f is not an s^*g - α -proper function, since $\{0\}$ is a closed set in (\mathfrak{R}, μ) , but $f(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ is not an s^*g - α -closed set in (\mathfrak{R}, τ) . While f is an s^*g - α -compact function.

3.26 Proposition: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$ be a continuous function such that Y is an s^*g - α - K -space. Then f is an s^*g - α -proper function if and only if f is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Proof: \Rightarrow By proposition (3.24), every s^*g - α -proper function is an s^*g - α -compact function.

Conversely, since f is an s^*g - α -compact function and $\{y\}$ is an s^*g - α -compact set in Y , then by definition (2.16), $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact set in X for each $y \in Y$. Now, to prove that f is an s^*g - α -closed function. Let F be a closed set in X , to prove that $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Suppose that K is an s^*g - α -compact set in Y , then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X . But $F \cap f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in X and f is continuous, then by ([10], theorem (17.7)), $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K))$ is a compact set in Y . Since $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K)) = f(F) \cap K$, then $f(F) \cap K$ is a compact set in Y . Therefore by definition (1.19), $f(F)$ is a compactly s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Since Y is an s^*g - α - K -space, then by definition (1.21), $f(F)$ is an s^*g - α -closed set in Y . Thus by theorem (3.10), f is an s^*g - α -proper function.

References

- [1]. Al-Badairy, M. H., "On Feebly Proper Actions", M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriya University, 2005.
- [2]. Al-Meklaifi, S., "On New Types of Separation Axioms", M. Sc. Thesis, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriya University, 2002.
- [3]. Bourbaki, N., "Elements of Mathematics, General Topology", Chapter 1-4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-york, London, Paris, Tokyo, 2nd Edition, 1989.
- [4]. Halfar, E., "Compact Mappings", Amer. Math. Soci., 1, 828-830, 1957.
- [5]. Khan, M., Noiri, T. and Hussain, M., "On s^*g -closed Sets and s^* -normal Spaces", JNSMAC, 48 (1,2), 31-41, 2008.
- [6]. Levine, N., "Semi-open Sets and Semi-continuity in Topological Spaces", Amer. Math. Monthly, 70,

36-41, 1963.

- [7]. Mahmood, S. I. and Tareq, J. S., "On s^*g - α -open Sets in Topological Spaces", Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science, 2014 (to appear).
- [8]. Sundaram, P. and Sheik John, M., "On w -closed Sets in Topology", Acta Ciencia Indica Math. 4, 389–392, 2000.
- [9]. Veerakumar, M. K., " \hat{g} -closed Sets and $G\hat{L}C$ -functions", Indian J. Math., 43(2), 231-247, 2001.
- [10]. Willard, S., "General Topology", Addison-Wesley Inc., Mass., 1970.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Academic conference: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

