

Agro Physiological Characteristics of QPM Genotypes As Influenced by Irrigation and Plant Population in a Semi Arid Region of Nigeria

B.M. Sani¹, I.U. Abubakar², A.M. Falaki², H. Mani² and M.M. Jaliya¹

¹Agricultural Engineering & Irrigation Department, National Agricultural Extension & Research Liaison Services, NAERLS, Ahmadu Bello University, PMB 1067, Zaria, Nigeria

¹ Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture/Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, PMB 1044, Zaria, Nigeria

Corresponding author email: bashirsani@yahoo.com; Telephone: +234-806-813-4944

Abstract

Maize is the agronomic grass species that is most sensitive to variations in plant population. An experiment was conducted to assess the response of agrophysiological characters of QPM genotypes to plant population under irrigated conditions in a semi arid ecology of Northern Nigeria. Field trials were conducted at the Irrigation Research Station, Institute for Agricultural Research, Kadawa (11° 39'N, 08° 20'E) and 500m above sea level) during 2007, 2008 and 2009 dry seasons to study the effect of (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes (TZE-W Pop X 1368, EV-DT W99 STR and DMR-ESRW), four plant population (33,333, 44,444, 55,555 and 66,666 plants ha⁻¹) and three irrigation scheduling (40, 60 and 80 centibars soil moisture tension) on the growth and yield of quality protein maize. A split plot design was used with combinations of genotypes and irrigation regimes assigned to the main plot and plant population assigned to the sub-plot. The treatments were replicated three times. The study revealed that genotype EV-DT W99 STR had significantly higher relative growth rate, crop growth rate and net assimilation rate. Increase in plant population significantly decreased leaf area index and net assimilation rate. Delayed irrigation significantly depressed total dry matter production. Based on the results obtained in this study, it can be concluded that the use of genotype EV-DT W99 STR, at 60 centibars irrigation scheduling and 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ had resulted in good agrophysiological characters of QPM at Kadawa.

Keywords: Quality protein maize genotypes, plant population, irrigation, agrophysiological characteristics

Introduction

Maize is the agronomic grass species that is most sensitive to variations in plant population. For each production system, there is a population that maximizes grain yield. The importance of plant population as a factor determining growth and yield of early maize cultivars has been established (Gretzmacher, 1979; Zarogiannis, 1979; Bavec, 1988). Maize varieties grown respond differently to various agro management practices especially plant population in the form of different agro-physiological parameters. This variable response is mainly due to differences in agro-physiological parameters such as leaf area index, relative growth rate, net assimilation rate, relative growth rate etc. Maize grown in Nigeria has traditionally been conventional maize varieties. With improvements in maize breeding, Quality Protein Maize (QPM), a new class of maize was developed at Purdue University, USA, in 1963. QPM combines the nutritional excellence of Opaque-2 maize (whose protein content is twice that of normal maize) with the kernel structure of conventional maize varieties (Vassal *et al.*; 1993). There is a dearth of research on the performance of QPM genotypes under irrigated conditions in semi arid regions of Nigeria. QPM production is being promoted across Nigeria mainly in areas where it is grown in the wet season. Most QPM genotypes were bred under rain fed conditions. The yield potential in the savanna ecology is higher compared to the wetter (Forest) and drier (Sahel) environments (Kassam *et al.*, 1975) due to adequate moisture, low disease incidence, low night temperatures and high solar radiation. With early maturing genotypes developed, production of such QPM genotypes under irrigation is a possibility as moisture supply is not limiting and the ecology's agro-climatological characteristics permit such production. This study was therefore conducted to study the effect of high plant population on agrophysiological characters of QPM genotypes under irrigated conditions in a semi arid ecology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted under irrigation during 2007, 2008 and 2009 dry seasons at the Kadawa Irrigation Research Sub-Station of the Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. The site is located in the Sudan Savanna ecological zone of Nigeria (11° 39'N, 08° 20'E and 500m above sea level). The area has a cool dry season that has the north-eastern winds, which are cool and contain dust blown from the Sahara Desert. The minimum temperature ranges between 11°C - 18°C in the cool months (November to March) with maximum temperatures of 25°C - 40°C in the warmer months (April to October) which is ideal for

cultivation of wide variety of crops in the dry season. The soils are, in general, moderately deep and well drained with sandy loam textured surface and sandy clay loam textured subsoil. The treatments consisted of three QPM genotypes (TZE-W Pop x 1368, EV DT-W 99 STR, and DMR-ESRW) three irrigation scheduling regimes (40, 60 and 80 centibars soil moisture tension) and four plant population (33,333 44,444, 55,555 and 66,666 plants ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in a split plot design in which a factorial combination of genotype and irrigation scheduling were assigned to the main plot and plant population density was assigned to the sub-plots and replicated three times. Planting was done on February 14, in 2007, February 21 in 2008 and February 17 in 2009 respectively. The inter row spacing was 75cm whereas the intra row spacing used was 40cm (33,333 plants/ha) 30cm (44,444 plants/ha) 24cm (55,555 plants/ha) and 20cm (66,666 plants/ha) respectively in order to achieve the desired plant population. The QPM genotypes used for the study were TZE-W Pop x 1368 (Open pollinated, white seeded, early maturing, tolerant to *Striga hermonthica*), EV DT-W 99 STR (Open pollinated, white seeded, early maturing, tolerant to *Striga hermonthica*), and DMR-ESRW (Open pollinated, white seeded, early maturing, tolerant to *Striga hermonthica* and downy mildew). Furrow method of irrigation was used in supplying water to the crop. Irrigation treatment was imposed beginning from 4 WAS. The irrigation was at 40, 60 and 80 centibars soil moisture tension. A tensiometer was installed at each main plot for the purpose of taking the reading. Weeds were controlled with the use of a pre-emergence herbicide; a mixture of metalachlor + atrazine (2:1) was applied at the rate of 1.5kg ai/ha (4 l/ha) supplemented by hoe weeding at 6 WAS in the experimental plots and around the field. Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 120kgN, 26kgP and 50kgK per hectare respectively. Half the N and all P and K were applied at two weeks after sowing by side placement 8-10cm away from the base of the plant stands. At 6 WAS, the other half of N was applied by side placement 8-10cm away from the base of the plant stands and followed by irrigation.

The following parameters were computed as indicated:

Leaf area index (LAI)

The product of the length and breadth was multiplied by a factor (0.75) to calculate the leaf area (Watson, 1937). The leaf area obtained from the individual leaves was added and divided by the number of plants sampled to obtain LAI. The leaf area per plant was then multiplied by the number of plants/m² and divided by the land area covered by the plant (Duncan and Hasket, 1968).

$$L = \frac{A}{P}$$

Where: L = Leaf area index

A = Assimilatory surface

P = Certain ground surface

Crop growth rate (g/m²/week)

This was determined at 8 and 12 WAS from the five sampled plants after being oven dried to a constant weight. The following formula was used (Watson, 1952).

$$CGR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{1}{G_A} = \text{g/m}^2/\text{week}$$

Where W₁ and W₂ refer to the whole plant dry weight on two successive times
 t₁ and t₂, G_A refers to land area covered by the plant.

Relative Growth Rate (g/g/wk)

This was calculated at 8 and 12 WAS. It was determined after oven drying the sampled plants to a constant weight using the formula; (Fisher, 1921).

$$RGR = \frac{\text{Loge}W_2 - \text{Loge}W_1}{t_2 - t_1} = \text{g/g/wk}$$

where W₁ and W₂ refer to the whole plant dry weight on two successive times
 t₁ and t₂.

Net assimilation rate (g/cm²/wk)

This was determined at 8 and 12 WAS. The leaf area of each sampled plant was calculated by measuring the length of each leaf and breadth which was measured from the widest portion of the leaf, then the product of length and breadth was multiplied by a factor (0.75). After that the dry weight of each sampled plant was then determined and the net assimilation rate was calculated using the relation or formula (Gregory, 1926).

$$NAR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{\text{loge}L_2 - \text{loge}L_1}{L_2 - L_1} = \text{g/cm}^2/\text{wk}$$

where W_1 and W_2 are initial and final dry weights. L_1 and L_2 are the initial and final leaf area indices and t_2 and t_1 are the length of time interval.

Harvesting was carried out when the cobs have dried enough and the leaf sheath have turned brown in colour. The data collected were statistically analysed using the SAS software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The trend of net assimilation rate (NAR) and Crop Growth rate (CGR) of QPM genotypes is shown in Table 1 and indicate that for both parameters, in 2007 and 2009, genotype EV-DT W99 STR QPM had significantly higher NAR than genotypes TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM and DMR-ESRW QPM except in 2007 when genotype DMR-ESRW QPM had significantly lower NAR and CGR values respectively. Irrigation scheduling and plant population had no significant effect on both NAR and CGR. Relative Growth Rate (RGR) was significantly affected by genotype in 2007 and 2009 as shown in Table 1. The result indicate that genotype EV-DT W99 STR QPM had significantly higher RGR than genotypes TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM and DMR-ESRW QPM except in 2007 when genotype DMR-ESRW QPM had significantly lower RGR values respectively than the other two genotypes respectively. Plant population significantly RGR where plant population of 33,333 plants ha⁻¹ had significantly higher RGR values than plant population of 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ but was statistically similar to RGR values of 44,444 plants ha⁻¹ and 66,666 plants ha⁻¹ respectively.

Table 1: Effects of genotype, irrigation scheduling and plant population on Net Assimilation Rate (NAR), Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) of QPM genotypes at harvest in 2007, 2008 and 2009 dry season at Kadawa.

Treatment	Net Assimilation Rate			Crop Growth Rate (CGR)			Relative Growth Rate (RGR)		
	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009
Genotype									
TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM	12.68b	13.71	14.89b	12.68b	13.71	14.89b	155.29c	175.33	159.55b
EV-DT W99 STR QPM	13.96a	13.74	16.56a	13.96a	13.74	16.56a	171.33a	168.83	172.92a
DMR-ESRW QPM	11.70c	14.07	15.50b	11.70c	14.07	15.50b	164.15b	162.38	160.87b
SE (±)	0.17	0.20	0.36	0.17	0.20	0.36	2.30	2.99	3.43
Significance									
Irrigation scheduling (I)									
40 centibars	12.64	13.67	15.34	12.64	13.67	15.34	164.31	164.71	170.08
60 centibars	12.75	13.78	15.99	12.75	13.78	15.99	163.72	169.45	173.56
80 centibars	12.95	14.07	15.03	12.95	14.07	15.03	170.30	169.20	177.70
SE (±)	0.17	0.20	0.36	0.17	0.20	0.36	2.30	2.99	3.43
Plant population (P)									
33,333 plants ha ⁻¹	12.85	13.95	15.65	12.85	13.95	15.65	172.18a	171.52a	174.71a
44,444 plants ha ⁻¹	12.69	13.75	15.29	12.69	13.75	15.29	161.38ab	173.90ab	161.91ab
55,555 plants ha ⁻¹	12.76	13.78	15.03	12.76	13.78	15.03	158.12b	179.25b	162.91b
66,666 plants ha ⁻¹	12.83	13.89	15.84	12.83	13.89	15.84	165.68ab	171.52ab	163.52ab
SE (±)	0.17	0.20	0.35	0.17	0.20	0.35	2.93	3.53	4.52
Interaction									
G x I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	**	*
G x P	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
I x P	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column and treatment group are statistically similar using DMRT

NS - Not significant
 * - Significant at 5%

Total dry matter per plant

The effects of genotype, irrigation scheduling and plant population on Total dry matter per plant (g) of QPM genotypes at harvest during the study period is shown in Table 1. The results indicate that genotypic differences significantly influenced dry matter accumulation across the three years of study. In 2007 and 2009, genotype

DMR-ESRW QPM recorded statistically lower TDM/plant than the other two genotypes. In 2008, genotype EV-DT W99 STR QPM recorded significantly higher TDM/plant than TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM but was statistically similar to DMR-ESRW QPM. This could be due to some inherent genetic and physiological differences that exist between the varieties. Growth characters are genetically controlled and to some extent influenced by the environment. Irrigation scheduling had a significant effect on TDM/plant throughout the course of the study. The result indicates that irrigating at 80 centibars resulted in significantly lower TDM/plant than irrigating at 40 and 60 centibars respectively over the course of the study period. The result indicated that plant population had no significant effect on TDM/plant throughout the course of the study.

Leaf area index (LAI)

The effects of genotype, irrigation scheduling and plant population on Leaf area index (LAI) at 8 WAS during the study period is shown in Table 2. The results indicate that genotype significantly influenced leaf area index in 2007 and 2009. In 2007 and 2009, genotype EV-DT W99 STR QPM recorded statistically higher LAI than the other two genotypes. This could be due to some inherent genetic and physiological differences that exist between the genotypes. Growth characters are genetically controlled and to some extent influenced by the environment.

Table 2: Effects of genotype, irrigation scheduling and plant population on Leaf area index (LAI) and Total dry matter per plant (g) of QPM genotypes at harvest in 2007, 2008 and 2009 dry season at Kadawa.

Treatment	Leaf area index			Total dry matter per plant (g)		
	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009
Genotype						
TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM	2.80b	2.64	3.47b	231.98b	215.48b	375.79b
EV-DT W99 STR QPM	3.88a	2.86	5.26a	283.25a	267.35a	523.08a
DMR-ESRW QPM	2.93b	3.00	3.51b	161.71c	276.58a	298.39c
SE (\pm)	0.16	0.12	0.02	11.95	13.02	21.66
Irrigation scheduling (I)						
40 centibars	3.22	2.88	4.14	242.20a	272.50a	432.83a
60 centibars	3.38	3.02	4.29	232.57a	262.75a	410.05a
80 centibars	3.03	2.60	3.81	202.16b	224.16b	354.37b
SE (\pm)	0.16	0.12	0.02	11.95	13.02	21.66
Plant population (P)						
33,333 plants ha ⁻¹	3.43	3.05a	4.36	228.33	259.73	410.13
44,444 plants ha ⁻¹	3.17	2.95ab	4.01	231.54	256.96	407.25
55,555 plants ha ⁻¹	3.30	2.82ab	4.28	219.91	250.61	393.37
66,666 plants ha ⁻¹	2.98	2.51b	3.69	222.79	245.24	385.59
SE (\pm)	0.19	0.16	0.02	8.17	9.42	14.88
Interaction						
G x I	NS	NS	NS	*	**	*
G x P	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	NS
I x P	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column and treatment group are statistically similar using DMRT

NS - Not significant
 * - Significant at 5%

Irrigation scheduling had no significant effect on LAI throughout the course of the study. The result indicates that plant population significantly influenced LAI only in 2008 where plant population of 33,333 plants ha⁻¹ had significantly higher LAI values than plant population of 66,666 plants ha⁻¹ but was statistically similar to LAI values of 55,555 and 44,444 plants ha⁻¹ respectively.

Discussion

Genotype EV-DTW99STR QPM had significantly higher relative growth rate and net assimilation rate. Genotype TZE-W Pop X 1368 QPM however, exhibited shorter days to 50% tasseling and silking. Genotype DMR-ESRW QPM did not exhibit any superior traits over the other two genotypes. Many workers have reported

growth and yield differentials among different maize varieties and genotypes (Hamidu 1999, Bello 2001, Ogunbodede *et al.*, 2001, Mani 2004; Abdulai *et al.*, 2007, Abdelmula and Sabiel, 2007, Sharifi and Taghizadeh, 2009 and Badu-Apraku and Fontem-Lum 2010). Varying irrigation scheduling had significant effects on many growth parameters assessed. The results of the study indicated that irrigating at the less stressful 0.4 and 0.6 centibars significantly increased crop growth rate and days to 50% tasseling and silking. This may be due to abundant moisture supply which enabled the crop to respond to this growth resource favourably which resulted in good growth. This supported the observation reported by Ibrahim and Kandil (2007). The significant response to irrigation during the vegetative phase of growth may be due to the fact that the roots were still developing, and hence had not reached deeper to tap moisture in the lower soil layers and this meant that varying irrigation could affect the crop performance.

Increasing plant population from 33,333 to 44,444 and 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ produced similar NAR at 8 weeks after sowing in the first two years, but significantly decreased the net assimilation rate per plant at 66,666 plants ha⁻¹. Similarly, during the first two years, at 12 WAS, 44,444 plants ha⁻¹ had significantly lower NAR than the other treatments. In 2009, increasing plant population from 33,333 to 66,666 plants ha⁻¹ resulted in significantly lower NAR at both sampling periods. This may be due to the influence of leaf area per plant. The lower plant population may have had larger leaf surfaces resulting in higher leaf area per plant and thus higher net assimilation rates than the higher population treatments. It may also be due to proportionally less increase in dry matter accumulation per unit area as compared to increase in LAI. This result is in line with the findings of Ahmad alias (2010), Ma *et al.*, (2007), Maddonni *et al.*, (2001), Mohsan (1999) and Naeem (1998) who stated that increasing plant population decreased net assimilation rate. Leaf area index, LAI, was also significantly affected by variation in plant population. The results of this study indicated that increasing plant population resulted in significantly higher LAI at 33,333, 44,444 and 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ which had similar LAI but were significantly higher than 66,000 plants/ha respectively. Many workers have reported an increase in LAI as plant population is increased (Sulewaska, 1990; Dwyer *et al.*, 1991, Eseche *et al.*, 1993, Hamidu, 1999, Ahmad alias *et al.*, 2010, Amanullah *et al.*, 2010). This may be due to the fact that since the estimation of LAI is based on LAI/plant, the aggregate sum of the various plants will translate into higher LAI for the more numerous plant populations. The higher the population, the more leaves produced and the greater the leaf area index (LAI). Nunez and Kamprath (1973) and Hunter *et al.*, (1975) found that the total LAI increased linearly with increases in population from 34,000 to 69,000 plants ha⁻¹, after which the LAI would start to decrease. Similarly Luque *et al.*, 2006 and Liu *et al.*, 2004, reported that increase in plant population tends to decrease LAI per plant but increase LAI per unit area. Increase in plant population may cause significant reduction in leaf area per plant due to small leaf size. However, ground area per plant is decreased more steeply with increasing number of plants per unit area thus leading to an increase in LAI. Furthermore, increase in light interception at high than at low plant population may lead to higher LAI.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed a significant response to variations in plant population by many of the parameters. Increase in plant population significantly increased net assimilation rate and days to 50% tasselling, amongst the growth factors. Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that the use of genotype EV-DT W99 STR QPM, 60 centibars irrigation schedule and 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ had resulted in good agro-physiological characters of quality protein maize at Kadawa. Further studies may be necessary to determine the appropriate fertilizer rate which may increase the yield under irrigated conditions.

References

- Abdelmula, A.A. and S.A.I. Sabiel (2007) Genotypic and differential response of growth and yield of some maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes to drought stress. In proceedings of the 'Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development'. University of Kassel-Witzenhausen and University of Gottingen, Germany. October 9-11, 2007
- Abdulai, M.S., P.Y.K. Sallah and O. Safo-Kantanka (2007). Maize grain yield stability analysis in full season lowland maize. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*. 9(1): 41-45.
- Ahmad alias, M., H.A. Buksh, R. Ahmad, A.U. Malik, S. Hussain and M. Ishaque (2010). Agro-physiological traits of three maize hybrids as influenced by varying plant density. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*. 20(1): 34-39

- Amanullah, M., M. Asif, K. Nawab, Z. Shah, M. Hassan, A.Z. Khan, S.K. Khalil, Z. Hussain, M. Tariq and H. Rahman (2010). Impact of planting density and P-fertilizer source on the growth analysis of maize. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42(4): 2349-2357
- Badu-Apraku, B. and A. Fontem-Lum (2010). Grain yield response of normal and quality protein maize cultivars in stress and non stress environments. *Agron J.* 102: 381-394
- Bavec, F. (1988). Genotipske karakteristike i prinos hibrida kukuruza u zavivosti od gustine sklopa u uslovina Podravljja (Slovenija). *Savremena poljoprivreda* 36, 476-481
- Bello, I. (2001). Effect of plant population and sowing date on the growth, yield and yield components of Quality Protein Maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties in Samarau. Unpublished M.Sc Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria: 122pp.
- Duncan, W.G. (1972). Plant spacing, density, orientation and light relationship as related to different corn genotypes. Proceedings of the 27th Annual Corn and Sorghum Research Conference, American Seed Trade Association, Washington, DC.
- Duncan, N.G and J.D. Hasketh (1968). Net photosynthesis rates, relative growth rates and leaf number, 22 Rates of Maize at Eight Temperatures. *Crop Science*: 8.
- Dwyer, L.M., M. Tollenaar and D.W. Stewart (1991). Changes in plant density dependence of leaf photosynthesis of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 71: 1-11
- Esechie, H.A. (1992). Effect of plant population on growth and yield of irrigated maize in Batinah West region of Oman. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 119(2): 165-169.
- Fisher, P.A. (1921). Some remarks on the methods formulated in a recent article on the quantitative analysis of plant growth. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 7: 367-372.
- Gregory, F.G. (1926). Determination of Net Assimilation Rate (NAR). *Annals of Botany* 40 (165) pp 26.
- Gretzmacher, R. (1979). Die Beeinflussung des morphologischen Ertragsaufbaues und der Ertragsleitung durch den Standraum bei Kornermais. *Die Bodenkultur.* 30, 256-280.
- Hamidu, H.A. (1999). Performance of quality protein maize (QPM) at varying levels of NPK fertilizer and plant density in savanna zones of Nigeria. Unpublished M.Sc Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria: 81pp
- Hunter, R.R., L.W. Kannenberg and E.E. Gamble (1975). Performance of maize hybrids in varying plant population and row width. *Agron J.* 62: 255-256
- Ibrahim, S.A. and H. Kandil (2007). Growth, yield and chemical constituents of corn (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization under different irrigation intervals. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research* 3(10): 1112-1120
- Kassam, A.H., J.M. Kowal, M. Dagg, and M.N. Harrison (1975). Maize in West Africa its potential in the savanna area. *World crops* 17: 75-78.
- Kohnke, H., and R.S. Miles (1951). Rates and patterns of seeding corn on high fertility land. *Agron. J.* 43: 488-493
- Ma, G.S., J.Q. Xue, H.D. Lue, R.H. Zhang, S.J. Tai and J.H. Ren (2007). Effects of planting date and plant density on population physiological indices of summer corn (*Zea mays* L.) in Central Shanxi irrigation area. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology.* 18(6): 1247-1253.
- Maddonna, G.A., M.E. Otegui and A.G. Grillo (2001). Plant population density, row spacing and hybrid effects on maize canopy architecture and light attenuation. *Field Crops Research.* 71:183-193
- Mani, H. (2004). Growth and yield performance of two popcorn (*Zea mays* everta) varieties to rate of NPK fertilizer under different irrigation interval Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria: 98 – 99.
- Mohsan, S. (1999). Population dynamics and nitrogen management effects on maize productivity. Ph.D dissertation, Dept. Agronomy, Univ. Agric. Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Naeem, M. (1998). Biological efficiency of maize as influenced by plant population and split application of nitrogen. Ph.D dissertation, Dept. Agronomy, Univ. Agric. Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Nunez, R. and E. Kamprath (1969). Relationship between nitrogen responses, plant population and row width on growth and yield of corn. *Agron J.* 61: 279-283
- Ogunbodede, B.A., S.R. Ajibade and S.A. Olakojo (2001). Grain yield stability of new maize varieties in Nigeria. *African Crop Sc. J.* 9 (4): 685-691
- Ogunlela, V.B., G.M. Amoruwa and O.O. Ologunde (1988). Growth, yield and micronutrient nutrition of field grown maize (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by nitrogen fertilization and plant density. *Fertilizer Research* 17: 189-196

- Ologunde, M.S. (1974). Effects of nitrogen and population on yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) M.Sc. Thesis, University of Missouri Columbia 175.
- Sharifi, R.S. and R. Taghizadeh (2009). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars to different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*. 7 (3&4): 518-521
- Sulewaska, H. (1990). The effect of plant population and its distribution on growth and morphological characteristics of maize. *Prace komisjii Nank Rolniczych I komisjii Nank lesnych* (1990). Pub. (1991). 69: 129 – 142. Referred to in maize abstracts. Vol.10(2): 122
- Vassal, S.K., G. Srinivisan S. Pandey, F. Gonzalez, J. Crossa, and D.L. Beck (1993). Heterosis and combining ability of CIMMYT's protein maize germplasm. *Lowland Tropical Science* 33: 46-51.
- Watson, D.J. (1937). The estimation of leaf area of field crops. *Journal of Agric Science*. 27: 474-483
- Watson, D.J. (1952). A physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advances in agronomy*. 4: 101-145
- Zarogiannis, V. (1979). Beregnung und Standraum bei mais (*Zea mays* L.). *Die Bodenkultur* 30, 281-303

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Recent conferences: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

