Effects of Seed Tuber Size and Plant Population on Seed Tuber Yield of Potato (Solanum Tuberosum L.) in Central Ethiopia

Zebenay Dagne^{1*} Nigussie Dechassa² Wassu Mohammed²

1. Ethiopian Institute of Agriculture Research (EIAR), Holetta Research Centre, P. O. Box 2003 Addis Ababa,

Ethiopia

2.Haramaya University College of agriculture and environmental sciences, school of plant sciences P.O. Box 138 Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

Abstract

Optimizing plant density and seed tuber sizes are the most important agronomic management practices in seed production of potato due to their effects on seed cost and seed tuber yields. A field experiment was conducted under irrigation during in 2014 at Holetta, Ethiopia with the objective of elucidating the effect of varied plant spacing and seed tuber sizes on seed tuber yield production. The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated that seed tuber size and plant spacing significantly (P < 0.05) influenced on average tuber weight, number of tubers and tuber yield in each seed size categories, seed tuber costs. Highest tuber yield were obtained at closer plant spacing and from medium and large seed tuber sizes whereas the lowest tuber yield were obtained at wider plant spacing and plants grown at closer plant spacing of 50 x 20, 50 x 30 and 60 x 20cm produced highest tuber number. Plant spacing 60 x 20 cm and medium-sized tubers (35-45mm) led to the production of the highest seed tuber yield and appropriate for seed tuber size, Plant population, Seed tuber yield, Seed cost

DOI: 10.7176/JNSR/9-3-08

INTRODUCTION

Potato is graded as a high potential food security and cash crop because of its ability to provide a high yield of high quality product per unit input with a shorter crop cycle (mostly < 120 days) compared to major cereal crops (Berga *et al.*, 1992, Adane *et al.*, 2010). Ethiopia has possibly the greatest potential for potato production and 70 percent its arable land mainly in highland areas above 1500m believed suitable for potato production (Endale and Gebremedhin, 2001 and Gebremedhin *et al.*, 2008). Although Ethiopia is endowed with suitable climatic and edaphic conditions the annual production of potato in Ethiopia is low (about 932,701 tons) and the national average yield is 13.799 tons/ha, which is very low compared to the world's average of 32.564 tons/ha (FAOSTAT, 2017).

Seed potato is one of the most important ingredients for successful potato production (Lacha *et al.*, 2012). A reliable supply of good quality seed is crucial to the development of the potato subsector. Availability of seed remains one of the main constraints to the large scale adoption of research-bred or research-derived improved varieties (Lutaladio *et al.*, 2009). Seed tuber size is an important factor to decide the seed per unit area because it affects total yield and graded or marketable tuber yields, particularly small tuber size increasing with increased size of the mother tuber (seed). The other dimension of interest for determining plant population and use of optimum spacing is the high seed rate required in potato production associated cost of seed which may account for up 50% of total production cost (Gebremedhin *et al.*, 2008).

A number of production problems that account for such low yields are unavailability and high cost of seed tubers, lack of well adapted cultivars, inappropriate agronomic practices, diseases, insect pests and inadequate storage (Bereke, 1994). The optimizing of plant density is one of the most important agronomic practices of potato production, because it affects seed cost, plant development, yield and quality of the crop (Bussan *et al.*, 2007). The overall potato seed quality is affected by purity, genetic quality, health, size, physical damage and physiological age (Adane *et al.*, 2010).

In all areas of Ethiopia, there is no separate plot and management for ware and seed potato production. Mostly, potato tubers are sorted into ware and seed immediately after harvest (Adane *et al.*, 2010). For most potato producers seed potato is usually considered as the by-product of ware potato. Among the Ethiopian smallholder farmers in all areas, it is a common practice to save tubers for seed that are too small and inferior to be sold for consumption (Mulatu *et al.*, 2005, Gildemacher *et al.* 2007 and Adane *et al.*, 2010).

Potato seed tuber size and plant spacing in central Ethiopia in particular and in the country in general has not been given much emphasis in production higher seed tuber yields of appropriate seed tuber size and considering seed costs. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine optimum tuber size and plant density for maximum tuber seed production and to evaluate cost and return among different tuber sizes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted under irrigation during the year 2014 cropping season in off season at Holetta central

highlands of Ethiopia. Holetta is situated at an altitude of 2400 meters above sea level at 9°N latitude and 38°29'E longitude, and is located 40km West of Addis Ababa along the Ambo road. The average annual maximum and minimum temperature is 22.1 C° and 6.2C° respectively. The soil type in the area is predominantly Nitosol which is characterized with average organic matter (AOM) content of 1.8%, Nitrogen 0.17%, Phosphorous 4.55ppm and Potassium 1.12 Meq/100gm of soil and pH 5.24 (HARC, 2004).

The treatments consisted of four tuber seed sizes in millimeter (mm) (25-34, 35-45, 46-55 and >56 mm) and five plant spacing (75 x 30 cm, 60 x 30 cm, 60 x 20 cm, 50 x 30 cm and 50 cm x 20 cm). The experiment was laid out as a completely randomized block design (RCBD) in a factorial arrangement and replicated three times per treatment.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model of the SAS statistical package (SAS, 2007) version 9.1. All significant pairs of treatment means were compared using the Least Significant Difference Test (LSD) at 5% level of significance.

Data Collection and Measurement

Pre-planting: Average tuber size (mm) and Average weight of tuber (g), tuber number and yield in each seed tuber sizes, Gross average tuber yield (kgha⁻¹) (AVY), Adjusted yield (ADY), Gross field benefit (GFB), Total cost, and Net benefit (NB).

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model of the SAS statistical package (SAS, 2007) version 9.1. All significant pairs of treatment means were compared using the Least Significant Difference Test (LSD) at 5% level of significance. Partial budget analysis was conducted as indicated in CIMMYT (1988) training manual.

Results and Discussion

Pre-planting data of experimental materials: Pre-planting data for seed tubers that average tuber weight (g) was increased as tuber size increased. Large seed tuber sizes (>56mm) had the highest weight of tubers which was higher than 25-34mm, 35-45mm and 46-55mm by about 84.16,68.66 and 47.37%, respectively. Average tuber weight increased when seed tuber sizes also increased from small to large seed tuber sizes (table 1). The pre-planting data for seed tubers showed that average tuber size of tubers increased as tuber size increased. Average tuber size showed the increased trend towards the large seed tuber size where seed tubers size >56mm had highest average tuber size greater than 25-34mm, 35-45mm and 46-55mm by about 53.1,37.6 and 21.2%, respectively. Table 1. Pre-planting data of experimental materials in each seed tuber sizes category

	Pre planting data					
Tuber size	Average tuber size (mm) Average Tuber weight (g					
25-34mm	29.25	26.92				
35-45mm	39.00	44.62				
46-55mm	49.25	83.33				
>56mm	62.5	158.33				

Average tuber weight (g): From the analysis of the variance, seed tuber sizes and plant spacing showed highly significant difference (P < 0.01) on average tuber weight (Table 2). Highest average tuber weight (119.61g) was recorded for plants grown from 35-45mm seed tuber sizes and at 75 x 30 cm plant spacing treatment combinations this might be due to medium seed tuber sizes produced of optimum number of stems and wider plant spacing had less resource competitions they get high potential of resources whereas lowest average tuber weight (55.91g) was obtained at 50 x 20 cm plant spacing and >56mm seed tuber sizes treatment combinations. The present result agreed with the finding of Berga *et al.* (1992) that average tuber weight decreased with an increase in mother tuber size. Similarly, Zabihi-Mahmoodabad *et al.* (2010) reported that increase in density probably causes the increase in competition between and within plants and hence, leads to decrease in availability of nutrients to each plant and consequently, results in decline of mean tuber weight. The production of higher average tuber weight at wider plant spacing was also reported by other authors (Bussan *et al.*, 2007, Gulluoglu and Arroglu, 2009 and Harnet *et al.*, 2014).

	Plant spacing				
Tuber size	75 x 30 cm	60 x 30 cm	60 x 20 cm	50 x30 cm	50 x 20 cm
>56mm	84.63c	76.86cd	66.69defg	63.36fgh	55.91h
46-55mm	104.35b	76.44cd	71.09defg	69.93defgh	65.74efgh
35-45mm	119.61a	105.16b	76.99cd	72.92def	66.99defg
25-34mm	75.69cde	74.57cde	69.16efgh	62.06gh	63.75fgh
LSD/5%/	11.55				
CV/%/	9.03				

Table 2. Average tuber weight as influenced by the interaction factors of plant spacing and seed tuber size

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a row and column are not significantly different at 5 % level of significance. LSD = least significant difference, CV = coefficient of variation

Yield and number of Seed Tubers in Different Seed Size Categories

Yield and number of large seed tuber size (>56mm): The analysis of variance result showed that Belete variety was significantly (P<0.05) influenced in producing number and yield of large seed tuber size due to plant spacing and seed tuber size, but the two factors did not interact to influence the parameter (Table 3). The results showed maximum number and yield of large tuber size 144491 and 12.82 t ha⁻¹ were obtained from plants spaced at 60 x 30 cm whereas the lowest number and yield of large seed tuber size were obtained at plant spacing of 60 x 20 cm and 50 x 30, respectively. Plants spaced at 60 x 30 cm did not produce number of tubers and yields of large seed tuber size statistically different than plants spaced at 75 x 30 cm. Generally, numbers and yield of large tuber size per hectarewere increased as plants spaced wider than plants grown in narrower plant spacing. This might be due to the slight competition between plants for nutrients and growth factors that increased photosynthesis efficiency of plants and increased seed tuber sizes. This result agreed with the findings of Tesfaye *et al.* (2013) who reported that highest number of large tuber sizes and increased their photosynthesis efficiency that ultimately increased number of large seed tubers. Similarly, Gebremedhin *et al.* (2008) reported that more number of tubers with size grades of 30-40mm and >50mm diameter were obtained from 60 cm and 75 cm inter-row spacing, respectively.

Based on the result the maximum number and yield of large seed tuber size 122363 and 12.28 t ha⁻¹were obtained when 46-55mm and 35-45mm seed tuber sizes were used as planting materials, respectively whereas the lowest number and yield was recorded from small seed tuber size (25-34mm) were used as planting materials (Table 3). This due to medium size seed tubers produced optimum number of stems per tuber than large seed tuber size which had less resources competition between plants which lead to the production of high number and yield of large seed tuber sizes. Similarly, medium seed tuber sizes had high food reserves than small seed tuber size which led to produce high number and yield of large tuber sizes. This result agrees with the finding of Lung'aho *et al.* (2007) who reported that too big seed tubers will result in the production of too many stems and tubers, which will compete for growth factors in the soil and become too small. Thus, such tubers will be unmarketable for use as either ware or seed potato. On the other hand, too small seed tubers will have small number of stems, which will produce only a few tubers, thereby reducing yield.

Yield and number of medium seed tuber size (46-55mm): Plant spacing and seed tuber size had highly significantly (p<0.01) influenced on number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes, but the two factors did not interact to influence on numbers and yield of medium seed tuber sizes (Table 3). Significantly highest number and vield of medium seed tuber sizes 214167 and 12.37 t ha⁻¹ were obtained at 50 x 20 cm and 60 x 30 cm plant spacing. respectively whereas the lowest number and yield of medium seed tuber size was obtained at 75 x 30 cm and 50 x 30 cm plant spacing, respectively (Table 3). Plant spacing of 50 x 20 cm plant spacing did not statistically difference with 60 x 30 cm, 60 x 20 cm and 50 x 30 cm plant spacing to produce high number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes. When plant density increased the number of medium seed tuber sizes increased. However, this is reversed when high yield of medium seed tuber sizes produced where plants grown at wider plant spacing produced high yield of medium seed tuber sizes than plants at closer plant spacing. This might beat closer plant spacing had high number of hills per unit area which lead to produce higher number of medium seed tuber sizes than wider plant spacing. On the other hand at closer plant spacing there could be strong competition between plants for nutrients and growth factors which lead to produce low yield of medium seed tuber sizes. This study agreed with Wiersema, (1987) results who reported that the number of tubers produced depends on the completion of among stems for growth factors, at lower stem densities competition is less, which results a greater tuber number of per stem, but also in a smaller number of tubers per unit area.

The result showed that maximum number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes 223659 and 12.83 t ha⁻¹ was obtained when large (>56mm) and medium (46-55mm) seed tuber sizes, respectively were used as planting materials (Table 3). Large seed tuber sizes (>56mm) did not statistically difference with 46-55mm and 35-45mm seed tuber size to produce high number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes. Number of medium tuber seed sizes increased when increasing seed tuber sizes from small to large seed tuber sizes. This due to large and medium seed

tuber sizes had high number of eyes per tuber and had high probability to produced high number of main stems per hill than small seed tuber size which lead to produce high number medium seed tuber sizes. Similarly, large and medium seed tuber sizes had higher food reserves than small seed tuber sizes which lead to produce high yield of medium seed tuber sizes. This result consistented with Rajadurai (1994) reported that more tubers were formed from larger seed tubers and the number increased from small seed size to large seed size. Similarly, Khalafalla (2001) reported that large seed size gave more number of tubers/plant than small seed sizes.

Yield and number of medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm): Plant spacing and seed tuber size had significantly (p < 0.05) affected numbers and yield of medium seed tuber sizes (Table 3). The result showed that plants grown at 50 x 20 cm plant spacing produced 242667 and 11.82 t ha⁻¹ number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes whereas low number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes 157157 and 6.51 t ha⁻¹ was recorded at 75 x 30 cm plant spacing (Table 3). Plant spacing of 50 x 20 cm did not statistically difference with plant spacing of 60 x 20 cm and 50 x 30 cm to produce numbers of medium seed tuber sizes. At closer plant spacing produced high number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes than wider plant spacing. This due to closer plant spacing there could be high competition of resources between plants for nutrients and growth factors which lead to produce high numbers and yield of medium seed tuber sizes. This result agreed with Tesfaye et al. (2013) who reported that the highest number of medium sized potato tubers was obtained at closer plant spacing had higher competition among plants for resources. The highest number of medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm) was obtained at 60 x 20 cm, 50 x 30 x 30 cm and 50 x 20 cm plant spacing. Therefore, from the results of this study, it appears that plant spacing of 60 x20 cm is appropriate for seed tuber production by considering better seed saving and intercultural operations. Accordingly, for seed potato production, the results of this are consistented with the established recommendation given by EARO (2004). Endale and Gebremedihen (2001) also indicated that decreasing row width below 60cm can bring in cultural problems in addition to almost doubling the seed rate cost.

Significantly maximum number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm) 236652 and 10.7 t ha⁻¹, respectively was obtained when large seed tuber size (>56mm) used as a planting material whereas the lowest number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes were obtained from small seed tuber size (Table 3). This due to large seed tuber size produced high number of stems per tuber than medium and small seed tuber sizes which had high resource competitions between plants which lead to produce high number and yield of medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm). This result agreed with Khalafalla (2001) reported that large seed size gave more number of tubers/plant than small seed sizes. Similarly, Berga *et al* (1992a) reported that tuber number increased significantly with increase seed tuber size. The highest numbers of medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm) were obtained from large (>56mm) and medium (35-45mm) and 46-55mm) seed tuber sizes. Therefore, from the results of this study, it appears that a medium seed tuber size (35-45mm) is appropriate for seed tuber production by considering production cost. Accordingly, for seed potato production, the results of the present investigation were consistent with the established recommendation given by EARO (2004).

Yield and number of small seed tuber sizes (25-34mm): the analysis of variance showed that the main factors of seed tuber size and plant spacing had highly significantly (p<0.01) influenced on yield of medium tuber size, but the two factors did not interact to influence the number and yield of small seed tuber sizes. Maximum number and yield of small seed tuber sizes 210833 and 4.63 t ha⁻¹, respectively was recorded at plant spacing of 50 x 20 cm whereas lowest number and yield of small seed tuber sizes 74722 and 1.49 t ha⁻¹ was obtained at plant spacing of 75 x 30 cm. At closer plant spacing produced high number and yield of small seed tuber sizes than wider plant spacing. This due to closer plant spacing there could be strong competition between plants for nutrient and growth factors which lead to produce high number and yield of small seed tuber sizes. This result agreed with the finding of Berga *et al.* (1992a) reported that total number and seed sizes numbers (smaller tubers) increased with closer spacing. Similarly, Tesfaye *et al.* (2013) reported that the highest number of small tubers was obtained at closer plant spacing whereas the lowest number of small potato tubers was found at wider plant spacing.

Significantly high number and yield of small seed tuber sizes 175926 and 10.7 t ha⁻¹, respectively was obtained from large seed tuber size (>56mm) whereas lowest number and yield of small seed tuber size was recorded from small seed tuber sizes (Table 3). When increased the seed tuber sizes from small to large seed tuber sizes yield and number of small seed tuber sizes also increased. This due to large seed tuber sizes produced high number of stems per tuber than medium and small seed tuber sizes which had high competition of resources between stem (tubers) which lead to produced high number and yield of small seed tuber sizes. This result agreed with the results reported by Rajadrai (1994) that number of tubers per plant increased with increasing seed tuber size and large size seed tubers produced significantly more number of tubers.

	Parameter							
Spacing	<u>>56mm</u>		46-55mm		35-45mm		25-34mm	
	Number	Yield	Number	Yield	Number	Yield	Number	Yield
75 cm x 30 cm	139278ab	12.54a	146741b	11.66ab	157157b	6.51d	74722c	1.49c
60 cm x 30 cm	144491a	12.82a	205278a	12.37a	180370b	7.28d	98148c	2.04c
60 cm x 20 cm	106667c	9.58b	205278a	11.65ab	241111a	10.43b	185833ab	3.91ab
50cm x 30cm	114722c	8.71b	201167a	9.24c	231389a	8.99c	165833 b	3.40b
50 cm x 20 cm	117583bc	9.21b	214167a	10.25bc	242667a	11.82a	210833a	4.63a
LSD (5%)	22733	1.47	24296	1.75	31141	1.34	26873	0.84
Tuber size								
>56mm	122363b	9.37b	223659 a	12.14ab	236652a	10.70a	175926a	3.77a
45-55mm	149556a	11.10a	207185a	12.83a	213356a	9.33b	148370b	3.25ab
35-45mm	147333a	12.28a	203926 a	10.69b	209185ab	8.26bc	127481b	2.55b
25-34mm	78941c	7.54c	143333 b	8.47c	182963 b	7.72c	136519b	2.81b
LSD (5%)	20333	1.32	21731	1.56	27853	1.19	24036	0.75
CV (%)	22.08	16.84	15.11	19.16	17.90	17.97	22.11	32.77

Table 2 Viold	+ ha-l) an	d Mumhar a	f different	and tubor	ainaa aa	influenced	hermlant	ana aina and	good tubor ging
Table 5. Tield (t na) an	u number o	1 uniterent	seeu tuber	sizes as	Innuenceu	by plant	spacing and	seeu tuber size

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column in each factor are not significantly different at 5 % level of significance. LSD= least significant difference, CV= coefficient of variation.

Partial Budget Analysis: The highest marketable tuber yield 389.70, 385.40 and 384.20qt ha⁻¹ was obtained at 60 x 30 cm plant spacing and medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm), 60 x 30 cm plant spacing and large seed tuber sizes (>56mm) and 50 x 20 cm plant spacing and large seed tuber size (>56mm) treatment combinations, respectively. Similarly, highest profit or gain 57551 was obtained at plant spacing of 60 x 30 cm and medium seed tuber size (35-45mm) treatment combinations whereas the lowest income -13574 birr was obtained from large seed tuber sizes (>56mm) and plant spacing of 50 x 20 cm treatment combinations (Table 4).

On this study showed that potato production at closer plant spacing of 50×20 cm and 60×20 cm by using large seed tuber size (>56mm) is not profitable than other seed tuber sizes and plant spacing treatment combinations (Table 4). In general, from the cost benefit analysis; the production cost increased with increasing in seed tuber size, hence resulting low economic return. The high net gain from above mentioned treatments could be mainly attributed used medium seed tuber sizes while the low net gain was attributed used large seed tuber size. Based on yield and income or gain, plant spacing 60×30 cm under seed tubers size of 35-45mm are recommended for potato production over than other seed tubers size and plant spacing combinations farmers can use to maximize their income or gain instead used the other seed tuber sizes and plant spacing.

spacing	Tuber size	Seed	Seed cost	AvY (qtha ⁻	AdY	Total	Net gain
		(qt ha ⁻¹)	(Birr)	1)	(qt ha ¹)	income	(Birr)
75 cm 30 cm	>56mm	73.54	36770	326.2	293.58	58716	21946
	46-55mm	39.07	19535	345.4	310.86	62172	42637
	35-45mm	26.46	13230	303.2	272.88	54576	41346
	25-34mm	12.56	6280	257.8	232.02	46404	40124
60 cm x 30 cm	>56mm	99.7	49850	385.4	346.86	69372	19522
	46-55mm	40.96	20480	349.6	314.64	62928	42448
	35-45mm	25.19	12595	389.7	350.73	70146	57551
	25-34mm	15.69	7845	235.4	211.86	42372	34527
60 cm x 20 cm	>56mm	137.88	68940	367.4	330.66	66132	-2808
	46-55mm	73.26	36630	346	311.4	62280	25650
	35-45mm	37.78	18890	347.8	313.02	62604	43714
	25-34mm	23.54	11770	258.3	232.47	46494	34724
50 cm x 30cm	>56mm	110.31	55155	324.7	292.23	58446	3291
	46-55mm	58.61	29305	336.1	302.49	60498	31193
	35-45mm	39.69	19845	320.6	288.54	57708	37863
	25-34mm	18.83	9415	214.2	192.78	38556	29141
50 cm x 20 cm	>56mm	165.46	82730	384.2	345.78	69156	-13574
	46-55mm	73.72	36860	359.8	323.82	64764	27904
	35-45mm	45.34	22670	329.2	296.28	59256	36586
	25-34mm	28.25	14125	230.3	207.27	41454	27329

Table 4. Interaction of seed tuber size and plant spacing on economic analysis of marketable tuber yield

(AY ha⁻¹)=Gross average tuber yield and (AdY ha⁻¹) =adjusted yield

During experimental period the price of seed 500 ETBqt⁻¹ and selling price during harvesting was 200 ETBqt⁻¹.

www.iiste.org

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION:

The present study revealed that plant spacing of 60×30 cm, 60×20 cm and 50×20 cm resulted in the production of higher marketable number and tuber yield than the other spacing. However, the amount of seed to cover a given area has to be considered the spacing of 60×30 cm more appropriate than the other two spacing's for ware potato production. Similarly, for seed production 60×20 cm plant spacing was more appropriate seed tubers by considering better seed saving and intercultural operations. Large (>56mm) and medium (46-55mm) seed tuber sizes produced maximum marketable tuber yield but medium seed tuber sizes (35-45mm) were appropriate seed production by considering the result of partial budget analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Ethiopian Institute Agricultural Research (EIAR) and Holetta Agricultural Research Center (HARC) for financing the MSc research.

REFERENCE

- Adane, A., Meuwissen, M.P.M., Tesfaye, A., Lommen, W.J.M., Lansink, A.O., Tsegaye, A. and Struik, P.C. 2010. Analysis of seed potato systems in Ethiopia. *Am. J. Pot Res*, 87:537-552.
- Adhikari, R.C. 2005. Performance of different size true potato seed seedling tubers at Khumaltar. Potato Research Program-NARC, Khumaltar-Lalitpur. *Nepal Agric. Res. J. Vol.* 6.
- Bereke, T.T. 1994. The utilization of true potato seed (TPS) as an alternative method of potato production. Ph.D. Thesis, School of Graduate Studies of Wageningen University, the Netherlands.
- Berga, L., Gebremedihin, W., Teriessa, J. and Bereke-Tsehai, T. 1992. *Proceeding of 2nd national horticultural workshop of Ethiopia*, 1-3 December 1992. Potato agronomy research in Ethiopia in: Horticulture research and development in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Berga, L., Gebremedihin, W., Teriessa, J., Bereke-Tsehai, T. and Yaynu, H. 1992b. Proceeding of 2nd national horticultural workshop of Ethiopia, 1-3 December 1992 Potato improvement research in Ethiopia in: Horticulture research and development in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Bohl, W.H. 2000. Seed piece size and spacing: effects on yield operating cost, Presented at the Idaho Potato Conference.
- Bohl, W.H., Nolte. P., Kleinkopf, G.E., Thornton, M. K. 2000. Potato seed Management Seed Size and Age.
- Bussan, A. J., Mitchell, P. D., Copas, M. E. and Drilias. M. J. 2007. Evaluation of the effect of density on potato yield and tuber size distribution. *Crop science*, 47: 2462-2472.
- CIMMYT.1988. From agronomic data to farmers' recommendations: an economic training manual. Completely revised edition. Mexico, DF. 79p.
- CSA (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia). 2013/14. Agricultural sample survey: Report on area and production of crops, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, p 14.
- EARO (Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization). 2004. Ethiopian agricultural research organization directory of released crop varieties and their recommended cultural practices, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Endale, G. and Gebremedhin, W.G. 2001. Effects of Spatial Arrangement on Tuber Yields of Some Potato Cultivars. *African Crop Science Journal* 9(1): 67-76.
- FAOSTAT. 2017. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, for a world without hunger. Rome, Italy. http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/.
- Gebremedhin, W., Kassaye, N., Atsede, S., Abebe, C. and Berga, L. 2012. *Proceedings of the national workshop* on seed potato tuber production and dissemination, 12-14 March 2012. Participatory potato seed production: experiences from West and Southwest Shewa, and Gurage Zones. Seed potato tuber production and dissemination experiences, challenges and prospects. Bahir Dar, Ethiopia
- Gildemacher, P., Demo, P., Kinyae, P., Wakahiu, M., Nyongesa, M. and Zschocke, T. 2007. Select the best positive selection to improve farmers saved seed potatos trainers manual, Njoro, Kenya.
- Gulluoglu, L. and Arioglu, H. 2009. Effects of seed size and in row spacing on growth and yield of early potato in a Mediterranean-type environment in Turkey. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 4 (5): 535-541.
- HARC (Holetta agricultural research center). 2004. Holetta research centre annual report, Holetta, Ethiopia.
- Harnet, A., Derbew, B. and Gebremedhin, W. 2014. Effects of inter and intra row spacing on seed tuber yield and yield components of potato (*Solanum tubersoum* L.) at Ofla Woreda, Northern Ethiopia. *Afr.J.Plant SCI*. 8(6):285-290.
- Islam, M.S., Moonmoon, S., Islam, M.Z., Waliullah, H. and Hossain, M.S. 2012. Studies on seed size and spacing for optimum yield of potato in northern region of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Prog. Sci. & Tech.* 10(1): 113-116.
- Khalafalla, A.M. 2001.Effect of Plant density and seed size on growth and yield of *Solanum* potato in Khartoum State, Sudan. *African Crop Science Journal* .9 (1): 77-82.
- Lacha G., Nigussie, D. and Firew M. 2012. Proceedings of the national workshop on seed potato tuber production

and dissemination, 12-14 March 2012. Seed system analysis of potato in Guraghe Highlands. Seed potato tuber production and dissemination experiences, challenges and prospects. Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

- Lung'aho, C., Lemaga, B., Nyongesa, M., Gildemacher, P., Kinyae, P., Demo, P. and Kabira, J. 2007. Commercial seed potato production in eastern and central Africa. Kenya agricultural research institute. pp.140.
- Lutaladio, N., Ortiz, O., Haverkort, A. and Caldiz, D. 2009. Sustainable potato production guidelines for developing countries. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations Rome, Italy.
- Masarirambi, M.T., Mandisodza, F.C., Mashingaidze, A.B. and Bhebhe, E. 2012. Influence of plant population and seed tuber size on growth and yield components of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 14: 545-549.
- MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development). 2011. Animal and plant health regulatory directorate. Crop variety register issue No. 12. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Mulatu., E., Osman, I. and Etenesh, B. 2005. Improving potato seed tuber quality and producers' livelihoods in Hararghe, Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of New Seeds* 7(3): 31–56.
- Rajadurai, S.1994. Effects of seed tuber size and planting space on growth, yield and tuber size distribution of potato (*solanum tuberosum* L.) in irrigated red-yellow latosols of the dry zone. *J. Natn. sci. coun. sri Lanka* 22(2):115-123.
- SAS (Statistical Analysis Software). 2007. Stat. Jahrbuch tuber Ernahrung, Landwirtschaft und Forsten In German, Landwirtschaftsverlag Munster-Hiltrup, Germany, 2008.
- Sen, D., Rakshit, A. and Ghosh, D. C. 2013. Effect of transplanting dates and plant population on growth parameters of potato (*solanum tuberosum* L.) raised from true potato seed (tps). *cercetări agronomice în moldova* vol. xlvii, no. 1 (157)
- Struik, P. C., and Wiersema, S. G. 1999. Seed potato technology. Wageningen pers, wageningen, Netherlands.
- Tesfa, B. 2012. Influence of plant spacing on seed tuber production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars grown in Eastern Ethiopia. MSc. Thesis submitted to school of plant sciences, Haramaya University, Ethiopia.
- Tesfaye, A. 2007. Proceeding of 1st Amhara Regional workshop on potato research and development. Achievements and transfer experiences and future directions 20-21 December 2007. The contribution of potato in addressing food security agenda of millennium development goal. current and future scenario. Bahir Dar, Ethiopia
- Tesfaye, G., Derbew, B. and Solomon, T. 2013. Combined effects of plant spacing and time of earthing up on tuber quality parameters of potato (*solanum tuberosum* L.) at Degem district, North Showa zone of Oromia regional state. *Asian Jouurnalof Crop Science* 5(1):24-32.
- Thornton, M., Pavek, M. and Bohl, W.H. 2007. Importance of tuber set and bulking rate. Idaho Potato Conference, India.
- Wiersema, S.G. 1987. *Effects of stem density on potato production*. Technical information bulletin international potato center, Lima, peru.16pp. (third edition, revised).
- Zamil, M.F., Rahma, M.M., Rabbani, M.G. and Khatun, T. 2010. Combined effects of nitrogen and plant spacing on the growth and yield of potato with economic performance. *Bangladesh Res.Pub.J.*(3):1062-1070.