Factors Influencing the Use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in Teaching and Learning Computer Studies in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi State-Nigeria

Igwe Sylvester Agbo

Directorate of Information and Communication Technology (ICT)/Research Centre, Ebonyi State University, P.M.B,053 Abakaliki – Nigeria.

Abstract

Research studies in the past years show that Information and Communication Technology is an effective means for boasting educational opportunities, but most teachers neither use this technology as an instructional delivery system nor integrate technology into their curriculum. Studies reveal a number of factors influencing teachers' decisions to use ICT in the classroom: non-manipulative and manipulative school and teacher factors. These factors are interrelated. The success of the implementation of ICT in teaching and learning Computer studies is not dependent on the availability or absence of one individual factor, but is determined through a dynamic process involving a set of interrelated factors. It is suggested that ongoing professional development must be provided for teachers to model the new pedagogies and tools for learning with the aim of enhancing the teaching-learning process. However, it is important for teacher trainers and policy makers to understand the factors affecting effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different approaches to ICT use in teacher training so training strategies can be appropriately explored to make such changes viable to all. The purpose of this study was to investigate factors effecting the use of ICT in teaching and learning Computer studies in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi State. Multiple methods of data collection were used. First, data were collected through individual interviews, observation method, Finally, document analysis was used. As a result, the study concluded that both home and school environment factors collaborate to effect the use of ICT in learning and teaching computer studies in Ohaukwu L.G Area. Recommendations are made for attention to be given to these factors by all educational stakeholders.

Keywords: ICT ,Learning, Teaching, Computer Studies

INTRODUCTION

Technology involves the generation of knowledge and processes to develop systems that solve problems and extend human capabilities. In other words, technology can change or alter how people access, gather, analyze, present, transmit, and simulate information (See, 1994). The impact of technology is one of the most critical issues in education (Webber, 2003). The use of information and communication technology (ICT) creates a powerful learning environment and it transforms the learning and teaching process in which students deal with knowledge in an active, self directed and constructive way (Volman & Van Eck, 2001). ICT is not just regarded as a tool, which can be added to or used as a replacement of existing teaching methods. ICT is seen as an important instrument to support new ways of teaching and learning. It should be used to develop student's skills for cooperation, communication, problem solving and lifelong learning (Plomp et al., 1996; Voogt, 2003).

Integrating technology into curricula with the intent of positively influencing teaching and learning has been in a state of evolution over the past 20 years (Dias & Atkinson, 2001; Dockstader, 1999). Driven primarily by hardware and software evolution, accessibility to computers in educational settings, and popular instructional technology trends, technology integration has covered the continuum from instruction on programming skills, self-directed drill and practice, interactive learning software, online training, testing, instructional delivery augmentation, and Internet-based accessibility to information, communication, and publication (Dias & Atkinson, 2001). According to Flanagan and Jacobsen (2003), technology integration is meant to be cross curricular rather than become a separate course or topic in itself. Technology should be used as a tool to support the educational objectives such as skills for searching and assessing information, cooperation, communication and problem solving which are important for the preparation of children for the knowledge society (Drent & Meelissen 2007). In fact, innovative use of ICT can facilitate student centered learning (Drent, 2005). Hence, every classroom teacher should use learning technologies to enhance their student learning in every subject because it can engage the thinking, decision making, problem solving and reasoning behaviors of students (Grabe & Grabe, 2001). These are cognitive behaviors that children need to learn in an information age.

Despite successful efforts to acquire computer hardware and to raise the student to computer ratio to 5:1 (World Almanac, 2002), there has been less success identifying, which computer skills should be taught in school and how computers can be used for teaching and learning (Dooling, 2000). Thus, current attention has turned to what is actually happening in the classroom with computer technology. Although ICT may facilitate independent self-paced learning, the potential of ICT may not be optimized if there is no shift in the learning and

teaching paradigm (Bangkok, 2004). In fact, teachers play an important role in the teaching/learning paradigm shift. They must understand the potential role of technology in education. Also, they should become effective agents to be able to make use of technology in the classroom. The proliferation of technologies has complicated the teaching-learning process and finding the best ways of integrating technology into classroom practices is one of the challenges the 21st century teachers face. Effectively integrating ICT into learning systems is much more complicated than providing computers and securing a connection to the Internet. In fact, the integration of ICT is associated with a shift from instructivist to constructivist philosophies of teaching and learning (Barker, 1999). So, technology integration takes time; time to learn about the innovation, time to be adequately prepared to use it. In this respect, principals play an important role and apply different strategies such as change agent, lifelong learner, principal supporter, and resource provider to implement ICT in schools (Han, 2002). Thus, they should be able to identify and articulate a vision, provide an appropriate model, provide individualized support, provide intellectual stimulation, foster acceptance of group goals, and achieve high performance expectations (Leithwood, 1994). They should have knowledge, skills and positive attitudes toward the implementation ICT in schools. In this way, they can create changes in their schools by focusing on action and by converting their teachers to be leaders who will eventually become agents of change. Therefore, teachers can play a role as a leader when they are committed to a cause and are self managing (Bennis & Nanus, 1985).

An examination of past research studies and reports on ICT implementation in schools show that there are two main factors that affect teachers' uptake of ICT. These are manipulative and non-manipulative school and teacher factors. Research on the implementation of ICT in schools has also shown that these school and teacher factors are interrelated. The success of the implementation of ICT is not dependent of the availability or absence of one individual factor, but is determined through a dynamic process involving a set of interrelated factors (Ten Brummelhuis, 1995). Moreover, no single solution exists to address the immense challenges of ICT integration because different perspectives of integrating ICT can be chosen (Becker, 2001; Niederhauser & Stoddart, 2001). According to Fullan (1991), the process of change implementation is planned along three stages, namely adoption, implementation and institutionalization. In this respect, Ten Brummelhuis (1995) stated that variables identified by the theories of educational change do not have equal impact during all stages of the innovation process of computer use in education. Hence, researchers must identify influencing factors at different stages of development. Based on this information, barriers to the successful use of ICT can be identified. An awareness of any barrier that teachers face could lead to the development of solutions for overcoming these barriers, develop useful training programs, and encourage the use of ICT. It is a fact that teacher training programmes play an important role to provide the necessary leadership in training pre-service and in-service teachers to deal with the current demands of society and economy. They should model the new pedagogies and tools for learning with the aim of enhancing the teaching learning process. Moreover, teacher education institutions and programmes must help teachers to understand how the new technologies can best be used in the context of the culture, needs, and economic conditions of their country. Hence, building the capacity of teachers in the utilization of ICT for education requires long-term continuous development of the lead trainers, sharing of knowledge among teachers, partnerships and collaboration among educators and organizations, and support from principals and administrators. These factors must be available in order to create changes in the classroom. Therefore, both teachers and trainers require ongoing support and opportunities to experiment with new skills and strategies over time.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The research is very much worried about poor use of Information Communication Technology in teaching computer studies in schools in Ohaukwu Local Government Area. This ugly incidence is caused by certain factors which has attracted the concern of the researcher.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of the study:

The purpose of the study is to establish factors in the home and school environments affecting the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies in schools in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi state.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study sought to unearth answers for the following question:

- 1. Does level of accessibility and cost of ICT equipments effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies
- 2. Does like of ICT training for teachers effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies
- 3. Does teachers' and students' attitude towards computer usage effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies
- 4. Does like of parent and community support effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer

studies

5. To what extent does the use of ICT effects teaching and lerning of computer studies in Ohaukwu L.G A.?

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study covers ten secondary school in Ohaukwu Local Government Area. Those schools are ; Boys' secondary school Ezzangbo, Urban Girls secondary school Ezzangbo, Wisely secondary school Ezzangbo, Community secondary school okposhi Ehieku NGBO, Girls' Secondary School Ndiulo Ngbo, St. John Secondary School Ngbo, Community Secondary School Umuimama Umuogudu-Akpu Ngbo, Urban secondary school Effium, St. James secondary school Effium, and Gilrs' community secondary school Effuim.

Factors Affecting Teachers' Use of ICT

As a classroom tool, the computer has captured the attention of the education community. This versatile instrument can store, manipulate, and retrieve information, and it has the capability not only of engaging students in instructional activities to increase their learning, but of helping them to solve complex problems to enhance their cognitive skills (Jonassen & Reeves, 1996).

Generally, three objectives are distinguished for the use of ICT in education (Plomp, Ten Brummelhuis, & Rapmund, 1996): the use of ICT as object of study, the use of ICT as aspect of a discipline or profession; and the use of ICT as medium for teaching and learning. The use of ICT in education as object refers to learning about ICT, which enables students to use ICT in their daily life. The use of ICT as medium focuses on the use of ICT for the enhancement of the teaching and learning process (Drent, Meelissen, 2007). It is a fact that teachers are at the center of curriculum change and they control the teaching and learning process. Therefore, they must be able to prepare young people for the knowledge society in which the competency to use ICT to acquire and process information is very important (Plomp et al 1996).

In general, the research literature on the implementation of ICT shows that it involves a large number of influencing factors (e.g., Mumtaz, 2000). We can make a distinction between non-manipulative and manipulative school and teacher factors by reviewing several studies on factors that influence teachers' decisions to use ICT. Non-manipulative factors are factors that cannot be influenced directly by the school, such as age, teaching experience, computer experience of the teacher or governmental policy and the availability of external support for schools (Ten Brummelhuis, 1995). On the other hand, manipulative factors refer to the attitudes of teachers towards teaching and ICT, ICT knowledge and skills of teachers, commitment of the school towards the implementation process and availability of ICT support (Ten Brummelhuis, 1995).

This paper reviews studies on the use of ICT by teachers and identify relevant factors that included and categorized in the framework of Ten Brummelhuis.

Non-manipulative school and Teacher Factors

Teachers' Characteristics

Teachers' characteristics (e.g. individual's educational level, age, gender, educational experience, experience with the computer for educational purposes and financial position) can influence the adoption of an innovation (Rogers, 1995, Schiller, 2003). The report by the National Center for Education Statistics (2000) indicated that teachers with fewer years of experience were more likely to use computers in their classes than teachers with more years of experience. More specifically, teachers with three years or less teaching experience reported

using computers 48% of the time; teachers with 4-9 years, 45% of the time; those with 10-19 years, 47% of the time, while teachers with 20 years or more reportedly used computers only 33% of the time. This may be due, in part, to the fact that new teachers have been exposed to computers during their training and therefore, have more experience using this tool. Then, one of the factors that determine the extent to which teachers use computers in their classes may be the number of years they have been teaching.

Moreover, Venkatesh and Morris (2000) investigated about age and gender differences in the overlooked context of individual adoption and sustained usage of technology in the workplace using the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). They studied on user reactions and technology usage behavior over a 5- month period among 355 workers being introduced to a new software technology application. The results showed that the decisions of men and younger worker were more strongly influenced by their attitude toward using the new technology. In contrast, women and older worker were more strongly influenced by subjective norm and perceived behavioral control. Then, these groups of people adopt very different decision processes in evaluating new technologies. On the other hand, Albirini (2006) found that age was not a significant factor in relation to teachers' attitudes towards ICT. However, it was revealed in the current study that age correlated negatively with the Jordanian EFL teachers' attitudes towards ICT in Jordan (r = -.13, p <.01). This result demonstrated that as the age of the teachers decreased, their attitudes towards ICT increased. This finding confirms the results of Roberts, Hutchinson and Little's study (2003) that the probability that teachers would use ICT in the classroom was limited by the reality that teachers who were educated 20 years ago were trained by people who themselves

were trained before the arrival of computers in schools.

In addition, Bauer and Kenton (2005) carried out a study about technology integration in the schools. They used a qualitative study to examine the classroom practice of 30 "tech-savvy" teachers who used computer technology in their instruction. They found that the teachers were highly educated and skilled with technology, were innovative and adept at over coming bstacles, but that they did not integrate technology on a consistent basis as both a teaching and learning tool. They stated two reasons regarding these findings: students did not have enough time at computers, and teachers needed extra planning time for technology lessons. Other concerns were out-dated hardware, lack of appropriate software, technical difficulties, and student skill levels.

Furthermore, there are other personal characteristics that may influence how teachers use computer applications in their classrooms. The teacher's own learning style is certainly one such factor. For example, if a teacher is a creative thinker who likes the idea of constructing knowledge, is a life-long learner, a social learner, and a decision maker, he may be more likely to use computers in more integrative and transformational ways that are useful and valuable to students instead of ways that promote and support traditional classroom practices (Bielaczyc & Collins, 1999; Carvin, 1999). Therefore, personal characteristics of teachers are an important influence on how easily they take up an innovation. Support for this is provided by a classic American study of the diffusion of innovations. Rogers (1995) found that innovators are divided into five categories, depending on the stage at which they take up an innovation. The initial innovators typically form the first 2-3 % to take up an innovation, while early adopters make up the next 13-14%. These two groups together might be called the earlier adopters. This is important when looking for ways to encourage further take-up, because Rogers identifies a tendency for there to be distinctive differences in the personality characteristics of earlier and later adopters. As he summarizes it, earlier adopters differ from later ones in tending to show greater empathy, less dogmatism, a greater ability to deal with abstractions, greater rationality, a more favorable attitude towards change, a better ability to cope with uncertainty and risk, a more favorable attitude toward science, less fatalism and higher aspirations. This characterization implies a distinctly unfavorable perception of later adopters. However a positive description of later adopters is not hard to provide. Compared to earlier adopters, later adopters could equally well be described as more realistic, steadier in their judgments, with a concrete grip on problems, having a dislike for fads, being less willing to take unnecessary chances, having a preference for being guided by experience and with a more realistic appreciation of

possibilities than earlier adopters.

Parent and Community Support

One way in which schools can move to student-centered use of ICT is through links with the wider community. Such links enable the development of a more authentic and contextualized approach to learning supported by ICT tools (Demetriadis et al., 2003). Thus, human responsibilities, roles and priorities within the community must be rearranged. For example, assessment methodologies should be redesigned to allow all interested community members to play an appropriate role. In this respect, Granger and his colleagues (2002) studied on four schools to identify factors contributing in successful implementation of ICT by teachers.

Based on their findings, they concluded that successful implementation required not only computers but commitment and community, with the last two being closely interlinked. Also, they added that the schools worked continually with questions of equity, privilege, language, and community support. Each aimed to develop a philosophy of pedagogy informed by the unique characteristics of their specific communities. In addition, Kington et al. (2002) carried out a study on innovative practice using ICT in schools. They showed how a school used the introduction of laptops to build up a 'connected learning community' in an area of lack of social and economic context. Kington and her colleagues categorized the main elements of the model developed by the school. They are as follows:

•The creation of an "open access" school where dialogue about learning between parents and teachers and children was encouraged;

•The provision of laptops at school and home: for pedagogical and personal purposes; to develop pupils' ICT skill and competence; and to support the adoption of new teaching approaches which motivate students and parents and which give students a sense of success;

•The arranging of e-Mentors in industry for students with little family history of formal employment;

•Access to skill development in ICT for parents through adult education courses on site;

•A network of support for students and parents learning about ICT together;

•The provision of a crèche to support parental access to learning;

•The celebration of the learning of adults and children through assemblies.

Therefore, instead of taking innovative ICT-based learning to the students, the students are taken to the innovative learning. Teachers should be aware of the influence of home on school success. When parents are encouraged to participate in and contribute to change management activities within a school's ICT master plan,

change occurs more quickly (Bangkok, 2004). Morale was generally high in these schools, while teachers, students, and parents were excited about the level of innovation in the school and quite anxious to share their experiences with others. Hence, parent and community support can influence the implementation of ICT in education. Thus, school leader must explore business partnerships to support technology initiatives. To involve

parents in the decision-making process, school leader must host ICT information or education events for community. Therefore, ICT facilitates linkages among schools, homes and communities, enabling teachers, peers, parents and members of the community to play a greater role in the students' learning experiences. These experiences include engaging in authentic problem solving, working with researchers and honing their entrepreneurial skills. The bonds between schools and homes and communities are also strengthened through increased interaction and communication.

Manipulative School and Teacher Factors

Availability of Vision and Plan about the Contribution of ICT to Education

Teachers need to know exactly how ICT is used as a teaching and learning tool. Many researchers have pointed out that a school's ICT vision is essential to effective ICT integration (Anderson & Dexter, 2000). Bennett (1996, p. 60) stressed the importance of a "well-defined mission that describes technology's place in education". In line with this idea, Ertmer (1999) wrote, "A vision gives us a place to start, a goal to reach for, as well as a guidepost along the way" (p.54). Also, Means and Olson (1997) recommend that teachers and schools must develop a vision before they make substantial investments in hardware and software. In other words, users of technology must have a fundamental belief in the value of innovation or the innovation is doomed to failure. Teachers must have opportunities to study, observe, reflect, and discuss their practice, including their use of ICT, in order to develop a sound pedagogy that incorporates technology (Kearsley & Lynch, 1992). Hence, the vision should not be created by a single person or through a top-down process starting from the MOE. It is crucial to involve those who have a stake in the outcomes, including teachers, parents, students, and the community, and allow them to assist in the creation of the vision by contributing their knowledge, skills, and positive attitudes. Therefore, a clear vision of ICT integration in schools that is shared by all members of the school community promotes effective use of ICT in the classroom.

Once the vision has been successfully created and accepted, the next step is to articulate an ICT integration plan, spelling out how the teachers are expected to integrate technology in their lessons (Strudler & Wetzel, 1999). In fact, an ICT master plan that is formulated according to a school's vision and its sociocultural setting assures effective integration of ICT (Bangkok, 2004). Gulbahar (2005) conducted a study to illustrate how technology planning process was carried out in a private K-12 school in Turkey. Data were collected from 105 teachers, 25 administrative staff, and 376 students. Findings of this study indicated that educational institutions must develop a technology plan in order to use technology in an effective and efficient manner for teaching, learning and administrative purposes. Also, some issues that should be considered include staff and student development in ICT-related skills, curriculum and assessment, ICT facilities and resources and support teams (both technical, administrative and pedagogical). Therefore, an ICT integration plan provides a detailed blueprint of the steps and methods needed to translate the school ICT vision into reality. Developing ICT integration plans is no doubt a complex and time consuming task, but they are usually well worth the time required to put them together.

Level of and Accessibility to the ICT Infrastructure

Using up-to-date hardware and software resources is a key feature to diffusion of technology (Gulbahar, 2005). In recent years, most of the schools are equipped with different kinds of technological infrastructure and electronic resources available. For instance one Australian school has reported that this school has provided personal notebook computers and their own web spaces, email access and workspace for all staff, and students from Year 5 onwards.

Video conferencing is available and the school has established its own intranet, placing all its resources on-line. These are accessible via radio connections from school and home. In this college the use of radio is seen as an innovation that has completely changed the nature of teaching and learning (Richardson, 2000). Also, Richardson (2000) reported that many teachers integrated technology into their teaching and learning process in this school. This awareness appeared when they saw the potential of on-line lessons and the possibility of creating shared, net-based teaching materials. Therefore, hardware, software and network infrastructure must be available to integrate ICT in education. Appropriate resourcing and flexible, forward-looking planning, linked closely to what teachers actually want and need at any given stage, will be essential. Furthermore, Albirini (2006) carried out a study examining the factors relating to the teachers' attitudes toward information and communication technologies.

A questionnaire was designed to collect evidence from high school English teachers about their perceptions of computer attributes, cultural perceptions, computer competence, computer access, and personal characteristics (including computer training background). The sample consisted of 63 male and 251 female teachers. The results showed that a relatively high percentage of the respondents (57%) had computers at home

while only 33.4% of the respondents had access to computers at school. This percentage gives a clear indication of the insufficiency of computers at Syrian schools, particularly for teacher use. Thus, Albirini's findings substantiated this globally felt barrier that computer access has often been one of the most important obstacles to technology adoption and integration worldwide (Pelgrum, 2001). On the other hand, Mumtaz (2000) stated that many scholars proposed that the lack of funds to obtain the necessary hardware and software is one of the reasons teachers do not use technology in their classes. Also, a report on teachers' use of technology by the National Center for Education Statistics (September, 2000) indicates a correlation between availability of computers and computer use. In general, teachers who had computers in their classes were more likely to use them in instruction than teachers who did not; more than 50% of teachers who had computers in their schools used them for research and activities related to lesson preparation. A total of 78% of teachers surveyed cited limited access to computers as a barrier to effectively using computers in their classes. Therefore, efficient and effective use of technology depends on the availability of hardware and software and the equity of access to resources by teachers, students an administrative staff.

Availability of Time, to Experiment, Reflect and Interact

According to Mumtaz (2000), lack of time is a factor that hinders technology integration in schools. This barrier becomes manifest in two ways: (a) release time and (b) scheduled time (Mumtaz, 2000). Results of a study conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics (2000) with in-service teachers revealed that 82% of the participants thought that lack of release time was the most significant factor that prevented them for using computers in their classes as well as prepare materials for use with their classes. Teachers felt that, with their regularly scheduled classes, they did not have enough opportunities to practice using computers in their classes. Also, lack of time scheduled on the timetable to use computers with students is a factor mentioned by teachers as a barrier to using computers in their classes. Approximately 80% of the teachers surveyed in the aforementioned study thought there was not enough time scheduled for students to use computers. Even though some of the teachers had a genuine need to use computers with their students, there was no available time to do it. Hence, the lack of time required to successfully integrate technology into the curriculum is a recurring issue.

Available Support to Computer-Using Teacher in the Workplace

The National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) (1997) reported the lack of technical support as one of the major barriers that resulted in computers being underutilized in the classes. Teachers did not want to use computers because they were not sure where to turn for help when something went wrong while using computers. Butler and Sellbom (2002) carried out a study on barriers to adopting technology for teaching and learning.

Regarding to the role of technical support staff, they recommended that schools should work to convince technology staff that reliability is very important, especially concerning technology in classrooms; encourage the purchase of highly reliable technologies; improve systems for checking and maintaining classroom technologies; create new approaches (including staff training) to assure that extremely rapid responses are made to breakdowns; new classroom technology setups should be tested by faculty before they are installed; classrooms should be as similar as possible; differences in the technologies in each classroom should be well documented ; help faculty learn by encouraging faculty discussions about teaching, learning and technology; identify faculty who have used and evaluated the impact of technologies on learning and organize a workshop, conference, or set of papers to make this information more widely available to faculty; encourage faculty to assess and evaluate the impact of technology staff to reduce these; rapid response system must be in place that can deal with a wide range of problems. Therefore, lack of technical support is very stressful for the teacher, which may affect the teacher's willingness in the adoption of ICT (Tong & Trinidad, 2005).

On the other hand, appointing an ICT coordinator or head of the ICT department in each school helps to assure administrative and pedagogical support for the teachers. This appointment should not be confused with that of a technology assistant. The ICT coordinator or head of department should advise teachers on ICT solutions to their teaching or learning problems, help teachers to acquire ICT resources, and conduct training needs assessment of teachers' ICT-related capacities and advise them on their professional development (Bangkok, 2004). Regarding to the in importance of technical coordinator in school, the National Center for Educational Statistics (2000) reported that about 68% of the teachers surveyed believed that lack of support regarding ways of using technology in the class hindered technology use. The survey also found that teachers in schools with no technical coordinator were more likely to cite lack of technical support as a barrier to their use of technology than teachers in schools with a technical coordinator. Also, sixty-four percent of the teachers surveyed identified lack of technical support or advice as a barrier to using technology in their classes. Hence, lack of on-site support is one of the reasons that teachers do not use technology in their classes.

In addition, Bosley and Moon (2003) review the literature on the use of Information and Communication Technology within an educational context.

They mentioned a case study research in the UK that identified a number of factors that enable teachers to successfully engage in innovative practice. These were: support at senior management level for implementing new practices and addressing financial implications where appropriate; involvement of several members of staff; fostering culture within schools of collaboration and mutual support; and lastly willingness to take risks. The role of school leadership is clearly central in meeting several of these preconditions. In fact, teachers need both technical and administrative support when they decide to use technology in their classes. Although infrastructure is important, leadership is an important element in establishing technology as a part of school culture (Anderson and Dexter, 2000). Fullan (1992) believes that the role of the leader is crucial to the successful implementation of educational innovations. Similarly, Baylor and Ritchie (2002, p. 412) describe leadership as a critical predictor of ICT integration, since it focuses on promoting the use of ICT at a strategic and action level: 'school principals who wish to nurture a technology culture need to join in rather than sitting by the side'. Then, if leaders are cognizant of the benefits to be gained from using technology in the teaching, learning process, technology use in school is more likely. To promote ICT integration in schools, school leaders should adopt strategies that make ICT a part of the daily routine or tasks of the teachers. These strategies may include using e-mail as the mode of communication among staff, accessing the Intranet to download forms and using a word-processor to complete lesson plans for submission (Bangkok, 2004). Therefore, school leaders should be a role model and should make ICT a tool in his everyday life.

School Culture

Social system is an important parameter in the innovation diffusion process (Rogers, 1995). Martinez (1999) found that one of the major challenges facing developing countries is to make technology an essential part of the culture of the people. According to Hodas (1993), the diffusion of technologies may be inhibited by the micro culture of a certain institution or organization. Hence, acceptance of a new technology in a society depends on how well the proposed innovation fits the existing culture. Therefore, there must be a match between organizational culture and new technology into an organization. Within the school organization, school culture is an important consideration in terms of ICT integration (Tearle, 2003). School culture can be defined as the basic assumptions, norms and values, and cultural artifacts that are shared by school members (Maslowski, 2001, pp. 8–9). These meanings and perceptions indirectly affect attitudes and behavior in the organization of schools (Devos et al., 2007). Hence, if the technology is not received well by teachers, there must be a mismatch of values between the culture of schools and the technology (Albirini, 2006). Thus, teachers who have positive perceptions about the cultural relevance of computer technology will apply ICT in education.

Computer Attributes

According to past research, Rogers (1995) stated that characteristic of an innovation as perceived by individual in a social system affect on the rate of adoption. Also, he identified five innovation attributes that may contribute to the adoption or acceptance of an innovation: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, observability, and trialibility. The relationship between an innovation's attributes and adoption has been examined in a number of diffusion studies. For example, Albirini (2006) found that the computer attributes were significantly correlated to teachers' attitudes towards computer.

Albirini's study accentuated the importance of computer attributes in the process of computer adoption in developing countries. Also, Dillon and Morris (1996) stated that "innovations that offer advantages, compatibility with existing practices and beliefs, low complexity, potential triablity, and observability will have a more widespread and rapid rate of diffusion" (p. 6).

Therefore, if teachers perceive ICT as a beneficial tool, compatible with their current activities, easy to use and have observable outcomes, they will demonstrate positive attitudes towards ICT.

Level and Quality of Training for Teachers and School Principals

Professional development of teachers sits at the heart of any successful technology and education program. Baylor and Ritchie (2002) carried out a quantitative study that looked at the factors facilitating teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms. They found that professional development has a significant influence on how well

ICT is embraced in the classroom. Also, they added that teachers' training programmes often focus more on basic literacy skills and less on the integrated use of ICT in teaching. Despite the numerous plans to use technology in schools, however, teachers have received little training in this area in their teacher education programs (Varsidas & McIsaac, 2001). According to Schaffer and Richardson (2004), when technology is introduced into teacher education programs, the emphasis is often on teaching about technology instead of teaching with technology. Hence, inadequate preparation to use technology is one of the reasons that teachers do not systematically use computers in their classes. Teachers need to be given opportunities to practice using technology during their teacher training programs so that they can see ways in which technology can be used to augment their classroom activities (Rosenthal, 1999).

Teachers are more likely to integrate ICT in their courses, when professional training in the use of ICT provides them time to practice with the technology and to learn, share and collaborate with colleagues. On the

other hand, training school students to serve as technology experts may aid the integration of computers into the classroom setting (Hruskocy et al,2000). Hruskocy et al (2000) carried out a study on training students to become technology experts for teachers and peers. Based on this study, ten teachers of grades one through five sent their students to the training sessions. The strengths and limitations of the programmes were evaluated through reflection papers prepared by each member of the university team. The strengths of the programmes showed that teachers became more frequent users of technology, expressed a greater desire to learn along with their students. Teachers became more curious about their students' expanding computer skills and enthusiasm and lost their reluctance to ask questions. In the end, teachers began to use their students' expertise to increase their own computer skills. Also, students' skills were transferred to the classroom, and teachers became more motivated to learn to use technology and to incorporate technology in classroom activities. The limitations of the training session mainly centered on the limited time in sessions to practice. Hruskocy and his colleagues concluded that not only teachers' expertise and dedication are necessary for technology integration but also students' enthusiasm and talent prompt the process.

Attitude towards Computer

Drent and Meelissen (2007) conducted a study about factors which stimulate or limit the innovative use of ICT by teacher educators in the Netherlands. The study used questionnaires for 210 teachers and interviews for 4 of those teachers who had responded. Their findings showed that several factors such as a student–oriented pedagogical approach, a positive ICT attitude, computer experience, and personal entrepreneurship of the teacher educator have a direct positive influence on the innovative use of ICT by the teacher. Also, comparison between these factors in predicting computer use identified that attitude toward computer contributed more in explaining ICT use by teachers.

In addition, educational theorists and researchers have realized that an important factor in the implementation of computers is users' acceptance, which is in turn influenced by their attitudes towards these media (Koohang, 1989). Teachers' attitudes have been found to be major predictors of the use of new technologies in instructional settings (Almusalam, 2001). The successful use of technology in the classroom depends to a large extent on the teachers' attitudes toward these tools (Lawton & Gerschner, 1982). In fact, it has been suggested that attitudes towards computers affect teachers' use of computers in the classroom and the likelihood of their benefiting from training (Kluever, Lam, Hoffman, Green & Swearinges, 1994). Positive attitudes often encourage less technologically capable teachers to learn the skills necessary for the implementation of technology than those with positive attitudes. They concluded that changing individuals' negative attitudes is essential for increasing their computer skills. Therefore, if teachers want to successfully use technology in their classes, they need to possess positive attitude to use technology. Such attitude is developed when teachers are sufficiently comfortable with technology and are knowledgeable on its use.

Computer Competence

According to Pelgrum (2001), the success of educational innovations depends largely on the skills and knowledge of teachers. Also, he found that teachers' lack of knowledge and skills was the second most inhibiting obstacle to the use of computers in schools. Similarly, in the United States, Knezek and Christensen (2000) hypothesized that high levels of (attitude), skill and knowledge (proficiency), and tools (level of access) would produce higher levels of technology integration that will reflect on student achievements positively. Their model postulated that educators with higher levels of skill, knowledge, and tools would exhibit higher levels of technology integration in the classroom. Moreover, Berner (2003) studied the relationship between computer use in the classroom and seven independent variables: perceived relevance; desire to learn; emotional reaction to technology; beliefs about computer competence; beliefs about technology; administrative support; and peer support. He found that the faculty's belief in their computer competence was the greatest predictor of their use of computers in the classroom. Therefore, teachers should develop their competence based on the educational goals they want to accomplish with the help of ICT.

In addition to the factors mentioned above, there are other factors that influence teachers' decision to use ICT. They are collegiality among computer using teachers, self image, student- oriented educational philosophy of the teacher, positive views about the impact ICT has on teachers' work, perceived changes, student-oriented pedagogical approach, personal entrepreneurship, professional engagement, self confidence, and willingness to change.

However, the research literature on factors influence the implementation of ICT in education has a relatively long history and is international in nature (Becta, 2003). If one is aware of these factors 'teacher level factor' and 'school level factor' steps can be taken to gain success in technology integration process.

Most of the articles reviewed focus either upon the individual teacher or upon the school as a whole as the unit of analysis. However other issues emerge about the innovation tasks facing both if they are viewed together. The study by Ertmer and her colleagues (1999) offers one useful framework for making this connection.

They identified three levels of teachers' computer use, varying in their relationship to the existing curricula. These involve using ICT as: (1) a supplement to the curriculum, (2) a reinforcement or enrichment of the curriculum, or (3) a facilitator for an emerging curriculum. However, these three categories help us to distinguish the positions of whole schools as well as individual teachers. This enables us to speculate about what the implications might be of various kinds of match and mismatch between a schools' position and that of an individual teacher within it. The position is different again in schools where both teacher and school favor using ICT to promote an emerging curriculum. Many of the sources, such as Evans (2002) and Richardson (2000) show such schools as learning organizations that are continually looking for ways of improving teaching and learning. These schools train their teachers to use technology as a tool, and to transform their classrooms into interactive, inquisitive learning environments. Therefore, teachers need support, examples of good practice, and leadership from their school principal to receive the necessary time for professional development , in order to truly transform teaching and learning in the classroom.

Effective Training Program

The teacher has an important role to play in the teaching/learning paradigm shift, with ICT facilitating the development of a higher level of cognitive skills in evaluating arguments, analyzing problems and applying what is learnt. Although teachers play an important role in the learning environment, they are often not consulted regarding changes to teaching learning procedures (Bangkok, 2004). In fact, the teachers' needs under changing conditions have to be continuously assessed and activities to satisfy these have to be developed. So, professional development is necessary for teachers to enable them to effectively use technology to improve student learning. Staff development should be collaboratively created, based on faculty input and school needs. It must prepare teachers to use technology effectively in their teaching.

According to Spillane (1999), teachers who have a strong engagement towards their own professional development are more motivated to undertake activities, which lead to a better understanding of the goals of an innovation. Similarly, Fullan (1992) pointed out that teachers who are actively involved in their own professional development are more able to implement changes in their teaching. Hence, having a recognition system for innovative and effective use of ICT integration in schools will motivate teachers to use ICT in teaching. For example, formal certification of in-service professional development that leads to diplomas or degrees could provide an incentive for teachers to upgrade and update their skills in and knowledge of ICT integration.

In line with this idea, Fullan (1992) suggested that training should not be oneshot workshops, but rather ongoing experiences so that learners can be kept upto- date with ever-changing technologies. Teachers need follow-up training sessions to ensure that they keep abreast with current technologies. Hence, teacher training is crucial and these programmes must adequately prepare teachers with skills necessary to integrate technology in their classes. Moreover, they must learn to work smarter and have a vision to implement ICT in their classes. Having vision requires strategic planning, risk-taking and decisionmaking, imagination and commitment. In addition, teachers need to have a clear understanding of what to change as well as how to change (Bennis, 1990).

Therefore, they need to become lifelong learners and develop their skills and bilities to overcome their fear of being the captain and focus on leading the ship. In other words the teachers must work to become transformational leaders.

The following section reviews some literature on teacher training to identify models or strategies that are effective for preparing teachers to integrate technology.

Models for Integrating Technology into Teacher Training Programs

Schmidt (1998) stated that two approaches have been primarily used in teacher education programs which are "offering an instructional technology course" or "integrating technology throughout all courses". In the first approach, a complete instructional technology course is offered to teachers as one of the courses in their program of study. According to Parker (1997), this approach is not effective because technology classes are usually focused on teaching students about using technology at the expense of exposing them to practical ways of applying it in their classroom practices (Parker, 1997). Similarly, findings from the ISTE survey commissioned by the Milken Exchange family Foundation (1999) show that implementing a single instructional technology course in teacher preparation programs is not usually very effective. In fact, teachers need to understand what computers can do, what learners can do with computers and ways of using them in their classes (Brownell, 1997). Hence, in order for technology to be effectively incorporated into teacher preparation programs, teachers should complete a well-planned sequence of courses and experiences that will help them understand and apply technology in education (ISTE, 2000). In other words, technology must be infused into their instructional practices and that college faculty use technology in their courses as a learning and teaching tool. Kortecamp and Croninger (1996) proposed a model that was successfully implemented in a teacher education program at New England University (UNE). This model consisted of five interrelated components which were familiarization with hardware and software, partnering with mentors, developing personal projects, becoming mentors, keeping current. At the first phase of this model, teachers became familiar with hardware and software and then

aggressive professional development was introduced to equip them with the knowledge and skills necessary to use the technology. At the second phase, partnering with mentors, teachers were motivated to collaborate with other faculty members who had experience using technology. The main reason for doing this was so teachers get exposed to ways of using technology in their professional activity as well as to provide ongoing support for faculty who were less familiar with technology. In the third stage, teachers were involved in designing projects that help their students to use technology in meaningful ways. For example, teachers designed projects to model technology use in their teaching activities, and to facilitate, place students in technology-rich field practices. At the final stage, becoming mentor and keeping current, teachers became mentors and guide their students in using technology. Technologies are constantly being developed to decrease the educator's workload and increase student learning, motivation, and knowledge of tools and skills necessary to become lifelong learners in the age of technology. Therefore, keeping abreast with new technologies is critical if technology is to be effectively used in teaching.

Another model was proposed by McKenzie and his colleagues in 1996. They called it the "systematic design model". This model was applied at West George College to facilitate staff development. The model consisted of three distinct stages: planning, implementation phase, evaluation. This model seems to provide a good explanation of the teachers' training program because it was designed based on a written technology plan. This plan identified what should be taught, how it should be taught, and which technology should be used in teachers' training program. Also, a technology planning team was responsible to determine the school's current level of technology use, and to conduct both informal and formal needs assessments in order to identify priorities for professional development activities. In this way, they could get information to establish professional development goals for using technology to promote engaged learning.

Another important feature of the McKenziel's model is evaluation. In fact, effective professional development uses evaluation to ensure that each activity meets the needs of the participants and provides them with new learning experiences. According to Guskey (1998), evaluation consists of three types: preformative evaluation, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation.

Preformative evaluation assesses educators' needs during the planning process During this hase, intended goals are clarified and strategies for gathering data about reaching them are set Guskey, 1998). Evaluation continues with formative evaluation, which is conducted during the professional development activity. Formative evaluation provides feedback and determines changes that can be made during the activity to make it more valuable to participating educators. Finally, the evaluation process concludes with summative evaluation, which is conducted after the activity. Summative evaluation allows participants to judge the overall merit or worth of the activity and gives decision makers the information they need to plan for the future (Guskey, 1998). It would seem that systematic design model can be applied as a basis for conceptualizing and developing a technology training program.

On the other hand, Efaw (2005) conducted an experimental study about effective strategies in teacher's development programme among the United States military academy at West Point. He implemented three phase to accomplish faculty development most effectively. The three phases were learning, practice and feedback, and continued development. The first phase encompassed training in available technology, classroom modeling of the technology, learning how to encourage student participation, and initial feedback from experienced instructors. The second phase of the program involved setting aside time in the new instructors' schedules for designing and practicing lessons; mentoring by experienced faculty; videotaping practice sessions; encouraging reflection among the new instructors; and providing feedback on their efforts. The final phase included the formal summer developmental workshop and several activities and programs regarding technology in the classroom and teaching repertoire. Cradler and Cradler's (1995) finding supported this approach that although one-time workshops help instructors incorporate and integrate technology; it takes a long-term program for true effectiveness. Therefore, continued development, training, and mentorship are essential for an effective teacher's development program.

Generally, the review of literature in teacher training program shows that lack of professional development for technology use is one of the most serious obstacles to fully integrating technology into the curriculum. But traditional sitand- get training sessions or one-time-only workshops have not been effective in making teachers comfortable with using technology or adept at integrating it into their lesson plans. Instead, a well-planned, ongoing professional development programme that is tied to the school's curriculum goals, designed with built-in evaluation, and sustained by adequate financial and staff support is essential if teachers are to use technology appropriately to promote learning for all students in the classroom.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The researcher used the qualitative approach due to its suitability in social research, which can be done in the subjects' natural setting (De Vos, 2001).Qualitative research is a type of primary research in which the

researcher collects first-hand information obtained directly from participants (Miles & Huberman, 1994: 10). In this study,data was collected from IT Professionals,educators, principals, and parents of sampled learners in the targeted schools rather than information from books. The research investigated factors in the home and school environments that could be the root factors that effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies at the schools under study.Field research is an indication that qualitative research is undertaken within the habitat of the actors and is closely related to the term 'naturalist' as it is employed in the field of biology (Neumann, 2006: 35). This qualitative study aimed at unearthing detailed information that could be some of the factors that continued to effect the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies in Ohaukwu Local Government of Ebonyi state.

Qualitative research differs from quantitative research in the sense that it typically operates within the setting where people create and maintain their social world (Neumann, 2000:122).Qualitative research is "idiographic" in nature, aiming to understand the meaning that individual. The paradigm uses an inductive form of reasoning rather than a deductive one, thus developing concepts, insights and understanding from patterns in the data (De Vos, 2001:242). Basic characteristics of qualitative research are such that it is undertaken within the habitat of the participants, relies on spoken words of participants rather than on books, it is a meaningful way of collecting human experiences, qualitative research design keeps on changing as new data and additional sources become available (Miles & Huberman, 1994). The method employed in data collection are Questioner and direct interview methods. The questioners were administered to respondents whose response were the main data source to the study. Also professionals and experts in computer field including both computer studies teachers and the school principals of secondary school in Ohaukwu L.G.A were also involved through direct interview, this were also sources of data to the study. The instrument consist of Agree, Disagree, Strongly Agree and Strongly Disagree.

RESEARCH DESIGN

A research design is a guideline within which a choice about data collection methods has to be made. In the qualitative design, the researcher's choices and actions create a research design best suited to the research during the research process (De Vos, 2001: 80). The concept 'design' in a qualitative study includes the entire process of research from the initial stages of conceptualisation of a problem to the writing process. The qualitative research design is flexible, unique and evolves throughout the research process. Therefore, there are no fixed steps that should be followed and cannot be exactly replicated (Creswell, 1994:2).

AREA OF STUDY

This study was conducted at schools in Ohaukwu Local Government of Ebonyi state. The schools are situated in the three major cities(Ezzangbo,Ngbo and Effium)Ohaukwu is made up of.Three schools were selected from Ezzangbo,four schools from Ngbo(the larger town) and three from Effium.

THE STUDY POPULATION

The study centered in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi state. The Local Government Area is made of three popular cities which are Ezzangbo,Ngbo and Effuim. The Researchers selected three secondary schools in Ezzangbo area, four schools in Ngbo area and three schools in Effuim area. These schools include Boys' secondary school Ezzangbo,Urban Girls secondary school Ezzangbo,Wisely secondary school Ezzangbo,Community secondary school okposhi Ehieku NGBO, Girls' Secondary School Ndiulo Ngbo,St. John Secondary School Ngbo, Community Secondary School Umuimama Umuogudu-Akpu Ngbo,Urban secondary school Effium, and Gilrs' community secondary school Effuim.

The major population for this study consisted of all Students, Teachers, School Administrators, the Students parents in the ten selected schools in Ohaukwu Local Government Area. The researcher regarded the identified population as the relevant group about whom generalisations can be drawn (Arkava & Lane, 1983: 27).

SAMPLING AND SAMPLE PROCEDURE

The sample was drawn from the population consisting of 50 Students from each of the selected schools, their respective parents, principals from the schools, and 12 teachers from each of the selected schools. A sample is an element of the population considered for actual inclusion in the study or a subset of measurements drawn from a population we are interested in. A sample can also be defined as a small portion of the total set of objects, events or persons, which together comprise the subject of our study (Seaberg, 1988:240).

It is also possible to reach accurate conclusions by examining a portion of the total group. The definition of sampling is a technical accounting device to rationalise the collection of data, to choose in an appropriate manner the restricted set of persons, objects and events from which the actual information would be drawn. The researcher employed a non-probability sampling technique, particularly the purposive sampling method, which assisted her to choose in an appropriate manner, the restricted set of participants (Bless &

Higson-Smith, 2000:83). The purposive sampling method was chosen because it allowed the researcher to acquire information that would build up arguments towards a deeper understanding of participants' reasons for performing poorly or completely avoid the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies. Another reason for the choice of purposive sampling is based entirely on the judgment of the researcher, in that a sample was composed of elements that contained most characteristics representative or typical attributes of the population (Singleton *et al.*, 1988:153).

Data collection instruments

Data collection instruments are the tools that the researcher used to collect data. The researcher used several instruments to collect data so that almost all issues can be covered in this study. A pilot study preceded the interviews to highlight errors in advance. Some of the information was obtained through casual conversation with participants. Individual face-to-face interviews were used for individual Students, Teachers, parents, and principals, because the researcher wanted the respondents to discuss even those issues that are sensitive. Individual face-to-face interview for Teachers, and principals were used to get their opinion since they are in direct contact with the Students on each school day (Denzin & Lincoln, 1998: 48). Observable data was collected through observation. The data collected through this method was time management, spaces inside and outside the classroom, outside the schoolyard in relation to time management, behavioural patterns of learners and issues of moral order, and their physical appearance (De Vos, 2001). Document analysis of attendance registers, mark sheets, quarterly and half-yearly schedules was used to get the information that might not be accessible during interviews (Denzin & Lincoln, 1998: 89).

Interviews

Interviewing is one of the most common methods of data collection used by researchers to inform them about social life. Interviewing could thus be regarded as the universal mode of systemic enquiry (Holstein & Gubrium, 1995:1). The technique of individual face-to-face interviewing treats the interview as a pipeline for extracting and transmitting information from the interviewee to the interviewer (De Vos, 2001:297).

Individual face-to-face interviews were used thus strengthening the clause of confidentiality. This assisted the researcher to understand the closed worlds of participants, the factors affecting learner's poor performance at home and at school, educators', principals' and parents' comments and opinions regarding learners' poor performances. However, learning about these 'closed worlds' depends on the ability of the interviewer to maximise the flow of valid, reliable information while reducing the distortions in the interviewee's recollection of events. Open ended interviews enabled the interviewer to obtain an inside view of the social phenomenon that also explored other avenues that emerged from the interaction. The use of open-ended interviews encourages two-way communication. This kind of interview confirms what is already known but also provides the reasons for the answers and often interviewers do not have to be particularly skilled in the art of interviewing. During the interviews, which were conducted in the participants' natural habitat (school), the researcher adopted the role of a "peripheral-member researcher" because she believed that he could develop a desirable insider's perspective without participating in the activities of the learners, educators, principals, and parents (Denzin & Lincoln, 1998:676).

The following interview question was used for all participants. Which factors in the home and school environments effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer.

•Students

The students were interviewed at their respective schools. Interviews were face-to-face and individually administered. Learners' interviews took 10-15 minutes. All participants answered the questions in their Home language (Sepedi). The following open-ended question was asked: Which factors in the home and school environments effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer in Ohaukwu Local Government Area.

Teachers

Teachers were also interviewed at their respective schools. Interviews were conducted in English. The researcher used an individual face-to-face interview with 3 educators per school. Interviews took 10-15 minutes.

Principals

Principals were interviewed individually at their schools and English was used. The interviews lasted for 5-10 minutes.

Parents

The parents were also individually interviewed, face-to-face, at their respective homes between 16H00-17H00. The interviews lasted for 5-7 minutes. The parents could not communicate in English due to their low level of education. All interviewed parents indicated that they have primary education. Therefore the question was translated into the home language. The parents'interview question was similar to all questions that were answered by all participants.

PRESENTATION AND METHOD OF DATA ANALYSIS

The data obtained from the questionnaire and the interview changed into scores and presented in frequency distribution tables. Percentages were used in the presentation and analysis of the data collected. Finally, descriptive and quantitative analysis methods were compatible employed to interpret and discuss the tables which were the outcome of the questioner and the interview results. The tabulation was done in the following forms;

RESPONDS	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
X	XX	Х
X	XX	Х
TOTAL	XXX	XXX

According to the Research questions, the percentage is calculated as;

<u>X</u> x <u>100</u>

50 1 ,Where x is the number of Respondents.

The Researcher presents the data in a tabular form and use percentages for its analysis.

Research question I

Does level of accessibility and cost of ICT equipments effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies? Table I

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
Agree	100	50%
Disagree	30	15%
Strongly Agree	20	10%
Strongly Disagree	50	25%
Total	200	100%

Research question II

Does like of ICT training for teachers effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies? Table II

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
Agree	120	60%
Disagree	20	5%
Strongly Agree	30	15%
Strongly Disagree	40	20%
Total	210	100%

Research question III

Does teachers' and students' attitude towards computer usage effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies? Table III

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
Agree	90	45%
Disagree	40	20%
Strongly Agree	20	10%
Strongly Disagree	40	20%
Total	190	95%

Research question IV

Does like of parent and community support effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies? Table IV

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
Agree	50	25%
Disagree	60	35%
Strongly Agree	50	25%
Strongly Disagree	20	10%
Total	190	95%

Research question V

To what extent does the use of ICT effects teaching and learning of computer studies in Ohaukwu L.G A.? Table V

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
Agree	40	10%
Disagree	20	5%
Strongly Agree	140	80%
Strongly Disagree	10	5%
Total	210	100%

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Research question I says, Does level of accessibility and cost of ICT equipments effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies and respondents of 100 or 50% agree,30 respondents or 15% disagree,20 respondents or 10% strongly agree while 30 respondents or 25% strongly disagreed.

Research question II, Does like of ICT training for teachers effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies and 120 respondents or 60% agreed like of Teachers training is the problem,20 respondents or 5% disagreed,30 respondents or 15% identified like of founding as the problems while 30 or 15% of the population say that it like of parent and community support are the problems.

Research question III, Does teachers' and students' attitude towards computer usage effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies,90 respondents or 45% agreed that teachers' and students' attitude towards computer usage effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies,40 respondents or 20% said that it is like of found that incapacitates the teaching and learning of the subject.,10 respondents or 5% disagreed,40 respondents or 20% strongly disagreed.

Research question IV, Does like of parent and community support effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer studies and 50 respondent or 25% said it is cost of ICT equipments that effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning of computer studies and that incapacitated effective teaching and learning of computer studies.

Research question v, to what extent does the use of ICT effects teaching and learning of computer studies in Ohaukwu L.G A.140 respondents or 80% agreed that the use of ICT has a strong and very high effect to teaching and learning of computer studies while just 10 respondents or 5% disagreed with them, for them, teaching and learning of computer studies can still be effective without the use of ICT.

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUMMARY

From the data collected, and the information generated through the interview and questioners distributed the following summary holds;

Level of accessibility and cost of ICT equipments, like of ICT training to teachers, Teachers and Students attitude towards computer usage and like of parents and community support effects the use of in teaching and learning of computer studies in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi State among other factors.

CONCLUSION

Having carried extensive study on the research work, the researcher finds it very convenient to conclude that among other factors, there are five major factors that effects the use of ICT in teaching and learning computer

www.iiste.org

studies in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi state.

These factors are; level of accessibility and cost of ICT equipments, like of ICT training to teachers, teachers' and students attitude to computer usage and finally, like of parent and community support.

RECOMMENDATIONS

On the bases of the findings, the researcher has made the following recommendations;

The Government, parents and the community should improve in the provision of ICT equipments, computer text books to enhance the level of accessibility and decrease the cost of the ICT equipments.

There should be regular teachers ICT training. This will enhance the skills on them.

Also, both the teachers and the students should be enlightened on the best way and the important of using ICT in teaching and learning computer. This will go a long way to inculcated on them good attitudes about ICT usage.

Finally, parents, community, Governmental and Non Governmental organizations should give all necessary supports on ICT usage to boast the effective use of ICT for effective and efficient teaching and learning of Computer Studies in Ohaukwu Local Government Area of Ebonyi State-Nigeria.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The research encountered many limitations in the course of this study. The first limitation of this study is financial setback. Another limitation is inability of the School Administrators (school Principals, Dean of studies and Computer Teachers) to expose their respective administrative records to the third party. Also, some of the interviewed persons did not co-operate with the researchers and falls to give relevant information to them. Finally, time given for the research is also a constraint.

SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER STUDIES

1. The course and effect of failing standard of using ICT in teaching and learning computer.

- 2. Historical development of ICT and computer studies.
- 3. Problems and prospects of using ICT in teaching and learning computer studies.
- 4. Factors associated to teaching and learning computer studies

REFERENCES

[1]Albirini, A. (2006). Teachers' Attitudes Toward Information and Communication Technologies. *Journal of Computer & Education*, 47, 373-398.

[2]Almusalam, S. N. (2001). Factors related to the use of computer technologies for professional tasks by business and administration teachers at Saudi technical colleges. (Doctoral Dissertation, the Ohio State University, 2001). *ProQuest Digital Dissertations* (UMI No. AAT 3011019).

[3]Anderson, R. E., & Dexter, S.L. (2000). *School Technology Leadership: Incidence and Impact* (Teaching, Learning, and Computing: 1998 National Survey Report#6). Irvine, CA: Center for Research on Information Technology and Organizations, University of California, Irvine.

[4]Barker, P. (1999). Using intranets to support teaching and learning. *Innovations in Education and Training International*, 36(1), 3–10.

[5]Bauer, J., & Kenton, J. (2005). Technology integration in the schools: Why it isn't happening. *Journal of Technology & Teacher Education*, 13, 519-526.

[6]Baylor, A. L., & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology using classrooms?. *Computers & Education* 39, 395–414.

[7]Becker H. J. (2001). How Are Teachers Using Computers for Instruction?

[8]Paper presented at the 2001 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, March 2001.

[9]Benzie, D. (1995). IFIP Working Group 3.5: Using Computers to Support

[10]Young Learners. In J.D.Tinsley & T.J. van Weert (Eds.), *World Conference on Computers in Education VI: WCCE' 95 Liberating the Learner* (pp. 35-42). London: Chapman & Hall.

[11]Bosley, C. & Moon, S. (2003). Review of Existing Literature on the Use of Information and Communication Technology Within an Educational Context. Centre for Guidance Studies, University of Derby.

[12]Brownell, K. (1997). Technology in Teacher Education: Where Are We and Where Do We Go From Here? Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 5 (2/3), 117-138.

[13]Butler, D. & Sellbom, M. (2002). Barriers to Adopting Technology for Teaching and Learning, *Educase Quarterly*, 25 (2), 22-28.

[14]Demetriadis, S., Barbas, A., Molohides, A., Palaigeorgiou, G., Psillos, D., Vlahavas, I., Tsoukalas, I., & Pombortsis, A. (2003). "Culture in negotiation": teachers' acceptance/resistance attitudes considering the infusion of technology into schools. Computers & Education, 41, 19–37.

[15]Dillon, A., & Morris, M. G. (1996). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Theories and Models. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, *31*, 3-32.

[16]Dockstader, J. (1999). Teachers of the 21scentury Know the What, Why, and How of Technology Integration. *T.H.E. Journal*, 73-74., January

[17]Dooling, J. O. (2000). What Students Want to Learn about Computers. *Educational Leadership*, 53, 20-24.

[18]Drent, M., & Meelissen, M. (2007). Which Factors Obstruct or Stimulate Teacher Educators to Use ICT Innovatively? *Journal of Computers & Education*, (ARTICLE IN PRESS)

[19]Drent, M. (2005). In Transitie: *Op Weg Naar Innovatief ICT-gebruik op de PABO [In transition:On the road to innovative use of ICT in teacher education]* (doctoral dissertation). Enschede: University of Twente.

[20] Journal of Research on Computing in Education, **32** (1), pp. 54-72. Evans, M. (2002). *Open Windows: Becoming an e-learning School*. National College for School Leadership (NCSL)

[21]Grabe, M., & Grabe, C., (2001), *Integrating Technology for Meaningful Learning*. Houghton Muffin Company. USA.

[22]Granger, C. A., & Morbey M. L. (2002). Factors Contributing to Teachers' Successful Implementation of IT. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18, 480-488.

[23]Harrison, A. W. & Rainer, R. K. (1992). The Influence of Individual Differences on Skill in End-User Computing. *Journal of Management*

[24]Hruskocy, C., Cennamo, K. S., Ertmer, P. A. & Johnson, T. (2000). Creating a Jonassen, D.H., & Reeves, T.C. (1996). Learning with Technology: Using

[25]Computers as Cognitive Tools. In D.H Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communication and technology* (pp. 693-719). New York.:Simon and Schuster.

[26]nezek, G. & Christensen, R. (2002). Impact of New Information Technologies on Teachers and Students. *Education and Information Technologies*, 7(4), 369–376.

[27]Kington, A., Harris, S. & Leask, M. (2002). Innovative Practice Using ICT in Schools : Findings from two Case Studies. Management in Education (MIE), 16 (1), pp. 31-34.

[28]Kortecamp, K. & Croninger, W. R. (1996). Addressing Barriers to Technology Diffusion. *Journal of Information Technology for Teacher Education*, 5 (1/2), 71-82.

[29]Kluever, R. C., Lam T. C., Hoffman, E. R., Green, K. E., & Swearinges, D. L. (1994). The Computer Attitude Scale: Assessing Changes in Teachers' Attitudes Toward Computers. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 11(3), 251-261.

[30]Plomp, Tj., ten Brummelhis, A.C.A., & Rapmund, R. (1996). Teaching and Learning for the Future. Report of the Committee on MultiMedia in Teacher Training (COMMITT). Den Haag: SDU.

[31]Mojgan Afshari, Kamariah Abu Bakar, Wong Su Luan, Bahaman Abu Samah & Foo Say Fooi *International Journal of Instruction January 2009 Vol.2, No.1 ISSN: 1694-609X www.e-iji.net* Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

[32]Implementation Strategies in Australia, Canada, Finland and Israel. Ministry of Education, Luxembourg.

[33]Roberts, S. K., Hutchinson, C. J. & Little, M. (2003). Making the Invisible Visible and Back Again: Highlighting technology in an integrated curriculum for preservice teachers. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education*, *19*, 73-81. Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of Innovations* (4th Ed.). New York: The Free Press.

[34]Rosenthal, I. G. (1999). New Teachers and Technology: Are They Prepared? *Technology and Learning*, 19 (8), 22-24, 29-28.

[35]Schiller, J. (2003). Working with ICT Perceptions of Australian Principals. Journal of Educational Administration, 41(2), 171-185.

[36]Schmidt, D. (1998). Technology and Teacher Education: Pre-service Teachers Can Help Make a Difference. Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 14(2), 2-3. See, J. (1994). Technology and Outcome-Based Education: Connections in Concept and Practice. *The Computing Teacher*, *17*(3), 30-31.

[37]Schaffer, S. P., & Richardson, J. C. (2004). Supporting Technology Integration within a Teacher Education System. *Educational Computing Research*, *3*(4), 423-435.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

