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Abstract

Introduction: Today’s nurses are having challenges, demanding their ability to the profession. Nursing education should concentrate on educating competent health care providers to handle complex health care technology with fundamental implications for latest generation of patients. This paper aims to identify the various strategies used to enhance the clinical decision making ability among nurses. Methods: A comprehensive systematic review of published literature and journal articles from PubMed and Cinhal databases was done. Search strategy specific to each database was used. During initial search 6808 titles were retrieved and after screening 12 articles were selected for full text screening. Finally 12 research articles were selected based on the inclusion criteria. Results: Out of 12 articles, 7 research studies supported that clinical decision making can be developed using different types of simulation (such as human patient simulators, simulated clinical experiences, simulation to create rubric assessment). Two of those studies propose clinical reasoning abilities can be acquired through Outcome-Present state Test (OPT) model. Individual studies used strategies like concept mapping, educational interventions, analogy guided learning experiences, structured reflection in education and workshops can develop clinical decision making. Computer based and multimedia computer simulation program did not showing any clear outcome. Conclusion: Clinical decision making is an abstract skill which can be developed by using different strategies in different specialities and different situations. Since situational factors and time constraints are evident in practice, findings were supportive for clinical decision making(CDM) skill. The ideal setting for students to learn CDM skills is real clinical practice environment, especially when facilitated by opportunities for immediate feedback and reflection. CDM is necessary for providing quality patient care and favouring patient satisfaction.
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1. Introduction

Nursing is one of the professions in the world which is faced with numerous challenges to provide quality care for the patients. The Nursing goal is to comfort the patient by providing physical, emotional, spiritual and psychological care based on priority. Nurse has to undergo various levels of training to provide patient an ultra-comprehensive care. Recent trend suggests that evidence informed health care to be practiced to uplift the accountability of the practice. To Practice evidence, do we have enough decision making ability? Does the education and practice enable us to develop the ability needed? What all the requisites to develop these abilities among nurses?

Keeping this in view, a systematic review was conducted to identify and describe strategies available to develop clinical decision making abilities among nurses and to assess the effectiveness of different types of interventions to develop clinical decision making abilities among nurses.

Thompson & Dowding (2002) defines “clinical decision making as choosing between alternatives”. Clinical decision making is a process that nurses often use to make judgements regarding patient care and management. As nurses become more experienced as care providers, the process of clinical decision making becomes easier and more manageable and the forms of decision making become increasingly intricate.

“Clinical decision making is a complex activity that requires practitioners
• To be knowledgeable in relevant aspects of nursing,
• To have access to reliable sources of information and appropriate patient care networks and
• To work in a supportive environment” (O’Neill et al. 2005).

To develop nurses as systematic decision makers, education and training need to include a framework that helps in development of the basic cognitive, psychomotor and attitude dimensions of clinical decision making ability. (Smith et al. 2004, Thompson et al. 2004).
1.1 Need for Clinical decision making skills
There is a vast literature which suggests a wide gap in the area of nurses’ clinical decision making. There is a lack of evidence to show that decision making ability can be developed with a particular strategies. But new technology is challenging all the professions especially health care providers which include nurses and nurse educators. (Ebright, Patterson, Chacko, & Render, 2003)

Future novice nurses will take responsibility of handling complex situations to take clinical decisions. Since nurses today, are working with few mentors, it is not a good idea to dream for nurses to enhance such a skill to where decisions will be safe and accurate. Hence, there are suggestions that nurses should be expert in practice by getting experience to change from abstract concept to concrete skills. (Benner et al., 1996; Decker, 2006).

According to the theory, decision making starts with education (Brooks & Sheperd, 1990) (Brigham, 1993). The basic foundation to develop clinical decision making skill develops through initial level of professional nursing education where students are asked to provide care using nursing process (Hughes & Young, 1992). Nursing process is a less effective way in teaching decisions regarding resources utilisation and exploration of possible execution of plan within the frame (Brooks & Thomas, 1997).

Evidence also suggests, if there is professional carryover of clinical experiences, there will be development and reinforcement of clinical decision making (Jenkins, 1985). Tanner stated that there was a limited research regarding classroom or clinical educational approaches to improve clinical judgement in nursing students using models. She reviewed 200 studies related to clinical judgement in the development of model. She writes that nurse consistently improve his or her reasoning or decision skills after frequent error in decision, where nurse analyzes the problem. She proposed research related to pedagogies to integrate facts and experiences to develop clinical reasoning and judgement (Tanner, 2006).

Researchers conclude that decision making is a skill to be learned by educators. (Baxter & Boblin, 2008). If the students concentrate on completion of routines rather than systematic protocol to the responses of patient’ priority needs, the opportunity for learning clinical judgement will lost (Dillard, Sideras, Ryan, Carlton, Lasaster, & Siktb erg, 2009)

Strengthening of students to provide competitive care through educational preparedness by incorporating new pedagogies, educators must influence education-practice gap by meanse of using reflection in classroom(Glynn, 2012).

Hence it was found necessary for us to design a program which is essential for developing decision making skills among novices. Hence a systematic review is defined to review the available literature for developing clinical decision making skills among nurses.

1.2 Aim
The aim of this review is to identify the various strategies used to enhance the clinical decision making skills of nurses.

1.3 Objectives
• To identify and describe strategies available in improving the clinical decision making skills among nurses
• To assess the effectiveness of all types of interventions to improve the clinical decision making skills among nurses

2. METHODOLOGY
2.1. Search Strategy methods
An electronic search of articles published in various journals till Jan 2014 was conducted. Search was restricted to only English language. The database search done was Pubmed-Medline and CINAHL. Articles containing following key search terms were retrieved

2.1.1 Types of Interventions:
• Education, Workshop, Training program, Mentoring, Preceptorship, Programmed instruction, Self instructional program, Self learning, Lecture, Discussion, Workbook activity, Reflective learning, Critical thing, Training modules, Online training, Think aloud technique, Demonstration

2.1.2 Types of Studies:
• Randomized controlled trials, Cluster randomized trial, Non-randomized controlled trials, Before – after intervention trial, Interrupted time-series studies, Prospective controlled cohort studies.

2.1.3 Type of Participants:
Nursing professionals including student nurses and nurse educators

2.1.4 Settings:
• Hospital, Academic Institution, Urban and rural health centres, Nursing homes
2.1.5 Outcomes
   • Improving clinical decision making skills among nurses

2.1.6 Delivery of Interventions
   • There will be no restriction on who delivered the interventions. These may include researchers.

The systematic search was conducted by framing the terms individually and in combination with all and synonyms, also according to the database. In addition to this, a manual google scholar search was undertaken using the keywords and search synonyms from already found articles. An addition of 2 articles were found.

Initial search retrieved 6810 articles over which 121 articles were selected manually. Duplicates were removed and reviewed 26 articles for eligibility. Two articles were excluded because of duplications in two databases. Three more studies were excluded due to unavailability of full text. Three were qualitative longitudinal descriptive studies. One was concept paper. Five of them were considered not related to clinical decision making. Hence twelve articles were screened which includes qualitative, quantitative and mixed studies.

3. RESULTS:
3.1. PRISMA FLOW CHART
3.2. Table no.1: Data Extraction table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study and Title</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Sample and sampling method</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Curtis, Pascol, &amp; Arnadell, 2005</td>
<td>A pilot study to investigate the effect of a simulation strategy on the clinical decision making of midwifery students</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Clinical decision making</td>
<td>Pre, Post</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Simulations and decision making, think aloud</td>
<td>15-60 mins daily in first semester</td>
<td>Decisions in one variable improved between simulations and no significant another variable. Other results are not clear.</td>
<td>Tentatively conclude that use of simulation can promote critical thinking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Robins, &amp; Curran, 2005</td>
<td>Use of human patient simulator to teach clinical judgment skills in a baccalaureate nursing Program</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clinical thinking and clinical judgment</td>
<td>Observation, Debriefing, evaluation survey</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Case scenarios</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>Students thought that the experience was positive and would be beneficial to any student</td>
<td>The HPS is a tool to enhance critical thinking and clinical judgment regarding client care. Active participation by students can strengthen the ability of the student.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lasater Dillard, Cioffi, &amp; Lasater, 2006</td>
<td>Clinical judgment development: Using simulation to create assessment rubrics</td>
<td>Portland-US</td>
<td>Development of clinical judgement</td>
<td>Observation, Rubric in simulation laboratory, debriefing and focus group discussion</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Comprehensive human patient simulations</td>
<td>150 Minutes</td>
<td>Five themes emerged: Strengths and limitations of high fidelity simulation, Paradoxical nature, knowledge desire for more feedback, importance of student connection with others, Few general recommendations for improved facilitation</td>
<td>In addition to clinical practice, students can better learn when they are clear about expectations and receive direct feedback about their performance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lasater K., 2007</td>
<td>High fidelity simulation and the development of clinical judgement: Students’ experience</td>
<td>Portland-US</td>
<td>Experiences of students towards development of clinical judgement</td>
<td>Observation, Debriefing and focus group participation</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Case studies in high fidelity simulation</td>
<td>150 minutes</td>
<td>Nonverbal communication strengths: Integrator of learning, breadth of experience gained and limitations. Non – verbal communication of high fidelity simulation</td>
<td>Not graduate nurses need clinical judgement skills to function in today’s complex care settings. Prior learning on real patient care practically present a challenge for quality facilitation oversight and assessment, which can be controlled in simulation settings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Billard Sidonie, Rijn, Carlton, Lasater, &amp; Lasagne, 2008</td>
<td>A collaborative project model to apply and evaluate the clinical judgment model through simulation</td>
<td>Evaluation of clinical judgment scenario</td>
<td>Questionnaires, reflective journals and self-report</td>
<td>Qualitative and quantitative</td>
<td>Workshop for faculty facilitation and debriefing</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>High fidelity simulation could improve self-efficacy of students individually in the learning process. The results support active management of student with the objectives to support learning during simulation</td>
<td>Faculty development is a priority for the process of integrating the pedagogy of simulation to nursing curricula. Faculty planning and debriefing simulations can be effective clinical experience because they facilitate the application of clinical judgement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ghidilo 2010</td>
<td>Use of online exercises and patient simulation to improve students’ clinical decision making. By Jacqueline Ghidilo 2010</td>
<td>Ohio-US</td>
<td>Improve clinical judgement</td>
<td>Assignment rating</td>
<td>Qualitative and quantitative</td>
<td>Simulation, Debriefing and Reflection</td>
<td>2-Days</td>
<td>Results show that students utilized critical thinking skills through the assignment, enhanced awareness on patient assessment and guided assignment to adopt in the curriculum</td>
<td>Assignment involving both online education and patient simulation gave students an opportunity to analyze their own critical thinking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7   | Siddon 2012 | Clinical judgment development using structured classroom reflective practice: A qualitative study | Boston-US | Perception of development of clinical judgement in BSN students who experience structured reflective classes | Interviewed to describe clinical judgment reflective practice | Qualitative study | Structured reflective classes | 30 minutes every week in early nursing course | Themes are: 1. Application of acquired knowledge, 2. Perceived increased patient care experience | The students reported that their participation in the structured reflective scenarios | 56
3.3. Summary of findings:
The available literature refined to get 5 qualitative, 4 quantitative, and 3 mixed approach. Out of 12 articles, 5 research studies supported that clinical decision making can be developed using different types of simulation (which includes human patient simulators, simulated clinical experiences, simulation to create rubric assessment etc). Individual studies from each strategy like concept mapping, educational interventions, analogy guided learning experiences, structured reflection in education and workshops can develop clinical decision making. Computer based and multimedia computer simulation program are not showing clear outcome on this area. Most of the descriptive research on clinical judgement is centred on its use in nursing practice area rather than on its enhancement in nursing students. (White, 2003).

The effect of simulation on critical thinking and clinical judgement is inconclusive. Further study of
relationship between nurse externship programs and the concept of clinical decision making and critical thinking is needed. (Maneval, et al., 2012)

4. DISCUSSION:


A study supported association between participation in the simulation and increasing confidence among students, addressed the outcomes descriptively; the results suggest that students benefited from the simulation. Hence, simulations can positively affect the decision making process among students (Cioffi, Purcal, & Arundell, 2005).

The Human patient simulator is a strategy to develop critical thinking and clinical judgement about patient care. Active participation of students is necessary to strengthen the ability to make appropriate decisions (Rhodes & Curran, 2005).

Clinical judgement is intensively related to practice, in which high fidelity simulation opportunities are created for students with clinical practicum, so that students can learn better to improve their performance. But, the simulation laboratory offers challenging, practically oriented patient situations, requiring critical judgement skills. The debriefing, after the simulation provides an opportunity for students to reflect on their thinking and performance and discover alternative interventions from each other and facilitator. (Lasaster K., 2007).

Powell-Laney (2010) validates in a study the use of simulation technology for teaching clinical decision making in students. Student learned better when they confronted with human patient simulator than traditional teaching.

Well planned and debriefed simulations can be an effective clinical experience because they provide opportunity for clinical judgement, which is often encountered in practice by complexity of tasks and to provide comprehensive care. (Dillard, Sideras, Ryan, Carlton, Lasaster, & Siktberg, 2009).

An innovative rubric using concept mapping is used to guide students. Concept mapping emerged as a milestone in development of clinical decision making as a teaching strategy. Suggestions for the use of this strategy includes briefing the case and description at each level to promote better learning experience for the student in the developing critical thinking (Gerdemar, Lux, & Jacko, 2012)

There is a perceived improvement in development of clinical judgement and confidence through structured classroom reflective. New pedagogies have to be incorporated with structured reflection of patient care situations in classroom to narrow theory-practice gap and improve clinical judgement of novices (Glynn, 2012). Communication, Confidence and clinical judgement were identified as qualitative comments given by students as an outcome quality clinical simulation. (Bambini, Washburn, & Perkins, 2009)

Researchers have identified that nursing students’ clinical decision making includes cognitive ability to interpret information in the context of changing situational environments (Benner, Sutphen, Leonard, & Day, 2010) (Bittner & Tobin, 1998) and is influenced by students’ prior experience (O’Reilly, 1993) and interactions with patient, Clinical tutors and staff. (Benner P., 2004) (White A., 2003)

Influencing factors which promotes nursing student’s clinical decision making ability includes simulation (Howard, 2007), computerised instruction, case studies (DeMarco, Hayward, & Lynch, 2002) and reflective writing (Allen, Rubenfeld, & Scheffer, 2004). Since situational factors and time constraints are evident in practice, findings have not got weightage to account for clinical decision making skill. The ideal setting for students to learn CDM skills is real clinical practice environment, especially when facilitated by opportunitites for immediate feedback and reflection. (Clynes & Raftery, 2008)

4.1 Importance in Education

This generation health care centers expect entry level competence, including critical thinking and clinical decision making skills, for all nurses. This reflects the excessive demands of rapid changes in work culture. (Utley-Smith, 2004) However, in a survey of 532 hospitals, 494 long term care facilities, and 163 home health care agencies, Smith and Crawford (2004) found that employers perceived that more than 50% of novice nurses lagged behind in clinical decision making skills. The nursing education system should focus on preparing the graduate nurses with necessary clinical decision making so as to satisfy educational and employer standards.

4.2 Future Significance

The results extended in this review supports engaging novices exclusively comparing structural similarities between the current and previous experience to enhance retention and transfer of knowledge in future needs. In contrast to all the studies which conducted in simulated or classroom settings, future studies should engage in analytical structuring of the students and reflective practice in clinical settings.
Outcome suggests that this process enhances clinical decision making skills among novices. They are consistent with previous studies that demonstrated explicit case comparison using analogical reasoning process which positively influence clinical decision making ability and accuracy (Edelen & Bell, 2011)

4.3 Limitations:
- Database search was limited
- Search strategy was refined to clinical decision making only
- Meta-analysis will give more accuracy
- Confined to the area of Nursing

Conclusion
Clinical decision-making skills can be enhanced through various intervention strategies. Since nurses are key decision makers within the healthcare team, they are expected to learn certain decision making skills and implement in practice.
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