# Soil Fertility Management and Cropping System Function in Ameliorating Maize Productivity in Ethiopia: a Review

## Derib Kifle

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta Agricultural Research Center, P O box 31, Ethiopia

#### 1. Abstract

Maize is the most important cereal crop in terms of area coverage, production, and economic importance in Ethiopia. However, decline in soil fertility was the major factor for lower productivity of maize in the country. To ward off this soil infertility problems, chemical fertilizers have been relied to boost the productivity of maize in continuous production system. However, rising costs of inorganic fertilizers may not encourage the smallholder farmers to use the full dose of fertilizers recommended for their crops. It is thus, in sourcing for an alternative that reduces the cost of production while increasing the productivity of soils, integrated soil fertility management and improved cropping system has been proven to harmonize the current need of smallholder farmers and to produce maize using low input fertilizers from organic and inorganic sources such as farmyard manure, green manures, compost, crop residues and biogas sludge. Therefore, several research attempts have been made to optimize the integrated uses of inorganic and organic fertilizers at different locations. Uses of legumes such as mucuna and Dolichos lablab at Bako and crotalaria, sesbania and mucuna at Jimma as short fallows and green manures enhanced soil fertility and confirmed to replace either partially or fully the N-fertilizer requirement of maize from external sources. Application of 70 g (corresponding to 3.71 tons per ha) of manure, combined with a small quantity (0.5 g per pocket) of fertilizer, improved maize grain yield by 77% compared to non-use of inputs in Borena. Yearly application of 4 ton FYM ha-1 with 46/10 kg NP ha-1gave maize yield comparable to 110/20 kg NP ha-1 and use of compost also had similar trends at Bako. Another work done at Ebantu showed that combination of vermicompost at 2.5 t ha-1 and mineral P fertilizer (20 kg ha-1) with lime (4 t ha-1) was optimum. Research reports on integration of crop residues with NP fertilizers at Haramaya and coffee by products integrated with N-fertilizer at Areka could enhance soil fertility and made maize production trends sustainable in Ethiopia. It was observed that maize production system can be sustained through integrated uses of 90 kg N ha-1 with six ton ha-1 coffee by product in Hawasa. The integration of biogas slurry and NP fertilizer produced significantly higher grain yield of maize and improved soil physico-chemical properties at Bako. In terms of integrating cropping sequence with NP and FYM, studies show that intercropping of maize with climbing bean with integrated application of 69/10 kg NP ha-1 with 4-8 t FYM ha-1 gave better grain yields and is recommended for sustainable production of component crops. At Bako maize rotated with nug and at Jimma maize following soybean reduced the recommended fertilizer rates by 50%. The combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers has a positive synergy that can reduce the chemical fertilizer cost as well as mitigate the environmental hazardous effect along with intercropping and rotating potential grain legumes and forage and fodder legumes with maize to enhance soil fertility and to boost grain production at low cost for maize based farming system in Ethiopia. Thus, this paper attempts to present a narrative review of published research manuscripts on integrated soil fertility management and cropping system options for maize production in Ethiopia.

Keywords: Fertilizer management, Soil Fertility, Cropping system, Maize, grain Yield DOI: 10.7176/JNSR/11-13-01

Publication date: July 31st 2020

# 2. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the most important cereal worldwide (Ashraf *et al.*, 2016a) that belongs to the family Poaceae. It is a C4 plant, short duration and quick growing crop. It is globally ranks the third position among cereal crops after wheat and rice and it is important staple food in many countries. Grains of maize contain 13% moisture, 10% crude protein and 70.3 carbohydrates (Martin et al., 2006. Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most versatile emerging crops having wider adaptability under varied agro climatic conditions. Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. Maize is cultivated throughout the world (58°N latitude to 40°S latitude) in an area of 179.9 m.ha across 165 countries with a production of 1013.6 m.t and average productivity of 5.63 t/ha. Only the USA, China and Brazil contribute 63% to the global maize production whilst Mexico, Argentina, India, Ukraine, Indonesia, France, Canada and South Africa are also major maize producing countries (FAO, 2011).

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the principal food crops in Ethiopia. It is also the most important cereal crop in terms of area coverage, production, and economic importance in Ethiopia (Legesse et al., 2011). According to CSA (2010) maize occupied 2.1 million hectares (ha) of land with estimated average yield of 2.9 tons (t) ha-1. This is far below the world average 5.1 t ha-1 (FAO, 2008). In Ethiopia, bulk of maize has been grown in humid agro-ecology within the altitudinal ranges of 1500 to 1800 meters above sea level that contributes to 80% of the

national maize production (Kebede et al., 1993). In this agro-ecology, pressure on land to put under cultivation has been increased in time series with raising population and following by gradual reductions of fallow periods (McCann, 1995). In addition, maize has been cultivated continuously on the same piece of land and most of these areas are characterized by cereal-livestock farming systems where free grazing animals remove more of crop residues than are returned in to soils for nutrient recycling, and aggravate soil erosion and high loss of nutrients (Thorne et al., 2002).

The major constraints affecting maize production and productivity are declining soil fertility and inadequate crop management practices, imbalanced nutrition, disturbed soil properties, cultivars being grown, weed infestation etc. (CIMMYT, 1999).Declining soil fertility is fundamental impediment to agricultural growth and a major reason for slow growth in maize production in sub-Saharan Africa (Smaling et al., 1997). Low soil fertility due to monoculture cereal production systems is recognized as one of the major causes for declining per capita food production (Sanchez, 1995). Therefore, soil fertility replenishment is increasingly viewed as one of the critical to the process of poverty alleviation. This is generally true for Ethiopian agro ecologies, particularly for a dominant maize based mono cropping system of Ethiopia, which is one of the major problems leading to decline in soil fertility from time to time and resulting bottleneck for the smallholder maize producer of the country.

The use of inorganic fertilizers alone has not been helpful under intensive agriculture because it aggravates soil degradation. Maintaining and improving soil quality is crucial if agricultural productivity and environment quality are to be sustained for future generations. Intensive agriculture has had negative effects on the soil environment over the past decades (e.g. loss of soil organic matter, soil erosion and water pollution). Management methods that decrease requirements for agricultural chemicals are needed in order to avoid adverse environment impacts. (Zhao et al., 2009).Sustainable crop production, therefore, requires Integrated soil fertility management involving the judicious use of combinations of organic and inorganic resources is a feasible approach to overcome soil fertility constraints. Combined organic/ inorganic fertilization both enhanced carbon storage in soils and reduced emissions from nitrogen fertilizer use while contributing to high crop productivity in agriculture (Abbasi and Yousra, 2012).

Improved cropping system which requires integration of legume crops in maize based mono cropping areas to add N- fixed through biological nitrogen fixation or biomass retentions (Wakene et al., 2007) and a careful management of all nutrients sources available in a farm, particularly in maize based cropping systems which include inorganic fertilizers, organic manures, waste materials suitable for recycling nutrients, soil reserves, are immense in boosting maize production. All most all farmers in Ethiopia produce maize as continuous mono cropping or some of them as an intercrop with food legumes using the recommended 110 N kg/ ha and 46 kg/ha fertilizer for maize (Tadesse and Tolessa, 1998). However, the price of inorganic fertilizer is getting beyond the purchasing power of smallholder farmers because of high production cost and uncertain accessibility in addition to non responsiveness of some soils that leads the farmers to non benefits. Therefore, inclusion of leguminous crops in cropping systems has multiple advantages in improving and sustaining maize productivity. Intercropping offers potential advantages for resource utilization decreased inputs and increased sustainability in crop production (Egbe et al., 2010). Therefore, the chief aim of this paper is to text existing information on the response of maize to integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizer application and improved cropping system in Ethiopia. Accordingly, a review of the major findings on the response of maize grain yield to sole and integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizer (integrated soil fertility management) and cropping system on the productivity of maize in Ethiopia.

#### 3. Plant nutrient and Soil fertility management in maize production

In the effort of alleviating the soil fertility problem which is one of the major causes of low productivity of maize, different research activities have been undertaken using various fertilizer sources in different parts of the country. **3.1. Sole and combined Use of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers for Maize Production** 

## 3. 1.1. Use of Chemical fertilizers for Maize production

Influence of chemical fertilizer (NP) on maize productivity in different parts of the country was reviewed and summarized by different researchers and scholars. The application of 75/33 kg N/P ha-1 around Bako and Didessa, 46/33 kg N/P ha-1 in Jimma area, 92/44 kg N/P ha-1 in Hawassa area and 69/30 kg N/P ha-1 in the Rift Valley were recommended for maize production (Negasa et al., 2007). Accordingly, the combined application of 90/15 kg N/P ha-1 fertilizers recommended for vertisols of around Aykel, Chilga district in North Gondar zone had improved maize grain yield (5.36 t ha-1) and yield components (Habtamu, 2015). Similarly, Zelalem (2013) found that a combined application of NP gave a better grain yield of hybrid maize (BH-140) and improved P content of the soil. Higher grain yield of maize variety (Melkassa I), 3,868 and 5,069 kg ha-1 in Babile and Dire Dawa area were obtained with the combined application of 64/20 kg NP ha-1 (Hassen et al., 2006). The application of 69–20–75 kg N–P–K ha-1 for maize gave significantly higher yields compared to another recommended NP at Areka (Wassie et al., 2009). The minimum (4,687 kg ha-1) and maximum (4,905 kg ha-1) maize yield at Dangla in 2009 cropping season were obtained from control and 100 kg K2 O ha-1, respectively (Tadele et al., 2010). Similarly,

at Mota, Tadele et al. (2010) found that the minimum (2,951 kg ha-1) and maximum (3,929 kg ha-1) yield of maize in the 2008 cropping season were recorded from the control and application of 100 kg K2 O ha-1, respectively. The mean grain yield of maize at both locations responded non-significantly to the applied K rates (Tadele et al., 2010).

# 3.1.2. Maize Yield Responses to green manure

A field experiment was designed by Bako Agricultural Research Center (*BARC*) in three location to evaluate the possibility of replacing N-fertilizer and long-term fallow system with *Dolichos lablab* green manure for maize under the farmers' field in three locations. The statistical analysis showed that there were significant (P<0.05) variations among the treatments on maize grain yield at all sites; however, the lowest grain yield was recorded at Shoboka (Table 1). The results revealed that green manuring provided comparable grain yield to that of the recommended NP fertilizers on marginal soil fertility status like BRC and Walda. Similarly, green manure of sole legumes could substitute for more than 70 kg urea N ha-1 at Jimma. Moreover, the application of Sesbania sesban's biomass and dry FYM above 5 t ha-1 gave comparable or greater mean maize yield of up to 69 kg N ha-1 from urea fertilizer (Tesfa et al., 2012).

T 1 1 1 T 1 C + C	• •	. 11 1 0	2 C 11 1 4 4
Table 1. The effect of green n	antire on maize grain	vield under farmers	' field and on station
	iunare on muize grum	yield ander farmers	neia ana on station

Treatments (t/ha)	Shoboka	Walda	BRC	Mean
Check	2.38	3.12	3.12	2.87
Green manure	2.70	6.33	5.20	4.74
Recommended NP (100/20)	5.55	6.48	5.12	5.72
Green manure + 1/2 recommended NP	4.60	5.60	5.20	5.14
Green manure + recommended NP	3.49	6.09	7.33	5.77
Green manure + 1/3 recommended NP	4.36	4.88	3.92	4.39
LSD (5%)	1.40	1.70	1.13	1.31
CV%	20	17	12	16

Source: Tesfa et al., 2012

#### 3.1.3. Farmyard Manure and NP

Field observation and on-farm experiments conducted recently in Yabello district of the Borana, southern Ethiopia showed that maize responded positively to farm yard manure application. All treatments recorded significantly higher grain and stover yield of maize than did the control treatment that received no nutrient inputs (Table 2). The highest grain and stover yields were achieved where micro-doses of manure were combined with micro-fertilizer, followed by the recommended dose of fertilizers. Application of 70 g (corresponding to 3.71 tons per ha) of manure, combined with a small quantity (0.5 g per pocket) of fertilizer, improved maize grain yield by 77% compared to non-use of inputs(Jagisso et al, 2019). Additionally, manure applied alone yielded 51% of grain compared to the control (Table 2). Despite variation between treatments, the observed significant yield improvements compared to the usual non-use of manure shows considerable scope for increasing yields of these marginal lands by using manure.

Table 2. Yield responses of maize for manuring in Borana, southern Ethiopia.

Treatment	Grain yield (kg ha-1)	Stover yield(kg ha-1)
Control	701.00 <u>+</u> 46	$2013.82\pm95$
Recommended practice (mineral fertilizer)	$1231.12 \pm 46bc$	$3181.58\pm95b$
Manure micro-dose (3.71ton ha-1)	$1015.00 \pm 46a$	$2684.87\pm95a$
5 ton of manure†	1059.00± 46ab	$2546.49\pm95a$
5 ton of manure + legume intercrop	929.70±46a	$2572.15\pm95a$
Manure micro-dose + fertilizer micro-dose	$1240.60 \pm 46c$	$3386.62\pm95b$
Manure micro-dose + legume intercrop	$1015.60 \pm 46a$	$2564.69\pm95a$
LSD	186.2	386.91
p-value	0.00	0.00

Source: Jagisso et al, 2019. Standard errors are given by signs ' $\pm$ ': equivalent to 35.45 kg of N ha -1. Means within a column with different or no letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

As long term research strategy on locally available sources of organic fertilizers, a strategy was designed on a continuous basis for replenishing the degraded physic-chemical properties of soils to make sustainable maize production in Bako areas and similar locations. Accordingly, a study carried out on combined uses of NP and FYM at five on farms sites indicated that integrated application are better than application either NP or FYM alone (Table 3). While previous studies at the same location revealed that FYM has to be applied every three years at the rate of 16 t ha-1 supplemented by NP fertilizer annually at the rate of 20-46 N-P2O5 kg ha-1 (Table 3) for sustainable maize production around Bako and similar areas (Tolessa et al., 1999a). The sole application of FYM at the rates of 4–12 t ha-1 is also encouraging for resource poor farmers on relatively fertile soils (Negassa et al., 2004a).

Table 3 Effects of	f NP and FYM on m	aize vield at five s	sites around Bako, 1997
TAULE J. EILCUS UI		aize vielu at five s	Shes around Dake. 1777

$\frac{10000 \text{ St. Effects}}{\text{N/P kg ha}^{-1} + 10000 \text{ st. Effects}}$		Walda	Shoboka	Harato	Laga Kalla	Mean
FYM t ha <sup>-1</sup>				yield t ha-1	0	
0/0 + 0	0.90	4.68	4.44	5.79	1.86	3.53
0/0 + 4	3.61	6.68	6.43	7.72	4.37	5.76
0/0 + 8	4.87	6.50	6.52	5.74	4.41	5.61
0/0 + 12	5.05	6.71	6.95	6.78	4.17	5.93
20/20 + 0	3.79	6.70	6.88	6.20	4.75	5.66
20/20 + 4	4.69	7.44	7.82	6.96	3.27	6.04
20/20 + 8	6.50	6.88	7.44	8.94	4.35	6.82
20/20 + 12	6.50	5.76	6.52	7.28	4.75	6.16
40/25 + 0	4.33	6.12	6.70	9.06	4.46	6.13
40/25 + 4	5.05	5.71	8.00	6.78	4.66	6.04
40/25 + 8	5.96	7.98	7.64	7.57	5.67	6.96
40/25 + 12	5.96	6.88	7.44	6.00	5.44	6.34
60/30 + 0	4.51	6.52	6.52	7.68	5.04	6.06
60/30 + 4	5.77	7.05	7.47	7.68	4.67	6.53
60/30 + 8	7.40	6.52	6.88	7.34	5.85	6.80
60/30 + 12	6.78	7.80	7.64	9.58	6.61	7.68
LSD	1.24	1.86	Ns	2.02	1.32	0.72

Source: Wakene et al. (2004a) BRC= Bako Research Center, NS= non-significant difference at 5 % probability level.

#### 3.1.4. Compost and NP

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the integrated use of compost and low rates of NP fertilizers under farmers' conditions in four different locations at Bako, western Ethiopia in 2001 cropping season. The statistical analysis for each location revealed significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ) among the treatments on the maize grain yield. The difference in the grain yields of maize between and within location and cropping season as affected by applied compost and NP fertilizers were statistically significant (P<0.05). The statistical analysis at each location and the combined statistical analysis over locations indicated that there were significant ( $P\le0.05$ ) differences among the treatments on grain yield where the highest marginal rate of return of 213.2% and 135.8% was recorded from 55/10 kg of N/P + 5 tons ha-1 of compost and 25/11 kg of N/P + 5 tons ha-1, respectively (Table 4). Therefore, use of five tons ha-1 of compost with 55/10 kg of N/P ha-1 is found economical for maize production in Bako Tibe district and other similar areas in western regions (Negassa et al., 2004b).

Table 4. Effects of applied compost and NP fertilizers on the grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize under different locations and cropping seasons

N/P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +	В	RC	K	lejo	А	nno	DD	Mean
Compost (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2001	_
0/0 + 0	3970c	4080b	1750c	5590c	3610d	3860d	4920d	3970e
0/0 + 5	4460bc	6440a	3100bc	7580b	4460c	4990c	6660c	5380d
25/11 + 5	5240abc	6440a	4590ab	8600ab	5590b	5770bc	8150b	6340c
55/10 + 5	6330a	7650a	3820b	8410ab	6780a	6230b	8940b	6880b
110/20 + 0	5910ab	7070a	5850a	8840a	6450a	7250a	10590a	7420a
LSD (5%)	1490	2240	1870	1100	670	900	1400	470
CV%	15.31	18.75	25.99	7.46	6.61	8.49	8.54	13.09

Source: Negassa et al., 2004. BRC = Bako Research Center, DD = Dambi Dima, Means within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 0.05 level

#### 3.1.5. NP with biogas effluent

A trial was executed on uses of biogas effluent as organic fertilizer with integration of NP rates at Bako. The biogas effluent brought significant change in chemical composition of the soil in particular, soil organic carbon was fairly increased. After application the integration of both fertilizers was observed to produce significantly higher grain yield (Table 5). Although 12 t ha-1 biogas effluents alone gave higher yields that were comparable to other treatments, biogas effluent applied at 8t ha-1 with 55/10 kg NP ha-1 was selected as the best alternative fertilizer combination and thus, recommended for maize production in Bako areas. The integration of biogas slurry and NP fertilizer produced significantly higher grain yield of maize and improved soil physico-chemical properties. Biogas slurry at 8 t ha-1 with 50% recommended N/P kg ha-1 (100/50 kg ha-1 of urea/DAP) or 12 t biogas slurry ha-1 alone was recommended for maize production (Tolera et al., 2005a).

Table 5. Combined effects of biogas effluent and NP fertilizer rates on grain yield of maize at Bako
--

BE ha-1 t ha-1 and NP rates kg ha-1		Gra	in yield (kg/ha)	
	2001	2002	2002	Mean
4 t BE ha-1 + 50 % RR NP kg ha-1	8998	6741	2668	6135
4 t BE ha-1 + 75 % RR NP kg ha-1	9609	6623	3154	6462
4 t BE ha-1 + 100 RR NP kg ha-1	9568	7556	2812	6645
8 t BE ha-1 + 50 % RR NP kg ha-1	9837	7846	4357	7346
8 t BE ha-1 + 75 % RR NP kg ha-1	9061	8204	3575	6947
8 t BE ha-1 + 100 % RR NP kg ha-1	9662	7628	3698	6996
12 t BE ha-1 + 50 % RR NP kg ha-1	9549	7821	3326	6899
12 t BE ha-1 + 75 % RR NP kg ha-1	9389	7537	3709	6878
12 t BE ha-1 + 100 % RRNP kg ha-1	9923	9395	4187	7835
12 t BE ha-1	9216	7840	5131	7396
RR NP kg ha-1 (110/20)	9894	6265	2051	6070
16 t BE ha-1	8332	9023	4664	7340
LSD	1126	2106	1503	NS

Source Tolera et al. (2005a), BE (Biogas effluent), RRNP (recommended rate of nitrogen and phosphorus)

3.1.6. Combined use of crop residues and coffee by-product with NP fertilizer

Field trials with an objective to enhance low soil fertility of Haramava soil types through integrated uses of crop residue and NP fertilizers were conduct at Haramaya on Rare experimental field from 1988-1994. Recommended fertilizers rates for Haramaya series, 133/20 kgha-1 NP and for Haramaya black clay, 128/65 kgha-1 NP were tested at these full doses and one-half of both doses for each respective soil types using maize variety, EAH-75 as a test crop. Maize residues at five ton per hectare were chopped in to pieces of 5-10cm and incorporated to soil during dry periods. According to the result of this study, applications of the full recommended doses of NP fertilizers integrated with 5 t per hectare crop residue were advised to improve the fertility of these soils for sustainable maize production in Haramaya area (Heluf et al., 1999). Results across season and soil types showed that yearly application of NP fertilizers at both one-half and full recommended rates resulted in grain yield increases of more than 500 and 1100 kgha-1, respectively over application of only crop residue (Table 6). Moreover, grain yield responses due to residual NP fertilizers applied only during the first year were found to be comparable to the yearly application of these fertilizers. Though seasonal rainfall trends governed maize yield response to fertilizers, Haramaya series generally gave higher grain yield than Haramaya Black clay. Thus, on both soil types of Haramaya, yearly application of the full recommended doses of NP fertilizers integrated with five ton per hectare crop residue are advised to improve the fertility of these soils for sustainable maize production in the area

Table 6. Across season mean grain yield and correlation coefficient (r) between rainfalls and mean maize gra	iin
yield	

Treatments	Haramaya series (Typic Ustorthent)		Haramaya black clay (Ttypic Pellustert)		
	Grain yield, kg/ha	Rainfall x Yield (r)	Grain yield, kg/ha	Rainfall x Yield (r)	
CRYA	2269d	0.89*	1611c	0.63*	
CR + HRRNPYA	2709bc	0.90*	2158b	0.82*	
CR + FRRNPYA	3115a	0.97*	2917a	0.91*	
CRYA + HRRNPO	2555c	0.86*	1845c	0.63*	
CRYA + FRRNPO	2835b	0.89*	2153b	0.77*	

Source: Heluf et al. (1999), CR (crop residue), YA (yearly application), HRR (one-half recommended rate), FRR (full recommended rate), NP (nitrogen and phosphate) O (only first year) and \* (significantly correlated at P0.05)

At Hawassa, integrated uses of coffee by product and N fertilizer were evaluated to enhance low soil fertility and produce information on low input maize cropping system. Combinations of different rates of coffee by product and N rates were tested in maize-common bean intercropping system. Significant increment of grain yield of maize was obtained where nine ton per hectare coffee residue without N fertilizer applied. The same treatment had yield advantage of 91% over the control (Table 7). While N fertilizer alone accounted for 149% yield advantage over the control. Likewise, combinations of coffee by product and nitrogen had greater yield advantage up to 213% over the untreated control (Tenaw, 2006) Application of N fertilizer raised the uptake of N up to 60 kg ha-1. Therefore, coffee growers in southern region can sustain their maize production system through integrated uses of 90 kg N ha-1 with six ton ha-1 coffee by product.

Coffee by product (t ha-1)	N fertilizer (kg ha-1)				
	0	30	60	90	Mean
0	1541	3540	3911	4044	3259 b
3	2237	3600	1985	3244	2766 c
6	2800	3289	2755	3866	3177 b
9	3807	3348	3659	4133	3737 a
Mean	2596 d	3444 b	3077 c	3822 a	

Table 7. Effect of coffee by product and N fertilizer on grain yield (kg ha-1) of intercropped maize

Source: Tenaw et al. (2006), same letters denote no significant difference between treatments (P>0.05).

# 3.2. Integrated fertilizer management on maize production

# 3.2.1. Response to compost, green manure and NP fertilizers

Different proportions of inorganic fertilizers, compost and Tithonia biomass were tested on-farm using hybrid maize (variety: BH 660) as the test crop with RCB design on three location in Omo-Nada district of Jimma zone, South Western Ethiopia. The results of the experiments generally indicated superior performance ISFM treatments to the sole application of recommended inorganic NP fertilizers in two of the three locations in the first year (Table 8). The study has successfully demonstrated that integrated fertilizer management practices gave similar amount of grain yield to that of the full NP recommendation on acidic nitosols of the region (Solomon E. and Jafer D., 2015). Combined application of 50% recommended NP with 50% compost gave 5% higher yield than the sole application of inorganic NP fertilizers. In addition, application of 50% Tithonia biomass in combination with 50% recommended NP gave the same yield as the application of the full dose of the recommended nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers for the area. The yield advantage of ISFM treatments over the recommended inorganic NP and the control ranged from 3-22 and 72-154%, respectively. Integrated use of inorganic fertilizers and organic sources of plant nutrients has therefore, shown remarkable potential for efficient nutrient supply in maize based cropping systems on acidic nitosols of Southwestern Ethiopia

Table 8: Effect of soil	fertility management on	grain yield of maize

Treatments	Yield (kg haG1 yearG1 )					
	Site 1 (Burka)	Site 2 (Wenji)	Site 3 (Waktola)			
50% recommended NP+50% compost	4643.7	4504.8	3936.8			
Recommended NP	4772.8	4471.1	3239.4			
50% Tithonia biomass +50% recommended NP	3683.0	4684.3	3281.9			
Control	1640.1	1843.5	2294.9			
CV (%)	16.60	15.46	14.12			
LSD0.05	1852	1814	899.6			

Source: Solomon and Jafer, 2015. CV: Coefficient of variation, LSD: Least significant difference

3.2.2. Maize grain yield response to integrated use of green manure with FYM and NP fertilizer

Integrated use of improved fallow of mucuna [Mucuna pruriens (L) DC] with NP fertilizers significantly improved maize grain yield over the control and recommended rate of inorganic fertilizers at Bako(Table 9). The sole use of IF increased maize grain yield by 75, 56 and 244% in 2001, 2002 and 2003 cropping seasons, respectively, over the control treatment. The three years average maize grain yield showed that IF alone doubled the yield as compared with the control treatment. Supplementing the IF with low doses of NP fertilizers or FYM also further increased grain yield. The lowest grain yield was recorded from the control treatment followed by recommended NP fertilizers (Wakene et al. 2007).Therefore, short fallowing of mucuna along with FYM or with low dose of NP fertilizers may be used as low cost intermediate technology for enhancing soil fertility and increased maize yield and also guarantee sustainable maize production in western Ethiopia.

Table 9. Effects of integrated management of mucuna fallow with NP fertilizer on plant height and maize grain yield at Bako.

Treatment		Plant height (cm)				Grain yield (t ha-1)			
	2001	2002	2003	Mean	2001	2002	2003	Mean	
Control	250	277	201	242	2.29	2.72	1.72	2.24	
IF	295	312	248	285	4.00	4.31	5.92	4.74	
IF +55/10 NP	347	304	269	311	7.89	4.01	5.84	5.91	
IF +37/7 NP	339	319	248	297	7.66	3.81	5.87	5.78	
IF+ 4 t ha-1 FYM	340	317	274	312	7.42	4.91	6.39	6.25	
IF+ 2.7 t ha-1 FYM	341	318	270	309	6.31	4.25	7.32	6.06	
110/20 kg h-1 NP	336	318	251	301	5.52	3.25	4.45	4.41	
LSD	39.25	Ns	34.76	18.86	1.37	NS	1.81	0.85	

Source: Wakene et al. (2007), IF= improved fallow with mucuna green manure

# 3.2.3. Effects of lime, vermicompost and chemical P fertilizer on yield of maize

Field experiments were conducted for two consecutive cropping seasons of 2015 and 2016 to evaluate the effects of lime, VC and chemical P fertilizer on yield and yield components of maize in Ebantu District, Western highlands of Ethiopia. The results of ANOVA showed that, the highest mean grain yield (4.87 t ha-1) was recorded in plots treated with 40 kg P ha-1 and 2.5 t VC ha-1 with lime, while the lowest (2.18 t ha-1) was recorded in the control (Table 10). This high discrepancy between the highest and lowest grain yields seems also to be due to synergistic effects of these treatments. From Table 10, it is obvious that liming alone did not increase yield and neither did VC when applied without liming and chemical P fertilizer. But combined use of lime and VC almost doubled the yield compared to the control even without chemical P fertilizer (Abdisa et al.2018). Also, the effect of chemical P fertilizer was much greater when integrated with lime and VC. The results of the study demonstrated that there was a significant increase in yield and yield components of maize is a huge feeder of nutrients, application of high dose of mineral P fertilizer together with good nutrients sources of vermicompost has paramount importance in reclaiming soil acidity and enhancing soil fertility, and improving maize yield and yield components.

	harvest index of maize.
Lime and VC (t ha-	Yield parameters
1)	Mineral P fertilizer (kg ha-1)

	· • (•									
1)			Mineral P fertilizer (kg ha-1)							
		(	GY (t ha-1	1)	AC	DBY (t ha	-1)	HI (%)		
Lime	VC	0	20	40	0	20	40	0	20	40
-	0	2.18g	2.36g	3.05e	16.1g	16.5g	16.8fg	13.6i	14.4hi	16.9ef
0	2.5	2.30g	3.03e	3.95d	16.3g	17.0efg	18.4de	14.3hi	17.9ef	21.5bc
-	5	2.36g	4.25c	4.03d	16.5g	18.6cde	18.7cd	14.5ghi	23.0ab	21.5bc
-	0	2.20g	2.77f	2.94ef	16.5g	17.1efg	18.2def	13.4i	16.3fg	16.2fgh
4	2.5	3.13e	4.07cd	4.87a	17.1efg	18.5cde	20.0c	18.3de	22.0b	24.4a
-	5	4.02d	4.55b	4.73ab	18.3def	22.7b	25.9a	22.0bc	20.1cd	18.3de
CV (%)	-	-	5.52	-	-	7.40	-	-	9.01	-
F-test	-	-	***	-	-	*	-	-	***	-
SE (±)	-	-	0.08	-	-	0.55	-	-	0.67	-

Source: Abdisa et al, 2018. Means sharing the same letter(s) are not significantly different according to DMRT at 5% level of significance. \*and \*\*\* indicate significance at  $P \le 0.5$  and 0.001, respectively. VC = Vermicompost; GY = Grain yield; AGDBY = Above ground dry biomass yield; HI = Harvest index; CV = Coefficient of variation; SE = Standard Error.

# 4. Effect of cropping system on maize productivity

# 4.1. Cereal-legume intercropping

Intercropping maize-climbing bean experiment was conducted from 2000 to 2003 cropping seasons at Bako Agricultural research Center (BARC) to determine the effects of inorganic and organic fertilizers on grain yield of maize (Zea mays)- climbing bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) intercropping system. The result of the study showed that Mean grain yield of maize was non-significantly (p>0.05) affected by N by P, N by FYM and P by FYM interaction in intercropping system. Three-way interaction of N by P by FYM compared to sole and intercropped with recommended rate significantly (P<0.05) affected mean grain yield of maize (Table 11). This study revealed that intercropping was more productive in terms yield production per unit area and combined yields than mono cropping. Intercropping system maize-climbing bean with higher fertilizer combinations applications produce higher grain yield of both crop (Tolera et al, 2005). In terms of integrating cropping sequence with NP and FYM, studies show that intercropping of maize with climbing bean with integrated application of 69/10 kg NP ha-1 with 4–8 t FYM ha-1 gave better grain yields and is recommended for sustainable production of component crops (Abera, 2013).

Treatment	Grain	n yield (kg ha-1)	LER
N (kg ha-1)	Climbing bean	Maize	
46	1300	5581	1.29
69	1374	5943	1.40
LSD (5%)	Ns	Ns	0.0958
P (kg ha-1)			
10	1307	5918	1.36
20	1367	5606	1.34
LSD (5%)	Ns	Ns	Ns
FYM (t ha-1)			
4	1300	5699	1.32
8	1374	5825	1.38
LSD (5%)	Ns	Ns	Ns
Mean	1337	5762	1.35
CV %	12.38	19.89	14.95

Table 11. Effects of N-P and FYM on plant height, 1000 seed weight and grain yield of maize in intercropping system.

Source: Tolera et al,2005. Ns= Non-significant at 5 % probability level

Another study comprising two sets of experiment was conducted for five consecutive years at Bako Agricultural Research Center to examine the Advantages of intercropping Pigeon Pea used as Live Stake for Climbing Bean (Cajanas Cajan) in Maize Based Cropping Systems with specific objective of evaluating yield performance of maize on residual effect of pigeon pea under different levels of canopy managements with some additional nitrogen (N) application. Combined analysis of variance over years revealed that N application rates showed a significant effect on maize yield where as types of crops used during pigeon pea establishment and percentage of branch removal and then retention on the plot and their interaction effects did not show significant differences (Zerihun et al,2016). Highly significant variation in maize yield was also observed due to variation in cropping season.

Similar experiment was carried out in the same center during 2013, 2014 and 2015 main cropping seasons consisting treatments of maize pigeon pea intercropping in factorial combinations of four pigeon pea branch removals while leaving the upper (0. 2. 4 and 6) and five nitrogen levels (18. 41. 64. 87 and 110 kg h a') and sole maize and pigeon monoculture laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Maize mean grain yield obtained from maize/pigeon pea intercropping regardless of pruning options resulted in 6% yield advantage over maize grown sole during the initial establishment of pigeon pea intercropping (Shiferaw et al, 2017)

Factor	Bion	nass weight	kg ha'	Gra	ain yield kg	g ha'
Pigeon pea branch removal leaving upper	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	2015
0	24677a	225013	19547	9987a	8602a	8232"
2	23822ah	21347ab	19616	9822a	8569a	8260a
4	23189ab	20054bc	19891	9523ab	7933b	7897ab
6	22646"	19376c	20031	901 l b	765 81'	7429b
SE ±	637	210	547	456	109	221
LSD (5%)	1819	1303	NS	599	312	632
Sole maize	22411	18767	21363	9040	8193	8179
N level kg ha'l						
18	21749b	18783b	17017C	8426h	7 0 15C	6819C
41	24124°	20959a	17953c	9017b	8243b	7158°
64	23654ab	20827"	2004l b	10071"	8145b	8 132b
87	24366"	21623"	21340ab	10205°	8730"	8671ab
110	2406"	21907"	22505s	10209"	8818"	8995"
$SE \pm$	712	234	612	510	122	247
C V %	10.45	8.49	10.78	8.47	5.17	10.72
LSD 5%	2034	1457	1750	669	349	707

Table 12. Maize biomass and grain yield as affected by the main effects of pigeon pea branch removal and N level in maize/pigeon pea intercropping at Bako

Source: Shiferaw et al, 2017

# 4.2. Cereal – legume/oil crop rotation in maize system

A study conducted at Bako using nug as proceeding crop indicted that maize grain yields were significantly increased in rotation with this crop compared to the continuous cropped maize. This result clearly demonstrated the residual benefits of crop rotation with reduced NP fertilizer amendments and enhanced maize grain yield. Also the integrated use of precursor crops with low rate of NP and farmyard manure gave comparable maize yield to a plot received recommended fertilizer rate (110/20 kg NP ha-1). Production of maize following nug as a precursor crop by integrating with 46/5 kg ha-1 NP and 8t FYM ha-1 could be affordable for smallholder farmers in Bako areas. Similarly, improved grain yield of maize was obtained from maize planted with application of half and full recommended rate of nitrogen fertilizer following soil incorporated soybean and faba bean precursor crop biomass, highlighting the importance of additional nitrogen application in the cropping sequence (Tolera, 2016). Accordingly, maize following Niger seed and haricot bean with recommended NP fertilizer application is recommended for enhanced maize production in Bako area. The production of maize following Niger seed precursor crop with 46/5 Kg N-P and 8 t FYM ha-1 or recommended fertilizer (110/20 Kg N-P ha-1) is recommended for Bako area (Tolera et al., 2009;Tesfa et al., 2012). The production of maize following sole haricot bean with the recommended for sustainable production of maize in the region (Tolera, 2012).

A crop rotation study on maize rotated with soybean in four districts of Jimma zone showed 26-46% increments of maize grain yield whenever rotated on previous soybean field (Table 13). It was also further notified that soybean contributed 46kg urea-N ha-1 to succeeding maize and thus, it could offset the cost of 46 kg urea-N ha-1 for smallholder farmers (Tesfa et al. 2009).

Crops in Rotation* +	Sea	asons	Rotation Mean	% increase	
N-Levels	2003	2004			
	Ma	ize grain yield in k	g ha-1		
CMZF + 18 kg N ha-1	3013	4693	3853c		
CMZF + 64 kg N ha-1	4077	5628	4852b	26	
PSYF + 18 kg N ha-1	4417	5298	4857b	26	
PSYF + 64 kg N ha-1	5109	6185	5647a	46	
Season-mean	4154b	5451a			

T 11 10	C 1	•	CC .	1		•	
Table 13	Sovbean	rotation	effects	on subsec	juent maize	grain	vield
14010 15.	Sojoean	rotation	0110000	on baobee		Siam	<i>J</i> 1010

Source: Tesfa et al. (2009), \*PSYF (previous soybean field) and CMZF (Continuous maize field)

Another trial on rotation of common bean in sole and intercropping systems with maize at Bako demonstrated that maize planted following sole planted common bean gave higher mean grain yield and found economically profitable as compared to maize produced following intercropped haricot bean or continuous maize (Table 14). Therefore, maize production following sole common bean with recommended fertilizer could be another alternative for sustainable maize production in Bako areas.

Table 14. Effects of common bean rotations and N/P fertilizer rate on grain yield of succeeded maize

	Treatment	Grain yield	d (kg ha-1 )	
Crops (2004)	Maize with N/P2O5 kg ha-1	2005	2006	Mean
M/BB	M-59/23	5950	4254	5102
M/BB	M-89/35	6484	3897	5191
M/BB	M-110/46	6935	5777	6356
BB	M-59/23	8691	5872	7281
BB	M-89/35	8571	5841	7206
BB	M -110/46	9550	6052	7801
M/CB	M- 59/23	5055	4429	4742
M/CB	M- 89/35	6278	5508	5893
M/CB	M- 110/46	7797	5686	6742
CB	M- 59/23	8457	4517	6487
CB	M- 89/35	9240	5733	7486
CB	M -110/46	10148	6066	8107
М	M -110/46	7314	6123	6718
LSD<0.05		2374	1879	1484

Source: Anon. (2004-2007), M/BB = maize/bush bean intercropping, BB = sole bush bean, M/CB = maize/climbing bean intercropping, CB = sole climbing bean, M = sole maize

## 5. Conclusion

Soil fertility decline that includes nutrient depletion, nutrient mining, acidification, the loss of Organic Matter (OM) and others, and poor cropping system have stayed to be the major factors causing hindrance to maize production

in Ethiopia. In an attempt of counteracting and alleviating this problem in the country and to offer low input technology on soil fertilization, various research endeavours aiming at boosting the productivity of maize related to Soil property changes in a positive direction under use of different organic and inorganic fertilizer sources and improved cropping system had been made in different parts of Ethiopia by researchers and scholars from Agricultural Research Institutions found in the country. The findings of the reviewed research outputs of these efforts reveal that there is potential for increasing crop productivity through improved and available soil fertility management practices and cropping system.

The results of study conducted at Bako revealed that green manuring provided comparable grain yield to that of the recommended NP fertilizers on marginal soil fertility status. Similarly, green manure of sole legumes could substitute for more than 70 kg urea N ha-1 at Jimma. The analysis suggests that amending legume fallows and green manuring with mineral fertilizer may be important if high yield productivity must be sustained over several years, as yields normally fall as the post fallow cropping period lengthen. An experiment conducted in Bako concluded that use of 12 t ha-1 of FYM with 28/12 NP2O5 kg ha-1 saved up to 75% cost of commercial fertilizer. Another field experiment done in Western Oromiya, application of 150/50 kg ha-1 of Urea and DAP with 4 t FYM ha-1 was recommended for sustainable production of maize. The findings of similar study in Borena indicated that crop yield from the nutrient-poor soils of the region can be substantially enhanced by using manure, despite the traditional beliefs linking manure use to misfortune in the area. Use of five tons ha-1 of compost with 55/10 kg of N/P ha-1 is found economical for maize production in Bako Tibe district and other similar areas in western regions. Another trial proceeded at acidic nitosol of Southwestern Ethiopia concluded that application of 50% recommended NP and 50% compost gave the highest grain yield. A work done at Ebantu district, showed that integrated use of vermicompost at (2.5 t ha-1) and mineral P (20 kg ha-1) with lime (4 t ha-1) was recommended for reclaiming soil acidity and improve nutrients for maize. Hence, organic fertilizers can be applied with chemical fertilizers in organic carbon depleted arable soils to improve soil properties and crop productivity. Also, the combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers has a positive synergy that can reduce the chemical fertilizer cost as well as mitigate the environmental hazardous effect..

Intercropping of maize with climbing bean within the same row at 10 cm distance between maize and climbing bean with 150/50 kg ha-1 Urea /DAP and 4 t FYM ha-1 fertilizer combinations is recommended for sustainable production of component crops at Bako. Similarly, the buildup of soil fertility through establishing pigeon pea and its biomass retention evidently boost the productivity of the soil and even 100% reduction of chemical N fertilizer cost for maize production. Maize sown in rotation with nug and soybean at Bako and Jimma, respectively required one-half of the recommended fertilizer rates that crop rotations offset 50% of fertilizer cost. The production of maize following sole haricot bean with the recommended fertilizer rate gave higher mean grain yield and is recommended for sustainable production of maize in Bako area. Therefore, potential grain legumes and forage and fodder legumes should better be intercropped and utilized in rotation with maize to enhance soil fertility and boost grain yield at low cost for maize based farming system in Ethiopia.

#### **6.References**

- Abbasi, M.K. and Yousra, M. (2012). Synergestic effects of biofertilizer with organic and chemical N sources in improving soil nutrient status and increasing growth and yield of wheat grown under greenhouse conditions. Plant biosystems, 146:181-189.
- Abdissa Bekele, Kibebew Kibret, Bobe Bedadi, Tesfaye Balemi and Markku Yli-Halla, 2018. Effects of lime, vermicompost and chemical P fertilizer on yield of maize in Ebantu District, Western highlands of Ethiopia. African Journal of Agricultural Research, Vol. 13(10), pp. 477-489
- Anonymous (2004-2007) Progress Report of Bako Agricultural Research Center. Agronomy and Crop Physiology Research Division. Bako
- Ashraf, U., Abbas, R.N., Hussain, S., Mo, Z.W., Anjum, S.A., Khan, I., Tang, X.R. 2016b. Consequences of varied planting geometry and early post emergence herbicides for crop-weed interventions in rice under semi-arid climate. Planta Daninha. 34 (4) 737-746
- CIMMYT (1999) Maize Production Technology for the Future: Challenges and Opportunities. Proceedings of the Sixth Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference, 21-25 September, 1998, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: CIMMYT (international maize and wheat improvement center).
- CSA (2010) Reports on area and crop product on forecasts for major grain crops (For private peasant holding, Meher Season). The FDRE Statistical Bulletins (1990–2010), CSA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Egbe, O. M., Alibo, S. E. and Nwueze, I. (2010). Evaluation of some extra-early-and early- maturing cowpea varieties for intercropping with maize in southern guinea savannah of Nigeria. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1(5):845–858. https://doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2010.1.5.845.858
- FAO (1999) Fertilizer Strategies. International Fertilizer Industry Association. Rome, 98p. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization), 2000. Fertilizer and their use. A pocket guide for extension officers. Fourth edition. FAO, Rome, Italy.

- FAO, 2011. Missing food: The Case of Postharvest Grain Losses in Sub-Saharan Africa.[http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTARD/Resources/MissingFoods10\_web.pdf]
- FAO. 2008. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations statistical database. (http://faostat.fao. org/site/567/) (Accessed in January 2008)
- Habtamu Admas. 2015. Response of maize (Zea mays L.) to different levels of nitrogen and sulfur fertilizers in Chilga District, Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia. Basic Research Journal of Soil and Environmental Science 3(3):38-49.
- Hassen Abdulahi; Sarobol ED; Vichukit V; Suwannarat C. 2006. Uptake and Response of Maize (Zea mays L.) Varieties to Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizers in Semi-arid Areas of Eastern Ethiopia. Kasetsart Journal (Natural Sciences.) 40:604-615.
- Heluf G, Asfaw B, Yohannes , Eylachew Z (1999) Yield response of maize (Zea mays L.) to crop residue management on two major soil types of Alemaya, Eastern Ethiopia: I. Effects of Varying Rates of Applied and Residual N and P Fertilizers. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 54, 65-71.
- Kebede, M., Gezahgne, B., Benti, T., Mosisa, W., Yigzaw, D., & Asefa, A (1993) Maize production trends and research in Ethiopia. Paper presented at the 1. National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia, Addis Abeba (Ethiopia), 57 May 1992.
- Legesse W, Mosisa W, Berhanu T, Girume A, Wende A, Solomon A, Tolera K, Dagne W, Girma D, Temesgen C, Leta T, Habtamu Z, Habte J, Alemu T, Fitsum S, Andualem W, Belayneh A, (2011) Genetic Improvement of Maize for Mid-Altitude and Low land sub-Humid Agro-Ecologies of Ethiopia. 24p. In: W. Mosisa, S. Twumasi A., W. Legesse, T. Berhanu, W. Dagne, and B.M. Prasanna (eds.), Meeting the Challenges of Global Climate Change and Food Security through Innovative Maize Research Proceedings of the 3rd National Maize workshop of Ethiopia, 18-20, April 2011. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Martin, J.H.; Waldren, R.P. and Stamp, D.L. (2006). Principles of field crop production. Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle.
- McCann JC (1995) The plow in the forest: Agriculture, population and maize monocuture in Gera. In: People of the plow: an agricultural history of Ethiopia, 1900-1990, University of Wisconsin, 53715, UK. pp147-190
- Negassa W; Kefalew Negisho; Friesen DK; Ransom J; Abebe Yadessa. 2004a. Determination of optimum Farmyard manure and NP fertilizers for maize on farmer's fields. pp 387-393. In: Friesen DK and Palmer AFE. (eds.). Integrated Approaches to higher maize productivity in the new millennium: Proceedings of the Seventh Eastern and Southern African Regional Maize Conference, 5-11 February 2001, Nairobi, Kenya: CIMMYT.
- Negassa W; Getaneh F; Deressa A; Dinsa B. 2007. Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers for maize production. Utilization of diversity in land use systems: Sustainable and organic approaches to meet human needs. A paper presented on Tropentag, 9-11
- Sanchez, P.A.,1995. Multiple cropping: An appraisal of present Knowledge and future needs.Preoceedings of multiple cropping symposium, American society of Agronomy Annual Meeting. Knocville, Tennessee, May,24-28.
- Shiferaw Tadesse', Zerihun Abebe and Lema Teklu (2017). Soil Chemical Properties and Crop Productivity as Influenced by Pruning Options of Pigeon Pea and N R ate on Maize in Cereal-Legume Integrated Cropping System at Bako. Proceedings of Review Workshop on Completed Research Activities of Natural Resource Directorate held at Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center, Adami Tulu, Ethiopia 4-6 Sept. 2017
- Smaling, E.M.A., Nandwa, S.M and Janssen, B.H., 1997. Soil fertility in Africa is at stake. In: Buresh, RJ, Sanchez, PA, Calhoun, F. (Eds.). Replenishing Soil Fertility in Africa. Soil Science Society of America, 51: 47-61.
- Solomon Endris and Jafer Dawid, 2015. Yield Response of Maize to Integrated Soil Fertility Management on Acidic Nitosol of Southwestern Ethiopia. Journal of Agronomy 14 (3): 152-157, 2015
- Tadele Amare; Tesfaye Feyisa; Yihenew G Selasie. 2010. Response of Maize, Malt Barley and Tomato to Potassium. In: Birru Yitaferu, Teshome Tesema, Zewudu Ayalew (Eds). Proceedings of the 3rd Annual Regional Conference on Completed Research Activities on Soil and Water Management, Forestry, and Agricultural Mechanization, 1-4 September 2008, Amhara Region Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI), Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. pp 67-75.
- Tadesse Yohannes and Tolessa Debele, 1998. Cultural practices, cropping systems and fertility studies on maize production in Eastern, western Wollaga and Assosa. pp. 133-139. In:Beyene Seboka and Aberra Deressa (eds.).Agricultural Research and Technology Transfer Attempts and Achievement in Western Ethiopia. Proceedings of the Third Technology Generation, Transfer and Gap Analysis Workshop. 12- 14 November 1996. Nekemt, Ethiopia.
- Tenaw W (2006), Effect of coffee residue and cropping system on crop yield and physico-chemical properties of the soil in southern Ethiopia. PhD dissertation.
- Tesfa B, Kaleb A, Terefe F, Gebresilassie H (2009) Integration of Soybean for Crop Rotation in MaizeBased Farming System. 12th Crop science society of Ethiopia, CSSE, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- Tesfa Bogale; Tolera Abera; Tewodros Mesfin; Gebresilasie Hailu; Temesgen Desalegn; Tenaw Workayew; Waga Mazengia; Hussen Harun. 2012. Review on Crop Management Research for Improved Maize Productivity in Ethiopia. In: Worku M; Twumasi-Afriyie S; Wolde L; Tadesse B; Demisie G; Bogale G; Wegary D; Prasanna BM. (Eds.) 2012. Meeting the Challenges of Global Climate Change and Food Security through Innovative Maize Research. Proceedings of the Third National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia. Mexico, DF: CIMMYT
- Thorne PJ, Thornton PK, Kruska PL, Reynolds L, Waddington SR, Rutherford AS, Odero, AN, (2002) Maize as food feed and fertilizer in intensifying Crop-live stock systems in East and southern Africa: An ex-ante impact assessment of technology intervention to improve smallholder welfare. ILRI, impact Journal of Resources Development and Management www.iiste.org ISSN 2422-8397 An International Peer-reviewed Journal Vol.23, 2016 87 assessment series 11, ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya. Pp 13-19.
- Tolera A, Daba F, Friesen D. K. (2009) Effects of Crop rotation and N-P Fertilizer Rate on Grain Yield and related characteristics of Maize and Soil Fertility at Bako Western Oromia, Ethiopia. East African Journal of Science 3: 70-79.
- Tolera A, Daba F, Hasan Y, Olani N, Al-Tawaha AR (2005a) Grain yield of maize as affected by biogas slurry and N-P fertilizer rate at Bako, Western Oromia, Ethiopia. Bioscience Research. 2(1): 31-37
- Tolera Abera, Daba Feyissa and Hasan Yusuf (2005). Effects of Inorganic and Organic Fertilizers on Grain Yield of Maize-Climbing Bean Intercopping and Soil Fertility in Western Oromiya, Ethiopia. Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development, October 11-13, 2005, Stuttgart-Hohenheim, Germany.
- Tolera Abera. 2012. NP fertilizer rate response of maize and sorghum succeeding sole or intercropped haricot beans. In: Tusiime G; Majaliwa Mwanjololo JG; Nampala P; Adipala E. (Eds.). Proceedings of the Third RUFORUM Biennial Regional Conference on Partnerships and Networking for Strengthening Agricultural Innovation and Higher Education in Africa, held 24-28 September 2012, Entebbe, Uganda. RUFORUM Working Document Series No. 7. pp 1851-1862.
- Tolera Abera. 2016. Effects of soil incorporated faba bean and soybean biomass on yields of subsequent maize in western Ethiopia. PhD dissertation. Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania. 168 p.
- Tolessa D (1999a) Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on maize grain yield in western Ethiopia. pp. 229 102. In: Proceeding of the African Crop Science, Conference, 10-14 October 1992. Vol. 4. Casablanca, Mali.
- Wakene N, Fite G, Abdena D, Berhanu D (2007) Integrated Use of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers for Maize Production In: Utilization of Diversity in land use systems: Sustainale and organic approaches to meet human needs. Conference Tropentag, October 9- 12, 2007, Witzenhousen, Kassel, Germany.
- Wakene N, Tolera A, Friesen DK, Abdenna D, Berhanu D (2004b) Evaluation compost for maize production under farmers' conditions. In: Friesen, D.K. and Palmer, A.F.E. (Eds.). Integrated Approaches to higher maize productivity in the new millennium: Proceedings of the Seventh Eastern and Southern African Regional Maize Conference, 5-11 February 2002, Nairobi, Kenya: CIMMYT. (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center) and KARI (Kenya Agricultural Research Institute).
- Wassie Haile; Shiferaw Boke; Kelsa Kena. 2009. Integrated Soil Fertility Management Options for Sustainable Crop Production: Review of Research Findings from Southern Regional State of Ethiopia. In: Improved natural resource management technologies for food security, poverty reduction and sustainable development. Proceedings of the 10th Conference of the Ethiopian Society of Soil Science, 25-27 March 2009, EIAR, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp 163-175.
- Yonas Jagisso, Jens ,Aune and Ayana Angassa ,2019. Unlocking the Agricultural Potential of Manure in Agropastoral Systems: Traditional Beliefs Hindering Its Use in Southern Ethiopia. Agriculture 2019, 9, 45; doi:10.3390/agriculture9030045
- Zelalem Bekeko. 2013. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on some soil properties and grain yield of maize (BH-140) at Chiro, Western Hararghe, Ethiopia. African Journal of Agricultural Research 8(45):5693-5698.
- Zerihun Abebe, Shiferaw Tadesse and Meseret Tola, 2016. Multiple Advantages of Pigeon Pea (Cajanas Cajan) in Maize Based Cropping Systems: Used as Live Stake for Climbing Bean with Phosphorus Rates and Maize Productivity Enhancement in Mono Cropping Areas. Journal of Natural Sciences Research, Vol.6, No.19, 2016
- Zhao, Y., Wang P.; Li J.; Chen Y.; Ying X., and Liu S. (2009). The effects of two organic manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a wheat-maize cropping system. Eur. J. Agron., 31:36-42.