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Abstract
The informal sector in Nigeria is one of the major components of the overall economy, which is capable of starving the banking system of the deposits needed for investment purposes. This study empirically examined the impact of informality on the performance of the banking industry in Nigeria. The results indicate that if bank performance is measured by profit after tax (PAT) or return on assets (ROA), then informality impacts negatively on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. Other variables that impact negatively on bank performance are inflation rate and asset quality (measured as the ratio of total non-performing loans to total loans). Based on these findings, the study recommends that deposit money banks in Nigeria should pursue policies and products that will assist them to capture the huge economic activities taking place in the informal sector, while the government (that is the Central Bank of Nigeria, CBN) should also reconsider its policies that are capable of driving economic units underground. The study concludes that deposit money banks in Nigeria must work together with the CBN to achieve an all-inclusive banking system, thereby reducing the negative impact of informality on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
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1. Introduction
Globally, the unique role of banks as the engine of growth in any economy has been widely acknowledged (Adegbaju & Olokoyo, 2008; Kolapo, Ayeni & Oke, 2012; Mohammed, 2012). In fact, the intermediation role of banks can be said to be a catalyst for economic growth and development as investment funds are mobilized from the surplus units in the economy and made available to the deficit units. In doing this, banks provide an array of financial services to their customers. It can therefore be said that the effective and efficient performance of the banking industry is an important foundation for the financial stability of any nation. The extent to which banks extend credit to the public for productive activities accelerates the pace of a nation’s economic growth as well as the long-term sustainability of the banking industry (Kolapo, Ayeni & Oke, 2012; Mohammed, 2012). Summarily put, the banking institution occupies a vital position in the stability of the nation’s economy. It plays essential roles on fund mobilization, credit allocation, payment and settlement system as well as monetary policy implementation (Mohammed, 2012). In performing these functions, it must be emphasized that banks in turn promote their own performance. In other words, deposit money banks usually mobilize savings and extend loans and advances to their numerous customers bearing in mind, the three principles guiding their operations, which are profitability, liquidity and safety (Okoye & Eze, 2013).

In Nigeria, Imala (2005) stated that the main objectives of the banking system are to ensure price stability and facilitate rapid economic development through their intermediation role of mobilizing savings and inculcating banking habit at the household and micro enterprise levels. Unfortunately, these objectives have remained largely unattained as a result of some deficiencies in the country’s banking system. Some of these deficiencies include: low capital base, a large number of small banks with relatively few branches, the dominance of a few banks, poor rating of a number of banks, weak corporate governance evidence by inaccurate reporting and non compliance with regulatory requirements, insolvency as evidence by negative capital adequacy ratios of some banks, eroded shareholders fund caused by operating losses, over dependence on public sector deposits, and foreign exchange trading and the neglect of small and medium scale private savers. In view of these defects, Imala (2005) asserted that the Nigeria banking sector plays marginal role in the development of the real sector. From the foregoing, we can deduce that the savings mobilization efforts of banks in Nigeria can be seriously hampered by the presence of a huge informal sector. This is due to the fact that most informal sector transactions are conducted in cash to avoid official detection (Oduh et. al, 2008; Buehn & Schneider, 2008). Unfortunately, recent empirical evidences point to a growing informal sector in Nigeria (Ogbuabor & Malaolu, 2013; Ariyo & Bekoe, 2012; Oduh et al, 2008). The objective of this study is to empirically examine the impact of the informal sector on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. This became necessary in order to provide evidence based policies that will enhance the performance of the banking sector and the overall economy in Nigeria.

Furthermore, this study is of great significance in view of the assertion by Soludo (2004) that many banks in...
Nigeria appear to have abandoned their essential intermediation role of mobilizing savings and inculcating banking habit at the household and micro enterprise levels. Worse still, the indifference of banks towards small savers and informal sector operators, particularly at the grass-roots level, has not only compounded the problems of low domestic savings and high bank lending rates in the country, it has also reduced access to relatively cheap and stable funds that could provide a reliable source of credit to the productive sectors at affordable rates of interest. Imala (2005) also comment that the current structure of the banking system has promoted tendencies towards a rather sticky behaviour of deposit rates, particularly at the retail level, such that, while banks' lending rates remain high and positive in real terms, most deposit rates, especially those on savings, are low and negative. In addition, savings mobilization at the grass-roots level has been discouraged by the unrealistic requirements, by many banks, for opening accounts with them. This study is therefore a major step towards promoting a solid and stable financial sector that is essential for a well functioning national economy in Nigeria.

2. Theoretical Literature (Theories of Informality):
In this study, we shall adopt the definition of the informal sector as provided by Smith (1994), who conceptualized informal activities as those economic activities that are market-based production of goods and services, whether legal or illegal, that escape detection in the official estimates of GDP. There are four main theories of informality in the literature. These include: modernization, dependency, structuralism and neo-liberalism theories (Yusuff, 2011).

2.1 Modernization Theory: The main proponent of the modernization theory is Rostow (1960). He characterized informality in the less developed countries largely as a “social problem” internal to and caused by the backward socio-economic systems of individual countries. According to him, the policy prescription was for these countries to acquire “modern” values, “modern” legal institutions and political systems, and “modern” capitalist economies. In most cases, the “modern” was understood as being synonymous with western values, institutions, and market economies. In essence, the issue of informality is not rooted in capitalist exploitation and extraction (as argued successively by neo-Marxist and dependency theorists), rather these countries had not yet been sufficiently incorporated into the modern world or the international economy. Thus, it is only a matter of time and these countries would “take-off” and “catch-up” with the developed countries. Proponents of modernization theory saw the informal sector as a remnant of traditional, pre-capitalist modes of production and subsistence strategies common to isolated rural communities such that informal sector economic units were trapped outside the modern economy because they lacked proper education, skills, and value orientations. The main weakness of the modernization theory is that the informal sector is neither seen as an important component of the overall economy that can engender economic growth, nor as a reservoir of entrepreneurial training and talent. It is seen as a problem to be solved and not a development strategy to be harnessed and promoted.

2.2 Dependency Theory: It was the pioneering works of ILO (1972) and Hart (1973) that crystallized the phenomenon of unregulated economic activity into the term “informal sector”. Hart’s contribution had such a broad and original impact because he focused on the complex, organized, and dynamic income generating activities of informal enterprises. In effect, he found that informal activities were not a mere extension of traditional subsistence strategies and that participants in these unregulated activities were not universally condemned to poverty and marginality. However, other scholars working within the dependency tradition had characterized informal workers as universally poor and emphasized the sector’s supposed marginal position vis-à-vis the modern capitalist sector (Portes & Schaufller, 1992). Furthermore, in terms of developing a systematic definition of what constituted the informal sector, proponents of the dependency theory (such as Tokman, 1978; PREALC, 1978), often described the many common characteristics of enterprises in the sector. These characteristics include: little capital, low technology and production, little profits, utilization of unpaid family labour, easy entry and exit, low efficiency and competition. Furthermore, the dependency approach saw the goal of informal activities as mere survival, not profit maximization. Informal firms were often characterized as taking advantage of their ability to avoid taxes and regulations and exploiting niche areas overlooked by larger and less flexible firms. The weakness of the dependency theory is that it sees the informal labour arrangement as taking place largely outside the exploitative formal relations of production. As such, the informal sector was viewed largely with suspicion as a mere transposition of the rural subsistence sector into the urban environment.

2.3 Structuralism: Structuralists insist that informality is not simply the result of excess labour supply, or over-regulation. Instead, the central element of the structuralists’ theory is the insistence that informality is in essence an alternate form of labour utilization (and often exploitation) by capital. Put differently, Maloney (2004) stated that informal sector workers are not just there by some accident or flaw in capitalist development. Instead, these workers are actively “informalized” by capital under the logic of peripheral capitalist accumulation. A critical shortcoming of this theory is that while industrial subcontracting is a central feature of informal activities in Latin American cities, it is a comparatively insignificant feature of informal sector activities in developing countries like Nigeria. The common feature in African informal sector is the ‘subsistence’ informal economy in
which economic actors are fully occupied in informal means of income generation (Capecchi, 1989; Ishola, 2008).

2.4 Neo-liberalism Theory: Neo-liberalism is an ideology based on economic liberalism. The ideology favours economic policies that minimize the role of the state and maximize the private business sector. Neo-liberalism seeks to transfer control of the economy from public to the private sector under the belief that it will produce a more efficient government and improve the economic health of the nation. According to De Soto (1989), a key proponent of this ideology, the informal sector is a response to excessive state regulations and other unfavourable macroeconomic conditions. This theory subscribes to the notion that the informal sector comprises entrepreneurs who choose to operate informally in order to avoid the costs of formal registration and other unfavourable conditions in the business environment. Proponents of neo-liberalism believe that entrepreneurs would continue to produce informally so long as government procedures are cumbersome and costly, property rights remain deficient and accessibility to productive resources like finance and technology remain elusive. Under this ideology, those entrepreneurs who generate income for themselves and their families in the informal sector are regarded as the ‘real revolutionaries’, who heroically stand up to the tyranny of excessive state regulations; those informal workers are the real seeds of the free market (deregulatory) doctrine.

3. The Nigerian Informal Sector

Tanzi (1983) claimed that in a well-working market economy, without a public sector, there would be no underground activities. This is because the major causes of informal activities in many countries including Nigeria are largely traceable to imposition of taxes and import duties, the need to adopt regulatory and control measures in the domestic or external sector of an economy. For example, the impositions of quantitative restrictions on trade, the need to define and enforce territorial boundaries, bureaucratic corruption, etc are potential causes of informality. Also, the higher the marginal tax rates, the greater the cost of being honest and the more the incentive to evade or understate personal and corporate incomes. Furthermore, the fact that tariff on imports and import quotas create incentive to smuggle is well emphasized in literature. The fixing of over-valued exchange rate of a national currency supported by exchange control measures that rely on trade restrictions usually herald the emergence of a black market for foreign exchange as economic agents devise means of evading the controls. In general, the more regulated an economy is, the more will activities that are difficult to control emerge as people design and execute plans to side-track the regulations; and herein lies the origin of informal sector activities (Oresotu, 1996; Tanzi, 1983).

In Nigeria, Akerele (2005) reported that before and years after independence, the Nigerian economy was predominantly rural and agrarian. Cash crops such as palm produce, ground nut, and cocoa as well as minerals such as tin ore, columbite, and zinc were major foreign exchange earners. These activities were carried out by individuals and small-holder enterprises. It is obvious that these activities were mainly performed by informal sector operators. Olowu and Okotoni (1996) provided more insights on the Nigerian informal sector. According to them, the Nigerian informal sector has two major components: the economic and financial segment; and, the administrative/political segment. The economic and financial segment comprises the large members of highly competitive but poorly capitalized small-scale operators and the financial institutions needed to sustain their businesses. It manifests itself in the economic activities designed and managed by the people of a given community aimed at providing goods and services that are required by the generality of the people. Through these activities, a mass of goods and services are produced both in the agricultural and non-agricultural sectors. Operators in this sector do not have access to large capital (available in the formal sector) or legal protection and often exist on the fringes of the law. They rely on informal structures and contacts with the formal system to survive. Nevertheless, they employ a large proportion of the productive labour force (especially women) and rely on indigenous technology and innovations.

Members of a particular trade organize themselves into association, union, or guild. Such associations are designed to cater for the interest of members while non members are not allowed to practice in that community. Rules and regulations are made to guide the associations, sanctions are used to deal with erring members, and periodic (weekly or monthly) levies are collected to maintain the associations and provide credits to members, often on a rotating basis. Other sources of informal finance include gifts and loans from family members, friends, specialized savings and credit associations such as esusu, adashi, bam in different parts of the country. Essentially, these associations protect the economic interests of members, protect members from harassment from any quarter, and speak with one voice on behalf of their members (Olowu & Okotoni, 1996).

The Nigerian informal economy covers a wide range of activities. These include several small-scale and unregistered sole-proprietor businesses, and in some instances, joint-partnership businesses which can be found in both rural and urban settlements across the country. In this informal economy, tax evasion is very rampant as income is unmeasured and unrecorded. In fact, their activities are not fully reflected in the national accounts, and thus, unrecorded by the state. The nature of the economic activities engaged in varies considerably from one
locality to another. For example, in the rural areas, farming activities and allied occupations such as hunting, fishing, blacksmithing, weaving, basket and pot making, as well as leather works are more prevalent. However, in urban centres like Lagos, Enugu, Abuja, Kano, Ibadan, and Jos, the informal economic activities include trading, small-scale manufacturing and repairing industries, such as carpentry, upholstery, furniture making, woodworks, metalworks, bakery, tailoring, bricklaying, and printing. Those in the area of repairing occupations include, among others, the automobile mechanics, electricians, clock and watch repairers and cobbblers (Olowu & Okotoni, 1996).

4. The Evolution of the Nigerian Banking Sector
According to Osamor, Akinlabi & Osamor (2013), banking operation began in Nigeria in 1892 under the control of the expatriates and by 1945, some Nigerians had established their own banks. The first era of consolidation ever recorded in Nigeria banking industry was between 1959 and 1969. This was occasioned by bank failures during 1953-1959 due to liquidity challenges faced by the banks. There was no well-organized financial system with enough financial instruments to invest in. Hence, banks merely invested in real assets which could not be easily realized to cash without loss of value in times of need. This prompted the Federal Government then, backed by the World Bank Report to institute the Loyynes Commission in September 1958. The outcome was the promulgation of the Ordinance of 1958, which established the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). The year 1959 was remarkable in the Nigeria Banking history not only because of the establishment of Central Bank Nigerian (CBN) but also because of the Treasury Bill Ordinance that was enacted which led to the issuance of the first treasury bills in April, 1960.

The period (1959–1969) marked the establishment of formal money, capital markets and portfolio management in Nigeria. In addition, the Company Acts of 1968 were established. This period could be said to be the genesis of serious banking regulation in Nigeria. With the CBN in operation, the minimum paid-up capital was set at ₦400,000 (USD$480,000) in 1958. By January 2001, banking sector was fully deregulated with the adoption of universal banking system in Nigeria which merged merchant bank operations with commercial banks system preparatory to the consolidation programme in 2004. In the 1990s, proliferation of banks which also resulted in the failure of many of them, led to another recapitalization exercise that saw bank’s capital being increased to N500million (USD$5.88 million) and subsequently to N2billion (US$0.0166billion) in 2004 with the institution of a 13-point reform agenda aimed at addressing the fragile nature of the banking system, stopping the boom and burst cycle that characterized the sector and evolving a banking system that not only could serve the Nigeria economy, but also the regional economy. The agenda by the monetary authorities is also to consolidate the Nigeria banks and make them capable of playing in the international financial system.

However, there appears to be differences between the state of the banking industry in Nigeria vis-à-vis the vision of the government and the regulatory authority. This, in the main, was the reason for the policy of mandatory consolidation, which was not open to dialogue and its components also seemed cast in concrete. In terms of number of banks and minimum paid-up-capital, between 1952 and 1978, the banking sector recorded forty-five (45) banks with varying minimum paid-up capital for merchant and commercial banks. The number of banks increased to fifty-four (54) between 1979 and 1987, and further rose to one hundred and twelve (112) between 1988 and 1996 with substantial varying increases in the minimum capital. The number of banks dropped to one hundred and ten (110) with another increase in minimum paid-up capital and finally dropped to twenty-five (25) in 2005 with a big increase in minimum paid-up capital from N2billion (USD$0.166billion) in January 2004 to N25billion (USD$0.2billion) in July 2004 (Somoye, 2008). After the consolidation, the number of banks later reduced to twenty-three (23), due to the merger of some banks. These banks are saddled with the following functions among others: acceptance of deposits from customers, provision of credit facilities in form of loans and overdrafts, management of customer’s portfolio of investment and provision of investment advice

5. The Concept of Bank Performance
According to Abaenewe, Ogbulu & Ndugbu (2013), bank performance generally implies the ability of a bank to realize its predetermined objectives within a given period of time. Furthermore, Rose (2001) noted that a fair evaluation of any bank’s performance should start by evaluating whether it has been able to achieve the objectives set by its management and shareholders. Certainly, many banks have their own unique objectives. Some wish to grow faster and achieve some long-range growth objectives, while others prefer quiet life, minimizing risk and conveying the image of a sound bank, but with modest rewards to their shareholders (Rose, 2001).

Generally, banks performance over the years has been measured in terms of three major indicators or variables, namely: Profitability, Return on Asset (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE). In this study, we shall use profit after tax (PAT) and return on assets (ROA) as indicators or measures of bank performance. According to Rose (2001), these performance measures vary substantially over time and from one banking market to another.
Nikolai & Bazley (1997) posit that the amount of net income earned in relation to total assets is an indication of how efficiently a company uses its economic resources. Brealey, Myers and Marcus (2004) affirmed that most managers measure the performance of their banks by the ratio of net income to total assets, otherwise referred to as ROA.

6. Review of Empirical Literature

Kolapo, Ayeni and Oke (2012) carried out an empirical investigation into the quantitative effect of credit risk on the performance of commercial banks in Nigeria over the period of 11 years (2000-2010) using five commercial banking firms. Panel model analysis was used to estimate the determinants of the profit function. The results showed that the effect of credit risk on bank performance measured by the Return on Assets of banks is cross-sectional invariant. That is the effect is similar across banks in Nigeria, though the degree to which individual banks are affected is not captured by the method of analysis employed in the study.

Mohammed (2012) studied the impact of corporate governance on the performance of banks in Nigeria. The study made use of secondary data obtained from the financial reports of nine (9) banks for a period of ten (10) years (2001-2010). The data were analyzed using multiple regression analysis. The study supported the hypothesis that corporate governance positively affects performance of banks.

Abaenewe, Ogbulu and Ndugbu (2013) investigated the profitability performance of Nigerian banks following the full adoption of electronic banking system, using judgmental sampling method to collect data from four Nigerian banks. The profitability performance of the banks was measured in terms of returns on equity (ROE) and returns on assets (ROA). The study found that the adoption of electronic banking has positively and significantly improved the returns on equity (ROE) of Nigerian banks, while it has not significantly improved the returns on assets (ROA) of Nigerian banks.

Adegjaju and Olokoyo (2008) investigated the impact of previous recapitalization in the banking system on the performance of the banks in Nigeria with the aim of finding out if the recapitalization is of any benefit. The study employed secondary data obtained from NDIC annual reports. The results indicate that the mean of key profitability ratios such as the Yield on earning asset (YEA), Return on Equity (ROE) and Return on Asset (ROA) were significant meaning that there is statistical difference between the mean of the bank before 2001 recapitalization and after 2001 recapitalization.

Osamor, Akinlabi and Osamor (2013) examined the impact of globalization on performance of Nigerian commercial banks between 2005 and 2010, using panel data econometrics in a pooled regression, where time series and cross-sectional observations were combined and estimated. The results of econometric panel regression analysis confirmed that globalization, i.e. foreign private investment, foreign trade and exchange rate have positive effects on the profit after tax of banks.

Ajayi and Atanda (2012) examined the effect of monetary policy instruments on banks performance in Nigeria with the view to determine the existence of long-run relation between 1970 and 2008. The Engle-granger two step cointegration approach was adopted based on the regression model that regresses banks total loan and advances on minimum policy rate, cash reserves ratio, liquidity ratio, inflation and exchange rate. The empirical estimates indicated that bank rate, inflation rate and exchange rate are total credit enhancing, while liquidity ratio and cash reserves ratio exert negative effect on banks total credit.

Agbada and Osuji (2013) studied the efficacy of liquidity management and banking performance in Nigeria using survey research methodology. Data obtained were first presented in tables of percentages and pie charts and were empirically analyzed by Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r). Findings from the empirical analysis were quite robust and clearly indicate that there is significant relationship between efficient liquidity management and banking performance and that efficient liquidity management enhances the soundness of bank.

Enyioko (2012) examined the performances of banks and macro-economic performance in Nigeria based on the interest rate policies of the banks. The study analyses published audited accounts of twenty (20) out of twenty-five (25) banks that emerged from the consolidation exercise and data from the Central Banks of Nigeria (CBN). The results indicate that the interest rate policies have not improved the overall performances of banks significantly and also have contributed marginally to the growth of the economy.

Beck, Cull and Jerome (2005) examined the effect of privatization on performance in a panel of Nigerian banks for the period 1990-2001. The results showed evidence of performance improvement in nine banks that were privatized, which is remarkable given the inhospitable environment for true financial intermediation. The results also suggest negative effects of the continuing minority government ownership on the performance of many Nigerian banks; and also showed aggregate indications of decreasing financial intermediation over the 1990s, banks that focused on investment in government bonds and non-lending activities enjoyed a relatively higher performance.

Olokoyo (2012) examined the effects of bank deregulation on bank performance in Nigeria. The study analyzed
secondary data collected from CBN statistical bulletin by employing the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) technique. This study found out that the deregulation of the banking sector has positive and significant effect on bank performance.

Okoye and Eze (2013) examined the impact of bank lending rate on the performance of Nigerian Deposit Money Banks between 2000 and 2010. The study utilized secondary data econometrics in a regression, where time-series and quantitative design were combined and estimated. The result confirmed that the lending rate and monetary policy rate have significant and positive effects on the performance of Nigerian deposit money banks.

Barros and Caporale (2012) examined the Nigerian banking consolidation process using a dynamic panel for the period 2000-2010. The Arellano and Bond (1991) dynamic GMM approach was adopted to estimate a cost function taking into account the possible endogeneity of the covariates. The main finding is that the Nigerian banking sector has benefited from the consolidation process, and specifically that foreign ownership, mergers and acquisitions and bank size decrease costs.

6.1. Limitations of Previous Studies / Contribution to Knowledge

Despite the avalanche of empirical studies on bank performance in Nigeria including the ones reviewed above, no empirical study known to the authors of this paper has examined the impact of informality on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. This study is therefore the first empirical attempt to fill this gap in the literature.

7. Methodology

A total of twenty commercial banks operate presently in Nigeria, out of which five banks were selected for this study. The selected banks include First of Nigeria Bank Plc (FBN), United Bank for Africa Plc (UBA), Guaranty Trust Bank Plc (GTB), Zenith International Bank Plc (ZIB), and Access Bank Plc (ABP). The basis for the selection rests on the facts provided by Kolapo, Ayeni and Oke (2012) that these banks have been rated as the topmost five Nigerian banks by Fitch rating and Bankers’ Magazine of July 2012, they account for over fifty percent of deposit liabilities in the Nigerian banking sector, they have made the list of the first 25 and 500 banks in Africa and the world respectively, their credit rating by Fitch, Standard and Poors, and Agusto and Co have moved from stability to positive as at January 2012, they all have a large customer base and participate actively on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The study made use of data obtained from the audited financial reports of the banks for a period of thirteen years (2000-2012), macroeconomic data obtained from the CBN Statistical Bulletin (2011) as well as informal sector data from Ogbuabor & Malaolu (2013).

STATA 11 econometric software was used to analyze the data, using Panel Data Regression model to capture both the cross sectional and time series data. A high coefficient of determination will indicate objectivity. The Panel data Regression analysis was chosen instead of simple or multiple regression because it has the advantage of providing more informative data, more variability, less collinearity among variables, more degrees of freedom and efficiency (Gujarati & Porter, 2009). Besides, it is best suited to study ‘dynamics of change and more complicated behavioural models, and has the capacity of enriching empirical analysis in ways that may not be possible for ordinary regression or multiple analysis (Akintoye, 2008).

Our working hypothesis may be stated thus:

H0: The informal sector does not impact on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria
H1: The informal sector impacts on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria

7.1 Model Specification

Following Kolapo, Ayeni and Oke (2012), the models for this study can be implicitly stated as follows:

\[
\text{PAT} = f(CRISK, ASQUA, GDPGR, INFOR, INFRA, TCGDP) - (1)
\]

\[
\text{ROA} = f(INFOR, GDPGR, INTRA, INFRA, CRISK, ASQUA, CAPAD) - (2)
\]

Where:

- \( \text{PAT} \): return on assets (measured as profit after tax / total assets);
- \( \text{ROA} \): return on assets (measured as profit after tax / total assets);
- \( \text{INFOR} \): informality (measured as size of Nigeria’s informal sector as % of GDP);
- \( \text{GDPGR} \): GDP growth rate;
- \( \text{TCGDP} \): total commercial bank credit (measured as the ratio of total credit to GDP);
- \( \text{INTRA} \): interest rate (measured as deposit rates over 12 months);
- \( \text{INFRA} \): inflation rate;
- \( \text{CRISK} \): credit risk (measured as the ratio of total loans & advances to total assets);
- \( \text{ASQUA} \): asset quality (measured as the ratio of total non-performing loans to total loans);
- \( \text{CAPAD} \): capital adequacy ratio

Following Kolapo, Ayeni and Oke (2012) and Gujarati and Porter (2009), the fixed effects within-group models of equations (1) and (2) can be econometrically and explicitly specified in equations (3) and (4) respectively as shown below:
\[ \text{PAT}_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{INFOR}_{it} + \alpha_2 \text{GDPGR}_{it} + \alpha_3 \text{INFRA}_{it} + \alpha_4 \text{CRISK}_{it} + \alpha_5 \text{ASQUA}_{it} + \alpha_6 \text{TCGDP}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{3} \]

\[ \text{ROA}_{it} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \text{INFOR}_{it} + \lambda_2 \text{GDPGR}_{it} + \lambda_3 \text{INTRA}_{it} + \lambda_4 \text{INFRA}_{it} + \lambda_5 \text{CRISK}_{it} + \lambda_6 \text{ASQUA}_{it} + \lambda_7 \text{CAPAD}_{it} + \lambda_8 \text{TCGDP}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{4} \]

Where:

- \( \alpha_i \) and \( \lambda_i \) are the parameters,
- \( t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 13 \) is the time period,
- \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \) is the cross-sectional unit,
- \( \varepsilon \) is the error term.

Our a priori expectations are that \( \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_6 > 0; \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_6 < 0; \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_5, \lambda_7, \lambda_8 > 0 \) while \( \lambda_1, \lambda_4, \lambda_6 < 0 \). Our choice of the fixed effects within-group model is based on the following observations by Gujarati and Porter (2009):

1. Fixed effects estimators are consistent where a long panel is involved and are preferred to random effects estimators;
2. If the individual error components \( \varepsilon_i \) and one or more regressors are correlated, then the random effects estimators are biased, whereas those obtained from fixed effects model are unbiased;
3. Even if it is assumed that the underlying model is pooled or random, the fixed effects estimators are always consistent.

8. Empirical Results and Discussion:

The parsimonious parameter estimates from our regression results are shown in tables 1 and 2 below:

Table 1: Fixed-effects within-group regression results (PAT as dependent variable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRISK</td>
<td>0.0627185</td>
<td>.2038097</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASQUA</td>
<td>-0.239068</td>
<td>.3234416</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDPGR</td>
<td>-1.062604</td>
<td>.6648581</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFOR</td>
<td>-0.449752</td>
<td>.2613977</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFRA</td>
<td>0.3192511</td>
<td>.7686393</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGDP</td>
<td>-0.0289568</td>
<td>.0053732</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTANT</td>
<td>62.77668</td>
<td>18.46478</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R^2 \) (Overall) = 0.4362; No of observations = 65; F(6, 54) = 8.30; Prob. (F) = 0.0000

Source: Author’s computation using STATA 11

The results in Table 1 above indicate that the coefficients of informality (INFOR) and total commercial bank credit (TCGDP) are statistically significant at 10% and 1% levels of significance respectively, and explained 44% of the overall variations in profit after tax (PAT). Also, informality has a negative coefficient of -0.449752 which conforms to a priori expectation. This result shows that the informal sector impacts negatively on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. Here, a unit increase in the size of the informal sector results in 45% decrease in the performance of deposit money banks measured by profit after tax. Other regressor variables that affect the profitability of the banks negatively are ASQUA, GDPGR, and TCGDP. The F-statistic is also significant and indicates the objectivity of our model. Most of the variables such as CRISK, INFOR, ASQUA, and TCGDP conformed to a priori expectation; however, GDPGR and INFRA did not conform. These results did not change significantly even when we used the informal sector growth rate rather than the size of the informal sector as percentage of GDP.

Table 2: Fixed-effects within-group regression results (ROA as dependent variable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRISK</td>
<td>0.4202103</td>
<td>6.481947</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASQUA</td>
<td>6.74691</td>
<td>10.28671</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDPGR</td>
<td>19.39518</td>
<td>21.1451</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFOR</td>
<td>-12.18753</td>
<td>8.313471</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFRA</td>
<td>-44.43683</td>
<td>24.44574</td>
<td>-1.82</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGDP</td>
<td>0.2790211</td>
<td>0.1708901</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTANT</td>
<td>1347.451</td>
<td>587.2526</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R^2 \) = 14%; No of observations = 65; F(4, 54) = 2.97; Prob. (F) = 0.0272

Source: Author’s computation using STATA 11

The results in Table 2 above indicate that the coefficient of inflation rate (INFRA) is statistically significant at 10% level of significance and affects the performance of banks negatively. Even though our variable of interest,
which is informality (INFOR), is not statistically significant, the results indicate that it impacts negatively on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria measured by return on assets (ROA), which is in conformity with a priori expectation. It has a negative coefficient of -12.18753 indicating that a unit increase in informality decreases the performance (ROA) of deposit money banks in Nigeria by 1219%. The low coefficient of determination (R²) indicates that some variables necessary to explain the variations in ROA such as the quality of management in the banks should be captured in subsequent analysis. Other regressor variables such as CRISK, GDPGR, TCGDP and INFRA also conformed to our a priori expectations, whereas ASQUA did not conform. These results did not change significantly even when we used the informal sector growth rate rather than the size of the informal sector as percentage of GDP. The F-statistic of 2.97 with probability of 0.0272, which is significant at 10% level of significance, indicates the objectivity of our model.

9. Conclusion and Recommendations
This study is the first attempt at empirically examining the impact of informality on the performance of the banking industry in Nigeria. The study became absolutely necessary at this time given the recent upsurge in informal activities in Nigeria and the fact that most of these activities occur outside the official financial system. Our results indicate that if bank performance is measured by profit after tax (PAT) or return on assets (ROA), then informality impacts negatively on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. Other variables that impact negatively on bank performance are inflation rate and asset quality (measured as the ratio of total non-performing loans to total loans). Credit risk, GDP growth rate and total commercial bank credit (measured as the ratio of total credit to GDP) impacted positively on bank performance measured as ROA.

Based on the findings above, we recommend that deposit money banks in Nigeria should pursue policies and products that will assist them to capture the huge economic activities taking place in the informal sector. The government, through the monetary authority (the Central Bank of Nigeria, CBN), should also review and improve on its policies that are capable of driving economic units underground. Electronic banking and other cashless measures can be used through well articulated policies, including public enlightenment campaigns and provision of adequate information and communication technology infrastructure that will encourage the banking public to embrace such policies. Clearly, deposit money banks in Nigeria must work together with the CBN to achieve an all inclusive banking system, thereby reducing the negative impact of informality on the performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.
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