www.iiste.org

# Egg Production and Economic Response of Laying Pullets Fed Urea- Fermented Brewer's Dried Grains Groundnut Cake Based Diets

Isikwenu J. O\*. Akpodiete O. J. Bratte L. Department of Animal Science, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Nigeria \*Email: jisikwenu@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The effect of urea-fermented brewer's dried grains at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% graded levels in place of groundnut cake in pullet layers diets was investigated. Five treatment diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric to provide 17% crude protein and 2600kcal/kg metabolizable energy. One hundred and twenty pullet layers (Goldline hybreed) were randomly allotted into five treatment groups of 24 birds each and replicated three times with 8 birds per replicate. They were fed *ad libitum* in deep litter for eight weeks. Daily feed intake, total eggs produced for the period, total eggs weight per bird and feed conversion ratio were not significantly (P>0.05) different, while significant (P<0.5) differences were observed in final body weight, body weight gain, total eggs produced per bird per week, average egg weight and hen day production. Hen day was similar for the control and up to 75% replacement levels. It was more economical and profitable to use urea-fermented brewer's dried grains in replacing GNC in pullet layer's diets.

Keywords: Egg weight, Groundnut cake, Hen day production, pullet layers, Urea-fermented BDG.

#### **1.0 Introduction**

The increase in cost of conventional feed sources due to inflation has continued to cause inadequate concentrate feeding and low productivity in the poultry industry. A critical appraisal of monogastric livestock feed situation in developing economies will probably indicate more protein deficit than calorie inadequacies in livestock feeds. Adequate protein in poultry diets is critical to their optimum performance (Helena-Strazincka, 1990; Oluyemi and Roberts, 1979). Groundnut cake (GNC) has been used as a plant protein source but its price has continue to increase due to competitive demand by man and animals. The need to turn to alternative agro-industrial byproducts not consumed by man but are cheap and readily available as substitutes becomes imperative. Brewer's dried grains (BDG) a brewery by-product has an amino acid profile that is close to that of GNC, (Aduku, 1993). The level of incorporation of BDG into practical poultry diets has been limited by its high proportion of crude fibre content (Isikwenu et al., 2008; Onwudike, 1993). Alkali treatment of various fibrous materials have been found to improve their nutritional qualities (Isikwenu, 2014; Vipond et al., 2001; Lewis et al., 1999; Faniyi and Ologhobo, 1999). Urea fermentation of BDG in anaerobic conditions have been found to improve its nutritional value, particularly the protein content (Isikwenu, 2014). The use of urea fermentation in this study is, therefore, expected to break-down the fibre components of BDG which could release locked-up nutrients and further improve the protein content and its amino acids profile and availability. This study was therefore conducted to investigate the effect of urea-fermented BDG on pullet layers performance as a replacement for GNC in layer's diets. The response parameters considered were the performance and economics of egg production. '

## 2.0 Materials And Methods

## 2.1 Preparation of the Test Ingredient and Experimental Diets

The brewer's dried grains (BDG) used in this experiment was fermented for 7 days using a solution of 2% urea concentration. To obtain a 2% urea solution, 400g of urea (48% N, fertilizer grade) was dissolved in 20 litres of clean water to produce a 2% urea solution containing 20g urea/litre of water (Isikwenu, 2014). Urea-fermented brewer's dried grains (as a test ingredient) was used to replace groundnut cake (GNC) at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% levels in the layer's diets on protein equivalent basis. Five treatment diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocatoric to provide 17% crude protein and 2600 kcal/kg metabolizable energy, and adequately furnished with minerals and vitamins. The compositions of the layer's diets are presented in Table 1.

## 2.2 Experimental Design, Birds and Management

One hundred and twenty laying pullets aged 25 weeks (8 weeks in lay) of Goldline hybreed were randomly placed into five treatment groups on equal weight basis in a complete randomized design of 24 layers each, replicated thrice with 8 birds per replicate and reared in a deep litter house of fifteen pens with dimensions of 2.04m x 2.03m for eight weeks. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*.

## 2.3 Measurements

Data on weight performance, feed intake, feed: gain ratio (feed: egg in kg), egg weight and mortality were recorded weekly on replicate basis. Egg production was recorded daily and used for the estimation of the henday production.

## 2.4 Economic Analysis

Economic analysis of egg production of the layers was based on the cost of the diets computed from the prevailing market prices of the ingredients at the time of purchase This was used to compute the cost per kg of feed and cost of feed consumed per kg of egg produced, percentage gain per kg in naira, the cost differential and relative cost-benefit values of the diets in relation to the control diet.

# 2.5 Chemical Analysis

The chemical analyses of the proximate compositions of the test ingredient (Table 2) and experimental diets (Table 1) were carried out according to the procedures of AOAC (1990).

# 2.6 Statistical Analysis

Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS (2000) package. Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan,1955) was used to access the significance of differences between treatment means.

## **Table 1: Composition of Experimental Layer Diets**

	Dietary treatments					
Ingredients	L <sub>1</sub> (Control)	$L_2$	l <sub>3</sub>	$L_4$	l5	
Maize (yellow)	55.50	54.23	53.35	52.37	51.40	
Groundnut cake	18.50	13.87	9.25	4.63	-	
Urea-fermented BDG	-	5.90	11.40	17.00	22.60	
Wheat Offal	11.80	11.80	11.80	11.80	11.80	
Fish meal	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Bone meal	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Oyster shell	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	
Premix (Layer)*	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Methionine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	
TOTAL	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
Calculated:						
Crude protein (%)	17.11	17.04	17.00	16.99	16.98	
Crude fibre (%)	3.42	3.77	4.11	4.45	4.79	
Metabolizable						
Energy (ME) kcal/kg	2607.48	2595.02	2591.66	2583.71	2575.85	
Determined:						
Dry matter	90.90	92.67	93.22	90.07	89.55	
Crude protein	17.49	17.54	17.68	17.75	17.86	
Crude fibre	7.57	7.84	8.05	9.17	9.88	
Ether extract	1.34	1.89	2.16	2.39	2.47	
Ash	12.46	13.10	13.45	13.38	14.52	
Nitrogen Free extract	52.04	52.30	51.88	47.38	44.82	

\*Vitamin-mineral premix (Maxi-Mix) provided the following vitamins and minerals per kg of diet: A 10,700 I.U. D<sub>3</sub> 2,900 I.U, E 15 I.U, K<sub>3</sub> 2.2mg, B<sub>1</sub> 1.6mg, B<sub>2</sub> 4.0mg, Niacin 15mg, pantothenic acid 11.5mg, B<sub>6</sub> 2.0 mg, B<sub>12</sub> 0.01mg, Folic acid 1.0mg, Biotin 0.03mg, Chlorine chloride 150mg, Mn 70mg; Fe 25mg, Zn 45mg, Cu 25mg, I 2.0mg, Co 0.2mg, Se 0.2mg, Anti-oxidant 125mg.

## 3.0 Results

The proximate compositions of the urea-fermented BDG and the untreated BDG are presented in Table 2. The results of the performance characteristics of layer pullets fed the experimental diets *are* presented in Table 3. The daily feed intake, total feed consumed per bird, total eggs produced for the experimental period, total eggs weight per bird and feed conversion ratio in the different dietary treatments were not significantly (P>0.05) different in all treatment groups. The final body weight, body weight gain, total eggs produced per bird per week, average egg weight and hen day production of layers were significantly (P<0.05) different in the treatments. The final body weight of layers fed diet with 25% urea-fermented BDG (1 60±0.02) were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than the others (1.50±0 03, 1.46±0.04, 1.48±0.02, 1.46±0.01 kg) which were similar (P>0.05). Body

weight gain of layers fed the control diet  $(0.08\pm0.04 \text{ kg})$  were significantly (P<0 05) higher than those fed diets with urea-fermented BDG  $(0.05\pm0.00, 0.02\pm0.00, 0.01\pm0.00, 0.01\pm0.01 \text{ kg})$  which were similar (P>0.05) with body weight gain decreasing as the levels of urea-fermented BDG inclusion increased in the diets. The total eggs produced per bird per week of the layers fed the control diet  $(5.78\pm0.06)$  were significantly (P<0.05) higher than layers fed urea-fermented BDG diets  $(5.26\pm0.13, 5.34\pm0.11, 5.38\pm0.11, 5.33\pm0.15)$ . The total number of eggs produced per bird in the entire period were not significantly (P>0.05) different. However, the values of ureafermented BDG based diets were slightly lower than those of the control diet. Average egg weight of layers fed 25 and 50% urea-fermented BDG based diets  $(52.21\pm0.41, 52.20\pm0.33g)$  were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than those on 75 and 100% urea-fermented BDG diets  $(50.50\pm0.52, 50.81\pm0.39g)$  but were similar (P>0.05) to the control while the control is similar (P>0.05) to all treatments. The hen day production of the layers fed the control diet  $(82.51\pm0.84\%)$  was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of layers on 100% urea-fermented BDG diets  $(76.03\pm2.09\%)$  but similar (P>0.05) to those fed 25, 50 and 75% urea-fermented BDG diets There were no significant ((P>0.05) differences in feed conversion ratio (feed: egg in kg) in all treatment groups.

The results of the economic analysis of egg production are presented in Table 4. There were no significant ((P>0.05) differences in the amount of total feed consumed (kg/bird) among layers on the different dietary treatments The cost of total feed consumed per bird for the control and 25% urea-fermented BDG diets ( $\$181.34\pm2.0$ ,  $\$176.57\pm5.64$ ) were similar ((P>0.05), but were significantly ((P<0.05) higher than the others, while diets with 75 and 100% urea-fermented BDG inclusions ( $\$144.02\pm2.19$ ,  $\$145.42\pm0.18$ ) were significantly (P<0.05) the cheapest in terms of cost. The feed cost per kilogramme egg for birds fed diets with 0, 25, and 50% urea-fermented BDG were similar (P>0.05) but were significantly (P<0.05) higher than diets with 75 and 100% inclusion levels. The cost differential and relative cost-benefit per kg egg for layers fed diets with 75 and 100% were similar (P<0.05) but significantly (P<0.05) higher than layers fed diets with 0, 25 and 50% inclusion levels.

Table 2: Proximate Ana	alvsis of Test Ingredient	(Urea-Fermented BDG)
Tuble 21 Troatmate The	ingois of rest ingreatent	(crea rermentea bb G)

Parameters %	Fermented BDG	Untreated BDG	
Dry matter	88.76	93.34	
Crude Protein	38.52	24.21	
Crude fibre	4.49	11.20	
Ether extract	4.87	3.69	
Ash	5.99	8.04	
Nitrogen Free Extract	34.89	46.20	
Organic Matter	82.77	85.30	
Gross Energy kcal/g (calculated)	5.17	5.14	

(Urea concentration used was 2%. 20g urea per litre of water)

# Table 3: Performance Characteristics of Layer Pullets Fed Experimental Diets

Replacement Levels (%)	<b>00UFBDG</b>	25UFBDG	50UFBDG	75UFBDG	100UFBDG
	100GNC	75GNC	50 GNC	25 GNC	<b>00GNC</b>
	Dietary Treatments				
Parameters	1	2	3	4	5
Initial body Weight (kg)/Bird)	$1.42 \pm 0.04^{b}$	1.55±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$1.44{\pm}0.04^{b}$	$1.47 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$1.45\pm0.01^{b}$
Final Body Weight (kg/bird)	$1.50\pm0.03^{b}$	$1.60{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$1.46 \pm 0.04^{b}$	$1.48 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$1.46\pm0.01^{b}$
Body Weight Gain (kg/bird)	$0.08{\pm}0.04^{a}$	$0.05{\pm}0.00^{ m b}$	$0.02{\pm}0.00^{b}$	$0.01{\pm}0.00^{b}$	$0.01 \pm 0.01^{b}$
Feed Intake (g/bird/day)	$93.68 \pm 2.08^{a}$	95.97±1.87 <sup>a</sup>	$94.57 \pm 1.78^{a}$	93.21±1.59 <sup>a</sup>	93.45±1.37 <sup>a</sup>
Total Feed Consumed/bird	$5.25 \pm 0.01^{a}$	5.37±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.30±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.22±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.24±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
(kg)					
Total Eggs produced (per	$5.78 \pm 0.06^{a}$	5.26±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$5.34 \pm 0.11^{b}$	5.38±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	5.33±0.15 <sup>b</sup>
bird/wk)					
Total Eggs produced per bird	46.23±0.69 <sup>a</sup>	42.06±3.27 <sup>a</sup>	43.27±0.69 <sup>a</sup>	43.03±1.66 <sup>a</sup>	42.61±1.33 <sup>a</sup>
Average Egg Weight (g)	$51.47 \pm 0.44^{ab}$	52.21±0.41 <sup>a</sup>	52.20±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	50.50±0 52 <sup>b</sup>	$50.81 \pm 0.39^{b}$
Total Eggs Weight/bird (kg)	$2.38 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$2.33 \pm 0.20^{a}$	$2.22 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$2.17\pm0.04^{a}$	$2.16\pm0.08^{a}$
Hen Day production (%)	$82.51 \pm 0.84^{a}$	$80.60 \pm 0.62^{ab}$	$78.38 \pm 1.45^{ab}$	76.79±1.51 <sup>ab</sup>	$76.03 \pm 2.09^{b}$
Feed Conversion ratio					
(feed: egg in kg)	$2.21 \pm 0.03^{a}$	2.47±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	$2.44{\pm}0.04^{a}$	2.41±0.03a	$2.43 \pm 0.09^{a}$
Mortality	Nil	Nil	1	Nil	Nil

a,b,c,d Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05) UFBDG: Urea-fermented brewer's dried grains

GNC: Groundnut cake

#### **Table 4: Economic Analysis of Egg Production**

Replacement Levels (%)	00UFBDG 100GNC	25UFBDG 75GNC	50UFBDG 50 GNC	75UFBDG 25 GNC	100UFBDG 00GNC
Parameters	1	2	3	4	5
Total Feed Consumed (kg/bird)	$5.25 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$5.37 \pm 0.17^{a}$	$5.30 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$5.22 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$5.24 \pm 0.01^{a}$
Cost of total Feed Consumed/bird (N)	$181.43 \pm 2.00^{a}$	$176.57 \pm 5.64^{a}$	$164.73 \pm 3.45^{b}$	$144.02 \pm 2.19^{\circ}$	$145.42 \pm 0.18^{\circ}$
Feed Cost per Kg Egg(wt)	$76.32 \pm 1.21^{a}$	$75.74 \pm 0.32^{a}$	$74.15 \pm 0.67^{a}$	$66.08 \pm 2.01^{b}$	$66.48 \pm 1.88^{b}$
Cost Differential per Kg Egg ( <del>N</del> )	-	$1.24 \pm 0.49^{b}$	$2.17 \pm 0.53^{b}$	$10.23 \pm 2.90^{a}$	$9.84 \pm 1.18^{a}$
Relative Cost Benefit per Kg Egg (%)	$100.00^{b}$	$100.76 \pm 1.21^{b}$	$102.91 \pm 0.70^{b}$	$115.76 \pm 4.76^{a}$	$114.90 \pm 2.10^{a}$

a,b,c,d Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05)

\* Cost of feed per Kg (N): Diet 1, N34.58: Diet 2, N32.86; Diet 3, N31.10; Diet 4, N27.59; Diet 5, N27.77

UFBDG: Urea - fermented brewer's dried grains

GNC: Groundnut cake

#### 4.0 Discussion

The results of performance parameters showed that daily feed intake and the total feed consumed per bird for all treatment groups were similar. This implies that replacement of GNC with urea-fermented BDG did not affect the amount of feed intake of layer birds. The similarity in the level of consumption of all diets indicate that birds had the same level of acceptability for both GNC and urea-fermented BDG based diets The similarity in total egg produced per bird for the period and feed conversion ratio (feed: egg in kg) for all treatment levels is a confirmation of the acceptability of the diets and a comparable nutrient content among the dietary treatments. However, the trend seemed to have slightly favoured the control treatment over others which may not be unconnected with increase in fibre as urea-fermented BDG replaces GNC in the diets. The average egg weights from dietary treatments with 25 and 50% urea-fermented BDG which compared favourably with the control indicated that these replacement levels are within the optimum range of inclusion for positive synergistic effects on egg weights. Average egg weights obtained in this study were comparable to that of Sekoni et al. (2002) and Ayanwale et al. (2003) who fed cottonseed cake to pullet layers. The similarity of the hen day production of birds fed the control and the urea-fermented BDG diets up to the 75% inclusion level is an indication that these levels of inclusion furnished adequate nutrients to the birds to support same production level. The decline in hen day production at 100% inclusion level is an indication of the effects of increase dietary fibre level on productivity, and supported by similar results of Babatunde and Oluyemi (2000) and Isikwenu et al. (2000). The body weight gain performance for the control diet was significantly better than all treatments containing ureafermented BDG and could be as a result of the slight advantage of nutrient density in the control over ureafermented BDG based diets. This result is in agreement with findings the of Isikwenu et al. (2008), Babatunde and Oluvemi (2000), Isikwenu et al. (2000), Onwudike (1993), Pond (1989) and Summers and Leeson (1986) who obtained poor growth rate with high fibre diets.

The reduction in the calculated cost of a kg feed with incremental levels of urea-fermented BDG followed from the reduced cost of production of urea-fermented BDG relative to the cost price of GNC. The decrease in feed cost between the control diet of  $\mathbb{N}434.56$  per kg feed and that of diet with 75% replacement of GNC that cost  $\mathbb{N}427.59$  per kg feed represent a 20.17% reduction in the cost of making 1 kg of feed. A saving of this magnitude on feed formulation will be of great benefit to the farmer. This is because feed alone accounts for about 80% of the recurrent expenditure in poultry production. Therefore, it is no surprise that the cost of production of a kg of egg decreased from  $\mathbb{N}476.32$  for control to  $\mathbb{N}466.08$  for 75% replacement of GNC, representing a 13.42% reduction in the cost of producing 1 kg of eggs. This is an indication of a favourable cost analysis which could be interpreted to mean a positive response to urea-fermented BDG as replacement for GNC in the diet of laying hens. The cost differential and relative cost-benefit results also showed a progressive gain per kg egg produced as urea-fermented BDG replaced GNC in the layers diets. The use of urea-fermented BDG is a possible alternative to GNC in the diets of egg laying birds.

#### **5.0** Conclusion

Based on the similarity in hen day production, the experiment showed that the replacement of groundnut cake with urea-fermented BDG up to 75% level is possible. The use of urea-fermented BDG in replacing GNC in layer's diets was economical because the cost of producing 1 kg of feed and feed cost per kg egg were markedly reduced by 20.17% and 13.42% respectively. The use of urea-fermented BDG as an alternative to GNC in layers diets is possible and is therefore recommended.

#### References

Aduku, A. O., (1993). *Tropical Feedstuffs Analysis Table*. Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru-Zaria, Nigeria.

Association of Official Analytical Chemist (A.O.A.C, 1990). Official Methods of Analysis, 15<sup>th</sup> edition,

Washington DC.

- Ayanwale, B. A, Adama, T. Z. and Musa, M. A., (2003). Effect of inclusion of cotton seed cake on laying performance and egg quality of layers. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science* 6(1):33-38.
- Babatunde, B. B. and Oluyemi, J. A., (2000). Comparative digestibility of three commonly used fibrous ingredients in maize-soyabean meal fish diet by broiler chicks. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science* 3(1):33-43.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-tests. *Biometrics* 11:1-42
- Faniyi, G.F and Ologhobo, A. D. (1999). Effects of replacing brewer's dried grains with lye (palm bunch ash filterate) and urea-treated cowpea and sorghum seed hulls in broiler diets. Tropical Animal Production Investigation 2:69-82
- Helena-Strazincka (1990). Protein requirement and amino acid imbalance in quail. *British Poultry Science* 31:139-145.
- Isikwenu, J.O. (2014). The role of microorganisms in the nutrient improvement of urea-fermented brewer's dried grains. *Advances in Life Science and Technology* 18:96-101.
- Isikwenu, J.O, Omeje,S.I, Okagbare, G.O. and Akpodiete, O. J. (2008). Effect of replacing groundnut cake with urea-fermented brewer's dried grains in broiler chicks diets. *Animal Research International* Vol. 5(1): 795-800.
- Isikwenu, J.O, Akpodiete, O.J, Emegha, I.O. and Bratte, L. (2000). Effect of dietary fibre (maize cob) levels on growth performance of broiler birds. In: *Animal Production in the new millennium-Challenges and Options. Proc.* 25<sup>th</sup> Ann Conf. NSAP, Nigeria, 19<sup>th</sup> -23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2000. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike pp 158-160
- Lewis, M. I., Lowman, B.D. and Ford, M., (1999). Urea treatment as a means of preserving/processing moist wheat for intensive finished cattle. *Proceedings of British Society of Animal Science*. pp 54.
- Oluyemi, J A and Roberts, F A. (1979). Poultry production in warm wet climates. 1st Ed. Macmillan Press Ltd London pp. 125-140, 158-160
- Onwudike, O. C. (1993). Energy and protein requirements of broiler chicks in the humid tropics. *Tropical Animal Production Investigation* 8:39-44.
- Pond, W.G. (1989). Plant fibre utilization by pigs. Comm. Agric Bureau 10:13-25
- S.A S. Institute (2000). Statistical Analysis Systems. User's Guide, Cary, N.C. USA. p 949.
- Sekoni, A. A, Adeyinka, I. A. and Ogundipe, S O. (2002). Effect of quantitative feed restriction on pullet development and subsequent egg production. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science* 2(2): 19-26.
- Summers, J. D. and Leeson, S. (1986). Influence of nutrient on feed consumption, weight gain and gut capacity of broilers, leghorn and turkeys reared to 26 days of age. *Animal Feed Science Technology* 16:129-141.
- Vipond, J. E, Lewis, M and Allcroft, D.T. (2001). Urea preserved grain for finishing lambs. *Proceedings British* Society of Animal Science pp. 111-118.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

# **CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS**

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

# MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

# **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

