The Socio-Economic Impact of Globalization in Nigeria

N.GLADSON NWOKAH

DEPARTMENT OF MARKETING, FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES, RIVERS STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, PORT HARCOURT

KENNETH C ADIELE

DEPARTMENT OF MARKETING, FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCES, RIVERS STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, PORT HARCOURT

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to assess the socio-economic impact of globalization in Nigeria; and to compare the differences of these impacts in the public and private sectors in Nigeria. The study adopted a survey method through the use of close-ended questionnaire from the results of two pilot studies to elicit information from 233 staff of the Nigeria private and public sectors. Returned instrument were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics; descriptive statistics – mean and standard deviation was used to respond to the research question and the independent sample t-test was used to assess the differences in socio-economic impact of globalization as perceived by the Nigeria private and public sectors. The study found skill development, commitment to and positive work attitude as major area globalization has impacted socio-economic development in Nigeria public and private sectors. Statistical evidence from this paper shows significant differences in the socio-economic impacts of globalization Nigeria private and public sectors were identified, with the private sector being more committed than Nigeria public sector. A recommendation for further study to investigate the results and conclusion of this study in other sector of the economy and possibly in other Africa developing nation is made.

INTRODUCTION

In recent time, it is observed that the wave of globalization phenomenon has taken a centre stage as a dominant feature in the international socio-economy. It is inevitably a phenomenon that no country can escape. It is on this note that many countries are compelled to take strategic steps towards actualizing their economic growth and development.in the circumstance, Nigeria is at liberty to either position itself and maximizes the benefits of this New World Economic order or be left away as by-stander or marginal player in the international economic configuration (Tandon, 2000). Putting this into consideration, the globalization of the world economic system has, however, forced many developing countries such as Nigeria to initiate policy measures and establish institutional frameworks aimed at accelerating their growth and development in line with current global economic trend.

Globalization constitutes a mega trend in global social economy and has assumed a new phase in contemporary international economic relations (Akinboye, 2008). Given the emergent socio-and economic transformation as well as the technological advancement in communication, information, transportation etc, the process seems to be irreversible (Yaqub, 2003). Nation States have indeed consistently intensified efforts towards engaging in business across national borders and constructing production and distribution networks on a global scale.

The purpose of this study is to examine the phenomenon of globalization and its impacts on socio-economic development in Nigeria. Following the introduction, the study will compare the perceive impact of globalization in the Nigeria public and private sectors. Specifically, this study will

- 1 Examine the items that contribute more on the impact of globalization on the socio-economic development in the Nigeria private and public sectors.
- 2 Compare the impact of globalization on the socio-economic development in the Nigeria public and private sectors.

Based on the research question above, this study hypothesises thus:

HA1: There is significant difference in the perceive impact of globalization on socio-economic development between the Nigeria private and public sectors.

THE CONCEPT OF GLOBALIZATION

Borrowing from Held et al.(1999, p. 16) Seldon (2008) argues that globalization involves a process (or set of processes) which embodies a transformation in the spatial organisation of social relations and transactions – assessed in terms of their extensity, intensity, velocity and impact – generating transcontinental or interregional flows and networks of activity, interaction, and the exercise of power. He went further to analysis this by emphasising some key points in the definition. First, globalization is a set of processes rather than a description of the (fixed) state of a system. Second, central to the concept is the idea of spatial transformations in patterns of interconnectedness. He further argues that conceptually and

analytically, globalizing processes can be seen to operate in a number of different realms, four of which bear on the argument here: trade, finance, migration and culture.

Globalization is a conceptualization of the international political economy which suggests and believes essentially that all economic activity, whether local, regional or national, must be conducted within a perspective and attitude that constantly is global and worldwide in its scope. Discussing the history of globalization and economic development, Piasecki and Wolnicki (2004) argue that by the mid-1990s, the advances in international trade and investment looked like undisputable proof of the validity of neo-liberal model.

Globalization can be defined in several ways (Czinkota and Ronkainen, 2007; Peters and Pierre, 2006; Curry, 2000). In this study, Curry's (2000) definition of globalization is adopted. For Curry (2000), globalization refers to the worldwide phenomenon of technological, economic, political, and cultural exchanges among nations, organizations, and private individuals. These exchanges have led to interdependencies at all levels (national, firm, and private individual levels). Globalization has been recognized as the main force dominating the economic universe. It upholds to light-up the world with economic prosperity and seeks a victory of market over government and self-interest over altruism (Simplice, 2013).

According to Awuah and Amal (2009), gglobalization also comes with enormous difficulties which may include "liberalization of markets, intense competition, decline of domestic job opportunities and revenues", and others according to them include economic volatility of the integrated markets, cyclical crises, and non-tariff barriers to trade, spread of pandemics, and new security issues. Many actors, especially in the least developed countries (LDCs) may not have the capabilities to handle challenges (Spiegel, 2007; Human Development Report, 2002) which globalization brings with it. And above all, one major challenge will be the ability of poorer countries and the firms in them, for example, to deal with the fact that there is no levelled playing field (Speigel, 2007; Beamish and Lu, 2004; Human Development Report, 2004, 2002) for exchanges between economic actors. Subsidies and trade restrictions of various kinds are still common rather than the exception in many developed countries, even emerging markets, and some LDCs (Peng et al., 2008; Spiegel, 2007; Beamish and Lu, 2004).

Economic impact of globalization

By economic globalization (Gaburro and O'Boyle, 2003, p.97) mean the practice of economic agents (business enterprises, banks, and finance companies) working in different countries and serving the world market without a prevailing national base. These agents change their location between national territories on the basis of opportunities for growth and profit, and they grow not because they are supported or protected by the nation-state but through their own efforts (Nwokah, 2008). They carry out their economic affairs as if the boundaries which define the nation- state do not exist. Gaburro and O'Boyle (2003) observe that within economics, there are two perspectives regarding globalization. The one grounds the discourse in terms of the mainstream economics way of thinking which is widely embraced by Western academic economists. The other perspective, which most definitely is a minority view within economics, addresses globalization in terms of the personalist economics way of thinking. They argue that the first perspective regards itself as entirely value-free even though its own hard-core premises originate in the philosophies of individualism and utilitarianism. The second perspective according to (Gaburro and O'Boyle, 2003), originates in the philosophy of personalism, finds no fault with being value-laden because in the final analysis there is no other way to proceed in evaluating the economic globalization that is by definition a value-laden task.

Thus, according to Audretsch (2003), globalization has brought two important developments. The first is related to the reemergence of the importance of regions and proximity as units of economic activity, which have contributed to enhance more investigation about clusters and innovation. On the other hand, the innovativeness of firms is increasingly associated with high-tech innovative regional clusters. Awuah and Amal (2009) argue that the result of this association between innovation and regional proximity is related to a strong linkage between the competitiveness of firms and regions, which induce the policy makers to adopt more intensively a strategic management of regions as a response to the risks of changes in the production location: At the heart of the strategic management of placement has been the development and enhancementoffactorsofproductionthatcannotbetransferredacrossgeographicspaceatlow cost - principally, although not exclusively, knowledge and ideas (Audretsch, 2003, p. 16). Impact of globalization on human development

Simplice (2013, p.216) outlined and discussed some positive impacts of globalization on human development. He argues that better education harnesses the benefits of globalization; education and training become a priority (Lai, 2003). Increased quality of life through product availability: as in recent years countries that have opened their economies have experienced more poverty reduction (Dollar, 2001). Improvement in GDP: because the redistribution of resources increase overall

economic output (Rabbanee et al., 2010). Employment and income distribution: trade liberalization has a direct impact on the employment scenario and wage condition of a country (Rabbanee et al., 2010). Adopting Wood (1991) he argues that globalization could help in the Improvement in Human Development Index and gender equality.

Simplice (2013) went further to argue that globalization could also be an inhibitor of human development in the following dimensions: Reduction in government revenue: developing countries incur substantial reduction in revenue from tariffs compared to developed countries (Rabbanee et al., 2010, p. 4). . Negative impact on agriculture: since most developing countries are largely dependent on agriculture, but highly subsidized and mechanized agricultural produce from developed countries greatly hampers the domestic agricultural industry. Trade related aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR): IPR provisions of the WTO leads to the transfer of billions of dollars in royalties and licensing fees from developing to high income countries (Weibrot and Baker, 2002). Food security and impact on peasants: with farmers facing a situation where the cost of agricultural inputs is much higher than the actual returns they get from their production. Moreover, developing countries are flooded with cheap and highly subsidized Western agricultural imports and their agrarian economy is slowly being thrown out of gear.

Methodology

Two extreme points of view can be identified in the research methodology namely: quantitative and qualitative (Burrell and Morgan, 1978). A combination of these extremes is the mixed study (Tashakkori and Teddlie, 1998). Those who take the first approach (quantitative) argue that there is a similarity between social and natural phenomena and similar methods can be used to study both phenomena. They favour the positivistic quantitative methodology in social science research. Nwokah and Gladson-Nwokah (2013) argue that positivist epistemology is in essence based on the traditional approaches which dominate the natural sciences. They argue that positivists may differ in terms of detailed approach (Nwokah and Ahiauzu, 2010). Some would claim, for example, that hypothesized regularities can be verified by an adequate experimental research program.

This descriptive study adopted a correlational investigation to establish the differences or similarities (relationship) in the perceive impact of globalization on socio-economic development in the public and private sectors. The study variables were not manipulated or interfered into by the researcher. A survey research strategy in a noncontrived setting (private and public) was adopted.

The deductive approach which explanation calls for a universal generalisation, a statement of conditions under which the generalisation holds true an event to be explained (Bryman and Bell, 2003) was adopted with the positivist stance of research philosophy. This stance described as sociological positivism in essence, reflects the attempts to apply models and methods derived from the natural sciences to the study of human affairs (Nwokah and Gladson-Nwokah, 2012). A structured questionnaire was developed from the extant literature anchored by a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree was used. Types of data used in this study are discussed next.

Sampling

Two forms of sampling techniques exist – probability and non-probability (Saunders *et.al*, 2009). The probability sampling gives all members of the population an equally likely opportunity of being represented in the sample, whereas the non-probability also called judgemental do not give equal opportunity to all members of the population to be represented in the sample (Saunders *et.al*, 2009). Because of the nature of the respondents, the non-probability convenience sampling methods was adopted in this study.

Pilot Study Results

The study instrument with thirteen items was pre-tested for comprehensiveness, relevance and completeness. Two forms of pilot test were conducted. The first pilot test was carried out with the two staff of the private sector in Nigeria and the second with three staff in the Nigeria public sector. At the end of the first and second pilot tests, a refinement of the study instrument to enhance its suitability in the public sector was performed. Six items were considered inappropriate and were therefore expunged. Therefore, 7-items survive this stage of pilot testing.

Data Quality

After the survey had completed the reliability of the scales was further examined by computing their coefficient alpha (Cronbach Alpha). All scales were found to exceed a minimum threshold of 0.7 as recommended by Cronbach (1970); Nunnally (1978) and used in previous studies (Seeman and O'Hara, 2006; Nwokah and Maclayton, 2006). Convergent validity is also suggested when the individual variable scores are combined into a single scale to give a Cronbach Alpha of

0.89. The actual results of the scale reliability analysis of the socio-economic impact of globalization in Nigeria are reported in Table 1.

S/N	Item	Cronbach's Alpha
1	We have observed changes in the labor market as a consequence of globalization	.83
2	The skills, knowledge and attitudes of our employees have changed as a result of globalization	.83
3	Our staff are conscious to produce standard products that is marketed uniformly across the world	.85
4	We Source out all assets (not just product) on an optional basis, i.e, from wherever and whoever provided it competitively.	.84
5	We achieve market access in line with break-even volume of needed infrastructure	.85
6	We have the ability to contest the asset as much as products when circumstance requires, i.e. neutralizing the assets and competencies of global competitors	.88
7	We Provide all functions (or competencies) with global orientation even when they are primarily local in scope	.84

T-11-1 -1 -1		: . :	-1-1-1:	1- (222)
Table 1 shows the reliability	y measure of socio-econ	iomic impact of	giobalization	scale $(n=233)$

Table 1 summarizes the reliability result of the items of socio-economic impact of globalization. It is important to note that all items are reliable and is used to study the socio-economic impact of globalization in Nigeria.

Findings with Descriptive Statistics.

The descriptive analyses of socio-economic impact of globalization are expressed in a seven items that characterized the construct being measured. The descriptive studies of the various items are discussed next.

Globalization impact on socio-economic development in Nigeria

Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of people and culture as a dimension of customer experience.

Table 2 Globalization impact on socio-economic development in Nigeria (n=233)

S/N	Items	Mean	Std. Dev.
1	We have observed changes in the labor market as a consequence of globalization	3.42	1.21
2	The skills, knowledge and attitudes of our employees have changed as a result of globalization	4.01	0.74
3	Our staff are conscious to produce standard products that is marketed uniformly across the world	3.25	1.13
4	We Source out all assets (not just product) on an optional basis, i.e, from wherever and whoever provided it competitively.	2.90	0.93
5	We achieve market access in line with break-even volume of needed infrastructure	3.86	1.01
6	We have the ability to contest the asset as much as products when circumstance requires, i.e. neutralizing the assets and competencies of global competitors	4.27	0.83
7	We Provide all functions (or competencies) with global orientation even when they are primarily local in scope	3.26	1.22

*The scale; (1) strongly disagree, (5) strongly agree.

Table 2 indicates that the highest item that accounts for the impact of globalization on socio-economic development in Nigeria is the development of manpower skills, knowledge and attitude of employee. There appear to be positive impact of globalization as an agent of re-orientation on the attitudes of the work force of the Nigeria public and private sector in the work place. This is statistically shown in Table 2, the second item having a mean of 4.01 with a standard deviation of 0.74. The next section compares the impact of globalization on socio-economic development in both private and public sector.

Evaluation of differences in terms of impact of globalization on socio-economic in the public and private sectors in Nigeria.

One of the overall aims of this work is to evaluate the differences in globalization impact on socio-economic development in Nigeria private and public sectors. The results in Table 3 show the statistical evidence of this comparative study using independent sample t-test.

Table 3 shows the evaluation of globalization impact on socio-economic development in Nigeria private and public sectors:
Independent sample t-test (n=233)

Items		Grand		significant
	Sector	Mean	t-value	
We have observed changes in the labor market as a consequence	Public	2.91	-23.96	0.00*
of globalization	Private	3.85		
The skills, knowledge and attitudes of our employees have	Public	2.81	-19.08	0.00*
changed as a result of globalization	Private	3.75		
Our staff are conscious to produce standard products that is	Public	3.36	-3.94	0.00*
marketed uniformly across the world	Private	3.61		
We Source out all assets (not just product) on an optional basis,	Public	3.77	-3.12	0.02*
i.e, from wherever and whoever provided it competitively.	Private	3.91		
We achieve market access in line with break-even volume of needed infrastructure	Public	3.32	-6.20	0.00*
	Private	3.71		
We have the ability to contest the asset as much as products when circumstance	Public	2.12	-25.13	0.00*
requires, i.e. neutralizing the assets and competencies of global	Private	3.34		
competitors				
We Provide all functions (or competencies) with global orientation even when they are primarily local in scope	Public	2.14	-22.97	0.00*
orientation even when they are printarily local in scope	Private	3.44		

*significant at p<0.05

As can be seen from Table 3, all the Means of the items of impact of globalization on socio-economic development in Nigeria are different between both sectors with very high significant level and equal variances not assumed.

The evidence from Table 3 suggests that the extent of the impact of globalization on socio-economic development in Nigeria private sector differ completely from their public sector counterparts. The statistical evidences in Table 3 support the research hypothesis (H1) that "There is significant difference between the impact of globalization on socio-economic development in the Nigeria public and private sectors". It is possible by this evidence to argue that globalization has greater impact in the private sector than the public sector in Nigeria.

Summary

After the review of relevant literature in this study, it was observed that though, there have been some academic studies on the impact of globalization on different settings, but none has compared its impact in the public and private sectors in African developing nations in general and Nigeria in particular. Thus, this study has attempted to close this gap by studying the socioeconomic impact of globalization, a comparison of the private and public sector in Nigeria. The study adopted a quantitative approach to elicit responses from respondents who are considered as the key informants or having full knowledge of issues raised. Two forms of study were conducted and reported in this paper. The first was to identify the impact of globalization in the socio-economic development in Nigeria and secondly to assess these impacts in the private and public sectors. As can be seen in Table 2 of this paper, it appears that the highest item that accounts for the impact of globalization on socio-economic development in Nigeria is the development of manpower skills, knowledge and attitude of employee. There appear to be positive impact of globalization as an agent of re-orientation on the attitudes of the work force of the Nigeria public and private sector in the work place. To compare the socio-economic impacts of globalization in both private and public sectors in Nigeria, the Independent t-test was used and Mean difference was used as the basis of comparison. The statistical evidence in this study shows that the Nigeria private sector perceives greater impact of globalization on socio-economic development than the public sector. The study reveals that the major area at which globalization impact more in the socioeconomic of both Nigeria public and private sectors is on the development of skills, knowledge and attitudes of employees. Employees who are more focused on effects of globalization tend to improve much on skill acquisition, improvement in knowledge and enhancement in work attitudes.

Contributions of the study

This study has contributed in the growing number of literature in the impact of globalization on the socio-economic development in the private and public sectors. The study has shown that it is possible to develop a study instrument to test the impact of globalization on the socio-economic development in the public and private sectors, which therefore implies that it can also be replicated in any other sector.

Managerial implications

For managers, this study will help to build their knowledge on how best to manage develop staff to be globalization ready in thinking, attitudes and self-development, with the understanding that there are different competitors with different antecedents.

Further research areas

This study recommends further study in this topical area to be carried out separately in both sectors to confirm the comparative result found in this study. This study can also be replicated in other sectors in the developed and developing nations.

REFERENCES

Abdul Salam, M.O (2000). Trade Policy and export development. The Economist Vol. 4, 34-35.

Abubakar, D. (2001). Globalization, social sciences and Nigeria in the 21 st century. *The Nigerian Social Scientist*, Vol. 4, No. 1 pp.45-51

Adeniyi, O.B.E (1999) Education and National Development: *Journal of Art and Social Sciences*, Vol. I No.2, pp.56-63. Aina, T. A. (1996). Globalization and social policy in Africa. *CODESRIA Bulletin*, 4.

Ajayi, S.I. (2001). What Africa Needs to Do to Benefit From Globalization.

Ake, C. (1995). The new world order: The view from Africa, in Hans-Henrik and G Sovensen (eds), Whose world order: Uneven globalization and end of coldwar, London, West View Press.

Akinbobola, A. (1999). Globalization and economic development of emergent nation states: A Comparative study of Thailand, Kenya and Taiwan. *1998/99 MILD Journal and Year book*. Lagos.

Akinboye, S. O (2008) "Globalization and the challenge for Nigeria"s Development in 21stcentury" University of Lagos Nigeria.

Aninat, E. (2002). Surmounting the Challenges of Globalization.

Annan, .K. (2000). Globalization: Hope for the poor? An Address to the United Nations Security Council in New York.

Anya O. A. (2001). The challenge before the economy. The Post Express, Lagos.

Appadurai, A. (2001). Grassroots globalization and the research imagination, in A.Appadurai, (ed), *Globalization*, Durham: Duke University Press.

Audretsch, D.B (2003) "Entrepreneurship: A survey of the literature. Luxembourg, Belgiun.

Awake, (2002). Globalization:- Course or Cure Journal of Awake. May 22 pp. 1-14.

Awuah, G.B and Amal, M (2011) "Impact of globalization: The ability of less developed countries (LDCs') firms to cope with opportunities and challenges. *European Business Review*, Vol. 23 Iss: 1, pp.120 – 132

Ayorinde, F.O., O. Adenikinju and A. Adenikinju. (1998). The Impact of Trade Liberalizationon Technological Acquisition in Nigerian Manufacturing Sector.

Bayo, A. (2002). Globalization and Nigeria Economy The Economist 4, 36-37.

Beamish, P.W. and Lu, J.W (2004). Japanese perspectives of international Entrepreneurship. *Handbook of Research* on International Entrepreneurship, pp. 512-532

Bhagwati, J. and Srinivasan, T.N (2002). "Trade and Poverty in the Poor Countries ."American Economic Review, 92(2): 180-183.

Boateng, E.A (1990) Environmental Quality aspects of Development in O.S.Adepoke (ed) *The Environmental and Sustainable Development in Nigeria* (74-79) Nigeria FEPA.

Bobek, V. and vide, R.K (2005). "The signification and the feasibility of measuring globalization of economy", Industrial Management & Data Systems, Vol. 105 Iss: 5, pp.596 – 612

Bryman, A. and Bell, E. (2003): Business Research Methods Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Burell, G. and Morgan, G. (1979), Sociological Paradigms and Organizational Analysis, Heinemann Educational Books, London.

C.B.N, (2000) Foreign private investment in Nigeria (1998). *Economic and Financial Review 38*, No 579. CBN Economic and financial review, 1981 – 1986 *Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review*, 36(4): 340-351.

Clark, I. (1999). Globalization and international relations theory, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Collier, P. and D. Dollar. (2001). *Globalization, Growth and Poverty: Building an InclusiveWorld Economy*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crafts, N. (2000). Globalization and Growth in the Twentieth Century. $\acute{}$

Cronbach, L.J. (1970), Essentials of Psychological Testing, Harper & Row, New York, NY.

Crystal, .D. (1991) English as a Global Language. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Curry, G. D. (2000). Self-reported gang involvement and officially recorded delinquency. Criminology, 38, 1253-1274. Czinkota, M and Ronkainen, I, (2009) "Trend and Indications in International Business: Topics for future research", *Management International* Review, Vol. 49, No. 2, pp 249-265 Dani, R. (1999). The New Global Economic and the Developing Countries: Making Openness Work Baltimore, John Hopkin University Press. David K. (1997). "Macroaconomic Policy and Public Choice". International Review for Social Sciences. Vol. 50, Issue

David, K. (1997)."Macroeconomic Policy and Public Choice" International Review for Social Sciences. Vol. 50, Issue 3, pp. 428-429

David, V. (1999). Barrier or Benefits? Regulation Transatlantic Trade Washington, D.C Brookings Institute press

Debt Management Office (2001). Annual Report and Statement of Accounts for the year Ended 2001. Abuja: Debt Management Office.

Dlamini-Zuma, N. (2003). Speech given at the meeting of Experts on a Common

Donfunwa, .S.I. Englana (2000). Measuring the Economic impact of the Federal Government Budget: 1995-2000, *Economic and Financial Review* 38, (3), 1-32.

Dunning, J. H. (1993). Multinational enterprises and the global economy. Wokingham, Berkshire: Addison Wesley

Falodun, A.B, Omogiagor, N. and Ezeaku, L.C., (1997). Roundup Economics for Senior Secondary Certificate Examination, Lagos. Longman.

Francis, F. (2001). *Economic Globalization and Culture*. A discussion Organised by Merrill Lynch Forum. Fukuyama , F. (1992). *The end of history and the last man*, London: Hamish Hamilton.

Gaburro, G and O'Boyle, E. (2003) "Norms for evaluating globalization", *International Journal of Social Economics*. Vol. 30, No. 1/2 pp.95-118

Gary, .B. (1998). Globalization: Confronting fear About Open Trade: Washington, D.C Brookings Institute Press.

Held, D., McGrew, A., Goldblatt, D. and Perraton, J. (1999) Global Transformations: Politics, Economics and Culture. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Ibrahim, J. (2002). Notes on globalization and the marginalisation of Africa. CODESRIA Bulletin, 3 and 4.

Idode, J.B (1997). Rural Development as bureaucracy in Nigeria. Lagos: Longman Press.

Islam, A. (1999). Globalization and Development Revisited in the Light of AsianExperience. *Asia - Pacific Development Journal*, Vol 6No.2, pp. 1-21.

James, L.C. (1997).Is Global Capitalism Working? New York.: Council of Foreign Affairs. *Journal of Economic Management*, Vol. 5 No.2 pp. 89-122.

Kolawolebashir, O (2010) "Growth, effects of macroeconomics stability factors: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. Developing Country Studies, Vol. 14, No. 47, pp 55-67

Kwanashie, M. (1998). The Concept and Process of Globalization.

Kwanashie, M. (1999). Concepts and dimensions of globalization, in Nigerian Economic Society, *Globalization and Nigeria's economic development*, Ibadan: NES.

Lankas, H.P. (2002). Market Access for Developing Countries. Finance and Development, 39 (3): 8-13.

Lipalile, M. (2001). Globalization, regional integration and development in Southern Africa, in Eddy Maloka (ed), A United States of Africa, Pretoria: Africa Institute of South Africa.

Madunagu, E. (1999). Globalization and its victims, in *The Guardian*, Lagos.

Masson, P. (2001). Globalisation: Facts and Figures. *IMF Policy Discussion Paper No. 4*, Washington: International Monetary Fund.

McGuirk, A. (2002). The Doha Development Agenda. *Finance and Development*

Mittleman J.H. (1996). How does globalization work?" in Mittleman J. H (ed), *Globalization: Critical reflection*, Boulder, Colorado.

Mittleman, J. (2000). The Globalization syndrome: Transformation and resistance, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Nabudere, D. (2000). Globalization, the African post-colonial state, post traditionalism and the new world order, in D.

Nabudere (ed), Globalization and the post-colonial African state, Harare: AAPS Books.

Ninsin K. A (2000). Globalization and the future of Africa. AAPS Occasional paperseries, 4, (No.1)

Nunnally, J.C. (1978), Psychometric Theory, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Nwana, O.C. (1998). Relevance of the Aims and Objectives of Nigeria Education to National Development in K. Isiyaku, M.A.G Akale, Mariyanga .A.A and M. Olokun (eds). *Vision and Mission of Education in Nigeria: The Challenge of the 21st Century* (14-35) Kaduna: National Commission for College of Education.

Nwokah, N.G and Ahiauzu, A.I (2010), Marketing in governance: emotional intelligence leadership for effective corporate governance. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*. 10 (2), 150-162.

Nwokah, N.G and Gladson-Nwokah, J (2012) Strategic use of Database Marketing for Marketing Decision-Making, *Research Journal of Information Technology*. 4 (2), 27-37.

Nwokah, N.G and Gladson-Nwokah, J (2013), Delivery customer experience management in the UK and Nigeria aviation industry. *Interdisciplinary Research Journal*. 3 (2), 72-100

Nwokah, N.G. and Ahiauzu, A.I. (2008), "Managerial Competencies and Marketing Effectiveness in Corporate Organizations in Nigeria". *The Journal of Management Development*. Vol. 27. No.8, pp. 858-878

Nwokah, N.G, (2008), Marketing in governance: leader-managerial practices for efficiency in competency-based administration and transformational marketing model, *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*. 8 (1), 18-27.

Nwokah, N.G. and Maclayton, D.W. (2006), "Customer focus and business performance the study of food and beverages organizations in Nigeria", *Measuring Business Excellence*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 65-76.

O'Rourke, K. H. (2002). Globalization and Inequality: Historical Trends['], (pp. 39-67) in B.Pleskovic and N. Stern. (ed.), *Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics 2002*: Washington: The World Bank.

Obadan, M. I. (1998). Managing the Nigerian Economy into the Next Millennium: Strategies and Policies. *Journal of Economic Management*, 5(1): 1-38.

Obadan, M. I. (2001). Africa and the Challenge of Globalization: How Should the Continent Respond? Nigerian Journal of Economic and Financial Review, 6(2): 35-42.

Ocampo, J. O. (2003). Globalization and Development, Washington: The World Bank.

Okobiah, .O.S (1984). The Nature and Element of national Development and Integration In L.O.S Okobiah and E.N.H Udo and Integration (5-17).

Olaloku, F.A. (1979). Structure of the Nigerian economy, London.

Onimode, B. (2003). Unequal Exchange, External Debt and Capacity for Development Oriented Policies in African Countries', (pp. 39-56) in A. Garba (ed.), *Development Thought, Policy Advice and Economic Development in Africa in the 20th Century: Lessons for the 21st Century:* Ibadan: Ibadan University Press.

Onwioduokit, E.A and Ashinze, J.O (1996). Economics Growth and Foreign Debt; A case study of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review 34, No 1 pp. (501-523)

Onwioduokit, E.A and Ashinze, J.O (1996). Economics Growth and Foreign Debt; A case study of Nigeria *Economic and Financial Review 34*, 1, (501-523)

Onwuka, E.C. (1998). Contemporary International Economic Relations, Benin: Razjel Interbiz

Onyekpe, N.J.G. (2001).Globalization and liberalization of the world economy: Concepts, historical development and implications for the less developed countries and labour movement, in Sylvester Odion-Akhaine (ed), *Globalization, United Nations and the fringe players*, Lagos: CENCOD.

Oputa, N.C (1996). Cho Tae-Hyon: Foreign Direct Investment in Korea: Recent Trends and Changes to improve the Investment Environment *Economic and Financial Review 34*, 1, (541-542).

Oriakhi, F. (2001). Globalization as imperialism : The Nigerian experience, in Sylvester Odion-Akhaine (ed), *Globalization, United Nations and the fringe players*, Lagos: CENCOD.

Orunmoluyi, T.P (2000). Globalization: A Millenium Challenge for Nigeria Banks: The Economics 4, 31-32.

Osaghae, E. (1998). *Crippled giant: Nigeria since independence*. London: C. Hurst and Company (Publishing) Limited. Paulo, R.P (1998). Information technology: Panacea or Peril UNU

Paulo, R.P (1998). Information technology: Panacea or Peril UNU Work in Progress 15,1.

Peng, M.W., D. Wang, and Y. Jiang. (2008). "An Institution-Based View of International Business Strategy: A Focus on Emerging Economies." Journal of International Business Studies 39: 920-936.

Peter, S (2002) "Interpretive Activism and the Political Uses of Verdi's Operas in the 1840s," *American Sociological Review*, Issue 67, pp. 345-66.

Peters, B.G and Pierre, J. (2006) Handbook of Public Policy, Saga Publications Ltd

Piasecki, R. and Wolnicki, M (2004) "The evolution of development economics and globalization", International Journal of Social Economics, Vol. 31 Iss: 3, pp.300 – 314

Rabbanee, K; Haque, F., Mohammad, H and Fuad, H (2010) "Globalization and human development realities and recommendations for development countries. *Journal of Asian Affairs*. Vol. 30, No. 1 pp. 32-49

Rodrik, D. (1999). The New Global Economy and Developing Countries: Making OpennessWork. *Policy Essay No. 2*, Washington: Overseas Development Council.

Sachs, J. (2000). A New Global Consensus of Helping the Poorest of the Poor^{*}, (pp. 39-47) inB. Pleskovic and N. Stern, (eds.), *Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics 2000*: Washington: The World Bank

Salimono, A. (1999). *Globalization and Challenges*. A Paper Presented at the International Summit on Globalization as problem of development in Harana Cuba. Jan.18-22.

Sartre, J. (1976), Critique of Dialectical Reason, Vol. 1, New Left Books, London.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P and Thornhill. (2009) Research Methods for business students Prentice Hall.

Scholte, J. (2000). Globalization : A critical introduction, London: Macmillan Press.

Schurtz, A. (1967), trans. by Walsh, G. and Lehnert, F., Northwestern University Press, Evanston, IL.

Seeman, E. and O'Hara, M. (2006), "Customer relationship management in higher education, using information systems to improve the student-school relationship", *Campus-wide Information Systems*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 24-34.

Sekaran U. (2003): Research Methods for Business John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Selden, M and Grove, L. (2008). China, East Asia and the Global Economy: Regional and historical perspectives. London: Routledge.

Simplice, A. A (2013) "African Stock Market Performance Dynamics: A Multidimensional Convergence Assessment. *Journal of African Business*, Vol. 14, Issue 3, pp. 186-201.

Spiegel, G.M (2007) The past/revisiting the present: How change happens in historiography. *History and Theory*, Vol. 46, Issue 4, pp. 1-19.

Symonides, J. (ed). (1998). Human Rights: New dimensions and challenges, Dartmouth, Dartmouth Publishing Company.

Tandon, Y. (2000). Globalization and Africa's options, in Dani W. Nabudere, (ed), *Globalization and the post-colonial African state*, Harare: AAPS

Tashakkori, A and Teddlie, C. (1998) Mixed Methodology: Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. Thousand Oats, Saga.

Toyo, E.(2000). *Background to globalization*. Ibadan: ASUU Publication series. UNDP, National human development reports for Nigeria, 2000/2001.

UNCTAD (2002b). "Improving the competitiveness of SMEs through enhancing productive capacity", TD/B/COM.3/51.

UNCTAD (2003a). Investment and technology policies for competitiveness: review of successful country experiences, UNCTAD Technology for Development Series, United

Usman, S. (1999). Implications of globalization for the Nigerian economy, in Nigerian Economic Society, *Globalization and Nigeria's economic development*. Ibadan: NES.

Wade, A., (1997). Globalization and its limits, Washington D.C: Brooking Institution Press.

Weibrot, M., and Baker, D., (2002), "The Relative Impact of Trade Liberalization on Developing Countries", Center for Economic and Policy Research Working Paper.

Whitley, B. (1996), Principles of Research in Behavioral Science, Mayfield Publishing, Mountain View, CA.

William, C., (1996). International Economic Organization and the Third World. London. Manchester Wheatsheaf Ltd.,

Yakubu, .N. (1999). Millenium and GLOBALIZATION: What is in it for us? Crystal International News Magazine 1 No 6 Abuja: Heritage pp.35.

Yaqub, N. (2003). Review of the 2000/2001 National human development report, in *The Nigerian social scientist*, 6,(No.1) Yin, R.K (2003) *Case study research design and methods* (3rd edn). Thousand Oats, Saga.

Yusuf, A. A. (1994). Africa : A case for mass democratic participation, in Omoruyi, Omo et al, *Democratization in Africa : African Perspective*. Abuja: C.D.S

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

