# EVALUATION OF BIO-NIMBECIDINE BOTANICAL POWDER IN THE CONTROL OF *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (COLEOPTERA: BRUCHIDAE) INFESTATION IN STORED GRAIN LEGUMES

Y.T. Maina\*, Z. Dauda, D.M. Mailafiya & M. M. Degri

Department of Crop Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maiduguri, PMB 1069 Maiduguri, Borno

State, NIGERIA

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: ytmaina@yahoo.com; Tel.: +234 (0) 8063096474

### ABSTRACT

The effect of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) infestation was investigated in the laboratory at (30 -  $35^{\circ}$ C and 55 - 65% Relative Humidity) on two cowpea varieties (Borno white and Gwalam) and bambara groundnut. Ten gram grains of the two cowpea varieties and bambara groundnut were treated with three different dosages, 0.04 g, 0.06 g and 0.08 g of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder. Each treatment had three replicates and a control (check) which were then infested with three pairs of 1 - 3 days old *C. maculatus*. Data on the number of eggs laid, number of adult first filial generation and severity of damage were collected. All data collected were subjected to two way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The mean number of eggs laid, adult bruchid emerged and severity of grain damage was significantly (P < 0.05) lower on all pulses treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder than untreated grains. Amongst the treated grain legumes, mean values of the above three parameters assessed were all lower at higher doses of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder (0.06 g and 0.08 g). The results indicated that higher dosages of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder were highly effective against *C. maculatus* infestation and damage. It had significantly reduced the number of eggs laid, adult emergence and severity of damage by the cowpea bruchid, as lower infestation and damage were recorded on the pulse grains.

Key words: Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder, grain legumes, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, evaluation, control, infestation

## INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) (Walp.) and bambara groundnut (Vigna subterranean (L.) Verd.), are important sources of plant protein in sub-Saharan Africa, especially where the daily intake of animal protein derived from eggs, meat, fish and milk is low. The grain of both pulses contain fairly high amounts of protein (16 to 32% of grain weight), and in addition, supply carbohydrate, fats, minerals or vitamins (Brough and Azam-Ali, 1992; Philips et al., 2003). The safe storage of these pulses against insect pest attack over long periods, especially with poor processing and storage infrastructure in tropical Africa remains a great challenge. The cosmopolitan pest of pulses and cowpea bruchid, Callosobruchus maculatus (F.), is particularly of economic importance, as bruchid infestation often results in dry weight loss, reduction in nutritional value (denaturing of protein) or physical quality (disfigured with egg covers or riddled with adult exit holes) and poor seed germinability (Ofuya, 2001). Bruchid attack of pulses initially begins in the field, and rapidly builds up during storage. Up to 100% infestation of pulse grains can occur after three to six months storage (Caswell, 1981; Seck et al., 1991; Ofuya and Credland, 1995; Maina et al., 2011), resulting in about 60% weight loss (Tanzubil, 1999; Umeozor, 2005). Although synthetic insecticides such as Pirimiphos methyl and Permethrin are effective against C. maculatus infestation in storage, human health and environmental hazards associated with their use makes them less desirable (Ogunwolu and Odunlami, 1996; Swella and Mushobozy, 2007). Botanical insecticides, therefore, continue to receive increased attention for use as safe and effective protectants of stored grains against insect pests infestation and damage (Ofuya and Salami, 2002; Alberto et al., 2005; Ngamo et al., 2007). Various studies have therefore found the leaf, bark and seed powder or oil extracts of some plants including Azadirachta indica A. Juss, Dennetia tripetala Baker and Zanthozylum zanthozyloides (Lam.) Waterm.; and spice oils applied at different rates to stored cowpea or bambara groundnuts to reduce adult bruchid emergence, oviposition and seed damage (Lale, 1994; Ogunwolu and Idowu, 1994; Ogunwolu and Odunlami, 1996; Adedire and Lajide, 2001; Ofuya and Salami, 2002; Lale and Maina, 2002; Maina and Lale, 2005 and Maina, 2006). The efficacy of several available plant products against insect pest infestation however remains to be ascertained. This study therefore investigated the efficacy of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder in the control of C. maculatus in stored grains legumes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Insect Culture, Pulse Grain Variety and Bio-nimbecidine Botanical Powder

A laboratory culture of *C. maculatus* was raised using bruchid stock obtained from house-hold infested cowpea on 500 g grain of Borno white cowpea cultivar in a 1 L kilner jar kept under the prevailing conditions of 30 - 35

 $^{\circ}$ C and 55 – 65 % RH. The grains of two cowpea varieties, Borno white and Gwalam, and also bambara groundnut were all purchased from the Monday Market in Maiduguri, Nigeria. Bio-nimbecidine powder was obtained from the Department of Crop Protection, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.

## **Experimental Procedure**

Three replicates and a control containing 10 g grains each in a 200 ml glass jar were prepared for both cowpea varieties (Borno white and Gwalam) and bambara groundnut. The replicates of each cowpea variety and bambara groundnut were treated with three dosages, 0.04 g, 0.06 g and 0.08g of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder. Each experimental jar (treated or untreated) was then infested with three pairs of 1 - 3 days old adult *C. maculatus*. All adult bruchids were removed five days after infestation, and the number of eggs laid counted. The experimental jars were then left untouched until adult emergence. Daily counts of adults that emerged during the first filial generation (F<sub>1</sub>) were taken per experimental jar. Data on the number of eggs laid, number of F<sub>1</sub> adults that emerged and severity of grain damage were subjected to two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) statistic at 5% level of probability.

## RESULTS

Results in Table 1 showed a high significant (P < 0.05) difference in the mean number of eggs laid between treated and untreated pulse grains of variety Gwalam, Borno white and Bambara groundnut. Comparing the treated grains of all pulses, the number of bruchid eggs laid were significantly (P < 0.05) different amongst the three dosages tested, but not between grains treated with 0.06 g and 0.08 g of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder in all the pulse varieties (Table 1).

 Table 1: Mean number of eggs laid by C. maculatus five days after infestation on 10 g cowpea grains and bambara groundnuts treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder

Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder Dose (g)	Pulse variety			
(6)	Gwalam	Borno white	Bambara groundnut	
0.0	65.33	65.00	71.33	
0.04	36.67	28.00	31.33	
0.06	22.00	10.00	14.67	
0.08	11.33	4.33	8.67	
SE(±)	5.66	10.04	7.43	
LSD	13.05	23.14	17.14	

Values are means of three replicates

Similarly, the mean number of  $F_1$  adult bruchids emerged were significantly (P < 0.05) different between the pulse grains of Gwalam, Borno white and Bambara groundnut treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder and untreated grains (Table 2). There was no significant (P < 0.05) difference between grains treated with 0.06 g and 0.08 g of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Mean number of  $F_1$  adults of *C. maculatus* emerged from 10 g cowpea grains and<br/>bambara groundnuts treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder

Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder Dose (g)	Pulse variety			
	Gwalam	Borno white	Bambara groundnut	
0.00	52.67	49.67	55.00	
0.04	27.67	13.67	20.33	
0.06	3.33	1.67	5.33	
0.08	1.67	0.33	0.33	

SE(±)	3.30	5.65	5.40	
LSD	7.61	13.05	12.45	

Values are means of three replicates

The mean severity of damage caused by bruchids were significantly (P < 0.05) different between the grains of all pulses treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder and those untreated (Table 3). Among the treated grains of each pulse, the mean severity of damage by bruchids was significantly (P < 0.05) different between the dosages of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder applied, but not particularly between 0.06g and 0.08 g dosages of the powder (Table 3).

Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder Dose (g)	Pulse variety			
	Gwalam	Borno white	Bambara groundnut	
0.00	202.17	101.00	472.73	
0.04	104.37	26.70	199.70	
0.06	2.43	6.20	47.21	
0.08	6.30	0.67	2.77	
<b>S</b> E(+)	10.28	10.4	48.11	
LSD	23.73	23.98	112.46	

 Table 3: Mean severity of damage caused by of C. maculatus on 10 g cowpea grains and bambara groundnuts treated with Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder

Values are means of three replicates

#### DISCUSSION

Significantly higher mean number of bruchid eggs laid on untreated compared to treated cowpea grains and bambara groundnuts indicate that Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder effectively deters oviposition by C. maculatus on these pulses. This effect is however dosage dependent, in that, exceedingly lower number of bruchid eggs were laid on pulses treated with higher dosages (0.06 g and 0.08 g) of the botanical powder. The botanical powder of neem (A. indica) and the West African Black Pepper (Piper guineense Schumach. & Thonn.) have also been documented by Lale (1994); Oparaeke et al. (1998) to highly deter oviposition by C. maculatus females on cowpea grains. Yahaya and Magaji (1997); Yahaya (2002) and Maina (2006) similarly reported that the oil and powder of *P. guinnense* significantly reduced the egg laying capacity of adult *C.* maculatus weevils, as well as their survival on cowpea grains. Both factors were observed to greatly decrease with increasing concentration of the botanical oil and powder applied. The ovicidal effects of P. guinnense were attributed to the irritating smell of its 'guineensine 1' component which causes suffocation of adult bruchids, thereby, preventing physical contact or hindering oviposition success. Moreover, large quantities of powders can exert negative mechanical effects against oviposition by the bruchid. In comparing the effects of various vegetable oils and botanical powders on cowpea infestation by C. maculatus, Callosobruchus chinensis and Callosobruchus rhodesianus. Rajapakse and Van Emden (1997) found that some botanical powders, especially of Cymbopogon citratus (DC.) Stapf, Cinnamomum camphora (L.) J. Presl., Monodora myristica (Gaertn.) Dunal and Zingiber spectabile Griff significantly reduced the number of eggs laid by all three bruchid species.

Higher mean number of  $F_1$  adult bruchids emerged from untreated than treated pulses recorded in this study suggests that application of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder, especially at 0.06 g or 0.08 g / 10 g grains, greatly reduces the number of bruchid larvae that survive till the emergence of adults. In line with the results of the current study, A. *indica* (Echendu, 1991; Rajapakse *et al.*, 1998), *Anacardium occidentale* Linn. Balogo (Ilk.) or *Z. officinale* (Echendu, 1991; Maina, 2006), *Citrus paradisi* (Rutaceae) or *Citrus aurantifolia* (Christm. & Panzer) Swingle (Onu and Sulyman, 1997), *Khaya senegalensis* (Desr.) (Bamaiyi *et al.*, 2006) and *Alium sativum* (L.) (Dauda *et al.*, 2012) have also been reported to significantly reduce egg laying and adult emergence

of *C. maculatus* on cowpea grains. Lowered  $F_1$  adult emergence due to Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder application was not clear, but thought to be due to either higher mortality of immature larvae or emerging adults contacting the botanical powder while gnawing their way out of the pulses. The toxicity effect of botanical powders, are however, only well documented for adult bruchids. The powder of leaves and kernels of *A. indica* (Seck *et al.*, 1991) and leaves of *Piper nigrum*. L. (Rajapakse, 1989) has been reported to increase the mortality of adult *C. maculatus* on cowpea grains.

Altogether, reduced bruchid oviposition and the number of  $F_1$  adults emerged from pulses treated, especially with higher dosages (i.e., 0.06 g or 0.08 g / 10 g) of Bio-nimbecidine botanical powder, than from untreated ones must have contributed to the lowering of mean severity of damage to these pulses. This is because, considerable reduction in the population size of *C. maculatus*, for instance through fewer eggs laid or hatched, adults emerged and lowered adult longevity or fitness should ultimately influence the extent of damage caused by bruchid infestation in stored pulses. The correlation or quantification of such relationships are however scarce, and will need to be done in subsequent studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Mr. Francis Jude of the Department of Crop Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria, for assisting with data collection.

#### REFERENCES

Adedire, C.O. and Lajide, L. (2001). Efficacy of powders of some tropical plants in the control of the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Applied Tropical Agriculture* 6: 11-15.

Alberto, H.de S., Patricio, B.M., Regina, M.A.da S., Antonia, M.N.de M. and Wilson, G.de A. (2005). Bioactivity of vegetable powders against *C. maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in cowpea bean and seed physiological analysis. *Revista De Biologia e Geniasterrai* 5: 2-20.

Bamaiyi, L.J., Ndams, I.S., Toro, W.A. and Odekina, S. (2006). Effects of Mahogany *Khaya senegalensis* seed oil in the control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on stored cowpea. *Plant Protection Science* 42: 130–134.

Brough, S.H and Azam-Ali, S.N (1992). The effect of soil moisture on the proximate composition of bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc). *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture* 60: 197-203.

Caswell, G.H. (1981). Damage to stored cowpeas in Northern Nigeria. *Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research* 1: 11-19.

Dauda, Z. Maina, Y.T. Richard, B.I. (2012). Insecticidal Activity of Galic (*Alium sativum* (L.) Oil on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) in Post-Harvest Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare* 2 (3): 28-35.

Echendu, T.N.C. (1991). Ginger, cashew and neem as surface protectants of cowpeas against infestation and damage by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.). *Tropical Science* 31: 209-211.

Lale, N.E.S. (1994). Laboratory assessment of the effectiveness and persistence of powders of four spices on Cowpea Bruchid and Maize Weevil in air tight storage facilities. *Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research* 11: 79-84.

Lale, N.E.S. and Maina, Y.T. (2002). Evaluation of host resistance, solar heat and insecticidal essential oils for the management of *Caryedon serratus* (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) infesting groundnut seeds and tamarind pods in storage. *Journal of Plant Disease and Protection* 109 (4): 410-420.

Maina, Y.T. (2006). Evaluating the Efficacy of three Insecticidal Spice Oils for the control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in stored Cowpea in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Journal of Arid Agriculture* 16: 43-47.

Maina, Y.T. and Lale, N. E. S. (2005). Influence of duration of storage of insecticidal plant oil after extraction and oil-treated seeds prior to infestation on the efficacy of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) seed oil in controlling *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) infesting stored cowpeas. *Nigerian Journal of Entomology* 22: 54-63.

Maina, Y.T., Dauda, Z. and Degri, M.M. (2011). Effects of different levels of infestation and storage durations on the development of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) in stored cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walpers. *Production Agriculture and Technology* 7 (1): 49 - 54.

Ngamo, T.S.L., Ngatanko, I., Ngassoum, M.B., Mapongmestsem, P.M. and Hance, T. (2007). Persistence of insecticidal activities of crude essential oils of three aromatic plants towards four major stored product insect pests. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 2(4): 173-177.

Ofuya, T.I. (2001). Biology, ecology and control of insect pests of stored legumes in Nigeria. In: Pests of Stored Cereals and Pulses in Nigeria: Biology, Ecology and Control, edited by Ofuya, T.I. and Lale, N.E.S. Dave Collins Publication, Nigeria, pp 24-58.

Ofuya, T.I. and Salami, A (2002). Laboratory evaluation of different powders from *Dennetia tripetala* Bak., as protectant against damage to stored seeds of cowpea by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and the Environment* 4 (I): 36-41.

Ofuya, T.I. and Credland, P.F. (1995). Response of three population of the seed beetle. *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) to seed resistance selected cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Journal of Stored Product Research* 31: 17-27.

Ogunwolu, E.O. and Odunlami, A.T (1996). Suppression of seed bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus* F.) development and damage on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) with *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Lam.) Waterm. (Rutaceae) root bark powder when compared with neem seed powder and pirimiphos methyl. *Crop Protection* 15: 603-607.

Ogunwolu, O. and Idowu, O. (1994). Potential of powdered *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Rutaceae) root bark and *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae) seed for control of the cowpea seed bruchid, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Bruchidae) in Nigeria. *Journal of African Zoology* 108: 521- 528.

Onu, I. and Sulyman, A. (1997). Effect of powdered peels of citrus fruits on damage by

Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) to cowpea seeds. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 9: 85-92.

Oparaeke, A.M., Dike, M.C. and Onu, I. (1998). Evaluation of seed and leaf powder of neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) and pirimiphos- methyl for control of Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) in stored cowpea . Entomological Society of Nigeria Occasional Publication 31: 237-242.

Paranagama, P., Adhikari, C., Abeywickrama K. and Bandara, P. (2003). Deterrent effects of some Sri Lankan essential oils on oviposition and progeny production of the cowpea bruchid; *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.)(Coleoptera; Bruchidae). *Food, Agriculture and Environment* 1(2): 254-257.

Phillips, R.D., McWatters, K.H., Chinannan, M.S., Hung, Y. and Beuchat, L.R. (2003). Utilization of cowpeas for human food. *Field Crops Research* 82: 193–213.

Rajapakse R.H.S. (1989). Status of Bruchid research in Sri Lanka: Country report: In: Yoshida T. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Second International Symposium of Bruchids and Legumes, Okayama, Japan, pp 38-43.

Rajapaskse, R. and van Emden, H.F. (1997). Potential of four vegetable oils and ten botanical powders for reducing infestation cowpea by *Callosobruchus maculatus*, *C. chinensis* and *C. rhodesianus*. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 33: 59-68.

Rajapakse, R.H.S., Senanayake, S.G.J.N. and Ratnasekera, D. (1998). The effect of five botanicals on oviposition, adult emergence and mortality of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on cowpea. *Journal of Entomological Research* 22 (2): 117-122.

Seck, D., Sidibe, B., Haubruge, E., Hemptinne, J.L. and Gaspar, C. (1991). La protection chimique des stocks de nieabea et de maões contre les insectes au Seaneagal. *Medelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen Rijksuniversiteit* 56: 1225-1234.

Swella G.B. and Mushobozy D.M.K. (2007). Evaluation of the efficacy of protectants against cowpea bruchids (*Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.)) on cowpea seeds (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Plant Protection Science* 43: 68–72.

Tanzubil, P.B. (1999). Control of some insect pests of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) with neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) in Northern Ghana. *Tropical Pest Management* 37: 216-217.

Umeozor, O.C. (2005). Effect of the infection of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) on the weight loss of stored cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management* 9(1): 169-172.

Yahaya, M.A. (2002). Effects of Wood Ash and dry fruit powder of *Piper guineense* on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabr.). *Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 6: 43-49.

Yahaya, M.A. and Magaji, M.D. (1997). Insecticidal efficiency of some plant materials as protectants of cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* against cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus* during storage. A Paper Presented at the 20th Annual International Conference of Chemistry Society of Nigeria,  $22^{nd} - 26^{th}$  September 1997. Arewa House, Kaduna.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

