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Abstract

Studies were carried out to determine the Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient, \( h \), under multiple jets of impinging cold air on a target heated flat plate. Tests were run with air- distribution plates with hole diameters, \( d \), of 1.5mm, 2.0mm, 2.5mm and 3.0mm. The target plate is a carbon-steel flat plate of 6mm thickness, instrumented with a total of three Chromel-Alumel K-type thermocouples. The plate is electrically heated using a variable supply current input. Test were run at various cooling air flow rate \( G \), between 1.0kg/m\(^2\), sec to 3.0kg/m\(^2\), sec. Distribution plate-to-target plate distance \( Z(\text{mm}) \), was varied between 100mm to 200mm. Heat input to the target plate \( Q \text{ Watt} \), was varied to give a heat flux rate between 10 to 100 watts. Results obtained were reduced and analyzed by evaluating for the dependence of \( h \) on the impingement jet diameter, Jet Reynolds Number, and the coolant mass flow rate \( G \). Correlations were done, using the dimensionless parameters of Nusselt Number, Reynolds Number and Prandtl Number. Based on the results obtained, it is proposed that within the Reynolds Number range of 1500 to 2000, correlation may be made with:-

\[
Nu = \frac{hD}{K} = 0.15Re^{0.58}Pr^{0.33}
\]
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1.0 Introduction

Gas turbine combustors are also cooled by impinging air jets and there is increasing industrial interest to perfect the application for the cooling of combustor wall because it promises superior effectiveness over the conventional methods such as convective film and effusion cooling. The necessity for more effective combustor wall cooling arises from the desire to obtain higher operating temperature in the gas turbine cycle for increased work per unit of mass throughput as well as better efficiency. This will lead to lighter engines and higher capacity turbines. Unfortunately, the practical limit to cycle temperature is fixed by the material of the combustor. Many investigators have worked on impingement heat transfer. Earlier workers were more concerned with studies related to the use for heating and drying as applied in the paper and glass industries. Later workers have included other applications such as turbine blade cooling and combustor wall cooling. Some investigators worked with single rows of round and slot jets and some with multiple jet arrays. In comparing previous work of the authors with the present work, two significant problems were encountered. Firstly, some workers had defined a heat transfer coefficient in terms of a temperature difference between the impingement jet outlet and the target plate whereas other workers had used a temperature difference between the coolant supply temperature and the target plate. In the present work, the significance of these differences is assessed. Secondly, many other workers had used impingement geometries with significant cross flow in the impingement gap. The significant of the cross flow influences in the present work is assessed by investigating spatial temperature distributions on the impingement plate.

Due to complexity of impinging jet structures in actual arrays, researchers have systematically studied the effects of geometrical parameters on heat transfer characteristics of impinging jets. Dan, Betrand, et-al\(^{[4]} \) researched on the effects of nozzle geometry on the flow characteristics and the heat transfer performance. San and Iai \(^{[2]} \) studied the effect of jet-to-jet impingement spacing on heat transfer in staggered arrays. Cheong and Ireland \(^{[3]} \) experimentally measured local heat transfer coefficient under an impinged jet with low nozzle-to-plate, \( z/d \) spacing. Several others have studied the effect of cross flow on jet structure and heat transfer including Florsguetz\(^{[4]} \), Kercher and Tabakoff\(^{[8]} \). Both Florschuetz, and Kercher and Tabakoff developed correlations to predict the effects of cross flow on jet impingement heat transfer for inline and staggered arrays which are still used today in jet impingement research. Bailey and Bunker\(^{[6]} \) studied the effect of sparse and dense arrays for large number of jets. Herbert and Ekkad\(^{[7]} \), investigated the effect of a stream wise pressure gradient for an inline array of sparse and dense configurations.

As more information on geometrical parameters and their effect on impinging jets became available, others
studied ways of increasing the jet effectiveness through target surface modification. Surface geometrics such as trip strips, protrusions or dimples can significantly alter the jet structure and potentially provide enhanced heat transfer. Eckard and Kontrovitz\textsuperscript{[8]} used a dimpled target surface.

This concept proved inadequate in heat transfer enhancement and actually showed a drop in performance. Another concept employed in many internal cooling configurations is trip strips. Small strip placed on the surface break down boundary layers, increase local turbulence levels, and enhance heat transfer. The use of trip strips was studied in detail by Han et al.\textsuperscript{[9]} for internal channel flow, and later by Herbert and Ekkad\textsuperscript{[10]} in jet impingement configurations.

Andrews, Hussain and Ojobor S.N\textsuperscript{[11]}, obtained full coverage impingement Heat Transfer, the influence of impingement jet size and correlated their result as $h = 460(z/d)^{-0.15} \cdot G^{0.8}$. However, Hollworth and Berry\textsuperscript{[12]} obtained a test rig with single sided air exit so that there was always an influence of cross flow. Secondly, the method of calculating the heat transfer coefficient using a long-mean of the temperature differences between the impingement plate and the plenum chamber air temperature was studied. This was an attempt to use a coolant temperature close to a jet outlet temperature. Hollworth and Berry also recognized this problem and they used a coolant temperature which was the average of the plenum temperature and jet outlet temperature. Thereafter, many other workers have used the plenum chamber temperature as the coolant. As the temperature differences between the coolant and the impingement plate varies with the coolant flow rate, the present method of evaluating $h$ could give a slightly different value for $y$ than using the plenum chamber temperature. This value of $y$ is a function of $z/d$.

In this present work - impingement of air cooling on a hot plate of varied flow rate of air, was studied on a hot target plate. The influence of temperature difference and quality of heat input were considered for values of $h$, these however, were correlated with Reynolds number, Nusselt number, Prandtl number and coolant flow per unit surface area to show influence with $h$ values.

**2.0 Materials and Methods**

**2.1 Design of Rig**

In order to evaluate the coefficient of convective heat transfer, $h$, an impingement air cooling method was designed for this target. The design is based on segment of components parts and assembly. The plenum has three chambers; the first is distribution plate chamber of circular cylinder of 250mm high by 300mm in diameter with fixed curved 40mm pipe that connects the pipe of 40mm diameter to blower. This chamber also has fixed pressure gauge and thermometer at the end. In between the iron pipes is an orifice meter connected to its plate of diameter 20mm to measure flow rate of air.

The second chamber plate is also 250mm high by 300mm in diameter. The third segment is the spacer called impingement gap ($Z_1$ to $Z_2$) that varied from 100mm high to 300mm in diameter, 150mm high and 300mm in diameter, 200mm and 250mm with same diameter. All the chambers are of 2mm thickness and made of mild steel sheet. However each of these segments is joined by two adjustable bolt clips with aid of alien – key for different impingement gap test. This gaps $Z_n$ is replaced with heater plate via distribution chamber at each test. The target plate is supplied with heat by electrically insulated heater and on top of the plate is fixed three K-type thermocouples for measuring mean temperature for each test. Other important components are four circular impingement plates of 150mm diameter, each drilled with 1.5mm, 2.0mm, 2.5mm and 3.0mm respectively, with 81 number holes in each.

**2.2 Instrumentations**

The calculation of convective impingement heat transfer coefficient of air and other materials involves the use of some instruments to acquire some vital data needed for the calculation. Some of these instruments used are:-

**2.2.1 Analogue K-type thermocouples:**

 Analogue K-type thermocouples were used. The range is -323K to 1573K with tolerance of $\pm$ 0.75%. K-Type is described as 3.24 x 150mm metal sheath 100cm compensating wire. This gives us the average mean temperature used in calculation of temperature difference. The K-type (Chromel–Alumel) is the most commonly general purpose thermocouple.

**2.2.2 Thermometer:**

 An analogue thermometer was used. This mercury thermometer of 0°C to 100°C was used to measure air temperature. Air is first blown into the plenum and its temperature was measured.

**2.2.3 Ammeter/Voltmeter:**

 A multi-purpose digital clamp meter with operating features of AC current 0.1A to 1000A, AC voltage 1V to 750V and DC voltage 1V to 1000V was used with the help of variable voltmeter. As the voltage was varied with variable voltmeter, the corresponding current was automatically obtained by digital clamp meter.
The product of these two quantities gave the heat input to the system used for calculations.

2.2.4 Orifice Meter:
An orifice meter was used to measure pressure drops along the pipes. It was fixed on the base wood and connected to the pipe of 40mm diameter. Also connected in-between the orifice is the orifice plate of diameter 20mm inside the 40mm diameter pipe with angle of 60°C vena-contracta. The pressure drop is thus measured by difference in height of water in the orifice meter with calibrated meter rule.

2.2.5 Pressure gauge:
This was used to measure the pressure of air. This plus atmospheric pressure gives absolute pressure used in the calculation. Its calibration ranges from -1bar to 5bars

2.3 Experimental Procedure

![Diagram of setup rig for experimentation in laboratory](image)

Experiments were made at several air mass flow rates via orifice plate to target plate. The procedures are as listed below:-

1. The air supply to the plenum as well as the heater was turned on.
2. The initial values of temperature and pressure of the air were read and recorded. The values of the tip temperatures of the thermocouples T₁, T₂ & T₃ were also read and recorded.
3. The values of current and voltage were read from the ammeter and voltmeter, to obtain the quantity of heat input Q
4. Values of the pressure drop (Δh), were all recorded using the orifice meter.
5. The thermocouple readings were monitored until near steady state – isothermal condition is achieved i.e. T₁, T₂, T₃ are within 10% of each other, and later (T_{mean} – T_{air}) ≥ 12°C were obtained (by adjusting the power input to the hot plate.
6. Thereafter, final reading for that particular flow rate of air say ¼ flow rate of air was taken.
7. The experiment was repeated with a higher flow-rate of air, say ½, ¾ and full flow.
8. After each flow rate of air the spacer (impingement gap) Z was changed and the procedures repeated as above.

---

**PART LIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Orifice Meter</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Heater</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Spacers</td>
<td>Mild Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ring</td>
<td>Mild Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pipe</td>
<td>Cast Iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Voltameter</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Thermocouples</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ammeter</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Blower</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Thermometer</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pressure Gauge</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Variak</td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.0 Experimental Results

The results of the experiment are shown in tables below.

#### Table 1 - Flow rate of air @ 1.5mm impingement plate with $Z_1 = 100$mm spacer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>$T_{air}$</th>
<th>$P_{air}$</th>
<th>A(amp)</th>
<th>V(volts)</th>
<th>Q(watt)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>$T_m-T_{air}$</th>
<th>$\Delta h$(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>161.3</td>
<td>126.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>185.3</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2 - Flow rate of air @ 2.0mm impingement plate with $Z_1 = 100$mm spacer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>$T_{air}$</th>
<th>$P_{air}$</th>
<th>A(amp)</th>
<th>V(volts)</th>
<th>Q(watt)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>$T_m-T_{air}$</th>
<th>$\Delta h$(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>164.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>224.3</td>
<td>188.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>196.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3 - Flow rate air @ 2.5mm impingement plate with $Z_1 = 100$mm spacer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>$T_{air}$</th>
<th>$P_{air}$</th>
<th>A(amp)</th>
<th>V(volts)</th>
<th>Q(watt)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>$T_m-T_{air}$</th>
<th>$\Delta h$(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>172.7</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>197.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>223.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4 - Full flow rate of air @ 3.0mm impingement plate with $Z_1 = 100$mm spacer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>$T_{air}$</th>
<th>$P_{air}$</th>
<th>A(amp)</th>
<th>V(volts)</th>
<th>Q(watt)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>$T_m-T_{air}$</th>
<th>$\Delta h$(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>126.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>208.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>195.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1 Analysis of Results

**3.1.1 Pipe Diameters and Number of Holes**

1. Orifice pipe diameter = 40mm
2. Orifice plate diameter = 20mm
3. Impingement plate diameter = 150mm
4. Hot target plate diameter = 200mm
5. Number of holes, $n$ = 81
3.2 Data Reductions

3.2.1 Impingement plate area: 
\[ A = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} = \frac{\pi (0.150)^2}{4} = 0.01767 \, m^2 \] (1)

3.2.2 Total mass flow rate

\[ m = \bar{U} \rho_{air} A^* \]

\[ A^* = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} = \frac{\pi (0.040)^2}{4} = 0.001257 \, m^2 \] (2)

Where \( \bar{U} \) = velocity of orifice meter

\[ A^* \] = orifice pipe sectional area

\[ \bar{U} = \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta P}{C_D (A_1^2 - A_2^2) \rho_{air}}} \] (3)

\[ \bar{U} = C_D \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta P}{\rho_{air}}} \] (4)

Where \( C_D \) = coefficient of air flow from orifice plate \( \approx 0.70 \)

\[ \therefore \bar{U} = 0.70 \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta P}{\rho_{air}}} \] (5)

Thus \( m = \bar{U} \rho_{air} \cdot (0.001257) \) (6)

Where \( (0.001257) \, m^2 \) = area of orifice plate as calculated.

Data values:
- \( K = \) thermal conductivity for air = 0.0023567 J/m, sec, k
- \( \mu = \) dynamic viscosity = 1.817 x 10^-5 kg/m, sec.

\[ G = \frac{\bar{m}}{A^*} = \frac{\bar{m}}{0.01767} \] (7)

\[ \dot{A} \] = Area of impingement plate calculated

\[ G \] = Coolant air flow per unit surface area of the impingement plate kg/s.m^2

\[ Nu = \frac{hD}{K} \] (8)

\[ Pr = \frac{\mu Cp}{K} = 0.8828 \] (9)

Where \( K = 0.0023567 \, J/sec, \, m, \, k \)

\( \mu = 1.817 \times 10^{-5} \, kg/m, sec \) (based on hole diameter)

\[ Nu = \frac{hD}{K} \] (10)

\[ Q = h \ast A^* \ast \Delta T \] (11)

\[ A^* = \pi \left( \frac{0.20}{4} \right)^2 = 0.0314 \, m^2 \]

Thus, \( Q = 0.0314 \, h \ast \Delta T \) (12)

\( A^* \) = area of hot target plate = 200mm
Thus, \( Q = 0.0314 \times h \times (t_m - t_{air}) \)

\[
h = \frac{Q}{0.0314(t_m - t_{air})}
\]  

(13)

\[
Nu = \frac{hD}{0.0023567} = 424.33h*D
\]  

(14)

\[
Re = \frac{\rho_{air}VD}{\mu}
\]  

(15)

Where \( V \) = velocity through the impingement hole

Based on Jet velocity; \( A = \) jet hole area

\[
\frac{\dot{m}}{n} = \rhoVA
\]  

(16)

Where \( n = \) number of holes \( = 81 \)  

\[
\mu = 1.817 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg/m, sec}
\]  

\[
\therefore Re = 86.47\frac{\dot{m}}{D}
\]  

(17)

4.0 Effect of Jet Reynolds Number on Nusselt Number (\( \frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} \) for \( Pr = 0.8828 \))

Table 5: Values for Jet Reynolds No on \( \frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} \) for \( Pr = 0.8828 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/No</th>
<th>( X = \text{Rey No} )</th>
<th>( Y = \frac{Nu}{0.8828} )</th>
<th>( X = \text{Rey No} )</th>
<th>( Y = \frac{Nu}{0.8828} )</th>
<th>( X = \text{Rey No} )</th>
<th>( Y = \frac{Nu}{0.8828} )</th>
<th>( X = \text{Rey No} )</th>
<th>( Y = \frac{Nu}{0.8828} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>5.585</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>6.903</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>8.907</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>8.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>8.367</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>7.568</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>10.412</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>10.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>11.886</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>9.593</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>11.907</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>11.907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POWER CURVE BY CORRELATION OF H AND G**

As \( h = aG^b \)

- Calculate \( a \)
- Calculate \( b \)
- Calculate \( e^{-2G} \)

*Fig.2 Power Curve Data Presentation*
Program Control Interface

\[ b = \frac{\sum \ln G_i h_i - (\sum \ln G_i)(\sum \ln h_i)}{\sum (\ln G_i)^2 - (\sum \ln G_i)^2} \]

\[ a = \exp \left\{ \frac{\sum \ln y_i - b \sum \ln x_i}{n} \right\} \]

\[ r^2 = \frac{\left[ \sum (\ln x_i \ln y_i - (\sum \ln x_i)(\sum \ln y_i))^2 \right]}{\sum (\ln x_i)^2 - (\sum \ln x_i)^2 \sum (\ln y_i)^2 - (\sum \ln y_i)^2} \]

**Fig 3:** Program Interface for calculating \( a \)

**Fig 4:** Program Interface for calculating \( b \)
Fig 5: Program Interface for calculating $r$

Power Curve Correlation of $\frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} = a \cdot Rey^{b}$

Constants

- $b = 0.58$
- $a = 0.15$
- $r^2 = 0.93$

$\frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} = 0.15 \cdot Rey^{0.58}$

$Nu = 0.15 \cdot Rey^{0.58} \cdot Pr^{0.3}$

Fig. 6 Plot of Nusselt Number versus Reynold’s Number for Plate a
Fig. 7 Plot of Nusselt Number versus Reynold’s Number for Plate b

Fig. 8 Plot of Nusselt Number versus Reynold’s Number for Plate c
4.0 Discussion of Results
The values of Reynold’s Number achieved in this work are generally low and in the laminar flow regime. Figures of about 500 were obtained for Jet diameter of 3.0mm, though for diameter 1.5mm and 2.0mm, Reynold’s Number rose to about 2000. High Reynold’s Number fully in the turbulent regime is essential to achieve the full benefits of impingement cooling as an agitated flow scheme enhances convective transport of energy.
The likely consequence of this low Reynold’s number may have been that film-cooling behavior dominated the mode of convective heat exchange. The mode of convective heat exchange, indeed the low values of the coefficient which did not exceed 30W/m²°C resemble typical values of the coefficient for film and effusion cooling.
The principal handicap in achieving higher Reynold’s Number in this Work is the apparently low capacity pressure head of the blower used.

5.0 Conclusion
Convective impingement heat transfer (h), evaluated from the heat input (Q) and the product ratio of area of hot target plate (A*) and the difference in mean temperature to air temperature, is a strong function of impingement coolant mass flow per unit surface area (G) of the impingement plate by correlation.
Secondly, it is also dependent on the number of holes, n, of 81 number holes on the impingement plate and to the jet impingement velocity, the coolant viscosity, the diameter of the pipe and the capacity of the blower. The ratio of Nusselt to Prandtl number to power 0.3 is also a function of Reynold’s number by correlation. However, the equations of correlated values are;

\[ \frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} = a \cdot Re^{0.58} \cdot Pr^{0.33} \]

The constants \(a\) and \(b\) are valid for power curve fit of the range of values of \(r\) of 0.73 \(\leq r \leq 0.96\) for the correlation of

\[ \frac{Nu}{Pr^{0.3}} = \frac{hD}{K} = 0.15 Re^{0.58} Pr^{0.33} \]

This shows that \(r \geq 0.6\) is valid for the power curve fit for influence of \(Re\) on \(Nu/Pr^{0.3}\). Finally the constants in the above correlations applied for impingement air temperature of 93.2°C to 293°C
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Appendix: Components of the Rig

Fig 10:1st Distribution Plenum

Fig 11a

Fig 11:2nd Distribution Plenum

Fig 11b
Fig 12: 3rd Segment plates (impingement gaps $Z_x$)

Fig 13: Plastic pipe

Fig 14: Electric heater with thermocouples
Fig 15: Orifice meter

Fig 16 a

Fig 16 b
Fig 16: View of impingement plates

The total number in each of the impingement plate is 81 holes
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