Journal of Education and Practice
ISSN 2222-1735 (Paper) ISSN 2222-288X (Online)
Vol.5, No.23, 2014

The Effects of Problem-Solving Instructional Strategy, Three Modes of Instruction and Gender on Learning Outcomes in Chemistry

Jegede, S.A (Ph.D)
Department of Curriculum Studies, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti
(canonsamakjeg@yahoo.com)

Fatoke, A.O-
Department of Curriculum Studies, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti.
(adewalefatoke@gmail.com)

Abstract
This study was designed to investigate the effects of problem-solving instructional strategy, three accompanying modes of instruction (i.e. Remediation, Feedback and Practice) and gender on learning outcomes in chemistry.
A pre-test post-test control group quasi experimental design was adopted for the study. Data were collected from a sample of 210 SS2 Chemistry Students made up of 109 males and 101 females selected from six schools in three (3) Local Government Areas of Ekiti State, Nigeria based on multi-stage random sampling techniques. The Seven Step Chemistry Problem-Solving Model as suggested by Frazer (1981) and Selvarantnam (1983) was adopted for the study. The experiment was carried out on four (4) groups of Students. The Students in experimental groups 1 and 2 were exposed to Problem-Solving approach coupled with remediation and feedback respectively, experimental group 3 was exposed to Problem-Solving coupled with practice. The fourth group not treated formed the control group. Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was used to analyse the data with the pre-test scores as covariates. The findings revealed that students in experimental group 1 (i.e. Problem-Solving coupled with remediation) had the highest performance in Chemistry Achievement Test (CAT) followed by those exposed in experimental group 2 and 3 respectively (i.e. Problem-Solving coupled with feedback and practice respectively).However, the control group had the least performance in Chemistry Achievement Test (CAT). The implications were discussed and recommendations given.
Keywords: Effects of Problem-Solving, Modes of Instruction, Learning Outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Within the last two decades, observation has shown that in spite of the various innovations introduced into science teaching in general and chemistry in particular, the performance of students still remains low. This is buttressed by the poor performance of students in the West African Senior School Certificate Examinations (WASSCE) (Adejumobi and Ivowi, 1992; Ezeudu, 1995).

Friedman (2000) also supported the idea that achievement in science is low and he attributed the reason for this among other things to the way Chemistry is taught by teachers with neither a major nor minor qualification in the subject. Several other reasons have been advanced for the under-achievement in Chemistry and other science subjects. Some of the reasons include; Poor Capital Investment in terms of provision of science resources (Agusiobo, 1998), Teachers' persistent use of traditional teaching methods which are ineffective in science pedagogy (Nworgu, 1997), Perceived difficult nature of topics in Chemistry by students (Onwu, 1993 and Ogbonnia, 1999), Poor computational skills, inability to apply learned concept, principles, formulae, units and lack of procedural guide or problem-solving skills (Bellow, 2005).

Slightly related to students' perception of the nature of this subject is the issue of gender and achievement. Habor-Peters (1994) in his study on gender interaction on achievement discovered that there was a marked difference between the performance of male and female students. Oke (1995) and Joseph (1996) affirmed that boys performed better than girls in science. However, Tang (1989) found that gender difference is in favour of female students. Similarly, Toh's (1993) comparison in three practical problem-solving task indicated that girls distinctly preferred contents familiarity and out-performed boys in several process/skills when familiar with contents. This result therefore contradicted the general belief that boys performed better than girls in science related disciplines. On the other hand, Lagowski (1994) determined the effect of gender on problem-solving abilities in introductory Chemistry. The result showed no gender differences in some cognitive terms.

The study conducted by Bello (1985) on a sample of 130 Senior Secondary Class Four Chemistry Students to investigate the relative effectiveness of three problem-solving approaches on students' learning outcomes in secondary school chemistry revealed that problem-solving strategy with practice coupled with

verbal feedback and teacher directed remedial instruction was the most effective of three strategies in promoting better cognitive achievement in chemistry.

In recent time, the problem-solving approach has been advocated as one of the methods of teaching Chemistry. In the present study, an important model of instruction that can achieve the purpose of helping students' to learn and study chemistry effectively is the Seven-Step Chemistry Problem-Solving Model. It is designed to help students solve problems by proceeding in a logical step sequence from a problem state to a solution state. Thus, the student learn to define problem, collects information related to the solution of the problem and finally check and evaluate the solution obtained (Frazer, 1982 and Selvarantnam, 1983). Hence, the effect of problem-solving and three modes of instruction on students' learning outcomes in Chemistry using the Seven-Step Chemistry Problem-Solving Model were examined in this research.

Statement of the Problem

The study seeks to determine the effects of problem-solving instructional strategy, three modes of instruction and gender on learning outcomes in Chemistry.

Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of significance.

H_{o1}: There is no significant difference in the performance of students' taught chemistry using problem-solving and those taught using the conventional lecture method of teaching chemistry.

H_{o2}: There is no significant interaction effect between gender and students' performance in Chemistry.

Methodology

Design: A pretest posttest control group quasi experimental design using 4x2x2 factorial design was used

O_1	X_1	O_2	(E_1)
O_3	X_2	O_4	(E_2)
O_5	X_3	O_6	(E_3)
O_7	X_4	O_8	(C)

where O_1, O_3, O_5, O_7 are pretest for the experimental and control groups respectively. O_2, O_4, O_6, O_8 are posttest for experimental and control groups respectively.

X_1 = SSCPSM with remediation
X_2 = SSCPSM with feedback
X_3 = SSCPSM with practice
X_4 = Conventional Lecture Method
SSCPSM = Seven Step Chemistry Problem-Solving Model.

Variables in the study

(a) Independent variable
(i) Problem-Solving Instructional Strategy
(ii) Gender (Male and Female)
(b) Dependent variable
(i) Chemistry Achievement Test (CAT) Post-test

Population

All the Senior Secondary Class Two (SS2) Chemistry Students in Ekiti State, Nigeria constituted the target population for the study.

Schools and subjects

Senior Secondary Class Two (SS2) Chemistry Students from Six Schools were selected as sample for the study based on multistage random sampling technique. The six schools were selected based on the facts that the subjects had been taught the basic and prerequisite Chemistry concepts necessary for understanding of mole concepts, gas laws and solubility which were discussed in this work. All the 210 Chemistry Students which comprised 109 males and 101 females drawn from the six schools were participants in the study. Intact classes were used for the study.

Research Instruments

One instrument and One Instructional package were used for the study. They are:

- **The Chemistry Achievement Test (CAT)**

This is made up of fifty (50) four options multiple choice items based on the topics treated in the study (i.e. gas laws, mole concept and solubility) used for the study. The CAT was designed to measure students' achievement (learning outcomes) in Chemistry. Experts in the field of Science Education validated the CAT in terms of ensuring items clarity and removal of ambiguous words that could confuse the students. The reliability co-efficient obtained for CAT using the test re-test method was 0.75.

- **Instructional Package**

Nine Teaching manuals were used for treatment in the study. Four of the teaching manuals were taught for 40 minutes each while the rest were taught for 80 minutes (i.e. Double Period) lesson period. The

Experimental Groups were taught using the Seven Step Chemistry Problem-Solving Model (SSCPSM) with varying modes of instruction earlier specified while the Control Group was taught using the Conventional Lecture Method.

Procedure for data collection

The procedure for data collection was in three main phases and it lasted for seven weeks. The phases were:
Pre-test for the first one week
Treatment for the next five weeks
Post-test for the last one week of the seven weeks
Prior to the collection of data, the participating teachers and students were trained. The training programme lasted for two weeks. The training of the teachers and students focused on the use of (SSCPSM) and the different treatment conditions. The teachers and the students in the control group were not given any special training.

Pre-test

The achievement test on chemistry was administered as pre-test.

Treatment

Experimental Group

Treatment in this group involved the following steps.
- Teachers presented the topic in form of discussion with the demonstration of the how to solve given problems using the SSCPSM for Students based on Groups.
- Students in Experimental Group 1 were made to solve given problems using the SSCPSM while the Teacher remediates the work of each student in the group.
- Students in Experimental Group 2 were also given problems to be solved using the SSCPSM while the Teacher provides the feedback of the work to each student in the group.
- Students in Experimental Group 3 were asked to practice solving given problems using the SSCPSM.
- Teachers recognized the performance of the Students in each of the Group.
- Teachers gave assignment

Control Group

The treatment for each lesson involved the following steps:
- The teacher presented the topic in form of lecture.
- Students listened to the teacher and wrote down the chalkboard summary.
- Students asked the teacher questions on areas of the topic that is not clear to them.
- The teacher also asked the students questions and the students responded accordingly.
- Students were given problems to be solved while the Teacher marked to assess their performance.

Post-test

After seven weeks of treatment, the CAT- whose items had been re-arranged was administered as the post-test.

Data Analysis

Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was used to analyse the data. Scheffe's Pairwise comparison was also used to establish the variation due to treatment and to locate the source of significance.

Table 1:- Summary of ANCOVA of pre-test and post-test scores of the Problem-Solving groups and Control group.

Source	SS	Df	MS	F_{cal}	F_{tab}
Covariate (pre- test)	7102.29	1	7102.29	3010.59	3.84
Main effects:					
Treatment	854.55	3	284.85	120.75	2.60
Explained	8282.88	4	2070.72	877.76	2.37
Residual	8766.49	209			
Total	202354.00	210			

P > 0.05

Table 1 shows that the F_{cal} value (120.75) was greater than F_{tab} value (2.60) at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore the null hypothesis was rejected. This shows that there was a significant difference in the academic performance of those students exposed to problem-solving instructional strategy and those in the control group. Hence, one can infer from above that the problem-solving approach has aided the groups to achieve better performance compared to their counterparts taught with the conventional lecture method.

In order to determine the pairwise difference among the groups, Scheffe's (Post-Hoc) Analysis was used; the result is presented in Table 9 below.

Table 2:- Post-Hoc Analysis Showing the Effect of Problem-Solving on Students' Performance in Chemistry.

Groups	E_1	E_2	E_3	C	N	Mean
Problem-solving coupled with Remediation (E_1)		*		*	70	33.56
Problem-solving coupled with Feedback (E_2)					70	30.26
Problem-solving coupled with Practice (E_3)					35	28.41
Control (C)					35	27.97

*The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level.

Table 2 shows that there was a significant difference between the performance scores of students in Experimental Group 1 and Experimental Group 2. Similarly, the mean difference between Experimental Group 1 and control group is statistically significant at 0.05 level.

Table 3 below shows which of the experimental group results in the best performance among male and female students on exposure to problem-solving instructional strategy

Table 3:- Post-Test Mean Scores and Standard Deviation of the Three Experimental Groups According To Gender Grouping.

Groups	Gender	N	Post-test Mean	Standard Deviation	Co-efficient of Variation
1.Problem-solving with Remediation	M	37	33.43	7.98	23.87
	F	33	33.70	7.68	22.79
2.Problem-solving with Feedback	M	36	30.63	5.44	17.76
	F	34	29.81	4.34	14.59
3.Problem-solving with Practice	M	19	28.06	5.93	21.13
	F	16	28.79	4.53	15.73

Table 3 shows the post-test mean scores and standard deviations of students in the experimental groups according to gender grouping. Table 3 shows that the post-test mean scores of the male group in experimental group 1 (33.43) was more than the male groups in experimental groups 2 (30.63) and 3 (28.06) respectively. Also, the post-test mean scores of the female groups in group 1(33.70) was more than those of groups 2 (29.81) and 3 (28.79) respectively. The standard deviation of the post-test mean scores of male and female students in group 1 were 7.98 and 7.68, while the male and female students in experimental groups 2 and 3 had standard deviations of 5.44,4.34 and 5.93,4.53 respectively. The Co-efficient of variation (CV) values for male and female in the groups were (23.87 and 22.79) for Group 1, (17.76 and 14.59) for Group 2, and (21.13 and 15.73) for Group 3 respectively at 0.05 level of significance. This shows that both boys and girls improved substantially in their academic performance through the use of problem-solving instructional strategy. However, both boys and girls in group 1 (i.e. the students that were taught using problem-solving with remediation) had the highest mean scores (33.43 for boys and 33.70 for girls) followed by those in groups two and three respectively. It is therefore observed that problem-solving coupled with varying modes of instructions brought about improved performance for both boys and girls in the groups (with the girls having an upper hand than boys in groups 1 and 3). This apparently showed that the treatment was highly effective to have improved students' performance in chemistry at 0.05 level of significance.

Table 4:- Summary of ANCOVA on Pre-test and Post-test of students in the Chemistry Achievement Test (CAT) based on gender.

Source	SS	Df	MS	F_{cal}	F_{tab}
Covariate (pre- test)	7071.46	1	7071.46	2979.65	3.84
Main effects:					
Sex	3.02	1	3.02	1.27	3.84
Group	848.25	3	282.75	119.14	2.60
2-Way Interactions					
Sex* Group	3.64	3	1.22	0.51	2.60
Explained	16298.93	8	2037.37	43.81	1.94
Residual	8766.50	209			
Total	202354.00	210			

$P < 0.05$

Table 4 shows that F_{cal} (0.51) was less than $F_{tab.}$ (2.60) at 0.05 level of significance. The null hypothesis was therefore accepted. This implies that gender does not affect students' performance in Chemistry.

Discussion of Findings

Findings from the results the hypotheses tested showed convincingly that the problem-solving approach proved to be a more effective and reliable method of teaching than the conventional lecture method**.** This finding provided empirical support to earlier findings: Bello (1985), Bodner (2000) and Domin et- al (2001) which remarked that there is significant improvement in students' achievement when problem-solving is accompanied with corrective measures such as verbal feedback and teacher-directed remedial instruction. Other empirical studies which gave positive effects of problem- solving models on achievement in other science subjects includes Martin and Oyebanji (2000), Decorte and Scriners (2002), Payne (2004).

The study further showed that gender is not a perfect predictor as far as Chemistry achievement is concerned, whether students are taught using problem- solving approach or the conventional method. This result agrees with the findings of Shaw and Doan (1990), Lagowski (1994), and Cohen (1994) who pointed out that students did not exhibit gender differences in achievement. However, this result did not agree with those of Inyang and Hannah (2000), and Omoniyi (2003) whose works revealed a significant difference in the performance of male and female students in favour of male; and vice versa in the study of Omoniyi (2003).

Conclusion and Recommendation

The major conclusion that could be drawn from the study based on the performance of students is that the conventional lecture method of teaching Chemistry proved less effective than the problem-solving method. The issue of gender was found to have no effect on the performance of students towards Chemistry learning. In addition, the incorporation of problem-solving and accompanying modes of instruction (i.e. Remediation, Feedback and Practice) into Chemistry learning improves the performance of Students' with Problem-Solving coupled with Feedback and Teacher-directed Remedial Instruction found to the most effective method over others.

If problem-solving instructional strategy could improve students' learning outcomes in Chemistry, it would be necessary to overhaul the mode of instruction of teaching Chemistry at the Senior Secondary so as to accommodate functional student-centred and activity-oriented instructional strategy that will make Chemistry students good problem-solvers, thereby causing improvement in the performance of students in School Certificate Chemistry Examinations thereby replacing the Conventional Lecture Method (i.e Chalk and Talk Method) of teaching Chemistry in Schools. Also, Secondary School teachers who are already in service should be given adequate training through workshops, symposia, conferences and seminars to enhance and acquire better strategies of teaching Chemistry. Schools' Curriculum should be overhauled to accommodate problem-solving and activity-oriented instructional strategies.

References

Adejumobi, S.A. and Ivowi, U.M.O. (1992):- Comprehensive Education for Nigeria. *West African Journal of Education* 10(2): 257-266.

Agusiobo, B.C. (1998):- Laboratory and Resource Utilization and Management by Integrated Science Teachers. *African Journal of Education* 1(1): 29-36.

Bellow, C. (2005):- The Effects of an Explicit Problem-Solving Approach on Mathematical Chemistry Achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching.* 23: 11-20.

Bodner. G.M. (2000):- The Role of Representations in Problem-Solving in Chemistry. University Chemistry Education, 4: 24-30.

Cohen, E. (1994):- Restructuring classroom conditions for productive small group. *Review of Educational Research.* 64(1): 1-35.

Decorte, R. and Scriners, J. (2002):- Supporting and promoting argumentation discourse in Science Education. Studies in Science Education 38: 39-72.

Domin, D.S., Camacho, M. and Good, R. (2001):- "Towards a Unified Theory of Problem-Solving: A View from Chemistry". *In Toward a Unified Theory of Problem-Solving: Views from the Content Domain,* M.U. Smith, ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Ezeudu, F.O. (1995):- Effects of Concepts Maps on Students' Achievement, Interest and Retention in Selected Units of Organic Chemistry. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

Frazer, M.J. (1982):- *Solving chemical problems:* Nyholm Lecture. Sarile Row and London W.I.

Friedman, S.J. (2000):- How Much of a Problem. A Reply to Ingersoll's. The Problem of Under-Qualified Teachers in American Secondary Schools. *Educational Researcher* 29(5): 18-20.

Habor-Peters, V.F. (1994):- Teacher Gender by Student Gender Interaction in Senior Secondary School Three Students' Mathematics Achievement. *The Nigerian Teacher Today* 3(1&2).

Inyang, N.E.U. and Ekpeyong, H. (2000):- Influence of Ability and Gender grouping on Senior Secondary School Chemistry Students' Achievement on the Concept of Redox reactions. *Journal of Science Teachers' Association.* 35(1&2), 36-42.

Lagowski, J.I. (1994):- Chemistry Problem-Solving Abilities, Gender Reasoning Level and Computer Simulated Experiments. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. Anaheim, C.A. 26-29.

Martins, O.O. and Oyebanji, P.K. (2000):- The Effects of Inquiry and Lecture Methods on the Cognitive Achievement of Integrated Science Students. *Journal of Science Teachers' Association.* 35(1&2), 31-35.

Nworgu, B.G. (1997):- Methods and Media in Science Instruction. Lead Presentation at Annual Conference of Association for Promoting Quality Education in Nigeria (APQEN). Enugu State Chapter. March 10-14.

Ogbonnia, E.C. (1999):- Difficult Topics in SSCE Chemistry as Perceived by Secondary Schools. *Journal of CITADEL* 8(2): 31-38.

Oke, O.A. (1995):- Factors Affecting Secondary School Girls participation in Science. An Unpublished M.Ed. Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

Omoniyi, A.O. (2003):- The effects of constructivism-based teaching strategy on Gender-related differences in students misconceptions in Chemistry. *Proceedings of Gasat conferences in Mauritius.*

Onwu, A.N. (1993):- Identification of Major Areas of Students' Difficulties in Senior School Certificate Chemistry Syllabus. Unpublished M.Ed. Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

Payne, A. (2004):- Improving Teaching and Learning through Chemistry Education Research: A Look to the Future. *Journal of Chemical Education.* 76(4), 548-554.

Selvarantnam, M. (1983):- Students' mistakes in problem-solving. *Education in Chemistry.* 7: 125-130.

Shaw, E.L. and Doan, R.I. (1990):- *An Investigation of the difference in attitude and achievement between male and female second and fifth grade students.* Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Meeting of Research in Science Teaching.

Toh, K.A. (1993):- Gender and Practical Tasks. *Science EducationResearch.* 35: 225-265.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: http://www.iiste.org/journals/ All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library , NewJour, Google Scholar