Journal of Education and Practice
ISSN 2222-1735 (Paper) ISSN 2222-288X (Online)
Vol.16, No.5, 2025

Assessment the Impact of Curriculum Review on Improving Technical Education in Tanzania: Case Study of Water Institute

[1]Neema Mpayo
[1]Water Institute, P.O Box 35059, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
Corresponding Author: ney.aaron@gmail.com

Abstract
Technical education plays a vital role in equipping graduates with the skills required to meet evolving labor market demands, particularly in specialized sectors such as water management. This study assesses the impact of curriculum review processes on improving the quality and relevance of technical education in Tanzania, using the Water Institute (WI) as a case study. Employing a mixed-methods design that integrates quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews, and document analysis, the research evaluated the effects of curriculum reforms implemented between 2018 and 2023 on graduate employ-ability, industry satisfaction, and educational outcomes. Results reveal that structured curriculum reviews especially those incorporating meaningful industry input have significantly enhanced the alignment between academic programs and workforce requirements. Notable gains were observed in graduates' practical skills, technological proficiency, and workplace readiness. Nonetheless, the study identifies persistent challenges related to resource constraints, uneven implementation, and the need to continuously update specialized content. To ensure sustained improvements, the study recommends institutionalizing regular industry engagement, strengthening staff development programs, and establishing robust monitoring systems across technical education institutions in Tanzania.
Keywords: Technical education, curriculum review, employability, Water Institute Tanzania, TVET, education quality
DOI: 10.7176/JEP/16-5-12
Publication date: May 30th 2025

Introduction

Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) is a cornerstone of Tanzania's socioeconomic transformation and industrialization strategy, as articulated in the Tanzania Development Vision 2025 and the Third Five-Year Development Plan (2021/22–2025/26). Institutions like the Water Institute Tanzania (WI) play a pivotal role by offering specialized training in water resources management, water supply systems, irrigation, and related fields that are essential for national infrastructure development and environmental sustainability (URT, 2019).

However, despite the strategic value of TVET, the sector continues to face critical challenges, including a misalignment between technical curricula and industry needs, limited graduate employability, and deficiencies in the quality and responsiveness of educational delivery (Mtebe & Kissaka, 2020). These gaps are particularly pressing in niche fields like water resources management, where rapid technological change, climate variability, and shifting labor market demands require up-to-date and adaptable training programs.

To address these challenges, curriculum review processes have been initiated across technical institutions in Tanzania, including at WI. These processes are intended to enhance the relevance, effectiveness, and quality of training programs through systematic updates in course content, pedagogy, and assessment. While policy frameworks support regular curriculum review, there is limited empirical evidence on how effectively these reviews translate into improved educational outcomes, particularly in highly specialized and technical domains (Luambano, 2021). Moreover, questions remain about the extent of stakeholder involvement—especially from industry and students—and how such engagement influences curriculum responsiveness and implementation success.

This study aims to assess the impact of curriculum review processes on the quality and relevance of technical education at the Water Institute Tanzania. Specifically, it evaluates the structures guiding curriculum review, the nature of stakeholder participation, and the resulting changes in curriculum content, instructional strategies, and

assessment methods. Additionally, it investigates the effects of these changes on graduate competencies, employability, and industry satisfaction.

The central hypothesis is that structured, stakeholder-informed curriculum reviews at WI significantly improve educational outcomes and labor market relevance by aligning training with evolving technological and industry demands. This research contributes to the broader discourse on curriculum reform and quality assurance in technical education and offers actionable insights for policy and institutional improvement within Tanzania and the wider East African region.

Literature Review

Curriculum development in technical education is a complex balancing act involving technological currency, labor market relevance, pedagogical effectiveness, and institutional resource constraints (Gamble, 2013). Effective Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) curricula must integrate four essential dimensions: theoretical knowledge, practical skills, workplace experience, and general capabilities (Shongwe & Mantyi-Ncube, 2020). However, in much of Sub-Saharan Africa, curriculum design often fails to meet this balance due to systemic limitations.

Several scholars have highlighted pervasive challenges in African technical education systems, such as outdated curricula, limited industry engagement, and under-resourced training facilities (Ngure, 2019; Makgato, 2018). Makgato (2018) argues that without continuous scanning of industry trends, engagement with professional bodies, and regular skills audits, curriculum reform remains reactive rather than strategic. Ngure (2019) supports this view, emphasizing that many institutions continue to deliver legacy content misaligned with the rapidly evolving demands of the labor market. These issues are especially critical in specialized fields like water resources management, where the convergence of technological advancements and environmental challenges necessitates agile and context-sensitive educational responses (Mwamila & Diyamett, 2019).

In response, curriculum review is increasingly recognized as a critical quality assurance mechanism. Wolf and Hughes (2021) describe curriculum review as a systematic and iterative process involving needs assessment, stakeholder consultation, evidence-based content revision, pilot implementation, and evaluation. This definition aligns with Njengere's (2019) cyclical model, which conceptualizes curriculum reform in five stages: situational analysis, objectives formulation, content selection, learning organization, and evaluation. In contrast, Mthombeni (2018) advocates a competency-based model emphasizing occupational analysis, competency identification, standard setting, and modular design. While both models offer valuable guidance, they differ in their emphasis—Njengere focuses on system-wide coherence, whereas Mthombeni prioritizes job-market alignment.

Empirical evidence on the impact of curriculum review is mixed. A study by the African Development Bank (2022) found that structured and industry-informed reviews increased graduate employment rates by 15–22% and enhanced employer satisfaction, compared to institutions using ad hoc or infrequent review mechanisms. Similarly, Abeli (2021) observed improved technological proficiency and practical skill application among graduates following curriculum reforms in Tanzanian technical institutes. However, these positive outcomes are often undermined by persistent implementation barriers, such as limited institutional capacity, staff resistance, inadequate funding, and weak monitoring systems (Mtaita, 2020; Lukindo, 2022). Lukindo (2022), in particular, critiques the superficial nature of many curriculum reforms, noting that without deep stakeholder involvement and strong feedback mechanisms, curriculum updates often fail to produce lasting impact.

Tanzania's technical education landscape has undergone substantial reform since independence, guided by policies such as the Education and Training Policy (1995), the Technical Education and Training Policy (1996), and the Education Sector Development Plan (2016/17–2020/21). These frameworks reflect a strategic transition from supply-driven to demand-driven education models, emphasizing industry partnerships, competency-based learning, and systematic quality assurance (Kalolo, 2019). The establishment of the National Council for Technical and Vocational Education and Training (NACTVET) in 1997 has played a central role in standardizing curricula, accrediting institutions, and overseeing quality improvement (URT, 2018).

Despite these structural gains, systemic issues remain. Challenges such as outdated infrastructure, insufficient funding, and limited research capacity continue to hinder the effectiveness of curriculum reforms (Luambano, 2021). The Water Institute (WI), established in 1974 and restructured in 2008, offers an illustrative case of these

dynamics. As a specialized institution, WI delivers certificate, diploma, and degree programs in water-related fields critical to national development. Its alignment with national water sector priorities makes it a suitable case study for exploring how curriculum review can be tailored to meet both local and international professional standards (Mbwambo, 2021).

This study adopts a conceptual framework that integrates insights from the reviewed literature to conceptualize curriculum review as a multi-dimensional and context-sensitive process. Building on Wolf and Hughes (2021) and Njengere (2019), the framework posits that effective curriculum review—defined by its comprehensiveness, stakeholder engagement, and evidence-based revisions—can enhance curriculum relevance, improve teaching and learning methods, and strengthen assessment strategies. These outcomes are expected to improve graduate competencies, employability, and employer satisfaction.

However, the relationship between curriculum review and educational outcomes is not linear. As shown in Mtaita (2020) and Lukindo (2022), successful implementation is mediated by internal institutional factors (e.g., leadership, resource availability, staff capacity), external conditions (e.g., labor market trends, policy environment), and process fidelity (e.g., monitoring, adaptability, stakeholder follow-up). By integrating these mediating variables, the framework supports a more nuanced and realistic assessment of how curriculum reviews influence educational quality in technical institutions like WI.

The literature demonstrates that while curriculum reform is widely promoted in technical education policy across Africa, there is limited empirical evidence from specialized institutions such as WI on how such reforms impact practical outcomes. Furthermore, much of the existing research lacks contextual specificity and fails to consider how institutional and external factors interact with curriculum review processes. This study addresses that gap by evaluating the real-world effects of the 2018–2023 curriculum reviews at the Water Institute, focusing on their influence on graduate preparedness and labor market integration.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative techniques within a case study design. This methodological triangulation allowed for complementary perspectives and enhanced validity through the convergence of findings from multiple data sources (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The case study focused on the Water Institute Tanzania, examining curriculum review processes conducted between 2018 and 2023.

Study Population and Sampling

The study population included Institute members, current students, graduates, employers, and curriculum development stakeholders associated With WI. Purposive and stratified sampling techniques were used to select participants, ensuring representation across academic departments, program levels, and stakeholder categories. The final sample comprised:

- Institute members (n=25): Selected across departments With consideration for academic rank, involvement in curriculum committees, and years of experience
- Current students (n=120): Stratified by program and year of study, focusing on those in their final year of study
- Graduates (n=85): Individuals who completed their studies between 2019-2023, representing different programs and employment statuses
- Employers (n=32): Organizations that have employed WI graduates, including government agencies, private companies, and non-governmental organizations
- Curriculum stakeholders (n=18): Including NACTVET representatives, industry advisory board members, and curriculum committee members

Data Collection Methods

Multiple data collection methods were employed:

Document Analysis: Review of curriculum documents, including previous and revised curricula, review reports, meeting minutes, policy documents, and strategic plans related to curriculum development at WI.

Surveys: Structured questionnaires administered to current students, graduates, and employers to gather quantitative data on perceptions of curriculum quality, relevance, and impact. The survey instruments were adapted from validated tools including the Graduate Employability Scale (Kinash et al., 2018) and the Curriculum Quality Assessment Tool (Njengere, 2019), With modifications to reflect the Tanzanian context.

In-depth Interviews: Semi-structured interviews conducted With Institute members, curriculum stakeholders, and selected employers to gather detailed qualitative insights into curriculum review processes, implementation challenges, and perceived impacts.

Focus Group Discussions: Six focus group sessions (6-8 participants each) conducted with current students and recent graduates to explore their experiences with the curriculum and perceptions of its relevance to workplace demands.

Performance Data Analysis: Examination of institutional data on student achievement, graduate employment rates, and employer feedback before and after curriculum revisions.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data from surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations) and inferential statistics (t-tests, ANOVA, and correlation analysis) to assess relationships between variables and compare groups. SPSS version 26 was used for statistical analysis.

Qualitative data from interviews, focus groups, and document analysis were analyzed thematically using NVivo software. The analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2021) six-step process: familiarization with data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report. Member checking and peer debriefing were employed to enhance the trustworthiness of the qualitative findings.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical research standards, including obtaining institutional approval from WI and informed consent from all participants. Confidentiality was maintained by using codes instead of names, and participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any stage. Data were stored securely with password protection, and findings were reported in aggregate to prevent identification of individual respondents.

Results

Curriculum Review Processes at WI

Document analysis and interviews revealed that WI has established a structured curriculum review process following NACTVET guidelines, with comprehensive reviews conducted every five years and minor reviews annually. The most recent comprehensive review was initiated in 2021 and completed in 2022, involving multiple stakeholders and resulting in significant revisions across all programs.

The curriculum review committee structure at WI includes departmental committees, an institutional curriculum committee, and an industry advisory board. Document analysis showed varying levels of representation across stakeholder groups:

Table 1: Stakeholder Representation in Curriculum Review Process

Stakeholder Group	Representation in Committees (%)
Institute members	45%
Industry representatives	22%
Government agencies	15%
NACTVET officials	8%
Student representatives	5%
Alumni	5%

Interviews With curriculum stakeholders revealed that the review process followed a systematic approach including needs assessment, stakeholder consultation, and content development, validation, and approval phases. However, several respondents noted challenges in stakeholder engagement:

"While we have a structured process on paper, obtaining meaningful input from industry partners remains challenging due to time constraints and competing priorities." (Institute member, Curriculum Committee)

"The student voice is still underrepresented in curriculum decisions. Their participation tends to be tokenistic rather than substantive." (Department Head)

Changes Resulting from Curriculum Reviews

Analysis of curriculum documents before and after the 2021-2022 review revealed significant changes across several dimensions as per Table 2 below.

Table 2: Curriculum Changes Following Review Process

Dimension	Pre-Review (2018)	Post-Review (2022)	Change (%)
Practical components (% of total hours)	35%	48%	+37%
Industry attachment duration (weeks)	8	12	+50%
Technology-related content (courses)	4	7	+75%
Entrepreneurship components (modules)	1	3	+200%
Emerging topics (climate change, digital water management)	Limited coverage	Dedicated modules	Substantial
Assessment methods incorporating authentic tasks	28%	45%	+61%

Survey responses from Institute members indicated strong agreement (mean=4.2 on 5-point scale) that the curriculum review resulted in substantial improvements in content relevance and alignment with industry needs. Specific improvements noted included:

- Integration of emerging technologies for water quality monitoring and management
- Enhanced focus on sustainable water resource management practices
- Introduction of specialized modules on climate resilience in water infrastructure
- Expanded coverage of digital tools for water system design and monitoring
- Strengthened emphasis on professional ethics and community engagement

However, interviews With Institute revealed concerns about implementation challenges:

"While the revised curriculum looks excellent on paper, we lack the laboratory equipment and software to effectively deliver some of the new technical components." (Lecturer, Water Supply Engineering)

"The transition to more practical approaches requires significant retraining of instructors, which has not been adequately addressed." (Senior Lecturer, Irrigation Engineering)

Impact on Educational Outcomes

Analysis of institutional data showed improvements in several educational outcome indicators following curriculum revisions as indicted in the Table 3.

Table 3: Educational Outcome Indicators

Indicator	Pre-Review (2018-2020)	Post-Review (2022-2023)	Change
Average student performance (GPA)	3.12	3.35	+0.23
Completion rate (%)	78%	85%	+7%
Student satisfaction (5-point scale)	3.6	4.1	+0.5
External examiner ratings (5-point scale)	3.4	4.0	+0.6

Student focus groups revealed positive perceptions of the revised curriculum:

"The increased practical components have made learning more engaging and helped me connect theoretical concepts to real-world applications." (Final year student, Water Supply Engineering)

"The extended industry attachment provided valuable exposure to current practices and challenges in the field." (Recent graduate, Hydrology)

However, some students noted inconsistencies in implementation:

"Not all instructors have adapted their teaching methods to match the revised curriculum approach. Some still rely heavily on lectures with minimal practical activities." (Third-year student, Sanitation Engineering)

Impact on Graduate Employability and Industry Satisfaction

Survey data from graduates and employers indicated positive trends in employability and industry satisfaction following curriculum revisions:

Table 4: Graduate Employability Indicators

Indicator	Pre-Review Cohort (2018-2020)	Post-Review Cohort (2022-2023)	Change
Employment rate Within 6 months (%)	62%	76%	+14%
Alignment of job With qualification (%)	58%	75%	+17%
Graduate preparedness (employer rating, 5-point scale)	3.2	3.9	+0.7
Technical competence (employer rating, 5-point scale)	3.4	4.1	+0.7
Workplace readiness (employer rating, 5-point scale)	3.1	3.8	+0.7

Interviews With employers revealed increased satisfaction with graduates' abilities:

"Recent graduates from WI demonstrate stronger practical skills and greater familiarity with current technologies compared to earlier cohorts." (Manager, Urban Water Authority)

"We've observed improvements in problem-solving abilities and technical writing skills among recent WI graduates, which we attribute to curriculum changes." (Director, Water Resources Consulting Firm).

Graduates also reported better workplace preparedness: *"The revised curriculum's emphasis on real-world projects and problem-based learning made the transition to professional work much smoother."* (2023 Graduate, Water Resources and Irrigation Engineering)

Challenges and Barriers to Effective Implementation

Despite positive outcomes, the study identified several challenges (Table 5) impeding full implementation of curriculum revisions:

Table 5: Implementation Challenges (Institute Survey, n=25)

Challenge	Respondents Identifying as "Significant" or "Very Significant" (%)
Inadequate infrastructure and equipment	84%
Limited Institute development opportunities	76%
Insufficient funding for practical components	72%
Resistance to pedagogical change	64%
Administrative barriers	52%
Inadequate monitoring mechanisms	48%

Qualitative data provided additional insights into these challenges:

"While we have a beautiful revised curriculum, the funding for implementation has not matched the ambition of the revisions." (Department Head, Water Quality Management)

"Many instructors lack the technological skills and industrial experience needed to effectively deliver the new content." (Institute member, Irrigation Engineering)

"The gap between curriculum design and implementation remains our biggest challenge. We need stronger mechanisms to ensure that what is taught in classrooms aligns with the documented curriculum." (Curriculum Committee Chair)

Conclusion

This study explored the effectiveness of curriculum review processes at the Water Institute in Tanzania, highlighting the significant strides made in aligning technical education with contemporary industry and student needs. Structured curriculum review mechanisms—featuring stakeholder representation and systematic cycles—have led to tangible improvements in educational delivery, student engagement, and graduate employability. Enhancements such as increased practical orientation, authentic assessments, and technological integration reflect a forward-looking curriculum that equips graduates with relevant, job-ready skills. These reforms have strengthened the institution's role in preparing a skilled workforce for the water sector, with evidence of increased employer satisfaction and improved student performance.

Despite these gains, the implementation of curriculum changes remains challenged by limited resources, inadequate staff development, and weak monitoring systems. These barriers reduce the consistency and depth of reform outcomes, highlighting the need for holistic approaches that go beyond curriculum design to address institutional readiness and sustainability. To fully realize the benefits of curriculum reform, the Water Institute and similar institutions must align design innovations with implementation capacity, including infrastructure, staff support, and continuous feedback mechanisms.
The Water Institute should adopt an integrated implementation strategy combining resource planning, staff development, robust monitoring, expanded industry collaboration, and student engagement to sustain and maximize the impact of curriculum reforms.

References

Abeli, W. S. (2021). Technical education reforms in Tanzania: Institutional responses and labor market outcomes. Journal of Education and Work, 34(3), 278-295.

African Development Bank. (2022). Technical and vocational skills development for industrialization in Eastern Africa. AfDB Eastern Africa Regional Development and Business Delivery Office.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Gamble, J. (2013). Why improved formal teaching and learning are important in technical and vocational education and training (TVET). Revisiting global trends in TVET: Reflections on theory and practice, 204-238.

Kalolo, J. F. (2019). Improving TVET policy implementation in Tanzania: Evolution, stakeholder engagement, and governance issues. International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education, 11(2), 21-35.

Kinash, S., Crane, L., Judd, M. M., & Knight, C. (2018). Graduate employability measurement: An assessment framework and instruments for measuring employability. Higher Education Research & Development, 37(3), 509-525.

Luambano, I. (2021). Technical and vocational education in Tanzania: Challenges and prospects. International Journal of Educational Development in Africa, 8(1), 45-59.

Lukindo, J. J. (2022). Sustainability of educational reforms in Tanzania: Lessons from technical education curriculum innovations. Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 21(1), 67-82.

Makgato, M. (2018). Curriculum development for technical and vocational education in Africa: Focus on content and pedagogy. International Journal of African Higher Education, 5(2), 130-149.

Mbwambo, J. S. (2021). The role of specialized technical institutions in national development: A case study of the Water Institute Tanzania. Journal of Higher Education in Africa, 19(1), 55-72.

Mthombeni, P. (2018). Competency-based curriculum development in technical fields: Principles and practices. African Journal of Technical Education, 7(2), 112-127.

Mtaita, U. Y. (2020). Implementing curriculum reforms in Tanzania: Challenges and opportunities in secondary schools. Educational Research for Social Change, 9(1), 45-59.

Mtebe, J. S., & Kissaka, M. (2020). Factors influencing the employability of graduates from higher learning institutions in Tanzania. East African Journal of Education and Social Sciences, 1(1), 103-115.

Mwamila, B. L., & Diyamett, B. D. (2019). Developing locally relevant engineering curricula: An East African case study. International Journal of African Higher Education, 6(2), 1-18.

National Council for Technical Education (NACTVET). (2018). Standards and guidelines for competency-based education and training. Government Printer, Tanzania.

Ngure, S. W. (2019). Technical and vocational education and training in Africa: Issues, challenges, and opportunities. Journal of Education and Development, 3(2), 81-93.

Njengere, D. (2019). Technical education curriculum development: Processes, models and evaluation. African Curriculum Organization.

Shongwe, M., & Mantyi-Ncube, B. (2020). A framework for TVET curriculum design in developing nations. International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education, 12(1), 1-12.

United Republic of Tanzania (URT). (2018). Technical education and training development program (2018/19-2022/23). Ministry of Education, Science and Technology.

United Republic of Tanzania (URT). (2019). National water sector development strategy (2019-2025). Ministry of Water.

Water Institute Tanzania (WI). (2020). Strategic plan 2020-2025. WI Publications.

Wolf, P., & Hughes, J. C. (2021). Curriculum development in higher education: Institute-driven processes and practices. Jossey-Bass.