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#### Abstract

Amaranthus is one of the most dominantly consumed vegetable in the pastoralist area of Ethiopia. However this crop has received less research attention and little or nothing has been done on extent variability. Hence, 36 accessions of Amaranthus spp. were evaluated in 6x6 simple lattices design at Tepi and Mizan experimental sites during 2012 cropping season under rain fed condition. The overall objective was to assess the contribution of morphological traits to variability in some accessions of Amaranthus there by determine the extent of accession near to each other in relation to genetic variability. Variances component method was used to estimate genetic variation and relationship among traits was also estimated by using standard method. Analysis of variance revealed that there was a significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) among thirty six germplasm accessions for all the characters studied except for thousand seed weight which was non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ). The principal components (PC) analyses indicated that most of the $80.75 \%$ of the variation were more explained by seven principle components (PC1, PC2, PC3, PC5, PC6, PC5 and PC5) from this the major of $47.2 \%$ of the variation were elucidate by PC1 and PC2. The overall study confirmed the presence of trait variability in amaranths germplasm accessions and this could be exploited in the genetic improvement of the crop through hybridization and selection
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## 1.INTRODUCTION

Amaranthus belongs to the family Amaranthaceae and the genus Amaranthus has more than fifty species, including both cultivated and wild are eaten as greens. Because of the large number of species diversity available in the world, there is a considerable variability in growth habit, inflorescence color, inflorescence attitude, leaf color, leaf shape and utilization (Rubaihyo, 1995). The availability of genetic variation among different germplasm of amaranths provides great scope for improvement through selection and breeding to develop the desired genotypes (Revanappa and Madalageri, 1998).

Amaranths plant grows as wild in Ethiopia but some are cultivated as a food crop in southern part of the country. The crop is mostly grown and consumed in the humid area of Oromiya, Benashangule Gomez, Gambella and SNNPRS (extensively in Benche Maji area). The crop has wide adaptability area and grows successfully in every ecological part of the country. Presently the production of amaranths is 2125 hectare while the zone of Bench Magi takes the lion share 612 hectares from the total (ARBMZ, 2010).

As far as variability studies among characters in amaranths are concerned little or nothing has been done in the country (Kebu and Fassil, 2006). To do agronomic and other related research on this crop to know the genetic differences and identify the groups of similarities between germplasm was considered an important area of study. Therefore this study is conducted to show variation among the varieties and identify traits that contribute to variability in this population and for their possible exploitation in breeding programs.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted in two experimental sites in South Bench and Tepi National Spices Research Center. South Bench (Appendix plate 2) is found in Bench Magi Zone of SNNPRS and located at latitude from $5.33^{\circ}$ to $7.21^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitudes from $34.88^{\circ}$ to $36.14^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ with an elevation ranging from 1200 to 1959 meters above sea level. The area receives mean annual rainfall ranging from 1500 to 1800 mm (an average 1692 mm ) per year and has $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range of temperature annually and the soil is loam or silty-loam soil type (SNNPR, 2009). The research site is located at 1280 meter altitude above sea level and 580 km away from Addis Ababa.

The second site is Tepe National Spices Research Center (Appendix plate 1) (TNSRC) is located in the South Western part of the country 611 km away from the capital city Addis Ababa. Tepe situated in Yeki woreda, Sheka zone of SNNPRS and located at approximate geographic coordinates of latitude $7^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude of $35^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. It is is located an altitude of 1200 m.a.s.l. and it receives annual average rainfall of 1688 mm (ranging from 1560 to 1790 mm ) and has mean maximum and minimum temperatures of 29.5 and $15.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The soil type is Distric Nitosoil with a pH ranging from 4.5-6.5(TNSRC, 2011).

### 2.2. Experimental Materials

In this study thirty six accessions of amaranths were obtained from the Institute of Biodiversity Conservation of Ethiopia and these accessions were grown in the experimental sites during 2012 cropping season under rain fed condition. The details of the accessions used in the experiment are given in Table 1.
Table 1. List of Amaranths germplasm accessions that were used in the study

| No | Accessions | Species | Region | Zone | Woreda | Locality | Latitude | Longitude | Altitude(m) | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Am. 91001 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Konso | Konso | Fesha | 05-17-00-N | 37-20-00-E | 1890 | IBCE |
| 2 | Am91002 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | East | Gida | Atera, South |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wellga | kiramu | Alibo | 09-49-00N | $37-00-00-\mathrm{E}$ | 2480 | IBCE |
| 3 | Am 91003 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | East Wellga | Diga leka | Tolle kebele | 09-02-00-N | 37-04-00-E | 1200 | IBCE |
| 4 | Am. 91005 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | Jimma | Seka | AlroTebara |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chekorsa | kebele | 07-33-00-N | 36-35-00-E | 2040 | IBCE |
| 5 | Am. 202108 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | Jimma | Sokoru | Keshe | - | - | - | IBCE |
| 6 | Am. 204644 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Arbaminch | Siele kebele | - | - | 1200 | IBCE |
| 7 | Am204645 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Konso | Konso | Konso town | -- | -- | 1600 | IBCE |
| 8 | Am. 205139 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Sodo | Dalbow agnaGorgora | - | - | 2240 | IBCE |
| 9 | Am208025 | A.tricolor | Amhara | North |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gonder | Dmbia | town | 12-15-00-N | $37-10-00-\mathrm{E}$ |  | IBCE |
| 10 | Am 208683 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | East Harrga | Deder | Gende Osman | 09-26-00-N | 41-21-00-E | 2270 | IBCE |
| 11 | Am. 208764 | A.tricolor | Oromiya | West wellga | Sayo | Dembi Delo | --- | -- | 1850 | IBCE |
| 12 | Am209057 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Sodo | Wachi | ---- | -- | 1660 | IBCE |
| 13 | Am209057 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Offa | Sere Esho | ---- | -- | 1580 | IBCE |
| 14 | Am. 211455 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Arba Minch | Sele | 05-50-00-N | 37-27-00-E | 1150 | IBCE |
| 15 | Am. 211456 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Bonke | Arfiti | ---- | --- | 1570 | IBCE |
| 16 | Am211457 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Konso | Konso | Durayie | --- | -- | 1560 | IBCE |
| 17 | Am. 212581 | A.tricolor | Amhara | South Wolo | Werebabu | Hadeeno | 11-16-00-N | 39-45-00-E | 2920 | IBCE |
| 18 | Am. 212582 | A.tricolor | Amhara | South Wolo | Tehuledere | Wune | 11-10-00-N | 39-40-0-E | 1840 | IBCE |
| 19 | Am. 212583 | A.tricolor | Amhara | South Wolo | Tehuledere | Abasomile | ---- | --- | 1640 | IBCE |
| 20 | Am212890 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Kebatatembro | Kedid | Hambo | 37-56-00-N | 07-12-00-E | 2180 | IBCE |
| 21 | Am212892 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Dierashe | Dierashe | Afya | $37-20-00-\mathrm{N}$ | 05-38-00-E | 2200 | IBCE |
| 22 | Am. 212893 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Dierashe | Dierashe | Gato | $37-25-00-\mathrm{N}$ | 05-41-00-E | 1380 | IBCE |
| 23 | Am. 202109 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Kefiecho | Melgewa | - | 07-08-00-N | 36-11-00-E | 1940 | IBCE |
| 24 | Am. 214617 |  | SNNPRS | North Omo | Damote dale | - | - | - | - | IBCE |
| 25 | Am215560 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Gedeo | Yirgacifa | Deboca | 06-07-00-N | 38-13-00-E | 2080 | IBCE |
| 26 | Am215567 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Damot | Gidiobodti | 06-57-00-N | 37-51-00-E | 2100 | IBCE |
| 27 | Am215567 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Blososori | Arka road | 07-05-00-N | 37-43-00-E | 1750 | IBCE |
| 28 | Am219284 |  | SNNPRS | North Omo | Borda abaya | Sodo road | 06-17-00-N | 37-47-00-E | 1300 | IBCE |
| 29 | Am225712 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Arbaminch | Kemba | 05-45-00-N | 37-22-00-E | 1100 | IBCE |
| 30 | Am225713 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Zalau baamale | Kemba | 06-18-00-N | 37-00-00-E | 1600 | IBCE |
| 31 | Am225714 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Gofa zuria | Sawla road | 06-17-00-N | 36-53-00-E | 1570 | IBCE |
| 32 | Am225715 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Gofa zuria | Bulki road | 06-18-00-N | 36-49-00-E | 1780 | IBCE |
| 33 | Am225716 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Kucha | Selamber | 06-28-00-N | 37-30-00-E | - | IBCE |
| 34 | Am240812 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | North Omo | Damote dale | Koysha | - | - | 1880 | IBCE |
| 35 | Am240815 | A.tricolor | SNNPRS | Gurage | Sodo | Shola | - | - | 950 | IBCE |
| 36 | Am242530 | A.tricolor | Benishangule | Asosa | Kurmuk | Sheflyul | 10-33-18-N | 34-30-94-E | 1250 | IBCE |

IBCE= Institute of Biodiversity Conservation of Ethiopia

### 2.3. Experimental Design and plant Management

The experiment was carried out during 2012 cropping season in two locations in 6x6, simple Lattices design with two replications. The experimental flied was well prepared by ploughing three times. Plot size of 3 m length and 2.7 m width and 0.5 meter path between plot with one meter path between block and with a three meter distance between replication were prepared. Seeds of different accession were sown uniformly in rows at 40 cm and 30 cm distances between plants. The quantity of seed applied was calculated based on seed rate $2 \mathrm{~kg} /$ hectare (Tindall, 1983). Normal cultural practices such as 15 days interval weeding after germination were followed during the experimental period (Palada and Chang, 2010).

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

### 2.4. 1. Analysis of variance

To perform a combined statistical analysis across location, testing for homogeneity of error variance (Bartlett, 1937a) test was carried out.

The data collected for each quantitative character were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for simple lattices design. The relative efficiency of simple lattice design over RCBD (Randomized Complete Block Design) was estimated and found that the use of the $6 \times 6$ simple lattice design estimated had increased the experimental precision over that of RCB design. Analysis of variance was done by Statistical soft ware SAS Version 9.2(SAS Institute, 2008). LSD was used to separate means with the significance difference by using 5\% probability levels of significance for the characters studied.

### 2.4.2. Principal component analysis

Principal component analysis was performed using correlation matrix by SAS 9.2 version software in order to evaluate the relation of characters between genotypes. Eigenvalues of one and above were considered as significant. The contribution of each character in PCA is determined by eigenvector that is greater than half divided by the square root of the standard deviation of the eigenvalue of the respective PCA as suggested by Johnson and Wikhern (1992).
According to Hollmen (1996), below is the general formula to compute scores on the first component extracted in a principal component analysis:

$$
C 1=b 11(X 1)+b 12(X 2)+\ldots b 1 p(X p)
$$

Where: $C 1=$ The subject's score on principal component 1 (the first component extracted)
$b l p=$ The regression coefficient (or weight) for observed variable $p$, as used increasing principal component 1 and $X p=$ the subject's score on observed variable $p$.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The computed homogeneity error variance test and the combined analysis of variance for the two locations showed no significant differences between two locations. This indicated that genotype by environment interactions are not important sources of variation for the tested germplasm accession of amaranths. As the result the combined analysis of variance was computed for two location showed highly significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) among amaranths accessions for all the characters studied except thousand seed weight which was nonsignificant (Table 2).This indicated that the existence of genetic variability among the tested germplasm accession of amaranths.
Appendix plate 1. Partial view of Tapi site


Appendix plate 2. Partial view of Mizan Site


Appendix plate 3. Terminal inflorescence amaranths


Table 2. Analysis of variance (Pooled) for 24 quantitative characters of in 36 Amaranths accessions tested at Mizan and Tapi (2010)

| Mean square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Variation | Degree of freedom | Dyes to emergence | Days to green harvest | Green leaf yield | Stem diameter | Biomass per plant | Internode length |  L <br> Plant b <br> height b | Length of basal branch |
| Replications | 3 | 7.2477 | 37.21 | 2977.8 | 0.346 | 1409523 | 1.3437 | 5777.7 1 | 1523.6 |
| Blocks within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replications (Adj.) | 20 | 0.4125 | 1.336 | 63.879 | 0.122 | 17287.0 | 0.2541 | 86.9393 | 30.689 |
| Component A | 10 | 0.4681 | 2.530 | 5.2757 | 0.212 | 16660.0 | 0.1427 | 103.86 | 26.928 |
| Component B | 10 | 0.3569 | 0.1375 | 122.48 | 0.033 | 17914.0 | 0.3656 | 70.023 | 34.450 |
| Treatments (Unadj.) | 35 | 7.9165 | 45.39 | 4220.5 | 1.45 | 462955 | 2.8578 | 1624.42 | 2579.6 |
| Treatments(Adj.) | 35 | 7.1214** | 41.80** | 3758.9** | $1.36{ }^{* *}$ | 399327.4** | 2.40** | 1529.7** 2 | 2344.7** |
| Location x Treatment | 35 | $1.2878{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $2.340^{\text {ns }}$ | $7.300^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.185^{\text {ns }}$ | $2.91{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.2010^{\text {ns }}$ | $97.53{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $39.88^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Intra block Error | 85 | 0.7030 | 0.781 | 59.25 | 0.103 | 12102.0 | 0.1499 | 96.720 | 21.630 |
| Randomized <br> Complete block error | 105 | 0.6477 | 0.886 | 60.130 | 0.106 | 13089 | 0.1698 | 97.860 2 | 23.35 |
| Efficiency Relative to RCBD |  | 105.12 | 106.9 | 104.30 | 105.6 | 109.70 | 105.85 | 105.071 | 106.6 |
| Replications | 3 | 608.09 | 200.69 | 575.70 | 32.300 | 210.73 | 35.365 | 11.517 | 2301.8 |
| Blocks within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replications (Adj.) | 20 | 28.050 | 26.70 | 21.890 | 1.6246 | 25.810 | 4.2874 | 0.6633 | 103.50 |
| Component A | 10 | 20.967 | 10.62 | 10.020 | 0.6894 | 6.8179 | 1.9457 | 0.3304 | 85.620 |
| Component B | 10 | 35.138 | 42.78 | 33.750 | 2.5590 | 44.813 | 6.6291 | 0.9962 | 121.30 |
| Treatments (Unadj.) | 35 | 2568.8 | 859.22 | 1213.8 | 63.058 | 126.76 | 15.155 | 7.5706 | $988.89$ |
| Treatments(Adj.) | 35 | 162.46** | 38.04** | $1114.7^{* *}$ | 55.230** | 116.70** | 14.35** | 6.950** | 949.08** |
| Location x Treatment | 35 | $27.93{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $14.38{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $34.96{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.763 ns | $3.128^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.840^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.437^{\text {ns }}$ | $52.07^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Intra Block Error | 85 | 16.827 | 18.865 | 24.050 | 0.8025 | 13.220 | 2.0732 | 0.5915 | 62.496 |
| Randomized complete block error | 105 | 18.965 | 20.357 | 23.630 | 0.9588 | 15.620 | 2.4949 | 0.6052 | 70.306 |
| Efficiency relative to RCBD |  | 108.60 | 106.62 | 105.29 | 107.1 | 106.34 | 107.56 | 100.17 | 103.50 |
| Replications | 3 | 4908.8 | 21.71 | 5.109 | 1.270 | 0.127 | 6.50 | 0.0018 | 14.10 |
| Blocks within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| replications (Adj.) | 20 | 390.05 | 1.720 | 2.040 | 1.170 | 0.223 | 1.14 | 0.0012 | 2.410 |
| Component A | 10 | 292.78 | 3.067 | 0.612 | 0.534 | 0.018 | 2.273 | 0.0012 | 1.770 |
| Component B | 10 | 487.31 | 0.379 | 3.470 | 1.800 | 0.428 | 0.007 | 0.0012 | 3.060 |
| Treatments(Unadj.) | 35 | 14879 | 444.7 | 215.9 | 169.9 | 13.33 | 499.7 | 0.008 | 66.00 |
| Treatments(Adj.) | 35 | 13210** | 413.4** | 205.1** | 159.2 ** | 11.77** | 458.5** | * $0.008^{\text {ns }}$ | 64.5** |
| Location x Treatment | 35 | $406.95^{\text {ns }}$ | $4.31{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.64{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.494{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.024^{\text {ns }}$ | 470.9* | $0.003{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 5 $2.62^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Intra block error | 85 | 269.62 | 1.990 | 1.643 | 0.883 | 0.110 | 1.060 | 0.008 | 1.720 |
| Randomized complete block error | 105 | 292.56 | 1.930 | 1.710 | 0.930 | 0.130 | 1.078 | 0.009 | 1.860 |
| Efficiency Relative to RCBD |  | 108.83 | 107.4 | 110.6 | 111.1 | 107.3 | 111.1 | 106.30 | 101.6 |

$* *=$ Highly significant at $1 \%, *=$ significant at $5 \%$ probability level and ns= Non significant at $5 \%$ probability level

### 3.1. Range and Mean of the Different Characters

The range and mean for the 23 quantitative characters of the accession showed the presence of wide variability among the amaranths accessions. The results of range revealed a wide range of variation in characters like biomass per plant ( $548.68-1820.5 \mathrm{gm} /$ plant ), number of leaf per plant(191.7-408.8), green leaf yield per plant ( $83.2-216.6 \mathrm{gm} /$ plant ), length of top branch ( $12.54-75.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), length of middle branch ( $35.38-136.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), axillary inflorescences length ( $0-8.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), length of top branch (12.54-75.4cm) and seed yield ( $9.7-28.28 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{plant}$ ) (Table 4). Moreover, the differences between the minimum and maximum mean values for other characters were also
high, indicating the availability of variation for improvement through selection. In addition the average mean value was almost three times more than from the minimum mean value for characters like, axillary inflorescences length, terminal inflorescences length, lateral inflorescences length, seed yield per plant, green leaf yield per plant and also almost twice for characters like biomass per plant and length of basal branch. This indicating that these characters play a great role to the total variability observed among the amaranths accessions (Table 3). Similarly Priya e al. (1999) reported high range and means difference in yield, plant height, stem girth and leaf size in amaranths accession.
Table 3. Mean performance of 36 amaranths accession for 24 quantitative characters

| No | Accession | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & 50 \% \\ & \text { emergence } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & \text { green } \\ & \text { harvest } \end{aligned}$ | Green leaf yield | Stem diameter | Biomass per plant | Inter node Length | Plant height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Am212582 | 9.20 | 27.5 | 114.3 | 2.79 | 826.20 | 5.60 | 180.9 |
| 2 | Am91002 | 11.7 | 37.0 | 161.9 | 2.90 | 1333.3 | 5.00 | 188.1 |
| 3 | Am91001 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 177.1 | 3.19 | 881.40 | 5.70 | 197.5 |
| 4 | Am202108 | 10.3 | 27.5 | 92.30 | 2.70 | 751.70 | 4.80 | 176.9 |
| 5 | Am91005 | 12.2 | 28.5 | 83.20 | 2.20 | 548.60 | 3.20 | 137.7 |
| 6 | Am240815 | 13.0 | 36.0 | 201.2 | 3.30 | 1262.5 | 4.40 | 163.2 |
| 7 | Am211455 | 13.5 | 30.5 | 158.0 | 2.40 | 806.00 | 3.60 | 152.4 |
| 8 | Am214617 | 13.7 | 35.5 | 199.0 | 3.23 | 1454.8 | 4.40 | 167.0 |
| 9 | Am212893 | 10.5 | 28.5 | 137.7 | 3.50 | 846.70 | 4.10 | 164.1 |
| 10 | Am219284 | 13.7 | 35.5 | 188.7 | 3.30 | 1527.0 | 2.79 | 135.4 |
| 11 | Am215567 | 11.7 | 37.5 | 165.6 | 2.60 | 1549.0 | 4.30 | 174.9 |
| 12 | Am215560 | 13.2 | 36.5 | 179.6 | 2.60 | 1505.0 | 3.60 | 177.3 |
| 13 | Am225713 | 14.5 | 38.5 | 216.6 | 5.30 | 1820.5 | 6.66 | 208.7 |
| 14 | Am 225712 | 12.5 | 37.0 | 171.8 | 3.60 | 1659.0 | 5.20 | 206.8 |
| 15 | Am240812 | 13.2 | 35.5 | 203.5 | 3.30 | 1787.5 | 4.70 | 177.4 |
| 16 | Am212892 | 14.0 | 35.0 | 152.3 | 5.10 | 1182.3 | 4.40 | 170.5 |
| 17 | Am212890 | 10.7 | 30.3 | 160.6 | 2.80 | 590.30 | 4.80 | 176.9 |
| 18 | Am212583 | 12.50 | 30.75 | 127.2 | 3.10 | 1133.7 | 4.47 | 171.6 |
| 19 | Am211456 | 11.00 | 37.00 | 182.7 | 3.50 | 1104.0 | 5.56 | 208.6 |
| 20 | Am242530 | 12.75 | 30.75 | 110.6 | 3.10 | 1184.5 | 5.30 | 207.2 |
| 21 | Am225716 | 13.25 | 36.50 | 173.8 | 2.80 | 1604.5 | 4.90 | 184.9 |
| 22 | Am225715 | 11.25 | 29.00 | 123.7 | 2.60 | 608.80 | 5.60 | 189.3 |
| 23 | Am225711 | 11.25 | 30.50 | 141.1 | 2.40 | 1115.4 | 4.30 | 162.2 |
| 24 | Am225714 | 10.50 | 28.50 | 143.0 | 2.70 | 773.00 | 3.40 | 136.1 |
| 25 | Am205139 | 9.750 | 31.25 | 127.5 | 2.60 | 860.40 | 5.40 | 202.6 |
| 26 | Am 208025 | 13.75 | 31.25 | 135.0 | 3.45 | 1182.0 | 5.50 | 201.7 |
| 27 | Am204645 | 9.750 | 31.25 | 119.8 | 2.40 | 1012.5 | 5.60 | 200.1 |
| 28 | Am204644 | 11.25 | 31.25 | 125.4 | 2.80 | 1038.7 | 5.80 | 201.8 |
| 29 | Am202109 | 10.50 | 30.50 | 140.5 | 3.40 | 881.80 | 4.70 | 179.0 |
| 30 | Am91003 | 12.70 | 37.50 | 182.0 | 2.70 | 925.60 | 4.40 | 176.4 |
| 31 | Am209057 | 13.00 | 35.50 | 184.7 | 2.50 | 832.90 | 4.80 | 180.4 |
| 32 | Am211457 | 11.00 | 30.50 | 109.5 | 2.80 | 919.40 | 4.90 | 181.9 |
| 33 | Am212581 | 10.20 | 31.25 | 118.3 | 2.70 | 927.30 | 4.40 | 172.5 |
| 34 | Am209056 | 13.50 | 30.75 | 123.4 | 2.60 | 1076.6 | 5.50 | 201.2 |
| 35 | Am208764 | 12.00 | 30.50 | 115.0 | 3.00 | 870.70 | 3.90 | 169.5 |
| 36 | Am208683 | 12.25 | 29.00 | 129.2 | 3.20 | 819.20 | 4.60 | 175.2 |
|  | Mean | 12.04 | 32.80 | 145.9 | 3.06 | 1089.0 | 4.65 | 181.7 |
|  | CV (\%) | 4.930 | 1.860 | 6.451 | 8.5 | 8.0700 | 8.29 | 5.344 |
|  | LSD (5\%) | 1.170 | 1.230 | 10.79 | 4.44 | 154.20 | 0.54 | 13.78 |

Table 3. (Continued)

| No | Accession | Length of basal branch | Length of middle branch | Length <br> of <br> top <br> branch | Average branch length | Leaf length | Leaf width | Leaf area | Number <br> of <br> leaf per <br> per plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Am212582 | 53.4 | 85.90 | 41.09 | 60.13 | 19.20 | 10.8 | 74.3 | 305.8 |
| 2 | Am91002 | 43.5 | 36.20 | 19.48 | 33.00 | 20.70 | 10.4 | 96.3 | 343.0 |
| 3 | Am91001 | 53.1 | 72.20 | 60.60 | 58.00 | 21.80 | 12.0 | 98.5 | 380.0 |
| 4 | Am202108 | 56.5 | 67.50 | 54.74 | 48.60 | 16.20 | 11.5 | 56.2 | 253.2 |
| 5 | Am91005 | 58.0 | 54.03 | 36.71 | 49.60 | 18.00 | 7.80 | 54.7 | 235.2 |
| 6 | Am240815 | 67.9 | 41.80 | 30.20 | 41.60 | 22.00 | 13.0 | 95.8 | 324.7 |
| 7 | Am211455 | 49.9 | 70.60 | 50.74 | 51.10 | 20.10 | 10.3 | 87.5 | 229.4 |
| 8 | Am214617 | 67.3 | 52.10 | 18.81 | 46.10 | 19.70 | 12.7 | 94.7 | 373.9 |
| 9 | Am212893 | 47.6 | 67.59 | 32.96 | 49.40 | 21.65 | 9.70 | 80.2 | 191.8 |
| 10 | Am219284 | 42.8 | 44.00 | 27.30 | 38.05 | 19.75 | 10.5 | 90.9 | 365.9 |
| 11 | Am215567 | 76.1 | 106.5 | 36.60 | 73.00 | 20.70 | 11.6 | 79.6 | 361.0 |
| 12 | Am 215560 | 57.1 | 72.10 | 39.89 | 56.30 | 17.80 | 10.3 | 70.0 | 339.4 |
| 13 | Am225713 | 98.0 | 58.70 | 27.94 | 68.50 | 22.40 | 13.3 | 115 | 408.8 |
| 14 | Am225712 | 96.9 | 136.8 | 50.90 | 94.90 | 22.80 | 10.7 | 88.2 | 328.8 |
| 15 | Am240812 | 29.9 | 39.70 | 25.30 | 31.60 | 21.10 | 12.0 | 114 | 386.6 |
| 16 | Am212892 | 57.7 | 69.90 | 44.79 | 54.50 | 24.50 | 12.2 | 111.1 | 327.1 |
| 17 | Am212890 | 59.7 | 57.20 | 44.43 | 59.70 | 22.50 | 12.5 | 107.1 | 323.3 |
| 18 | Am212583 | 36.4 | 39.50 | 32.40 | 36.10 | 15.90 | 10.2 | 66.9 | 326.1 |
| 19 | Am211456 | 84.1 | 67.00 | 53.40 | 65.20 | 20.30 | 11.5 | 88.8 | 333.5 |
| 20 | Am 242530 | 95.6 | 123.4 | 37.30 | 75.40 | 20.50 | 10.6 | 83.4 | 191.7 |
| 21 | Am225716 | 41.3 | 48.16 | 28.10 | 39.20 | 19.80 | 12.2 | 92.0 | 350.0 |
| 22 | Am225715 | 101.6 | 101.6 | 75.40 | 92.90 | 22.50 | 11.6 | 98.5 | 258.0 |
| 23 | Am225711 | 113.75 | 81.05 | 58.7 | 84.50 | 22.6 | 8.30 | 70.9 | 258.2 |
| 24 | Am225714 | 71.80 | 78.40 | 55.2 | 67.50 | 20.7 | 11.1 | 87.3 | 270.4 |
| 25 | Am205139 | 72.40 | 34.40 | 31.4 | 27.60 | 21.0 | 9.30 | 74.5 | 246.7 |
| 26 | Am 208025 | 85.40 | 79.15 | 35.5 | 66.60 | 23.5 | 10.8 | 96.3 | 249.8 |
| 27 | Am 204645 | 30.04 | 43.80 | 24.5 | 32.80 | 21.4 | 9.40 | 81.1 | 288.5 |
| 28 | Am 204644 | 72.38 | 43.01 | 21.6 | 45.60 | 20.8 | 9.00 | 71.5 | 214.4 |
| 29 | Am 202109 | 67.02 | 42.60 | 12.5 | 40.70 | 20.8 | 10.2 | 81.5 | 266.8 |
| 30 | Am 91003 | 32.00 | 53.70 | 37.7 | 41.10 | 22.4 | 11.0 | 94.0 | 214.0 |
| 31 | Am 209057 | 73.30 | 80.30 | 27.3 | 60.31 | 19.9 | 11.3 | 109.3 | 210.6 |
| 32 | Am211457 | 76.90 | 84.60 | 29.8 | 70.40 | 21.4 | 9.60 | 79.0 | 287.0 |
| 33 | Am212581 | 72.03 | 102.2 | 49.9 | 74.70 | 21.2 | 8.50 | 68.7 | 302.8 |
| 34 | Am 209056 | 99.60 | 62.00 | 33.9 | 61.00 | 24.5 | 10.5 | 89.6 | 234.0 |
| 35 | Am208764 | 36.90 | 43.10 | 19.0 | 29.00 | 22.4 | 9.00 | 57.0 | 199.0 |
| 36 | Am 208683 | 80.40 | 35.35 | 27.2 | 29.00 | 20.7 | 9.00 | 80.4 | 306.3 |
|  | Mean | 62.85 | 66.03 | 40.3 | 53.83 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 85.78 | 289.1 |
|  | CV (\%) | 5.884 | 5.760 | 12.1 | 8.016 | 8.93 | 7.56 | 10.21 | 5.355 |
| 0 | LSD (5\%) | 6.520 | 5.750 | 6.08 | 6.870 | 2.14 | 1.07 | 141.1 | 23.02 |

Table 3. (Continued)

| No | Accession | Primary branch per plant | Secondary branch per plant | Terminal inflorescences length | Lateral inflorescences length | Axillary inflorescences length | Days to flowering | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Days to } \\ & \text { seed } \\ & \text { harvest } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Seed yield | Thousand seed weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Am212582 | 14.40 | 51.70 | 24.7 | 16.4 | 2.00 | 44.0 | 77.50 | 9.90 | 0.9464 |
| 2 | Am 91002 | 19.40 | 56.12 | 24.5 | 8.5 | 2.70 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 0.9567 |
| 3 | Am91001 | 25.20 | 63.42 | 32.6 | 18.2 | 4.07 | 79.5 | 109.2 | 9.90 | 0.9815 |
| 4 | Am202108 | 16.50 | 55.60 | 34.8 | 20.1 | 2.37 | 40.5 | 73.50 | 9.70 | 0.9584 |
| 5 | Am 91005 | 15.50 | 54.40 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 3.00 | 40.5 | 74.00 | 11.5 | 0.9810 |
| 6 | Am240815 | 25.40 | 56.75 | 21.3 | 7.00 | $2 . .01$ | 72.0 | 105.0 | 10.2 | 0.9585 |
| 7 | Am 211455 | 15.00 | 53.90 | 31.3 | 25.2 | 5.10 | 59.2 | 90.00 | 10.7 | 0.9770 |
| 8 | Am214617 | 24.60 | 51.10 | 13.1 | 5.12 | 0.00 | 70.5 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 0.9640 |
| 9 | Am 212893 | 20.30 | 54.10 | 28.9 | 18.7 | 0.00 | 40.0 | 74.50 | 25.6 | 1.0100 |
| 10 | Am219284 | 22.30 | 60.90 | 8.02 | 3.92 | 0.00 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 1.0017 |
| 11 | Am 215567 | 20.27 | 63.80 | 33.0 | 14.2 | 4.10 | 70.5 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 0.9715 |
| 12 | Am 215560 | 18.40 | 64.30 | 17.1 | 8.87 | 3.00 | 71.5 | 109.5 | 12.0 | 0.9859 |
| 13 | Am 225713 | 25.12 | 68.00 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 4.10 | 81.2 | 124.0 | 10.2 | 1.0210 |
| 14 | Am225712 | 22.25 | 64.67 | 21.02 | 9.40 | 2.55 | 70.5 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 0.9845 |
| 15 | Am240812 | 28.90 | 61.80 | 11.8 | 4.10 | 2.07 | 73.5 | 105.0 | 10.7 | 0.9302 |
| 16 | Am212892 | 15.20 | 51.90 | 12.9 | 3.60 | 1.90 | 69.7 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 0.9655 |
| 17 | Am212890 | 15.30 | 56.80 | 34.0 | 10.8 | 5.60 | 58.0 | 90.00 | 14.6 | 0.9578 |
| 18 | Am212583 | 21.05 | 62.80 | 20.4 | 9.60 | 4.70 | 60.0 | 90.00 | 18.0 | 1.0000 |
| 19 | Am 211456 | 27.05 | 56.50 | 21.5 | 7.20 | 3.90 | 73.3 | 105.0 | 11.4 | 0.9446 |
| 20 | Am 242530 | 17.27 | 45.60 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 4.70 | 58.0 | 80.00 | 17.0 | 0.9800 |
| 21 | Am225716 | 28.9 | 58.0 | 7.90 | 9.70 | 2.20 | 74.2 | 105 | 10.60 | 0.932 |
| 22 | Am225715 | 19.2 | 45.6 | 31.8 | 18.4 | 6.80 | 43.5 | 74.0 | 11.00 | 0.971 |
| 23 | Am 225711 | 16.4 | 64.37 | 22.5 | 23.2 | 8.60 | 58.5 | 90.0 | 11.27 | 0.964 |
| 24 | Am225714 | 18.5 | 49.5 | 24.0 | 20.25 | 5.10 | 49.5 | 85.0 | 15.77 | 0.967 |
| 25 | Am 205139 | 19.3 | 55.8 | 12.0 | 7.30 | 5.10 | 57.7 | 90.0 | 15.10 | 0.976 |
| 26 | Am 208025 | 19.0 | 50.97 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 3.03 | 62.0 | 90.0 | 15.80 | 0.969 |
| 27 | Am 204645 | 19.5 | 58.6 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 3.22 | 61.2 | 90.0 | 14.90 | 1.009 |
| 28 | Am 204644 | 18.1 | 57.1 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 2.30 | 60.5 | 90.0 | 16.25 | 0.971 |
| 29 | Am202109 | 17.7 | 55.5 | 13.8 | 7.35 | 2.80 | 60.5 | 90.0 | 14.75 | 1.016 |
| 30 | Am 91003 | 17.3 | 49.0 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 2.70 | 61.0 | 90.0 | 13.20 | 0.965 |
| 31 | Am 209057 | 19.0 | 58.0 | 14.7 | 7.70 | 1.90 | 64.0 | 100 | 11.30 | 1.003 |
| 32 | Am 211457 | 21.6 | 56.5 | 16.3 | 7.70 | $1.9{ }^{0}$ | 60.5 | 90.0 | 10.60 | 0.993 |
| 33 | Am212581 | 17.5 | 53.6 | 16.7 | 13.75 | 3.75 | 60.0 | 90.0 | 14.70 | 0.968 |
| 34 | Am 209056 | 19.3 | 43.9 | 24.5 | 22.40 | 2.70 | 60.2 | 90.0 | 15.60 | 1.010 |
| 35 | Am 208764 | 16.4 | 61.4 | 14.9 | 5.30 | 5.70 | 60.5 | 90.0 | 17.00 | 0.965 |
| 36 | Am 208683 | 14.6 | 55.5 | 18.9 | 7.42 | 2.55 | 59.0 | 90.0 | 13.90 | 0.934 |
|  | Mean | 19.8 | 56.7 | 20.5 | 13.0 | 3.30 | 62.0 | 93.2 | 13.7 | 0.97 |
|  | CV (\%) | 4.85 | 7.87 | 7.42 | 7.76 | 12.3 | 1.43 | 1.88 | 9.60 | 5.29 |
|  | LSD (5\%) | 0.45 | 5.33 | 1.79 | 1.31 | 0.49 | 1.98 | 1.44 | 1.84 | 0.80 |

### 3.2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis based on 36 genotypes of amaranths in 23 quantitative characters is presented in Table 4. The result indicated that the first seven principal components accounted for about $80.75 \%$ of the total variation. The first principal components (PC1), which accounts for $31.75 \%$ of total variability among accessions, were attributed to dissimilarity traits such as, days to flowering, days to maturity of seed harvest, days to green harvest and biomass per plant and green leaf yield. The second principal component (PC2) accounted for $15.77 \%$ of the variability among accessions originated from variation for internode length, length of middle branch, length of top branch and average branch length. Likewise, $9.0 \%$ of the total variability among the tested accessions accounted for the third PCA (PC3), originated from leaf width, days to emergence and length of basal branch. Similarly, PC4, which explained $7.71 \%$ of the total variation, was obtained from variation of days to emergence, length of middle branch and seed yield. PC5 also accounts $6.94 \%$, originated from number of leaf per plant and length of basal branch. The sixth principal component (PC6), was expressed $4.64 \%$ of the variability; length of average branch, stem diameter and days to emergence made the variability. Seed yield per plant and primary branch per plant contributed chiefly to the variation of the seventh principal component which explained $4.62 \%$ of the variation. The findings in agreement with this observation were reported by Vena (2005), Shukla et al.(2010) and Rubaihayo (1995) on selection criteria of amaranths. The present study confirmed that amaranths genotypes showed wider amount of variation for the character studied.

Table 4. Eigen values, total variance, cumulative variance and eigenvectors for 24 characters of amaranths genotypes

| Characters | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to emergence | -0.0889 | -0.1029* | 0.3750* | 0.3967* | 0.0229 | 0.3001* | 0.2183* |
| Days to green harvest | 0.2268* | 0.0617 | 0.0386 | 0.0976 | -0.1623 | 0.0691 | -0.1449 |
| Green leaf yield per plant | 0.3199* | 0.0180 | -0.0086 | 0.1184 | 0.1530 | 0.1393 | 0.1373 |
| Biomass per plant | 0.2969* | 0.0515 | -0.1932 | -0.0375 | -0.1013 | 0.1366 | 0.1209 |
| Internode length | -0.0542 | 0.4632* | -0.0243 | 0.2146 | -0.0340 | -0.0505 | -0.0525 |
| Plant height | 0.3200 | 0.0334 | -0.0343 | 0.0504 | 0.1656 | 0.1814 | 0.0930 |
| Length of basal branch | 0.0126 | 0.1187 | 0.3997* | -0.0309 | 0.4681* | -0.2237 | -0.0261 |
| Length of middle branch | -0.0028 | 0.4065* | 0.0971 | 0.2478* | 0.0292 | -0.0845 | -0.1145 |
| Length of top branch | -0.0715 | 0.3688* | -0.0560 | 0.2842 | -0.0726 | -0.2066 | -0.0937 |
| Average branch Length | -0.1282 | 0.3562* | -0.2055 | -0.1098 | -0.0542 | 0.2657* | 0.1408 |
| Primary branch per plant | 0.1974 | 0.1846 | 0.2792 | -0.2597 | -0.2199 | 0.0204 | 0.2761* |
| Secondary branch per plant | 0.2636 | 0.0295 | -0.0298 | -0.0123 | 0.0575 | -0.1015 | 0.1339 |
| Stem diameter | -0.1407 | 0.1905 | -0.0938 | 0.1238 | 0.2652 | 0.5186* | 0.1531 |
| Leaf length | 0.0256 | 0.0214 | 0.2837 | -0.3452 | 0.5155* | -0.0695 | -0.1013 |
| Leaf width | 0.0659 | 0.2620* | 0.3900* | -0.0760 | -0.1192 | 0.1139 | 0.1974 |
| Leaf area | 0.2044 | 0.1707 | 0.0401 | -0.3139 | -0.2128 | -0.1383 | 0.1611 |
| Number of leaf per plant | 0.1835 | -0.0577 | -0.3070 | 0.0918 | 0.3235* | 0.0677 | 0.0152 |
| Days to flowering | 0.3130* | 0.0574 | -0.0032 | 0.0165 | 0.0948 | 0.0499 | 0.1179 |
| Terminal inflorescences length | 0.2167 | 0.0941 | -0.2309 | -0.1870 | 0.0504 | -0.0608 | 0.0460 |
| Lateral inflorescences length | -0.1514 | 0.2567 | -0.2647 | -0.2918 | 0.1234 | -0.1198 | 0.1013 |
| Axillary inflorescences length | -0.1876 | 0.2536 | -0.0719 | -0.0685 | 0.1222 | -0.0853 | -0.1824 |
| Days to seed harvest | 0.3021* | 0.0387 | -0.0475 | 0.1975 | 0.0732 | -0.1298 | -0.2362 |
| Seed yield per plant | -0.0218 | -0.0691 | -0.0222 | 0.2985* | -0.0236 | -0.5203 | 0.5424* |
| Thousand seed weight | 0.1656 | 0.0423 | 0.2334 | -0.0791 | -0.2826 | 0.1081 | -0.4228 |
| Eigenvalue | 7.9800 | 3.9400 | 2.2700 | 1.9200 | 1.7300 | 1.1600 | 1.1500 |
| \%Cumulative variance | 31.950 | 47.720 | 56.900 | 64.520 | 71.450 | 76.090 | 80.750 |
| Total variance explained | 31.950 | 15.770 | 9.0000 | 7.7100 | 6.9400 | 4.6400 | 4.6200 |

*=Significant contributors to the total variation

## 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present study comprises 36 Amaranths germplasm accessions that were evaluated at Tapi and Mizan locations in $6 \times 6$ simple lattices design with the objective of assessing the genetic variability and characters association for 24 characters.

The ANOVA showed highly significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) among amaranths germplasm accessions for all the characters studied except thousand seed weight which was none significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). The range of mean values for most of the characters showed the existence of variation among the tested germplasm accessions. This explains the variation existing among the accessions studied.

The principal components (PC) indicated that there were a greatest variability among the accessions and then the relative contribution of each individual variable to variability was more explained by seven principle components (PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, P5, PC6 and PC7) which account $80.75 \%$ from the total variation. Among the others $\mathrm{PC}_{1}$ and PC 2 take the great shier $47.72 \%$ ( $31.95 \%$ and $15.77 \%$ respectively).Characters which had a highest positive coefficient were attributed to the variability of PC 1 were, days to flowering, days to seed harvest, days to maturity of green harvest, biomass per plant and green leaf yield per plant, while in PC2 length of basal branch, length of top branch and average branch length had strong impact on the variation. Characters with high variability are expected to provide high level of gene transfer during breeding programs (Gana, 2006; Aliyu et al., 2000). Therefore high level of variability existing within the accessions and the characters will make for further improvement of the cultivars in breeding programs
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