# Effect of Blended Fertilizer Application on (Eragrostis tef /Zucc./Trotter) Yield, Yield Component and Nutrient Uptake by Grain Grown on Regosols and Vertisols, North Ethiopia

www.iiste.org

IISTE

Lemlem Hiwot Gebrekidan<sup>1</sup> Shimbahri Mesfin Gebreslase<sup>2</sup> Muruts Hagazi Hiluf<sup>3</sup> 1. Department of Soil Resource and Watershed Management, Aksum University, Ethiopia 2. Department of Land Resource Management and Environmental Protection, Mekelle University, Ethiopia 3. Department of Soil Resource and Watershed Management, Aksum University, Ethiopia

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during cropping season to investigate the effect of blended fertilizer application on (Eragrostis tef /Zucc./Trotter) yield, yield component and nutrient uptake on regosols and vertisols. An improved tef variety (Eragrostis tef /Zucc./Trotter) was used as a test crop and 5 treatment combinations were laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications in each site. Ten plants from each plot were randomly picked at maturity stage, just before harvest for yield component determination. Plant height, shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle, weight of seeds per panicle were measured. And grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were measured from 12m<sup>2</sup> plot area. All yield components on vertisols treated with T<sub>I</sub> was significantly (P<0.001) higher than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ ; while in regosols  $T_{III}$  resulted significantly (P<0.0001) higher than the other trials. Grain yield and straw yield on vertisols treated with T<sub>I</sub> was significantly (P<0.001) higher than T<sub>II</sub>,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ ; while in regosols grain yield was highly significant (P<0.001) in the trial treated with  $T_{II}$  than the other trials, but straw yield was highly significant (P<0.001) in T<sub>I</sub> than the other trials because yield components were high in the banded trial due to low nutrient competition and placement of fertilizers. Furthermore, in vertisols treated with  $T_{\rm I}$  and  $T_{\rm V}$  had significant (P<0.001) higher in harvest index than the other trials; while in regosols  $T_1$  had significant (P<0.001) higher harvest index than the other trials. In both vertisols and regosols  $T_I$  significantly increases nutrient uptake than the other trials because yield is high in this treatment. Therefore, application of blended fertilizer and row seeding rate increases yield and yield components than DAP and Urea because it contains many mineral elements such as N, P, K, Mg, Zn and S. And nutrient uptake is high in plots with blended fertilizer and banded trials because, nutrient uptake is the product of nutrient concentration and grain yield.

Keywords: Eragrostis tef, Blended fertilizer, Yield, Yield component and Uptake of nutrient.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Teff (*Eragrostis tef* /Zucc./Trotter) belonging to the grass family poaceae, is a C4, self-pollinated annual grass, 40– 80cm tall (Dejene *et al.*, 2012). It is one of the most important cereal crops and indigenous to Ethiopia that covers about 2 million hectares of land annually (CSA, 2010). The teff outer seed coat can be of different colors, ranging from pearl-white, to brown; the white grain being the most widely preferred for human consumption. The grains are milled into flour which when mixed with water to form slurry and fermented for two to three days, can be used to bake a flat spongy bread-resembling crepe-like pancake, known as "Injera" (Haftamu *et al*, 2009). Its excellent nutritional value and high resilience in resisting diverse biotic and abiotic stresses make it an excellent food security crop.

However, productivity of the current cultivars in the country is very low, 12.8 quintal ha<sup>-1</sup> under traditional practices (CSA, 2010). Although, research efforts in the last few decades developed important technologies to overcome production constraints and increase productivity (Seifu Ketema, 1993), it has not yet been raised to satisfactory level as compared to its yield potential.

According to Tarekegne (2010) factors that contributing to low productivity of the crop is low soil fertility and method of planting problems. Thus, the study will address the challenges of limited knowledge on soil fertility management and associated challenges for increasing crop productivity with increased current fertilizer use. It also, contribute to tailor fertilizer recommendations based on nutrient levels in the soil and crop needs; as well as to close the knowledge gap about the nutrient status and fertility limitations of Ethiopian major agricultural soils. Therefore, this research is initiated to confirm effect of blended fertilizer application on (*Eragrostis tef* /Zucc./Trotter) yield, yield component and nutrient concentrations on grain grown on two soil types (regosols and vertisols).

# 2. METHODOLOGY

# 2.1. Site Selection

The experimental area was selected based on major soil type of the study area so as to have representative results

and further extending the research output through their verification to the other parts of the area. In view of the variability of the area, the experiment was carried out at soil types (regosols and vertisols) during the main crop season at farmer's field. The soil types were characterized according to World Reference Book of Soil Resources (Atlas, 1998).

# 2.2. Experimental Design and Treatments

The experimental field was prepared following the farmer's practice (field was ploughed with oxen three times) and finally manual digging after lay out before planting. An improved tef variety (*Eragrostis tef* /Zucc./Trotter) was used as a test crop and 5 treatment combinations were laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications in each site. The plot size was  $5m \times 4m = 20 m^2$  with border spaces of 0.5 m between plots and 1m between blocks (Fig 1).

In accordance with the nature of the design, each experimental unit was assigned randomly to the treatment within each block and the treatments were:

 $T_{I}$ . 100kg/ha of 23-10-5 (NPK) +3S+0.3 Zn + 0.02Mg + 50kg/ha Urea + 50kg/ha Potassium Sulphate + 80kg/ha TSP; both seed and fertilizers row planted/banded with seed rate=5kg/ha.

 $T_{II.}$  = 100kg/ha of 23-10-5 (NPK) +3S+0.3 Zn + 0.02Mg + 50kg/ha Urea + 50kg/ha Potassium Sulphate + 80kg/ha TSP; but both seed and fertilizers broadcast sowing with seed rate =25kg/ha

 $T_{III.}$  100kg/ha DAP + 100kg/ha Urea. Both seed and fertilizers row planted/banded with seed rate=5kg/ha  $T_{IV}$  = 100kg/ha DAP + 100kg/ha Urea, but both seed and fertilizers broadcast sowing with seed rate =25kg/ha

 $T_{v}$  Control treatment (seed rate=25kg/ha and no fertilizer)

# 2.3. Data Collection

# 2.3.1. Soil sampling and analysis

Composite surface soil samples from 0 to 20cm depth were collected during the dry season in the middle of May before the onset of the main rainy season using auger. And a minimum of 30 soil subsamples per plot of equal volume each randomly were collected to make a composite sample.

After drying, the samples were prepared and analyzed for soil particle size using hydrometer method as described by Bouyoucos (1951), organic matter content of the soil using Walkley-Black method (1934), CEC using Sodium acetate method, pH (1:2.5 soil : water ratio) as described by Jackson (1958), EC of the saturated past extract, Soil available P (Olsen et al.1954), available Mg, available K by flam photometer, Soil test Zn by DTPA as an extractant (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978), CaCO3 using the method described by Jackson (1970), total soil N content using kjeldahal method and available S using Turbidimeter.

Surface soil samples from 0 to 20 cm depth were taken during planting, tillering, butting, 50% flowering and maturity phonological stages to determine FC, PWP, gravimetric moisture content, volumetric moisture content and bulk density of the soils. Similarly, post fertilization soil samples from 0 to 20cm depth were collected following the same procedure as described above from each plot and these samples were collected just before the crop is harvested and removed from the land and was analyzed for residual N and P.

# **2.3.2.** Agronomic data collection at the field experiment

Agronomic data like plant height shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle, weight of seeds per panicle, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were collected from  $12m^2$  areas of each plot to avoid border effect. The crop was harvested and threshed manually for seed extraction from the straw.

# 2.4 Yield, Yield Components and Nutrient Uptake by teff grain

Teff plants in  $12m^2$  quadrants were used from each plot for recording yield at physiological maturity. As the teff seeds are very small in size only seed weight per panicle was recorded. To determine plant height, shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant and number of spicks per panicle ten randomly picked plants from each plot were used at maturity, just before harvest.

Concentration of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S from the dry grain samples of tef were determined after the grains were separated from straw yield and oven dried at a temperature of 70 °c for about 48 hours. From the oven dried grain samples, the dry weight of the grains were determined and ground to pass 0.5 mm sieve for further analysis. The plant sample was brought in to solution form through digestion with acids that dissolve the plant grains and bring the plant nutrient in liquid form for estimation. These digested grains were analyzed for the concentration of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S. P in grains was determined from ashed samples of the ground tef parts using dry ashing method (Sahlemedhin and Taye, 2000) (the plant sample was heated at high temperatures to destroy OM, and the ash so obtained was dissolved in acids to bring the sample in to liquid form for estimation).

The ash residue is dissolved in dilute HCl, filtered through acid-washed filter paper in a 50/100ml volumetric flask, and the volume is made up to the mark. Total N in plant grains was determined by the micro-

kjeldahl method of the ashed tef samples (Sahlemedhin and Taye, 2000). Mg in grains was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer of the wet digest tef samples. K in grains was determined by flame photometer of the digest tef samples. For the determination of Zn concentration in grains of tef part of the sample digest was done by the wet digestion method. Total S was analyzed using Turbidimeter.

# 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Field soil and plant data were statistically analyzed using JMP 5. Single factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed to evaluate the main effect of treatments ( $T_I$ ,  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ ) on yield, yield components and concentration of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S on teff grains for the two soil types. Yield data on the two soil types were compared considering the soil types as main plots and treatments as sub plots by split plot. In every case treatment means were separated using Tukey HSD comparison method. Significancey unless mentioned all the statistical significance of treatments were made at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The linear relationship between yield and yield components were made using Pearson correlation product moment coefficients (r) at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Yield components that overwhelming determines the yields of teff were detected using stepwise regression.

#### **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1. Soil Moisture

The results of analysis for gravimetric moisture content, bulk density and volumetric moisture content; during planting, tillering, butting, 50% flowering and harvesting phonological stages of surface soil (20cm) at FC (38.33%) and PWP (23.6%) on vertisols and at FC (24.1%) and PWP (14.3%)on regosols respectively (Figure-2). From this result, it was possible to say that vertisols have higher moisture holding capacity and plant available water capacity compared to regosols. Because filed moisture capacity (FC) and moisture content at PWP differs widely among soils. Texture especially clay content, clay minerals, porosity and pore size distribution and soil organic matter content affect FC. In contrast to FC, the PWP is not significantly influenced by aggregation, structural porosity and soil organic matter content. PWP is primarily influenced by the amount and nature of clay content.

#### 3.2. Soil Analysis

The result of soil physical and chemical properties analysis used for field experiment are given in table-1. Regosols are sandy clay loam in texture while vertisols are clay. Both soils are alkaline in reaction and calcareous with calcium carbonate content of 24.57% and 12.71% for regosols and vertisols respectively (Havlin et al., 2005). The soils are non saline, low organic matter content, deficient in available Sulfur (S) (<10mg/kg); (Kiros, 2010) and deficient in total N, typical for semiarid soils (Brady and Weil, 2002). The alkaline pH and high CaCO3 content of the soil indicate the fixing potential of the soil to P, Zn and other micronutrient metal ions. This could also be seen from the soil analysis concentration values for available P and Zn in which available P and Zn are deficient at (4-7ppm) and (0-0.5ppm) category respectively (Havlin et al. 2005) and vertisols are better in nutrient status than the regosols (Table-1).

#### **3.3. Yield components**

Plant height, shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage on vertisols treated with  $T_I$  was significantly (P<0.001) higher than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$  (Table-2) due to planting method and seeding rate which influences yield components. Therefore, low seeding rate/banded planting method and blended fertilizer application significantly increases yield components; while in regosols  $T_I$  was significantly (P<0.001) increases plant height, number of tillers per plant and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage than the other trials (Table- 3). Furthermore,  $T_{III}$  was significantly (P<0.001) increases in plant height, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage than  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$  in vertisols (Table- 2); while in regosols  $T_{III}$  resulted significant (P<0.001) increase in plant height, number of tillers per plant and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage than  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$  in vertisols (Table- 2); while in regosols  $T_{III}$  resulted significant (P<0.001) increase in plant height, number of tillers per plant and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage than  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$  (Table- 3). Therefore, low seeding rate/banded planting method and blended fertilizer application leads to significantly increase yield components (Puckridge and Donald, 1967; Harper, 1977).

# 3.4. Yield

Data regarding grain yield, straw yield and harvest index at maturity stage for the various treatments are present in table-4 below for vertisols and regosols respectively. Grain yield and straw yield at maturity stage on vertisols treated with  $T_I$  was significantly (P<0.001) higher than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ . Grain yield at maturity stage on vertisols treated with  $T_I$  (76.3%),  $T_{II}$  (34.5%),  $T_{III}$  (53.4%) and  $T_{IV}$  (28.2%) increases compared to control; while in regosols,  $T_I$  (111.5%),  $T_{III}$  (118.1%),  $T_{III}$  (63.7%), and  $T_{IV}$  (106.8%) increases compared to control.

Similarly, straw yield at maturity stage on vertisols treated with T<sub>I</sub> (68.2%), T<sub>II</sub> (45.9%), T<sub>III</sub> (56.7%),

 $T_{IV}$  (41.3%) increases compared to control; while in the regosols,  $T_{I}$  (54.6%),  $T_{II}$  (40.1%),  $T_{III}$  (25.9%) and  $T_{IV}$  (39.2%) increases compared to control. Therefore, application of blended fertilizer increases yield than DAP and Urea because it contains many mineral elements such as N, P, K, Mg, Zn and S. Due to this; N may be attributed to spicklets initation, improvement of spicklets fertility, increasing grains per fertile spicklets and for biomass formation (Damene, 2003). Furthermore, grain-straw yield response of teff to increasing rates of N was highly significant on vertisols (DZARC, 1988/89) and P promotes root formation and growth; affects quality of seed, fruit and flower production (Fageria and Gheyi, 1999). Similarly, application of K increases diseases resistance of crops. Application of Zn increases number of tillers per plant, weight of seeds per panicle and grain yield. This may be due to Zn is important in fruiting, growth and metabolism of crop plants (Fageria, 2009; Marschner, 1993; Brown et al., 1993).

These results are in agreement with the recent findings of (Tareke et al., 2008) that blended fertilizer application increases tef yield; While in regosols grain yield was highly significant (P<0.001) in the trial treated with  $T_{II}$  than the other trials, but straw yield was highly significant (P<0.001) in  $T_I$  than the other trials because yield components were high in the banded trial due to low nutrient competition and placement of fertilizers. Furthermore,  $T_{III}$  resulted significantly (P<0.001) higher grain yield and straw yield at maturity stage than  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$  in vertisols. This indicates that row planting method increases teff yield. These results are also in agreement with the recent findings of row planting plots was three times than broadcasted plots (Tareke et al., 2008); While in regosols grain yield was highly significant (P<0.001) in the trial treated with  $T_{IV}$  than  $T_{III}$  and  $T_V$  due to the nature of the soil: gravelly sand dominantly with low moisture holding capacity. It is possible to suggest that vertisols have higher moisture holding capacity than regosols as a result, yield and yield components of teff was also higher on vertisols than regosols because water plays a very significant (P<0.001) higher harvest index than the other trials; while in regosols  $T_I$  had significant (P<0.001) higher harvest index than the other trials; while in regosols  $T_I$  had significant (P<0.001) higher harvest index than the other trials.

#### 3.5. Post-harvest available P and total N residuals after fertilization of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea

Available P-residual on vertisols treated with  $T_I$  was significantly (P<0.001) higher than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ ; while in regosols  $T_I$  was only significantly (P<0.01) increases available P-residual than  $T_V$ . Similarly,  $T_{III}$  significantly (P<0.001) increases available P-residual than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ ; while in regosols  $T_{III}$  was only significantly (P<0.01) increases available P-residual than  $T_V$ ; while in regosols  $T_{III}$  was only significantly (P<0.01) increases available P-residual than  $T_V$  (Table- 5). According to Tanner et al. (1992), phosphorous application increased the soil organic matter content. Therefore, application of P fertilizer increases available P-residual on both regosols and vertisols had not significant effect for the various treatments because N is subject to leaching or de-nitrification soon after application (very volatile in nature).

# 3.6. Concentration of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S by teff grains from blended fertilizer and DAP and Urea application

The effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on the grain contents of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S are shown in table-6 and table-8 for vertisols and regosols respectively. The analysis in table-6 and table 8 shows that the main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization significantly (P<0.001) increases the nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, zinc, magnesium and sulfur concentration of Eragrostis teff grains in both regosols and vertisols. Nutrient concentration on vertisols treated with T<sub>I</sub> significantly increases N (53.2%), P (52.3%), Zn (38.3%), Mg (137.2%) and S (79.44%) compared to control. Similarly, T<sub>II</sub> significantly increases N (33.4%), P (39.6%), Zn (37.2%), Mg (129.8%) and S (70.09%) compared to control.

Moreover,  $T_{III}$  significantly increases N (26.7%), P (39.8%), Zn (36.4%), Mg (57.1%) and S (33.96%) compared to control. And  $T_{IV}$  significantly increases N (20.05%), P (36.8%), Zn (10.8%), Mg (15.03%) and S (15.58%) compared to control in vertisols. While in regosols,  $T_I$  significantly increases N (131.7%), P (47.3%), Zn (21.8%), Mg (165.7%) and S (62.68%) compared to control. Similarly,  $T_{II}$  significantly increases N (86.8%), P (14.4%), Zn (19.1%), Mg (128.5%) and S (8.55%) compared to control. In addition,  $T_{III}$  significantly increases N (76.2%), P (17.6%), Zn (8.9%), Mg (15.2%) and S (8.55%) compared to control. And  $T_{IV}$  significantly increases N (5.7%), P (10.4%), Zn (7.25%), Mg (13.9%) and S (7.124%) compared to control. Therefore, blended fertilizer application and low seeding rate/banded planting method significantly (P<0.001) increases the nitrogen, phosphorous, zinc magnesium and Sulfur concentration of *Eragrostis tef* (Zucc. /Trotter) grains in both regosols and vertisols.

Similarly, The effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on the grain uptake of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S were assessed at maturity stage and the result for the main effects of various treatments are shown in table-7 and table-9 for vertisols and regosols respectively. The analysis shows that the main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization significantly (P<0.001) increases the nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, zinc, magnesium and Sulfur uptake of Eragrostis tef grains in both regosols and vertisols (Table-7 and Table 9).

On both vertisols and regosols  $T_I$  significantly increases nutrient uptake than the other trials because yield is high in this treatment. These results are in agreement with the recent findings of Tareke et al., (2008) which states row planting plots was three times than broadcasted plots. Nutrient uptake is high in plots with blended fertilizer and banded trials because, nutrient uptake is the product of nutrient concentration and grain yield.

### 3.7. Comparison of grain yield on regosols and vertisols

Yield data on the two soil types were compared considering the soil types as main plots and treatments as sub plots by split plot. Yield on vertisols (1681kg/ha) were highly significant (P<0.001) than regosols (595kg/ha) due to vertisols are better in nutrient status and moisture holding capacity than the regosols.

#### 3.8. Stepwise regression of major yield components

Yield components that overwhelming determines the yields of tef were detected using stepwise regression at 0.1 significant probability value for both Prob-to-Enter and Prob-to-Leave for model building. Grain yield on vertisols was highly affected by weight of seeds per panicle (g), number of tillers per panicle and plant height (cm) at  $R^2 = 0.9552$ ; while grain yield on regosols was highly affected by weight of seeds per panicle (g), number of tillers per panicle, panicle length per plant (cm) and plant height (cm) at  $R^2 = 0.9553$ .

#### Y1 (kg) = 578.43 + 6.52X1 + 7.09X3 + 405.64X5

#### Y2 (kg) = -191.83 + 10.03X1 + 13.75X2 - 9.33X3 - 806.99X5

Where

Y1 = Grain yield on vertisols (kg), Y2 = Grain yield on Regosols (kg), X1 = Plant height (cm), X2 = Panicle length per plant (cm), X3 = Number of tillers per panicle and X5 = Weight of seeds per panicle (g)

# 4. CONCLUSION

From this study it was possible to conclude that  $T_I$  resulted significant higher plant height, shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle and weight of seeds per panicle at maturity stage than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ . Generally, both  $T_I$  and  $T_{III}$  showed significantly high grain yield, plant height, and panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant and weight of seeds per panicle than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ .

Therefore, application of blended fertilizer and row planting method has brought a significant effect in the Eragrostis tef yield and yield components grown on vertisols. Similarly, on regosols  $T_I$  resulted significant higher plant height, shoot length per plant, panicle length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle, weight of seeds per panicle and straw yield at maturity stage than  $T_{II}$ ,  $T_{III}$ ,  $T_{IV}$  and  $T_V$ . Even if, grain yield is higher in  $T_{II}$ ; yield components (plant height, shoot length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle and weight of seeds per panicle) is higher in  $T_I$  and  $T_{III}$ . Generally, grain yield is higher in broadcasted trials ( $T_{II}$  and  $T_{IV}$ ) due to low tillering number; because, regosols has low moisture holding capacity (sandy clay loam in texture) and low annual rain fall during the growing period (427.9mm).

There is a positive correlation between straw yield and plant height, shoot length per plant and number of tillers per plant; and between grain yield and plant height, shoot length per plant, number of tillers per plant, number of spicks per panicle and weight of seeds per panicle on both regosols and vertisols. Yield on vertisols were highly significant than regosols due to vertisols are better in nutrient status and moisture holding capacity than the regosols.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Many thanks goes to Aksum University for its providing useful materials for the study and special recognition to the Agricultural Transformation Agency for its financial support for the research work. Last but not least appreciation goes to the Federal Ministry of Agriculture for providing opportunity for authors to work on Eragrostis tef trials

#### 6. REFERENCES

Bouyoucas, G.H., 1951. Reclamation of the hydrometer for making mechanical analysis of soils. Am. Argon.J., 43(3): 434-438

Brady, N.C. and R. R. Weil. 2002. The nature and properties of soils. 13thed. Pearson Education. Inc. USA

Brown PH, Cakmak I, Zhang Q (1993) Forms and function of zinc in plants. In 'Zinc in soils and plants'. (Ed. AD Robson) pp.93-106. (Kluwer Acad. Publ.: Dordrecht, The Netherlands)

Central Statistical Agency (CSA) (2010), Agricultural Sample Enumeration Surveys, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Damene Darota, 2003. Yields response of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) to applied level of N and P fertilizer on Nitisols Dewuro Zone, Southern Ethiopia. An MSc Thesis presented to Alemaya to School of Graduate Studies of Alemaya University.

Dejene Mengistu, K. and Lemlem Mekonnen, S. (2012), Integrated Agronomic Crop Managements to Improve

Teff Productivity Under Terminal Drought, Water Stress, Prof. Ismail Md. MofizurRahman (Ed.), InTech, Available from: bhttp://www.intechopen.com/books/water stress/integrated-agronomic crop-managements-to improve-teff-productivity-under-terminal-drought.

- DZARC (Debre- Zeit Agricultural Research center). 1988/89. Annual research achievement report. Debre-Zeit, Ethiopia. 271p.
- Fageria, N. K. 2009. The use of nutrients in crop plants. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Fageria, N. K. and H. R. Gheyi. 1999. Efficient crop production. Campina Grande, Paraiba, Brazil. Federal University of Paraiba.
- Haftamu Gebretsadik, Mitiku Haile and Charles F. Yamoah, 2009.Tillage Frequency, Soil Compaction and N-Fertilizer Rate Effects on Yield of Teff (Eragrostis Tef (Zucc)Trotter) in Central Zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia Volume 1 (1): 82 – 94.
- Harper JL, 1977. Population Biology of plants. Academic press.
- Havlin, J. L., Tisdale, S. L., Beaton, J. D., and Nelson, W. L. 2005. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. An Introduction to Nutrient Management. 7thedition, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Jackson M. L. 1958. Soil chemical analysis prentice-Hall. Inc. Sixth printing Department of soil science, univ. of Wiconsin, Madison, Wis. 53706.496.p
- Jackson M. L. 1970. Soil chemical analysis prentice-Hall. Inc. Englewood cliffs, N. J. Sixth printing, 1970. 498pp.
- Kiros H., 2010. Mineral nutrients plant uses and fertility management of soils, Mekelle University-Tigray, Ethiopia.
- Lindsay, W.L. and Novella, W.A. (1978). Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Scu, Am.J.
- Marschner, H. (1993). Zinc uptake from soils. In 'Zinc in Soils and Plants'. (ED. AD Robson) pp.59-79. (Kluwer Acad. Publ.: Dordrecht, The Netherlands)
- Olsen S.R., V.Cole, F.S. Watenable and L.A. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Cir. No. 939.
- P.K.Gupta, 2000.Soil, plant, water and fertilizer analysis.631.422; GUP. SOI
- Puckridge DW, Donald CM, 1967. Competition amongst wheat plants sown at a wide range of densities. Australian Journal of Agricultural Reasearch 18: 193-211
- Sahlemedhin S. and Taye B., 2000. Procedures for Soil and Plant Analysis. National Soil testing center, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Seifu Ketema (1993), Teff (*Eragrostis tef*): Breeding, genetic resources, agronomy, utilization and role in Ethiopian agriculture. Institute of Agricultural Research, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Tareke Berhe and Nigusse Zena, 2008. Results in a trial of system of teff intensification (STI) at Debre zeit, Ethiopia.
- Tarekegne Berhe (2010), Breeding and genetic resources of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) in Ethiopia. Institute of Agricultural Research, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Teff (*Eragrostis teff* (Zucc.) Trotter) Using Seed Spreader in Wolaita, South Ethiopia: Farmers Evaluation and Economic Analysis. The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE), Advances in Life Science and Technology, 5: U.S. and Europe.
- Walkley, A. and C.A. Black. 1934. An Examination of degthareff method for determining soil Organic matter and proposal modification of the proposal modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil sci: 37:29-38.

# List of Tables

Table 1 Some selected	nhysical and chem	ical properties of two	a coil types
Table 1. Some selected	physical and chem	lical properties of two	J SOIL LYPES

Parameters	Regosols	Vertisols
pH H2O (1:2.5)	8.1	8.0
EC (ds/m)	0.182	0.247
CEC (Cmol(+) /Kg)	22.13	45.65
CaCO3 (%)	24.57	12.71
OC (%)	0.74	1.50
Exc. Mg (Cmol(+) /Kg)	1.11	4.56
Exc. K $(Cmol(+)/Kg)$	0.59	1.15
Exc. Ca (Cmol(+) /Kg)	55.01	73.85
Available K (ppm)	230.69	449.65
Available Mg (ppm)	134.31	551.76
Available Zn (ppm)	0.232	0.432
Available P (ppm)	4.78	2.94
Available S (mg/kg)	9	1
T.N (%)	0.08	0.12
Sand (%)	52	18
Silt (%)	22	26
Clay (%)	26	56
Textural Class	Sandy Clay Loam	Clay

Table -2. Main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on plant height (ph), shoot length (shl), panicle length (pl), number of tillers per plant (ntpp), number of spicks per panicle (nspp) and weight of seeds per panicle (Wspp) at maturity stage grow on Vertisols

Treatments	Ph	Shl	Pl	Ntpp	Nspp	Wspp
T <sub>I</sub>	107a	54.6a	52.4a	30a	33.333a	1.876a
$T_{II}$	97.267b	52.567b	44.7b	17c	30.333a	0.917c
$T_{III}$	99.7b	51.8c	47.9b	25b	32.333a	1.318b
T <sub>IV</sub>	92.6c	51.68c	40.92c	13c	29.667a	0.916c
T <sub>V</sub>	56.8d	29.17d	27.63d	5d	16.0b	0.713d
SE	0.904	0.151	0.767	1.0	0.978	0.002
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	****
R2	0.994728	0.999488	0.983722	0.974874	0.954158	0.999971

Table -3. Main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on plant height (ph), shoot length (shl), panicle length (pl), number of tillers per plant (ntpp), number of spicks per panicle (nspp) and weight of seeds per panicle (Wspp) at maturity stage grow on Regosols

Treatments	Ph	Shl	Pl	Ntpp	Nspp	Wspp
T <sub>I</sub>	94.4a	53.7a	40.7a	14.0a	21.0a	0.603a
$T_{II}$	83.6c	46.2b	37.4ab	5.667c	17.333ab	0.509c
T <sub>III</sub>	85.3b	51.5a	35.567bc	10.333b	20.667a	0.593b
T <sub>IV</sub>	76.9d	44.8b	32.1c	3.333cd	16.667b	0.321d
$T_V$	47.9e	30.0c	17.9d	2.0d	10.0c	0.224e
SE	0.292	0.530	0.907	0.632	0.856	0.0007
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	***
R2	0.999323	0.99191	0.974459	0.961653	0.91464	0.999954

Where:

Those not connected by same latter are significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*=P<0.05, \*\*=P<0.01, \*\*\*=P<0.001 and Ns = non significant

Table -4. Main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on grain yield (kg/ha), straw yield (kg/ha)
and harvest index (Hi) at maturity stage grow on Vertisols and Regosols

Treatments	GyV	SyV	HiV	GyR	SyR	HiR
T <sub>I</sub>	2245a	4760a	0.32a	722.667b	847.0a	0.46c
Тп	1712c	4130bc	0.29b	745.333a	768.0b	0.49a
T <sub>III</sub>	1952.667b	4433.333ab	0.307ab	559.333c	690.0c	0.4467d
T <sub>IV</sub>	1633d	4000c	0.29b	706.667b	763.0b	0.48b
T <sub>v</sub>	1273.333e	2830d	0.31a	341.667d	548.0d	0.383e
SE	11.395	76.848	0.0039	4.063	1.372	0.0021
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	***
R2	0.997545	0.973224	0.815789	0.998564	0.999629	0.993723

Where:

Those not connected by same latter are significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*=P<0.05, \*\*=P<0.01, \*\*\*=P<0.001 and Ns = nonsignificant

GyV = Grain yield on Vertisols, SyV = Straw yield on Vertisols, HiV = Harvest index on Vertisols, GyR = Grain yield on Regosols, SyR = Straw yield on Regosols and HiR = Harvest index on Regosols Table-5. Post harvest available P and total N residuals for the various treatments on Regosols and Vertisols

Table-5. Post harvest available P and total N residuals for the various treatments on Regosols and Vertisols							
Treatments	Available PR	Available PV	Total NR	Total NV			
TI	6.48333a	10.9667a	0.07333a	0.11333a			
$T_{II}$	7.63667a	5.1267c	0.07333a	0.11333a			
T <sub>III</sub>	4.960ab	7.410b	0.09333a	0.10667a			
T <sub>IV</sub>	7.28667a	5.370c	0.08667a	0.110a			
T <sub>v</sub>	2.920b	2.6567d	0.080a	0.11667a			
SE	0.66984	0.43161	0.00471	0.00394			
P-value	**	***	Ns	Ns			
R2	0.770153	0.953876	0.576271	0.270833			

Where:

Those not connected by same latter are significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*=P<0.05, \*\*=P<0.01, \*\*\*=P<0.001 and Ns = non significant

Table -6. Main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on tef grain contents of N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S on vertisols

Treatments	N-content	P-content	K-content	Zn-content	Mg-content	S-content
	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
T <sub>I</sub>	1.9867a	2316.3a	4916.67a	0.5473a	1631a	2.88a
T <sub>II</sub>	1.73b	2123.3b	4876.67a	0.543ab	1580b	2.73b
T <sub>III</sub>	1.643c	2126.3b	3983.33b	0.53967b	1080.3c	2.156c
T <sub>IV</sub>	1.5567d	2080.67c	4750a	0.4383c	791d	1.855d
$T_V$	1.2967e	1520.67d	4583.33a	0.39567d	687.67e	1.605e
SE	0.01758	1.0220	73.318	0.00092	1.9607	0.00733
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	***
R2	0.987942	0.999971	0.914838	0.99581	0.99995	0.999555

Table -7. Main effect of blended fertilize,	DAP and Urea fertilization	on N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S uptake by tef
grain contents of on vertisols		

Treatments	N-uptake	P- uptake	K- uptake	Zn- uptake	Mg- uptake	S- uptake
	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)
T <sub>I</sub>	44.61a	5.20a	11.04a	0.0012a	3.66a	0.0065a
T <sub>II</sub>	29.62c	3.64c	8.35b	0.0009c	2.70b	0.0047b
T <sub>III</sub>	32.09b	4.15b	7.78b	0.0011b	2.11c	0.0042c
T <sub>IV</sub>	25.42d	3.397d	7.76b	0.0007d	1.29d	0.0030d
T <sub>v</sub>	16.51e	1.94e	5.84c	0.0005e	0.88e	0.0020e
SE	0.52267	0.024840	0.165202	0.0000056580	0.014877	0.000028672
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	***
R2	0.993542	0.99891	0.980932	0.999009	0.999553	0.999274

Table -8. Main effect of blended fertilizer, DAP and Urea fertilization on tef grain contents of N, P, K, Zn, Mg	
and S on regosols	

Treatments	N-content	P-content	K-content	Zn-content	Mg-content	S-content
	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
T <sub>I</sub>	4.750a	1956.3a	3966.6667a	0.4483a	1643a	2.855a
Тп	3.83b	1519.67c	3716.6667b	0.4383b	1413b	1.905b
T <sub>III</sub>	3.613b	1562.3b	3950a	0.40067c	712c	1.905b
T <sub>IV</sub>	2.16c	1467d	3633.3333bc	0.39467d	704d	1.88c
T <sub>v</sub>	2.05c	1328.3e	3500c	0.368e	618.3e	1.755d
SE	0.07783	2.5734	40.825	0.00087	1.5348	0.00528
P-value	***	***	***	***	***	***
R2	0.988794	0.9997	0.907806	0.998269	0.999974	0.999654

Table -9. Main effect of blended fertilize, DAP and Urea fertilization on N, P, K, Zn, Mg and S uptake by tef grain contents of on regosols

Treatments N-uptake	P- uptake	K- uptake	Zn- uptake	Mg- uptake	S- uptake
(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)
32.86a	1.35a	2.74a	0.00030a	1.136a	0.001975a
27.41b	1.09b	2.66ab	0.00029b	1.010b	0.001362b
19.15c	1.03c	2.09c	0.00024c	0.377d	0.001009c
15.12d	0.83d	2.54b	0.00028b	0.493c	0.001316b
6.97e	0.45e	1.19d	0.00013d	0.210e	0.000597d
0.63829	0.0090836	0.036281	0.0000024266	0.0060669	0.000010682
***	***	***	***	***	***
0.99026	0.998171	0.992055	0.997136	0.999442	0.99889
	(kg) 32.86a 27.41b 19.15c 15.12d 6.97e 0.63829 ***	(kg) (kg)   32.86a 1.35a   27.41b 1.09b   19.15c 1.03c   15.12d 0.83d   6.97e 0.45e   0.63829 0.0090836   *** ***	(kg) (kg) (kg)   32.86a 1.35a 2.74a   27.41b 1.09b 2.66ab   19.15c 1.03c 2.09c   15.12d 0.83d 2.54b   6.97e 0.45e 1.19d   0.63829 0.0090836 0.036281   *** *** ***	(kg) (kg) (kg) (kg)   32.86a 1.35a 2.74a 0.00030a   27.41b 1.09b 2.66ab 0.00029b   19.15c 1.03c 2.09c 0.00024c   15.12d 0.83d 2.54b 0.00028b   6.97e 0.45e 1.19d 0.00013d   0.63829 0.0090836 0.036281 0.0000024266   *** *** *** ***	(kg) (kg) (kg) (kg) (kg)   32.86a 1.35a 2.74a 0.00030a 1.136a   27.41b 1.09b 2.66ab 0.00029b 1.010b   19.15c 1.03c 2.09c 0.00024c 0.377d   15.12d 0.83d 2.54b 0.00028b 0.493c   6.97e 0.45e 1.19d 0.000024266 0.0060669   *** *** *** *** ***

Where:

Those not connected by same latter are significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*=P<0.05, \*\*=P<0.01, \*\*\*=P<0.001 and Ns = non significant



Figure.1 experimental field layout of the trials



Figure 2. Soil moisture and soil moisture constants during planting, tillering, butting, 50% flowering and harvesting stages at 20cm depth of Regosols and Vertisols