Journal of Natural Sciences Research www.iiste.org
ISSN 2224-3186 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0921 (Online)
Vol.2, No.4, 2012

The Theory of Zero Point Energy Of Vacuum, Cosmological Constant Variability, Dark Matter Super Symmetry, Dark Energy, Expanding Universe, Microwave Sky ,Motion Of Orientation Of The Solar System, Mass Of Quantum Vacuum, Deceleration Of Acceleration Of Expansionary Universe, Discrete Structure Of Space And Time And GTR---A "Fricative Contretemps" And "Deus Ex Machina" Model.

[*1]Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, [2]Prof B S Kiranagi And [3]Prof C S Bagewadi

[*1]Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Post doctoral researcher, Dr KNP Kumar has three PhD's, one each in Mathematics, Economics and Political science and a D.Litt. in Political Science, Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Correspondence Mail id : drknpkumar@gmail.com

[2]Prof B S Kiranagi, UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

[3]Prof C S Bagewadi, Chairman , Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu university, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India

Abstract:

Laws bears ample testimony ,infallible observatory, and impeccable demonstration to the fact that the essential predications, character constitutions, ontological consonances remain unchanged with evolution, despite the system's astute truculence, serenading whimsicality,assymetric dispensation or on the other hand anachronistic dispensation ,eponymous radicality,entropic entrepotishness or the subdued behaviour ,relationally contributive, diverse parametrisizational,conducive reciprocity to environment, unconventional behavior, enuretic nonlinear freneticness ,ensorcelled frenzy, abnormal ebulliations,surcharged fulminations or the inner roil. And that holds well with the evolution with time. We present a model of the generalizational conservation of the theories. A theory of all the theories. That all conservation laws hold and there is no relationship between them is bête noir. We shall on this premise build a 36 storey model that deliberates on various issues, structural, dependent, thematic and discursive, discursive**.** Paper throws light on at least six unsolved problems in physics, if not completely solve them, for which we are putting all concerted efforts and protracted endeavors.

Key words Zero point energy of vacuum, Dark matter, Dark energy

Introduction:

What is an event? Or for that matter an ideal event? An event is a singularity or rather a set of singularities or a set of singular points characterizing a mathematical curve, a physical state of affairs, a psychological person or a moral person. Singularities are turning points and points of inflection : they are bottle necks, foyers and centers ;they are points of fusion; condensation and boiling points or tears and joy; sickness and health; hope and anxiety; they are so to say "sensitive" points; such singularities should not be confused or confounded, aggravated or exacerbated with personality of a system expressing itself; or the individuality and idiosyncrasies,penchance,predilections,proclivities,propensities of a system which is designated with a proposition. They should also not be fused with the generalizational concept or universalistic axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness, or the dipsomaniac flageolet dirge of a concept. Possibly a concept could be signified by a figurative representation or a schematic configuration. "Singularity" is essentially, pre individual, and has no personalized bias in it, nor for that matter a prejudice or procircumspection of a conceptual scheme. It is in this sense we can define a "singularity" as being neither affirmative nor non affirmative. It can be positive or negative; it can create or destroy. On the other hand it must be noted that singularity is different both in its thematic discursive forms from the" run of the mill day to day musings and mundane drooling. There are in that sense "extra-ordinary". Each singularity is a source and resouce,the origin, reason and raison d'être of a mathematical series, it could be any series any type, and that is interpolated or extrapolated to the structural location of the destination of another singularity. This according to this standpoint, there are different, multifarious, myriad, series in a structure. In the eventuality of the fact that we conduct an unbiased and prudent examination of the series belonging to different "singularities" we can come to indubitable conclusion that the "singularity" of one system is different from the "other system" in the subterranean realm and ceratoid dualism of comparison and contrast of systems.

EPR experiment derived that there exists a communications between two particles. We go a further step to say that there exists a channel of communication however slovenly, inept, clumpy, between the two singularities. It is also possible the communication exchange could be one of belligerence, cantankerousness, tempestuousness, astutely truculent, with ensorcelled frenzy. That does not matter. All we are telling is that singularities communicate with each other.

Now, how do find the reaction of systems to these singularities?. You do the same thing a boss does for you. "Problematize" the events and see how you behave. I will resort to "pressure tactics". "intimidation, terrorization of deriding report", or "cut in the increment" to make you undergo trials, travails and tribulations. I am happy to see if you improve your work; but may or may not be sad if you succumb to it and hang yourself! We do the same thing with systems. Systems show conducive response, felicitous reciprocation or behave erratically with inner roil, eponymous radicalism without and with glitzy conviction say like a solipsist nature of bellicose and blustering particles, or for that matter coruscation, trepidiational motion in fluid flows, or seemingly perfidious incendiaries in gormandizing fellow elementary particles, abnormal ebullitions, surcharges calumniations and unwarranted(you think so! But the system does not!) Unrighteous fulminations.

So the point that is made here is like we problematize the "events" to understand the human behaviour we have to "problematize" the events of systems to understand their behaviour.

This statement in made in connection to the fact that there shall be creation or destruction of particles or complete obliteration of the system (blackhole evaporation) or obfuscation of results. Some systems are like "inside traders" they will not put signature at all! How do you find they did it! Anyway, there are possibilities of a CIA finding out as they recently did! So we can do the same thing with systems too. This is accentuation, corroboration, fortificational,.fomentatory note to explain the various coefficients we have used in the model as also the dissipations called for.

In the bank example we have clarified that various systems are individually conservative, and their conservativeness extends holistically too. That one law is universal does not mean there is complete adjudication of nonexistence of totality or global or holistic figure. Total always exists and "individual" systems always exist, if we do not bring Kant in to picture! For the time being let us not! Equations would become more energetic and frenzied..

We take in to consideration the following variables:

1. Zero Point Energy Of the Vacuum
2. Cosmological constant variability
3. Dark Matter
4. Super symmetry
5. Dark Energy
6. Expanding Universe
7. Microwave Sky
8. Motion and orientation of the Solar System.
9. Mass of Quantum Vacuum
10. Deceleration of the accelerated expansion of the universe

11. Discrete Structure of Space and Time
12. GTR and Quantum Mechanics(We have given the linkage model of these two in a separate paper)

Classification methodologies. Bank's example of equality of Assets and Liabilities, and interconnected inherent inter accountal transaction holds good for these systems too.

ZERO POINT ENERGY OF THE VACUUM AND COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT VARAIBILITY:

MODULE NUMBERED ONE

Notation :

G_{13} : Category One Ofzero Point Energy

G_{14} : Category Two Of The Zero Point Energy(There Are Many Vacuums)

G_{15} : Category Three Of The Zero Point Energy

T_{13} : Category One Of The Variability Of Cosmological Constant(Note That There Exists Different Vacuums And Constantancy Does Not Hinder The Production And Dissipation Of Zero Point Energy)

T_{14} : Category Two Of The Variability Of Cosmological Constant

T_{15} :Category Three Of The Variability Of The Cosmological Constant(We Repeat Assets=Liabilities Does Not Mean Inter Account Transfers ,Production Of, Or Closure Of The Accounts Or In This Case Systems)

Dark Matter And Super Symmetry---

Module Numbered Two:

G_{16} : Category One Of Super Symmetry

G_{17} : Category Two Of Super Symmetry

G_{18} : Category Three Of Super Symmetry

T_{16} :Category One Ofdark Matter

T_{17} : Category Two Of Dark Matter

T_{18} : Category Three Of Dark Matter

Expanding Universe And Dark Energy:

Module Numbered Three:

G_{20} : Category One Of Dark Energy

G_{21} :Category Two Of Dark Energy

G_{22} : Category Three Of Dark Energy

T_{20} : Category One Of Expanding Universe

T_{21} :Category Two Of Expanding Universe

T_{22} : Category Three Of Expanding Universe

Motion And Orientation Of The Solar system And Microwave Sky(Note Both Change From Time To Time): Module Numbered Four:

G_{24} : Category One Of Motion And Orientation Of The Solar System

G_{25} : Category Two Of Motion And Orientation Of The Solar System

G_{26} : Category Three Of Motion And Orientation Of The Solar System

T_{24} :Category One Of Microwave Sky

T_{25} :Category Two Ofmicrowave Sky

T_{26} : Category Three Of Microwave Sky

Mass Of Quantum Vacuum And Deceleration Of The Expanding Universe

:Module Numbered Five:

G_{28} : Category One Of Deceleration Of The Accelerated Expansion Of The Universe(Rate Is Not Constant)

G_{29} : Category Two Of Deceleration Of The Accelerated Expansion Of The Universe

G_{30} :Category Three Of deceleration Of The Accelerated Expansion Of The Universe

T_{28} :Category One Ofmass Of Quantum Vacuum

T_{29} :Category Two Of Mass Of Quantum Vacuum

T_{30} :Category Three Of mass Of Quantum Vacuum

Gtr And Quantum Mechanics And Discrete Nature Of Space And Time

:Module Numbered Six:

G_{32} : Category One Of Gtr And Quantum Mechanics(There Are Many Quantum Systems And There Are Many Systems To Which Gtr Would Hold Classification Is Based On Those Systems)

G_{33} : Category Two Of Gtr And Qm

G_{34} : Category Three Ofgtr And Qm

T_{32} : Category One Of Discrete Natute Of St

T_{33} : Category Two Of Discrete Nature Of St

T_{34} : Category Three Of Discrete Nature Of ST

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}$ $(a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$

$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$: $(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}$

,$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$

are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

, $(a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$ $(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$ $(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$, $(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

are Dissipation coefficients

ZERO POINT ENERGY OF THE VACUUM AND COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT VARAIBILITY:

MODULE NUMBERED ONE

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{15}$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{15}$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

DARK MATTER AND SUPER SYMMETRY---

MODULE NUMBERED TWO

A theory in physics proposing a type of symmetry that would apply to all elementary particles (Note again that there are various systems of elementary particles. Bank example stands in good stead every time)

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{16}$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{17}$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{18}$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17}$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18}$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

EXPANDING UNIVERSE AND DARK ENERGY:

MODULE NUMBERED THREE

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22}$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

MOTION AND ORIENTATION OF THE SOLARSYSTEM AND MICROWAVE SKY(NOTE BOTH CHANGE FROM TIME TO TIME)

: MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24}$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25}$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) =$ **First detritions factor**

MASS OF QUANTUM VACUUM AND DECELERATION OF THE EXPANDING UNIVERSE :MODULE NUMBERED FIVE

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28}$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29}$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30}$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\right]T_{28}$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\right]T_{29}$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\right]T_{30}$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) =$ **First detritions factor**

GTR AND QUANTUM MECHANICS AND DISCRETE NATURE OF SPACE AND TIME :MODULE NUMBERED SIX

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34}$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\right]T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\right]T_{33}$$

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34}$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

HOLISTIC CONCATENATE SYTEMAL EQUATIONS HENCEFORTH REFERRED TO AS "GLOBAL EQUATIONS"

(1) Zero Point Energy Of the Vacuum
(2) Cosmological constant variability
(3) Dark Matter
(4) Super symmetry
(5) Dark Energy
(6) Expanding Universe
(7) Microwave Sky
(8) Motion and orientation of the Solar System.
(9) Mass of Quantum Vacuum
(10) Deceleration of the accelerated expansion of the universe
(11) Discrete Structure of Space and Time
(12) GTR and Quantum Mechanics(We have given the linkage model of these two in a separate paper

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{16}$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{17}$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{18}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{17}$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{18}$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{21}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{22}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{24}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{25}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{26}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{24}$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{25}$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{26}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{28}$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{29}$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{30}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{28}$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{29}$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt}=(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{30}$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt}=(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}=(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt}=(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

Where we suppose

(A) $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0,$

$i, j = 13,14,15$

(B) The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le \ (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

(C) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t) - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T'_{14}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b''_i)^{(1)}(G',t) - (b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t)$ and$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$.(T'_{14},t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient WOULD be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(F) $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

(G) The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$$

(H) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(2)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

(I) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1$

Where we suppose

(J) $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0, \quad i,j=20,21,22$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\leq(p_i)^{(3)}\leq(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$

$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\leq(r_i)^{(3)}\leq(b_i')^{(3)}\leq(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)=(r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)|\leq(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21}-T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t)-(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)|<(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23}-G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the THIRD augmentation coefficient, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$:

(K) $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}<1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i=20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)}+(a_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)}+(b_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(a_i'')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(b_i'')^{(4)}>0, \quad i,j=24,25,26$

(M) The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

(N) $$lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$$
$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} |T_{25} - T_{25}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} ||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 4$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the FOURTH **augmentation coefficient WOULD** be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$:

(Q) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [\, (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$$

(S) The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$

$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$

(T) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$
$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$ are positive constants and $i = 28,29,30$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 5$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, theFIFTH **augmentation coefficient** attributable would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants
$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$
(W) The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.
Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$

$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$

Journal of Natural Sciences Research
ISSN 2224-3186 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0921 (Online)
Vol.2, No.4, 2012

(X) $lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(6)} (T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$
$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(6)} \left((G_{35}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)} |T_{33} - T_{33}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)} ||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 6$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the SIXTH **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with
$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} [(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} [(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions IN THE FOREGOING above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions

Definition of $G_i(0) , T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13} \right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Definition of $G_i(0) , T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$T_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

$G_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0 , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 , \ G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} , T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)},$

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0, \ T_i(0) = T_i^0, \ G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0, \ T_i(0) = T_i^0, \ G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right)T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right)T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right)T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 , \; T_i(0) = T_i^0 , \; G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} , T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right)T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 , \; T_i(0) = T_i^0 , \; G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} , T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right)G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right)G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}\right)\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0 ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} ,\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}\right)\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{13}(t)-G_{13}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_{14}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \leq G_{16}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{16})^{(2)}t\right)G_{17}^0+\frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{16}(t)-G_{16}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\right)\right]ds_{(20)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^0+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^0+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^0)e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^0\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^{0}\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^{0}}{G_j^{0}}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^{0}\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^{0}}{T_j^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$:

$$(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} |(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)})| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, \mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; \, G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(1)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(\text{and not on } t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(1)} - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(1)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)})|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}) - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)})| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(2)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a'_i)^{(2)} - (a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_i)^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}),(T_{23})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\; e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a'_i)^{(3)}-(a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{22})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying IN to itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)|\;e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\;(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(4)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ and $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} and G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} or G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{24} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} and G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\,((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ANALOGOUS inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}),(T_{31}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)})|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)})-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (35,35,36) the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(5)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}((G_{35}),(T_{35}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)})|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}|(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)})-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(\text{and not on } t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From 69 to 32 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_1, ((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_1/(a_{33}')^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)})_2/(a_{34}')^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t(t), t) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Behavior of the solutions

_If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \; if \; (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if \; (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \; if \; (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \; if \; (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if \; (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if \; (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t} \right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^0e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\leq T_{15}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^0e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$:

(d) $\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\leq-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\leq-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0,(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots

(e) of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\nu_1)^{(2)}$

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)}=\frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}-(S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)}-(a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}((G_{23}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$:

(e) By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0, (u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined by 59 and 64 respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)}-(S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)}-(a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g) $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$:

(h) By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0, (u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

(j) $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$:

(k) By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0, (u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$
are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$$

Proof : From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

<u>Definition of</u> $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

<u>Definition of</u> $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

<u>Particular case :</u>

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

(a) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

: From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(g) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

(h) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

(i) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(j) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

(I) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem 3: If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0 ,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with$ $(p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined, then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0 ,$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$

$with$ $(p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and$ $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20}')^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{21}')^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with$ $(p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and$ $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24}')^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{25}')^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with$ $(p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and$ $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b_{28}')^{(5)}(b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b_{28}')^{(5)}(b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28}')^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{29}')^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with$ $(p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and$ $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{33}')^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with$ $(p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - [(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14})]G_{13} = 0$

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - [(a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14})]G_{14} = 0$

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - [(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}'')^{(1)}(T_{14})]G_{15} = 0$

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0$

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0$

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0$

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0$

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0$

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0$

$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0$

$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0$

$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{24} = 0$

$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{25} = 0$

$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{26} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0$

$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0$

$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0$

$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0$

$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0$

$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0$

$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0$

$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0$

$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0$

$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0$

$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) =$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) =$
0

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) =$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) =$
0

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) =$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) =$
0

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{17}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{17}^* for which $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{21}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{21}^* for which $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{25}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^* for which $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^* for which $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

(e) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$\varphi(G) = (b_{13}')^{(1)}(b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{13}')^{(1)}(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G) + (b_{14}')^{(1)}(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G)(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b_{16}')^{(2)}(b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{16}')^{(2)}(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b_{17}')^{(2)}(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

(g) By the same argument, the concatenated equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b_{21}')^{(3)}(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23})(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

(h) By the same argument, the equations of modules admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b_{25}')^{(4)}(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27})\right] + (b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27})(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^* such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 94

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$

$$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T^*_{21} given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b_{28}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b_{30}')^{(5)} - (b_{30}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{32}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{34}')^{(6)} - (b_{34}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof:_Denote

<u>Definition of</u> $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\, G^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial \mathbb{T}_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b''_i)^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{19})^* \right) = s_{ij}$

taking into account equations (global)and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ *and* $(b''_i)^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b''_i)^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^* \right) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}$ *and* $(b''_i)^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b''_i)^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}$ *and* $(b''_i)^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b''_i)^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{31})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ *and* $(b''_i)^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

<u>Definition of</u> $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b''_i)^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right) (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right) (\lambda)^{(1)}\right) (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right) \left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)} G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)} G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right) s_{(14),(15)} T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(15)} T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right) s_{(17),(17)} \mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(17)} \mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right) s_{(17),(16)} \mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(16)} \mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right) (q_{18})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right) \left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right) s_{(17),(18)} \mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(18)} \mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)} G^*_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)} G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right) s_{(21),(21)} T^*_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(21)} T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)} G^*_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)} G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right) s_{(21),(20)} T^*_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(20)} T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right) (q_{22})^{(3)} G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right) \left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)} G^*_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)} G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right) s_{(21),(22)} T^*_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(22)} T^*_{20}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(25)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(25)} T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(24)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(24)} T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)} + (r_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right) (q_{26})^{(4)} G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right) \left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(26)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(26)} T^*_{24}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(29)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(29)} T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(28)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(28)} T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right) (q_{30})^{(5)} G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right) \left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(30)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(30)} T^*_{28}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(33)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(33)} T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(32)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(32)} T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right) (q_{34})^{(6)} G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right) \left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(34)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(34)} T^*_{32}\right)\} = 0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, Nature 's L:etters,Article Abstracts, Research papers, Abstracts Of Research Papers, Stanford Encyclopedia, Web Pages, Ask a Physicist Column, Deliberations with Professors, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, we regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, regret, trepidiation and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

REFERENCES

1. Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Prof B S Kiranagi, Prof C S Bagewadi - MEASUREMENT DISTURBS EXPLANATION OF QUANTUM MECHANICAL STATES-A HIDDEN VARIABLE THEORY - published at: "*International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition*".

2. DR K N PRASANNA KUMAR, PROF B S KIRANAGI and PROF C S BAGEWADI -CLASSIC 2 FLAVOUR COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ORDINARY NUCLEAR MATTER-A NEW PARADIGM STATEMENT - published at: "*International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition*".

3. A HAIMOVICI: "On the growth of a two species ecological system divided on age groups". Tensor, Vol 37 (1982),Commemoration volume dedicated to Professor Akitsugu Kawaguchi on his 80th birthday

4. FRTJOF CAPRA: "The web of life" Flamingo, Harper Collins See "Dissipative structures" pages 172-188

5. HEYLIGHEN F. (2001): "The Science of Self-organization and Adaptivity", in L. D. Kiel, (ed) . Knowledge Management, Organizational Intelligence and Learning, and Complexity, in: The Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems ((EOLSS), (Eolss Publishers, Oxford) [http://www.eolss.net

6. MATSUI, T, H. Masunaga, S. M. Kreidenweis, R. A. Pielke Sr., W.-K. Tao, M. Chin, and Y. J Kaufman (2006), "Satellite-based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric stability, and the diurnal cycle", J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097

7. STEVENS, B, G. Feingold, W.R. Cotton and R.L. Walko, "Elements of the microphysical structure of numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus" J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 980-1006

8. FEINGOLD, G, Koren, I; Wang, HL; Xue, HW; Brewer, WA (2010), "Precipitation-generated oscillations in open cellular cloud fields" *Nature*, *466* (7308) 849-852, doi: 10.1038/nature09314, Published 12-Aug 2010

13. Einstein, A. (1905), "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?", *Annalen der Physik* **18**: 639 Bibcode 1905AnP...323..639E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053231314. See also the English translation.

14. Paul Allen Tipler, Ralph A. Llewellyn (2003-01), *Modern Physics*, W. H. Freeman and Company, pp. 87–88, ISBN 0-7167-4345-0

15. [b] Rainville, S. et al. World Year of Physics: A direct test of E=mc2. *Nature* 438, 1096-1097 (22 December 2005) | doi: 10.1038/4381096a; Published online 21 December 2005.

16. In F. Fernflores. The Equivalence of Mass and Energy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy

17. Note that the relativistic mass, in contrast to the rest mass m_0, *is not a relativistic invariant, and that the velocity is not a Minkowski four-vector, in contrast to the quantity , where is the differential of the proper time. However, the energy-momentum four-vector is a genuine Minkowski four-vector, and the intrinsic origin of the square-root in the definition of the relativistic mass is the distinction between* $d\tau$ *and* **dt**.

18. Relativity DeMystified, D. McMahon, Mc Graw Hill (USA), 2006, ISBN 0-07-145545-0

19. Dynamics and Relativity, J.R. Forshaw, A.G. Smith, Wiley, 2009, ISBN 978-0-470-01460-8
20. Hans, H. S.; Puri, S. P. (2003). *Mechanics* (2 ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill. p. 433. ISBN 0-07-047360-9., Chapter 12 page 433
21. E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, **Spacetime Physics**, W.H. Freeman and Co., NY. 1992.ISBN 0-7167-2327-1, see pp. 248-9 for discussion of mass remaining constant after detonation of nuclear bombs, until heat is allowed to escape.
22. Mould, Richard A. (2002). *Basic relativity* (2 ed.). Springer. p. 126. ISBN 0-387-95210-1., Chapter 5 page 126
23. Chow, Tail L. (2006). *Introduction to electromagnetic theory: a modern perspective*. Jones & Bartlett Learning. p. 392. ISBN 0-7637-3827-1., Chapter 10 page 392
24. Cockcroft-Walton experiment
25. Conversions used: 1956 International (Steam) Table (IT) values where one calorie ≡ 4.1868 J and one BTU ≡ 1055.05585262 J. Weapons designers' conversion value of one gram TNT ≡ 1000 calories used.
26. Assuming the dam is generating at its peak capacity of 6,809 MW.
27. Assuming a 90/10 alloy of Pt/Ir by weight, a C_p of 25.9 for Pt and 25.1 for Ir, a Pt-dominated average C_p of 25.8, 5.134 moles of metal, and 132 $J.K^{-1}$ for the prototype. A variation of ±1.5 picograms is of course, much smaller than the actual uncertainty in the mass of the international prototype, which are ±2 micrograms.
28. Article on Earth rotation energy. Divided by c^2.
29. Earth's gravitational self-energy is 4.6×10^{-10} that of Earth's total mass, or 2.7 trillion metric tons. Citation: *The Apache Point Observatory Lunar Laser-Ranging Operation (APOLLO)*, T. W. Murphy, Jr. *et al.* University of Washington, Dept. of Physics (132 kB PDF, here.).
30. There is usually more than one possible way to define a field energy, because any field can be made to couple to gravity in many different ways. By general scaling arguments, the correct answer at everyday distances, which are long compared to the quantum gravity scale, should be *minimal coupling*, which means that no powers of the curvature tensor appear. Any non-minimal couplings, along with other higher order terms, are presumably only determined by a theory of quantum gravity, and within string theory, they only start to contribute to experiments at the string scale.
31. G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle", Physical Review D14:3432–3450 (1976).
32. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, Yu. Tyupkin, "Pseudoparticle Solutions to Yang Mills Equations", Physics Letters 59B:85 (1975).
33. F. Klinkhammer, N. Manton, "A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg Salam Theory", Physical Review D 30:2212.
34. Rubakov V. A. "Monopole Catalysis of Proton Decay", Reports on Progress in Physics 51:189–241 (1988).
35. S.W. Hawking "Black Holes Explosions?" *Nature* 248:30 (1974).

36. Einstein, A. (1905), "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." (PDF), *Annalen der Physik* **17**: 891–921, Bibcode 1905AnP...322..891E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053221004. English translation.
37. See e.g. Lev B.Okun, *The concept of Mass*, Physics Today **42** (6), June 1969, p. 31–36, http://www.physicstoday.org/vol-42/iss-6/vol42no6p31_36.pdf
38. Max Jammer (1999), *Concepts of mass in contemporary physics and philosophy*, Princeton University Press, p. 51, ISBN 0-691-01017-X
39. Eriksen, Erik; Vøyenli, Kjell (1976), "The classical and relativistic concepts of mass",*Foundations of Physics* (Springer) **6**: 115–124, Bibcode 1976FoPh....6..115E,DOI:10.1007/BF00708670
40. Jannsen, M., Mecklenburg, M. (2007), *From classical to relativistic mechanics: Electromagnetic models of the electron.*, in V. F. Hendricks, et al., , *Interactions: Mathematics, Physics and Philosophy* (Dordrecht: Springer): 65–134
41. Whittaker, E.T. (1951–1953), *2. Edition: A History of the theories of aether and electricity, vol. 1: The classical theories / vol. 2: The modern theories 1900–1926*, London: Nelson
42. Miller, Arthur I. (1981), *Albert Einstein's special theory of relativity. Emergence (1905) and early interpretation (1905–1911)*, Reading: Addison–Wesley, ISBN 0-201-04679-2
43. Darrigol, O. (2005), "The Genesis of the theory of relativity." (PDF), *Séminaire Poincaré* **1**: 1–22
44. Philip Ball (Aug 23, 2011). "Did Einstein discover E = mc2?" Physics World.
45. Ives, Herbert E. (1952), "Derivation of the mass-energy relation", *Journal of the Optical Society of America* **42** (8): 540–543, DOI:10.1364/JOSA.42.000540
46. Jammer, Max (1961/1997). *Concepts of Mass in Classical and Modern Physics*. New York: Dover. ISBN 0-486-29998-8.
47. Stachel, John; Torretti, Roberto (1982), "Einstein's first derivation of mass-energy equivalence", *American Journal of Physics* **50** (8): 760–763, Bibcode1982AmJPh..50..760S, DOI:10.1119/1.12764
48. Ohanian, Hans (2008), "Did Einstein prove E=mc2?", *Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Part B* **40** (2): 167–173, arXiv:0805.1400,DOI:10.1016/j.shpsb.2009.03.002
49. Hecht, Eugene (2011), "How Einstein confirmed E0=mc2", *American Journal of Physics* **79** (6): 591–600, Bibcode 2011AmJPh..79..591H, DOI:10.1119/1.3549223
50. Rohrlich, Fritz (1990), "An elementary derivation of E=mc2", *American Journal of Physics* **58** (4): 348–349, Bibcode 1990AmJPh..58..348R, DOI:10.1119/1.16168
51. *Lise Meitner: A Life in Physics*. California Studies in the History of Science. **13**. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 236–237. ISBN 0-520-20860-

First Author: [1]**Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar** has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt. for his work on 'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Corresponding **Author:drknpkumar@gmail.com**

Second Author: [2]**Prof. B.S Kiranagi** is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: [3]**Prof. C.S. Bagewadi** is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India