

Agrotourism Development Strategies Based on Institutional at Wonorejo Reservoir, Tulungagung, East Java, Indonesia

Bambang Tri Kurnianto^{1*}, Sugiyanto², Kliwon Hidayat², Keppi Sukesi²

¹PhD Student, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya, Malang, East Java Indonesia

²Lecturer, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya, Malang, East Java Indonesia

Abstract

The aim of the study was to formulate alternative strategies for the development of agrotourism of Wonorejo Reservoir based on institutional participation by using SWOT analysis. Participation of Formal and Non Formal institutions was previously analysed to find out Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats by using descriptive analysis of the frequency distribution. Vision and mission were formulated based on local government programs in order to increase revenue from tourism and missions that were being carried out by formal institutions and were related to the achievement of goals of agrotourism development. There were 12 institutions comprising 6 formal and 6 non formal institutions of which 120 respondents were randomly selected. The result concluded that there were four groups of strategies for the development of agrotourism that were: Strengths-Opportunities (SO), Strength-Threats (ST), Weaknesses-Opportunities (WO), and Weaknesses-Threats (WT).

Key Words: strategies, agrotourism development, institution

1. Introduction

Paradigm shift in the system of government from a centalized to a decentalized system has implications for changes of regional development management system. Regional autonomy is a concept that emphasizes the aspects of regional autonomy to organize and manage his own household as specified in Law No. 32 of 2004, article 1 paragraph c which states that decentralization is devolution of government power by the central government to the autonomous regions within the framework of the Republic of Indonesia. However, enforcement of this Law has certain consequences which must be prepared by each region in order to support the implementation of autonomy. One of which is the lack of ability of local financial resources to organize and manage his ow household, since before the financial sectors of regional development is still heavily dependent on central government.

With regional autonomy, then the costs of regional development and local government operations have to be undertaken by the local government itself, while subsidies from the central givernment only act as a complement. Problems that arise in this case is unqualified autonomous regional governments in exploring a variety of potential resources that could sustain local development and local governance.

In order to increase financial resources of local governments, one of the sectors with huge potential and needs to be explored in order to increase revenue and promote the area is tourism. It is based on the fact that today's tourism indstry has become one of the largest in the world as is a mainstay in generating foreign exchange in many countries. Tourism is often referred to as passport to development, new kind of sugar, tool for regional development, invisible export, non-polluting industry, and so on (Pitana and Diarta, 2009). Depart from the sense of optimism, several countries including Indonesia seek to develop the tourism sector as a leading sector in the economic development that is able to contribute foreign exchange after oil and gas sector has decreased. Indonesia is pinning its hope on tourism as an export commodity that is expected to replace the role of oil and gas. This expectation is reasonable because Indonesia has a huge potential for tourism in terms of both natural and socio-cultural. One of the attractions of the highlands that was introduced by the local government of Tulungagung is agrotourism of Wonorejo Reservoir. Yet the fact, the number of tourists who come to the reservoir during the last three years has decreased continuously.

An artificial reservoir is one of important factors for creating tourism attraction in an area and thus has an impact on the level of tourism development. Further the development of sustainable and responsible tourism would not be viable without also involving ecological and economic thinking. Consequently, economic and ecological tourism, in theory and practice, of course, can help the development of rural tourism based on natural, social and cultural resources (Katarzyna, 2010). In tourism development, which should be considered first is the local people's needs that will result in improved quality and standard of living, tourist expectations, protection of nature and culture (Kurek, 2007).

The area of Wonorejo rerservoir was administratively included in the authority of some formal institutions. Each formal institution had programs involving community groups or non formal institutions that acted as program goals. There were cases where a non formal institution supervised by more than one formal institutions. Besides that, programs of formal institutions geared more towards achieving the vision and mission of each organization



sectorally, so often encountered a conflic of interest among the programs. This was compounded by the fact that each formal institution had program priorities which were also conflicting with each other, and seemed to walk alone without any process of mutual interaction and coordination.

Participation is very important because it can clarify the objectives of project, reduce project costs, prevent or reduce management conflict (which might occur between construction workers and local communities), increase technology transfer to the community and promote a culture of independent living and community commitment (Katsumoto, 2007). Participation can eliminate the mentality of dependency and increase a sense of awareness and self-confidence (Mefenguza, 2007). Participation increases the efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and scope of projects and programs and increase the capacity of stakeholders, independence and empowerment (FAO, 2000). Participation provides a balanced development and creates a sense of self-determination, community development and self-development (CPA, 2009).

However, there are some barriers to community participation in development programs. Participatory approach creates a balanced relationship and interdependence between the government and the public. As a reult, administrative decentralization encourages the emergence of sectoral ego of each institution having its own mission. Besides that, tehre some internal factors such as socio-cultural factors and external factors such as government bureaucracies that inhibit community participation in development programs (Lestari, 2012).

2. Literature Review

Agriculture development based on tourism is a trend affecting many European countries, the data showed a significant trend toward a more responsible and sustainable behavior on ecotourism activities (Giudici and Dessi, 2011). Agrotourism is a catalyst for economic growth and income supplement (Das and Rainey, 2010) and a successful industry in increasing revenue (Chesky, 2009). Agrotourism considered mean, if it can maintain agricultural activities and promote diversification of economic activities (Van der Ploeg and Renting, 2004). Agrotourism opportunities can be found in the most unexpected places and not exclusively as remote rural areas (Henderson, 2009). Development of rural tourism and agrotourism can contribute to rural development (Xarba and Shehu, 2011). Agrotourism activities are diversified or consumption of natural resources and the local culture as well as the development of personal relationships between visitors and the local community (Iakovidou, 1997 in Lathiras et. al., 2010), but it can improve the quality of life by creating jobs, as well as the impact on aspects social and economic, as well as the multifunctional development of rural sustainable development (Wyporska and Mosiej, 2010; Sosnowski and Ciepiela, 2011). Economic motives become the main reason to participate agrotourism (Pillar et. al., 2012).

Agrotourism development is one way to increase rural areas and help local people to earn extra income (Czapiewska, 2010). Sustainable development of agrotourism should emphasize economic growth together with the preservation of local culture and environment, equitable benefit sharing and public participation (Chemnasiri, 2013). Development in agro-ecology and restoration should take into account the wishes of the people with the support and promotion of institutional development for environmental protection (Paraschiv, Gaina, and Zaharia, 2011). Factors that determine the sustainability of the ecology and ecosystems is determined by considering the influence of agrotourism activities (Christofakis, 2010). Tourism and agrotourism activities are very exciting for the locals in the develop the region, especially in the form of increased tourism facilities. In addition they support some form of participatory management related to local agrotourism (Gorner and Cihar, 2013). Agrotourism development plays an important role in enriching the industrial forms of agriculture, increase revenue, promote the optimization and upgrading of industrial structure countryside (Wang et. al., 2012).

Successful experience of agrotourism Toscana, among others: (1) Accelerate national legislation and local agritourism, agritourism development through standardization of laws and regulations, and make institutional provisions of the aspects of food, shelter, transportation, travel, shopping and entertainment, (2) find the position of agritourism accurate, ensuring agriculture's dominant position, (3) strengthening the destination network infrastructure, the establishment of a professional website agrotourism, the advantages of internet marketing, (4) strengthening the agro locality, maintaining local styles or habits, emphasizing a relaxed and recreation, (5) ensuring that agritourism activities, and improve education and learning the meaning of agritourism (Yu, Xia, and Xu. 2012). Agrotourism activities in southern Thailand has resulted in improved local agriculture by increasing agricultural resource conservation, agricultural diversification, added value to agricultural resources, as well as the promotion of tourism businesses are more profitable (Na Songkhla and Somboonsuke, 2012). Environmental management, local community involvement, sound legislation, sustainable marketing and realistic planning is very important for the development of agrotourism. Agrotourism is emerging as an important instrument for sustainable development, including poverty alleviation, job creation, regional development environment and regeneration secluded (Joshi and Bhujbal, 2012). Agrotourism development in Yunnan China demonstrated that agritourism is not only provide additional income and new employment opportunities for rural communities, but also have improved environmental conservation and cultural minorities



and the rural lifestyle (Yang, 2012). Various problems of difficulty in the development of agrotourism in Iran include; hygiene and lack of health, lack of cultural attraction, the lack of proper understanding of the phenomenon of tourism, lack of program planning, lack of consistent research and monitoring system (Papzan et. al., 2012).

3. Methodology

There were 120 respondents in this study, who were selected randomly 10 respondents from each of 6 formal institutions and 6 non formal institutions. Formal institutions examined in this study were: Regional Planning and development Agency (A), Public Company Jasa Tirta I (B), Department of Agriculture (C), Department of Tourism (D), Department of Plantation (E), and the Department of Marine and Fisheries (F). Non Formal institutions included: Traders Group (a), Fish Farmers Group (b), Farmers Group (c), the Group of Traditional Artists (d), Housewives Group (e), and Youth Group (f).

Method of data collection used interviews with questionnaires. Method of data analysis used SWOT analysis. SWOT analysis was a strategic planning method used to evaluate Strengths (S), Weaknesses (W), Opportunities (O), and Threats (T) that existed in a project or institution. his analysis involved the specification of external and internal factors that supported and hindered institutional participation in the development of agrotourism in the activities of management, achieving the goals of agrotourism development, development of objec and tourist attractions, and community empowerment.

Agrotourism management activities included planning, organizing, coordinating, and controlling. The activities of the achievement of goals of agrotourism development included increasing conservation, adding aesthetic values and natural beauty, providing recreational values, and developing the local economy (Damanik and Weber, 2006). The activities of the development of objects and attractions included agricultural cultivation, forestry cultivation, fish farming, and the arrangement of tourist areas. Community empowerment activities included the provision of capital, community development, socialization, education and training in tourism.

Data on institutional participation in the agrotourism development were grouped into five classifications ie No Participation by the weight value of 1, the Low Participation by the weight value of 2, the medium Participation by the weight value of 3, the High Participation by the weight value of 4, and the Very High Participation by the weight value of 5.

By using frequency distribution tables, the raw data of institutional participation were then given scores obtained from the total weight of the value of the frequency multiplied by the value of 100 (the maximum value) and then divided by the total maximum value of the item in question.

The values of institutional participation were then classified into three groups, namely: Low Participation ranged from 0 to 50, Medium Participation ranged from 51 to 75, and High Participation ranged from 76 to 100. From the analysis of participation was then obtained Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats. SWOT identification was very important for the next step in the plenning process to achieve goals that had been set (Katsumoto, 2007). Some researchers who used SWOT analysis in their research on tourism were With, Kreiner, and Wall (2007), Mansfeld et. al. (2000), Rahmat (2000), and Joshi and Bhujbal (2012).

4. Result and Discussion

1. Institutional Participation in Agrotourism Development

The highest participation in management was in the planning activities for formal institutions, whereas the non-formal institutions in organizing activities. The formal institution that had the highest participation in management was the Department of Tourism (= D), while the non-formal institution was the Traditional Artists Group (d) (Table 1).

Table 1: Institutional Parfticipation in Agrotourism Management

No	T 1'	INS	TITU	TIONA	L PA	RTICII	PATIC	N IN A	GROT	OURI	SM M	ANAG	EMEN'	Т	
	Indicators	FOF	RMAI	LINST	ITUT:	IONS			NON FORMAL INSTITUTIONS						
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	Mean	a	b	c	d	e	f	Mean
1	Planning	70	78	42	68	30	38	54,33	76	50	40	68	20	38	48,67
2	Organizing	42	44	80	74	40	24	50,67	82	34	76	84	20	24	53,33
3	Coordinating	38	63	32	56	36	22	41,33	48	46	44	58	20	28	40,67
4	Controlling	42	82	44	78	32	24	50,33	62	46	54	60	22	32	46,33
	Mean	48	67	49,5	69	34,5	27		67	44	53,5	67,5	20,5	30,5	



In achieving the goals of ahrotourism development, the highest participation was in community economic development activities for both formal institutions and non formal institutions. In addition to the community economic development, participation of non-formal institutions was also the highest in the provision of recreational values (Table 2).

Table 2: Institutional Participation in Achieving Goals of Agrotourism Development

No	Indicators		ITUTI ELOPI	-		RTICIF	PATION	I IN	ACHIEVING GOALS OF AGROTOURISM						
		FORM	MAL I	NSTIT	UTION	IS			NON FORMAL INSTITUTIONS						
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	Mean	a	c	с	d	e	f	Mean
1	Conservation	34	76	32	30	76	28	46	38	52	36	38	20	44	38
2	Aesthetics	56	76	24	88	30	32	51	36	38	32	72	38	54	45
3	Recreation Value	38	56	32	86	36	54	50,33	20	38	58	90	60	60	63,33
4	Local Economy	74	88	76	90	90	92	85	58	54	58	90	60	60	63,33
	Mean	50,5	74	41	73,5	58	51,5		47,5	49,5	46	72,5	44,5	54,5	

The highest participation in the development of tourist objects and attractions was in the regional arrangement activities for formal institutions, whereas the highest participation of non-formal institutions was in fish farming activities (Table 3).

Table 3: Institutional Participation in Developing Tourist Objects and Attractions

No	Indicators	INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPATION IN DEVELOPING TOURIST OBJECT AND ATTRACTIONS													
		FORM	AL IN	STITU	JTIONS	5		NON FORMAL INSTITUTIONS							
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	Mean	a	c	c	d	e	f	Mean
1	Farming Agriculture	58	50	94	36	56	20	52,67	24	56	94	60	20	38	48,67
2	Forestry Cultivation	56	40	38	36	94	20	47,33	20	40	58	22	20	44	34
3	Fish Farming	58	38	40	38	20	98	48,67	20	96	56	58	40	64	55,67
4	Regional arrangement	74	94	40	56	38	40	57	50	38	34	36	20	44	37
	Mean	61,5	55,5	53	41,5	52,5	44,5		28,5	57,5	60,5	44	25	47,5	

In community empowerment, the highest participation of both formal and non formal institutions was on the provision of capital. The formal institution that had highest participation was Public Company Jasa Tirta I (B), while the non formal institution was the traders group (a) (Table 4).

Table 4: Institutional Participation in Community Empowerment

1 40	Table 4. institutional Farticipation in Community Empowerment															
No	Indicators	INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPATION IN COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT														
		FORM	AL IN	STITU	TION	S			NON FORMAL INSTITUTIONS							
		A	В	С	D	Е	F	Mean	a	c	c	d	e	f	Mean	
1	Provision of capital	40	96	78	98	76	58	74,33	98	40	56	78	54	42	61,33	
2	Community development	38	94	92	98	72	32	71	56	20	78	98	56	40	58,33	
3	Socialization	50	96	76	98	54	42	69,33	60	54	42	68	64	52	56,67	
4	Education and training	42	80	70	90	48	44	62,33	90	42	74	52	20	44	53,67	
	Mean	42,5	91,5	79	96	62,5	44		76	44	62,5	74	39,5	48,5		

Overall, institutional participation in the agrotourism development of Wonorejo Reservoir was still relatively



low. The problems that confronted the participation of formal and non-formal institutions were:

- a) The dominant authority over reservoir was in the hands of Public Company Jasa Tirta I Tulungagung that apparently did not have full authority because it was only a branch of Public Company Jasa Tirta I Malang
- b) Public Company Jasa Tirta I cared more about water quality and silting reservoirs compared to the development of agrotourism
- c) The concerns of some people of the threat of the entry of a new culture when developing agrotourism
- d) Conflict between economic and ecological interests among institutions

SWOT Analysis

Based on local government programs in order to increase revenue from the tourism sector, the vision of the agrotourism development of Wonorejo reservoir was "Developing Agrotourism to actualize Wonorejo Reservoir as a city icon".

Formulation of tourism development missions performed by taking into account and accommodating the mission of each formal institution associated with the goals of agrotourism development in a professional manner, there were: 1) Agrotourism Development of Wonorejo reservoir by increasing environmental conservation in the reservoir area, 2) Agrotourism Development of Wonorejo reservoir by increasing the value of aesthetic and natural beauty; 3) Agrotourism Development of Wonorejo reservoir by providing recreational values; 4) Agrotourism Development of Wonorejo reservoir by improving the local economy in the reservoir area.

Weihrich (1982) developed TOWS matrix that provides tools to develop alternative strategies based on a logical combination of factors related to the strength or weakness of the internal factors related to opportunity or threat of external factors. TOWS matrix conceptually identified 4 groups of strategies: Strength-Opportunity (SO), Strength-Threats (ST), Weaknesses-Opportunities (WO), and Weaknesses-Threats (WT), to formulate alternative strategies based on IFAS (Internal Factors Analysis Summary) and EFAS (External Factors Analysis Summary) (Table 5).

Table 5: Matrix TOWS

IFA EFAS

STRENGTHS:

- High institutional participation in providing recreational value
- High institutional participation in the achievement of goals of agrotourism development
- High institutional participation in the provision of capital
- High institutional participation in improving the local economy
- High institutional participation in community empowerment

WEAKNESSES

- The dominant authority over reservoir was in the hands of Public Company Jasa Tirta I Tulungagung that apparently did not have full authority because it was only a branch of Public Company Jasa Tirta I Malang
- low institutional participation in the planning
- low institutional participation in the organizing
- · low institutional participation in the coordinating
- low institutional participation in the conttrolling

OPPORTUNITIES

- Natural potential of Wonorejo which is the largest reservoir in Southeast Asia
- The burgeoning of tourist industry
- Fishery potential of the reservoir
- Regional autonomy that gives authority to the local government
- Great attention from the local government on the development of rural areas

STRATEGY SO

- Maximize institutional participation in providing recreational value by utilizing the natural potential of Wonorejo reservoir
- Maximize institutional participation in the achievement of the objectives of the agrotourism development by utilizing the burgeoning of tourist industry
- Maximize institutional participation in the provision of capital to take advantage of the attention of the government towards the development of rural areas
- Maximize institutional participation in improving the local economy by utilizing local authorities
- Maximize institutional participation in community empowerment by utilizing the potential of the reservoir fish

STRATEGY WO

- Minimize the dominant authority over the reservoir by
 Public Company Jasa Tirta I to utilize local authorities.
- Increase institutional participation in the planning process to take advantage of rural development
- Increase institutional participation in the process of organizing to take advantage of local government authority
- Increase institutional participation in the process of coordination to utilize local authorities
- Increase institutional participation in the process of controlling to take advantage of local authorities

THREATS

- The decline in water quality of the reservoir
- accelerated sedimentation in reservoirs
- damage to the natural environment
- The entry of a new culture
- Appearance of a conflict of interest between agencies

STRATEGY ST

- Maximize institutional participation in providing recreational value to reduce the decline in water quality and siltation of reservoirs
- Maximize institutional participation in the achievement of agrotourism development to reduce the appearance of conflict of interest between agencies
- Maximize institutional participation in the provision of capital to reduce damage to the environment and the entry of a new culture
- Maximize institutional participation in improving the local economy to reduce environmental damage
- Maximize institutional participation in empowering communities to reduce the emergence of a new culture

STRATEGY WT

- Meminimalkan kewenangan dominan atas waduk oleh PJT I Tulungagung untuk mengurangi munculnya konflik kepentingan
- Increase institutional participation in the planning process to reduce the decline in water quality, silting reservoirs, environmental degradation, the entry of new culture, the emergence of a conflict of interest.
- Increase institutional participation in the process of organizing to avoid the appearance of conflict of interest
- 4. Increase institutional participation in the coordination process to avoid the appearance of conflict of interest.
- Increase institutional participation in the process of controlling to reduce the decline in water quality, and reservoir sedimentation, and environmental damage



5. Conclusion

This research concluded that the alternative strategies of developing agrotourism of Wonorejo reservoir were classified into 4 groups of strategies: Strength-Opportunity (SO), Strength-Threats (ST), Weaknesses-Opportunities (WO), and Weaknesses-Threats (WT).

6. References

Christofakis, M. 2010. Strategic Options for Tourism Impacts on Local Sustainability: A Conceptual Approach. *Journal Local Economy. Volume 25, Issue 7, pages 586-598.*

Chemnasiri, N. 2013. Community Potential Development for Sustainable Agrotourism in Thailand. *Jurnal IISTE Developing Country Studies . Vol.3, No.5.*

Choo, H. and J.F. Petrick. 2012. Comparison Between First timers and Repeaters for Relationship Marketing Implications. *Int. J. Tourism Res.* 14, pp. 298–302.

CPA. 2009. People, poverty and participation. Combat poverty agency (CPA). Bridgewater Centre, Island bridge, Dublin 8.

Czapiewska, G. 2010. Agritourism And Its Influence On The Transformation In Agriculture And Rural Areas (By The Example Of Bytów District). *Journal of Ecology and Protection of the Coastline. Vol. 14 pp. 75-86.*

Damanik, J. dan Weber, H.F. 2006. Perencanaan Ekowisata – Dari Teori ke Aplikasi. Penerbit Andi. Yogyakarta Das, B.R. and D.V. Rainey. 2010. Agritourism in the Arkansas Delta Byways: Assessing the Economic ImpactsInt. *J. Tourism Res.* 12, pp. 265–280.

FAO. 2000. Monitoring and evaluating stakeholder participation in agriculture and rural development projects: a literature review. Retrieved January 10, 2012, from http://www.fao.org/WAICENT/FAOINFO/SUSTDEV/Ppdirect/Ppre0074.htm

Giudici, E. and S. Dessì. 2011. A New Approach Is Born: The Slow Philosophy Via Agri-Tourism. *Review Of Business Research, Volume 11, Number 5.*

Gorner, T. and M. Cihar. 2013. Local Attitudes on Protected Areas: Evidence from Sumava National Park and Sumava Protected Landscape Area. *Journal Environment and Pollution Vol.2 No.2*.

Henderson, J.C. 2009. Agro-tourism in Unlikely Destinations: A Study Of Singapore. *Journal Managing Leisure* 14, pp. 258–268.

Joshi, MPV. and MMB. Bhujbal. 2012. Agro-Tourism A Specialized Rural Tourism: Innovative Product Of Rural Market. *International Journal of Business and Management Tomorrow Vol. 2 No. 1*

Katarzyna, DG. 2010. Lakes, Reservoir and Regional Development through Some Examples in Poland and Hungary. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites, No. 1, Vol. 5, page. 16-23* Article No: 05102 45 http://gtg.webhost.uoradea.ro

Katsumoto, S. 2007. Participation in international development. Retrieved February 22, 2012, from http://socialresearchmethods.net/katsumoto/shuzo.html

Kurek, W. 2007. Turystyka [Tourism], Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa.

Lathiras, P., A. Zopidou, J. Mylonakis, P. Tahinakis, N. Protogeros, and I. Valachis. 2010. An Evaluation Of Websites Quality Factors In Agro Travel And Ecotourism. *Tourism and Hospitality Management, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 11-30*

Lestari, P. 2012. Persepsi dan partispasi masyarakat dalam program keluarga berencana from ebookbrowse.com/jurnal-dimensia-persepsi-dan-partisipasi-...

Mansfeld, Y., Ron A. and D. Gev. 2000. Moslem Tourism to Israel. Haifa, Israel: Center for Tourism, Pilgrimage and Recreation Research, University of Haifa.

Mefenguza, N. 2007. An analysis of community participation in local government integrated development planning with reference to King Sabata Dalindyebo Local Municipality. Unpublished thesis, department of public administration, nelson Mandela metropolitan university.

Na Songkhla, T. and Somboonsuke, B. 2012. Impact of agro-tourism on local agricultural occupation: A case study of Chang Klang district, southern Thailand. *Journal of Agricultural Technology 2012 Vol. 8(4): 1185-1198* Papzan, A., Karamidehkordi, M., and Karbasioun, M. 2012. Qualitative analysis of tourism difficulties in Yasechah village: going toward rural development in ChaharMahal VA Bakhtiary, Iran by using grounded theory. *The Journal of American Science Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 280-286*

Paraschiv, V., J. Găină, and C. Zaharia. 2011. The Identity Dimension Of Natural Landscape In Giurgeu Basin. Case Study: Ditrău Village In Harghita County. *Present Environment And Sustainable Development, vol. 5, no.2.* Pitana, I G. dan I K.S. Diarta. 2009. Pengantar Ilmu Pariwisata. Penerbit. CV.Andi Offset. Yogyakarta

Pilař, L., J. Pokorná, T. Balcarová, and J. Hron. 2012. Factors Determining the Entry of Agricultural Farms into Agritourism. *Journal Agris on-line Papers in Economics and Informatics. Volume IV Number 4 – Special.*

Rahmat, M. A. 2000. The present status and potential of Ecotourism in the Togean Islands, Central Slaws, Indonesia. *Ph.D. Thesis*. Waterloo, Ontario, Canada: University of Waterloo.



Sosnowski, J. and G.A. Ciepiela. 2011. Financial Result Analysis Of Agrotouristic Farms Activities In Siedlee Region. *Oeconomia 10 (1), pp. 97–108*.

Van der Ploeg, J. D. and H. Renting (2004). "Behind the redux: a rejoinder to David Goodman". *Sociologia Ruralis, Vol. 44, No. 2, pp. 233–242*.

Wang, L., S. Cheng, Q. Min, and L. He . 2012. Driving force and development strategies of agro-tourism in China. *Journal of Eco-Agriculture Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 681-687*

Weihrich, H. 1982. The TOWS matrix-A tool for situational analysis. Long Range Planning, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 54-66.

With, N., Kreiner, C., and Wall, G. 2007. Evaluating tourism potential: A SWOT analysis of the Western Negev, Israel. *TOURISM - An International Interdisciplinary Journal.*Volume 55/ Number 1 ISSN 1332-7461

Wyporska, K. and J. Mosiej. 2010. Technical Infrastructure For Environmental Protection At The Level Of Farms As A Factor Of Sustainable Rural Development. *Journal Economic and Environmental Studies Vol. 10, No. 1,pp. 71-84*.

Xarba, B. and H. Shehu. 2011. Rural tourism, a new alternative for the south of Albania. *European Scientific Journal June*, vol. 18, pp. 27-40.

Yang, L. 2012. Impacts and Challenges in Agritourism Development in Yunnan, China. *Journal Tourism Planning & Development Volume 9, Issue 4*

Yu, Z., Y.X. Xia, and X. Xu. 2012. The development experience of agritourism in Toscana and the inspiration to China. *Journal of Changging Normal University Vol. 29 No. 5 pp. 82-87*

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** http://www.iiste.org/Journals/

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

























