Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) production among Escherichia coli and Klebsiella species in Kumasi, Ghana.

Lord Amoako Ayisi^{1,2*} Yaw Adu-Sarkodie¹

1. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi,

Ghana

2. School of Allied Health Sciences, Narh-Bita College, Tema, Ghana. * E-mail of the corresponding author: <u>lordla21@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

In recent times *Enterobacteriaceae* including *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp.*, isolated in Kumasi at the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital (KATH) have shown significant resistance to 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} generation cephalosporins. Microbial resistance to these antimicrobials if due to the production of Extended Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases (ESBLs) may also indicate resistance to the aminoglycosides and other antibiotics. A total of 300 non-selected, non-duplicate isolates were collected and ESBL production was phenotypically determined using the Combined Disc Method. 149 (49.67%) of the isolates were ESBL- producing *E. coli* (44.37%) or *Klebsiella spp.* (55.03%). ESBL-producing organisms were more common among in-patients (54.60%) than they were among out-patients (46.27%). Meropenem emerged as the antimicrobial agent of choice for the treatment of serious infections associated with ESBL-producers on the basis of *in vitro* testing. The high level of ESBL production found in these *Enterobacteriaceae* with the resultant microbial resistance to the available cephalosporins and other agents may pose difficulties with the choice of therapeutic options for the treatment of severe infections. Efforts to prevent and/or control outbreaks of infections with ESBL-producing organisms must emphasize on the judicious use of all antibiotics.

Keywords: Extended Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases (ESBLs), Cephalosporins, Enterobacteriaceae.

1. Introduction

Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases (ESBLs) are enzymes which confer antibiotic resistance on certain bacteria in the family *Enterobacteriaceae*¹.

Microorganisms which produce ESBLs could be of great health concern in Kumasi at the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital (KATH) not just because they have been reported worldwide but also because in 2006, 25.02% of all *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from urine and blood, from KATH, were resistant to cephalosporins (cefuroxime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime and ceftazidime) (KATH Lab records, 2006). Again, records from the KATH Microbiology Laboratory in 2006 indicated that, of the total *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from urine and blood 31.19% were resistant to gentamicin (an aminoglycoside), 23.00% to ciprofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone) and 75.34% to tetracycline. Some of these multi-resistant isolates could probably harbour ESBLs thus limiting therapeutic options. The delay in detecting and reporting ESBL-producers may lead to prolonged hospitalization of patients, increased morbidity and mortality as well as increased cost of health care².

The study investigated ESBL production among *E. coli and Klebsiella spp.* isolated from mainly blood and urine, at the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, Kumasi.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Blood and urine samples were collected and cultures performed to isolate *E. coli and Klebsiella spp.* used in this work.

2.2 Study Site

This study was undertaken in Kumasi at the Microbiology laboratory of the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital (KATH).

2.3 Sample Size

300 non duplicate *E. coli and Klebsiella spp.* used in the study were isolated mainly from blood and urine in this study.

2.4 Methods

2.4.1 Sample Collection and Culture

The blood specimens collected were inoculated into a 25 ml brain-heart infusion broth. The bottles were then incubated overnight and subsequently subcultured on blood and MacConkey agar plates to isolate the microorganisms. The urine isolates were obtained after inoculating 20ml of mid-stream-urine onto Cysteine Lactose Electrolytes Deficient (CLED) agar.

2.4.2 Data Collection

The age, gender and location (i.e. in- or out-patient) of patients from whom the microorganisms were isolated were recorded.

2.4.3 Identification of Isolates

The isolates were identified using the API 20E (bioMérieux, France).

2.4.4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility of each isolate was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method, and interpreted using the British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) standards⁴.

The antibiotic discs used in this study were cefotaxime (CTX:30µg), ceftazidime (CAZ:30µg), ceftriaxone (CTR:30µg), cefuroxime (CXM:30µg), ampicillin (AMP:10µg), amikacin (AMK:30µg), gentamicin (GEN:10µg), nitrofurantoin (NIT:300µg), nalidixic acid (NAL:30µg), norfloxacin (NOR:10µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP:5µg), cotrimoxazole (COT:1.25/23.75µg), tetracycline (TET:30µg), chloramphenicol (CHL:30µg), pipemidic acid (PA:20µg), meropenem (MEM:10µg), imipenem (IMP:10µg) and levofloxacin (LVX:5µg).

2.4.5 Determination of ESBL-producers

All isolates were tested for ESBL production by the Combined Disc Method (CDM) using Cefotaxime (30 μ g) and ceftazidime discs (30 μ g) or cefpodoxime (30 μ g) disc with and without clavulanate (10 μ g) on Mueller-Hinton agar plate.^{5,6} The CDM was selected for the phenotypic detection of ESBL-producers because it has a good sensitivity and specificity (i.e. 94.4% and 97.0% respectively) for the detection ESBL producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella spp.*⁶

2.4.6 Statistical Analysis

SPSS 14 Evolution (SPSS Inc.) software was used to analyse the epidemiological data and risk factors for the isolation of an ESBL-producer.

3. Results

149 out of the 300 isolates studied were ESBL producers. Of the ESBL-producers, 115 were from urine (n=72) and blood (n=43). 37 of the ESBL-producers from urine were *Klebsiella spp.*, and 35 *E.coli*. Of the 43 ESBL-producers isolated from blood, 23 and 20 were *Klebsiella spp.* and *E. coli* respectively.



Figure 1: ESBL-producers from in-patients and out-patients

ESBL-producers were more common among in-patients (54.60%) than out-patients (46.27%), although the difference was not statistically significant (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 0.79-2.46, p= 0.30). 95 out of the 174 organisms isolated from in-patients were ESBL-producers whilst 31out of 67 from out-patients were ESBL-producers. (Figure 1)

	% resistant to antimicrobial agents		
Antimicrobial	Non ESBL-		Total
agents	producers	ESBL-producers	number
CTX	11.36	72.72	154
CAZ	40.00	71.43	12
CTR	11.96	70.58	160
CXM	28.35	92.42	259
AMP	93.18	96.92	262
АМК	10.00	20.00	30
GEN	31.94	81.43	284
NIT	28.86	19.70	118
NAL	60.38	80.88	121
NOR	44.68	79.41	115
CIP	32.35	56.73	206
СОТ	80.15	96.30	271
TET	86.27	94.23	103
CHL	75.00	90.00	154
PPA	50.00	73.68	31
MEM	0.00	2.12	82
LVX	0.00	66.67	9
IMP	0.00	0.00	1

Table 1: Antimicrobial susceptibility profile of all isolates, non ESBL-producers and ESBL-producers

There was a very high antimicrobial resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline, cotrimoxazole and chloramphenicol in both non ESBL-producers and ESBL-producers but a marked increase in the prevalence of drug resistance amongst ESBL-producers to the cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides. (Table 1)

Antimicrobial	Odds		Total number
agents	ratio	p Value	(<i>n</i>)
СТХ	20.8	0.001	154
CAZ	3.75	0.636	12
CTR	17.67	0.001	160
CRX	30.84	0.001	259
AMP	2.3	0.268	262
АМК	2.25	0.865	30
GEN	9.34	0.001	284
NIT	0.61	0.348	118
NAL	2.78	0.023	121
NOR	4.78	0.001	115
CIP	2.74	0.001	206
СОТ	6.44	0.001	271
TET	2.6	0.305	103
CHL	3	0.029	154
PPA	2.8	0.346	31

Table 2: Predictors	of ESBL	production
1 able 2.11 culture 1015	UL LODL	production

From logistic regression analysis, resistance to any of the following antimicrobial agents, namely cefotaxime (OR=20.80), ceftriaxone (OR=17.67), cefuroxime (OR=30.84), gentamicin (OR=9.34), nalidixic acid (OR=2.27), norfloxacin (OR=4.78), ciprofloxacin (OR=2.74), cotrimoxazole (OR=6.44) and Chloramphenicol (OR=3) is closely associated with the production of ESBL. (Table 2)

4. Discussion

Several studies have shown that ESBL- production amongst *Enterobacteriaceae* is a worldwide problem⁷ and its prevalence varies from country to country. The prevalence levels of ESBL-producers obtained in this study (44.37% *E. coli*, 55.03% *Klebsiella spp.*) are higher than those reported for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* in most parts of the world including, USA⁸, India⁹, China¹⁰ and Nigeria¹¹. The high prevalence of ESBL producing *K. pneumoniae* at KATH was however comparable to the situation in Turkey which was $57.1\%^{12}$.

More than 50% of the isolates from blood (58.11%) and urine (57.14%) were ESBL-producers with blood accounting for the highest incidence of ESBL-producers. This observation is disturbing because of the serious nature of blood stream infections. Patients who were treated with any of the cephalosporins may not have benefited from its use as a result of treatment failures which may have been avoided if ESBL testing had been done.

In contrast to findings in an earlier report¹³, hospitalization was not a risk factor associated with infection caused by ESBL-producers in this study (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 0.79-2.46, p= 0.30). This is because ESBL producing *E*. *coli* or *Klebsiella spp*. were wide spread among both in-patients and out-patients. This observation therefore confirms that ESBL-producers are indeed as much a problem in the communities as in the hospitals¹⁴.

ESBL-producers may have spread through colonized hospital equipment such as thermometers or bronchoscopes, and contaminated hands of health-care-givers as was reported elsewhere¹⁵.

Most patients with ESBL- producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* have their gastrointestinal tracts colonized^{3,15} and so ESBL-producers may have spread through communities, especially those with poor hygienic and sanitation conditions, through faecal contamination of soil and water.

Cockroaches, which were reported to be vectors of ESBL-producers¹⁶, are common insects in many homes in Ghana. They could have also contributed to the spread of ESBL-producers in the community by contaminating

water and food.

In vitro susceptibility studies of ESBL- producers showed that meropenem was the most effective against these isolates. However carbapenems are not easily accessible because they are too expensive for the average Ghanaian to purchase and they are also not covered by the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana.

The carbapenems should be reserved and used to treat only serious or life threatening infections in order to minimize cases of carbapenem resistance though rare¹⁵. Worryingly, one ESBL producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was resistant to meropenem. This is of great concern given that Klebsiellae have a high propensity to host plasmids¹⁵. Appropriate prevention and infection control measures must be put in place to prevent the spread of these strains within the hospital and the community.

Amikacin was also very effective against ESBL-producers isolated from blood and urine.

Interestingly ciprofloxacin was very effect against isolates from blood but not effective against those from urine. ESBL-producers isolated from urine were generally susceptible to Nitrofurantoin.

It has been reported that the increasing use of ciprofloxacin in the community in many countries has resulted in quinolone resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae*¹⁷. In Ghana ciprofloxacin is recommended for the treatment of many infections including urinary tract infections, typhoid fever, acute gonorrhoea and osteomylitis¹⁸. Unfortunately many individuals abuse ciprofloxacin, when they have recurrent malaria, on the assumption that they have typhoid fever.

Routine antimicrobial suseptibility testing may not identify ESBL-producing organisms and so, if the ESBL test had been performed, all the ESBL- producing strains would have been reported as resistant to all penicillins, cephalosporins and aztreonam as recommended by CLSI.⁵ The false sensitives (23.21%) recorded especially for the cephalosporins which may have resulted in treatment failure and prolonged hospital stay may have been avoided.

The high odds ratio for ESBL-producers being reported as resistant to cefotaxime (OR=20.80), ceftriaxone (OR=17.67), cefuroxime (OR=30.84), gentamicin (OR=9.34), nalidixic acid (OR=2.27), norfloxacin (OR=4.78), ciprofloxacin (OR=2.74) and cotrimoxazole (OR=6.44) show that there is a close association between the high levels of resistance observed in Kumasi at KATH and the production of ESBL by *E. coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. It also confirms reports that ESBL production confers resistance to several of the commonly used antimicrobials such as gentamicin¹⁰, cotrimoxazole, and fluoroquinolones.^{9,15} Chloramphenicol, a phenicol, was also coresistant with cephalosporins in the presence of an ESBL producing *E. coli* or *Klebsiella spp*. (OR= 3.00, 95% CI 1.19-7.56, p = 0.029).

Any *E. coli* or *Klebsiella spp.* isolated in Kumasi at KATH which is resistant to cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, cefuroxime, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole and chloramphenicol should be regarded as a potential ESBL-producer, and hence it should undergo ESBL testing. This is because there was a close association between ESBL production and resistance to any of the above mentioned antimicrobial agents by these organisms.

5. Conclusion

This study has shown that there is a high prevalence of ESBL producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. Kumasi. This was partly responsible for the high levels of resistance of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. to the fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins. ESBL-producers were generally resistant to the quinolones, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and phenicol but susceptible to the carbapenems. There was however no independent risk factor associated with the isolation of an ESBL producing *E. coli* or *Klebsiella spp*. in this study in terms of age, gender and location (i.e. In-/ Out-patient) of a patient.

Based on this study ESBL detection should be performed routinely on all isolates of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. Amikacin and Ciprofloxacin should be recommended for treatment whenever ESBL-producers are susceptible to them and Nitrofurantoin should also be considered as the drug of choice whenever an ESBL-producer isolated from urine is susceptible to it. Meropenem should be considered for treatment of only serious or life threatening infections.

References

Yan, J.-J., Wu, S.-M., Tsai, S.-H., Wu, J.J., and Su, I.-J. (2000). Prevalence of SHV-12 among clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing extended-spectrum β -lactamases and identification of a novel AmpC enzyme (CMY-8) in Southern Taiwan. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 44:1438-1442.

Lautenbach, E., Patel, J. B., Bilker, W. B., Edelstein, P. H., and Fishman, N. O. (2001). Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase- Producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: Risk Factors for Infection and Impact of Resistance on Outcomes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 32:1162-71.

Louis, R. (2001). Evaluation and clinical importance of Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases. *American College of Chest Physicians (Chest)*.119:391-396.

British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC). (2008). BSAC Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Version 7.1. Pp. 7-36.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Seventeenth information supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI, (2007).

Drieux, L., Brossier, F., Sougakoff, W. and Jarlier, V. (2008). Phenotypic detection of extended–spectrum β -lactamase production in *Enterobacteriaceae*: review and bench guide. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 14:90-103.

Kader, A. A., Anpamuth, K. K. and Zaman, M. N. (2006). Modified double-disc test for detection of extendedspectrum [beta]-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*. *British Journal of Biomedical Science*.63: 4; 51-54.

Bradford, P. A. (2001). Extended-Spectrum B-Lactamases in the 21st Century: Characterization, Epidemiology, and Detection of This Important Resistance Threat. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 14:933–951.

Babypadmini, S. and Appalaraju, B. (2004). Extended spectrum β-lactamases in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* - Prevalence and susceptibility pattern in a tertiary care hospital. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 22:3;172-174.

Ling, T. K. W., Xiong, J., Yu, Y., Lee, C. C., Ye, H., Hawkey, P. M., and The MK0826 China Study Group. (2006). Multicenter Antimicrobial Susceptibility Survey of Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated from Patients with Community-Acquired Infections in the People's Republic of China. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 5:1;374-378.

Aibinu, I. E., Ohaegbulam, V. C., Adenipekun, E. A., Ogunsola, F. T., Odugbemi, T. O., and Mee, B. J. (2003). Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase Enzymes in Clinical Isolates of *Enterobacter* Species from Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 41:2197–2200.

Taşh, H. and Bahar, İ H. (2005). Molecular Characterization of TEM- and SHV- Derived Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases in Hospitals-Based *Entrobacteriaceae* in Turkey. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 58:162-167.

Melzer, M. and Petersen, I. (2007). Mortality following bacteraemic infection caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *E. coli* compared to non-ESBL producing *E. coli*. *Journal of Infectious Disease*. 55:254-9.

Pitout, J. D. D., Nordmann, P., Laupland, K. B. and Poirel, L. (2005). Emergence of *Enterobacteriaceae* producing extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) in the community. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 56:52-59.

Paterson, D. L. and Bonomo, R. A. (2005). Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamases: a Clinical Update. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 18: 657-686.

D'Agata, E., Venkataraman, L., DeGirolami, P., Weigel, L., Samore, M., and Tenover, F. (1998). The molecular and clinical epidemiology of enterobacteriaceae-producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamase in a tertiary care hospital. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 36:279–285.

Gould, M. I. (2008). Antibiotic policies to control hospital-acquired infection. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 61:763-765.

Standard Treatment Guidelines. (2004). Ministry of Health, Ghana National Drug Programme. Pp. 298-450.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

