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Abstract
The effect of urea-fermented brewer’s dried grains at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% graded levels in place of groundnut cake in pullet layers diets was investigated. Five treatment diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric to provide 17% crude protein and 2600 kcal/kg metabolizable energy. One hundred and twenty pullet layers (Goldline hybrid) were randomly allotted into five treatment groups of 24 birds each and replicated three times with 8 birds per replicate. They were fed ad libitum in deep litter for eight weeks. Daily feed intake, total eggs produced for the period, total eggs weight per bird and feed conversion ratio were not significantly (P>0.05) different, while significant (P<0.5) differences were observed in final body weight, body weight gain, total eggs produced per bird per week, average egg weight and hen day production. Hen day was similar for the control and up to 75% replacement levels. It was more economical and profitable to use urea-fermented brewer’s dried grains in replacing GNC in pullet layer’s diets.
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1.0 Introduction
The increase in cost of conventional feed sources due to inflation has continued to cause inadequate concentrate feeding and low productivity in the poultry industry. A critical appraisal of monogastric livestock feed situation in developing economies will probably indicate more protein deficit than calorie inadequacies in livestock feeds. Adequate protein in poultry diets is critical to their optimum performance (Helena-Strazincka, 1990; Oluyemi and Roberts, 1979). Groundnut cake (GNC) has been used as a plant protein source but its price has continued to increase due to competitive demand by man and animals. The need to turn to alternative agro-industrial by-products not consumed by man but are cheap and readily available as substitutes becomes imperative. Brewer's dried grains (BDG) a brewery by-product has an amino acid profile that is close to that of GNC, (Aduku, 1993). The level of incorporation of BDG into practical poultry diets has been limited by its high proportion of crude fibre content (Isikwenu et al., 2008; Onwudike,1993). Alkali treatment of various fibrous materials have been found to improve their nutritional qualities (Isikwenu, 2014; Vipond et al., 2001; Lewis et al., 1999; Faniyi and Ologhobo, 1999). Urea fermentation of BDG in anaerobic conditions have been found to improve its nutritional value, particularly the protein content (Isikwenu, 2014). The use of urea fermentation in this study is, therefore, expected to break-down the fibre components of BDG which could release locked-up nutrients and further improve the protein content and its amino acids profile and availability. This study was therefore conducted to investigate the effect of urea-fermented BDG on pullet layers performance as a replacement for GNC in layer’s diets. The response parameters considered were the performance and economics of egg production.

2.0 Materials And Methods
2.1 Preparation of the Test Ingredient and Experimental Diets
The brewer's dried grains (BDG) used in this experiment was fermented for 7 days using a solution of 2% urea concentration. To obtain a 2% urea solution, 400g of urea (48% N, fertilizer grade) was dissolved in 20 litres of clean water to produce a 2% urea solution containing 20g urea/litre of water (Isikwenu, 2014). Urea-fermented brewer's dried grains (as a test ingredient) was used to replace groundnut cake (GNC) at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% levels in the layer’s diets on protein equivalent basis. Five treatment diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric to provide 17% crude protein and 2600 kcal/kg metabolizable energy, and adequately furnished with minerals and vitamins. The compositions of the layer’s diets are presented in Table 1.

2.2 Experimental Design, Birds and Management
One hundred and twenty laying pullets aged 25 weeks (8 weeks in lay) of Goldline hybrid were randomly placed into five treatment groups on equal weight basis in a complete randomized design of 24 layers each, replicated thrice with 8 birds per replicate and reared in a deep litter house of fifteen pens with dimensions of 2.04m x 2.03m for eight weeks. Feed and water were provided ad libitum.
2.3 Measurements
Data on weight performance, feed intake, feed: gain ratio (feed: egg in kg), egg weight and mortality were recorded weekly on replicate basis. Egg production was recorded daily and used for the estimation of the hen-day production.

2.4 Economic Analysis
Economic analysis of egg production of the layers was based on the cost of the diets computed from the prevailing market prices of the ingredients at the time of purchase. This was used to compute the cost per kg of feed and cost of feed consumed per kg of egg produced, percentage gain per kg in naira, the cost differential and relative cost-benefit values of the diets in relation to the control diet.

2.5 Chemical Analysis
The chemical analyses of the proximate compositions of the test ingredient (Table 2) and experimental diets (Table 1) were carried out according to the procedures of AOAC (1990).

2.6 Statistical Analysis
Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS (2000) package. Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) was used to access the significance of differences between treatment means.

Table 1: Composition of Experimental Layer Diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>L1 (Control)</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L4</th>
<th>L5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maize (yellow)</td>
<td>55.50</td>
<td>54.23</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>52.37</td>
<td>51.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnut cake</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea-fermented BDG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>22.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat Offal</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone meal</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster shell</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premix (Layer)*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated:
- Crude protein (%)                | 17.11        | 17.04 | 17.00 | 16.99 | 16.98 |
- Crude fibre (%)                  | 3.42         | 3.77  | 4.11  | 4.45  | 4.79  |
- Energy (ME) kcal/kg              | 2607.48      | 2595.02 | 2591.66 | 2583.71 | 2575.85 |

Determined:
- Dry matter                       | 90.90        | 92.67  | 93.22  | 90.07  | 89.55  |
- Crude protein                     | 17.49        | 17.54  | 17.68  | 17.75  | 17.86  |
- Crude fibre                       | 7.57         | 7.84   | 8.05   | 9.17   | 9.88   |
- Ether extract                     | 1.34         | 1.89   | 2.16   | 2.39   | 2.47   |
- Ash                               | 12.46        | 13.10  | 13.45  | 13.38  | 14.52  |
- Nitrogen Free extract             | 52.04        | 52.30  | 51.88  | 47.38  | 44.82  |

*Vitamin-mineral premix (Maxi-Mix) provided the following vitamins and minerals per kg of diet: A 10,700 IU; D3 2,900 IU; E 15 IU; K3 2.2mg; B1 1.6mg; B2 4.0mg, Niacin 15mg, pantothenic acid 11.5mg, B6 2.0 mg, B12 0.01mg, Folic acid 1.0mg, Biotin 0.03mg, Chlorine chloride 150mg, Mn 70mg; Fe 25mg, Zn 45mg, Cu 25mg, I 2.0mg, Co 0.2mg, Se 0.2mg, Anti-oxidant 125mg.

3.0 Results
The proximate compositions of the urea-fermented BDG and the untreated BDG are presented in Table 2. The results of the performance characteristics of layer pullets fed the experimental diets are presented in Table 3. The daily feed intake, total feed consumed per bird, total eggs produced for the experimental period, total eggs weight per bird and feed conversion ratio in the different dietary treatments were not significantly (P>0.05) different in all treatment groups. The final body weight, body weight gain, total eggs produced per bird per week, average egg weight and hen day production of layers were significantly (P<0.05) different in the treatments. The final body weight of layers fed diet with 25% urea-fermented BDG (1.60±0.02) were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than the others (1.50±0.03, 1.46±0.04, 1.48±0.02, 1.46±0.01 kg) which were similar (P>0.05). Body
weight gain of layers fed the control diet (0.08±0.04 kg) were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those fed diets with urea-fermented BDG (0.05±0.00, 0.02±0.00, 0.01±0.00, 0.01±0.01 kg) which were similar (P<0.05) with body weight gain decreasing as the levels of urea-fermented BDG inclusion increased in the diets. The total eggs produced per bird per week of the layers fed the control diet (5.78±0.06) were significantly (P<0.05) higher than layers fed urea-fermented BDG diets (5.26±0.13, 5.34±0.11, 5.38±0.11, 5.33±0.15). The total number of eggs produced per bird in the entire period were not significantly (P>0.05) different. However, the values of urea-fermented BDG based diets were slightly lower than those of the control diet. The body weight gain of layers fed urea-fermented BDG diets (52.21±0.41, 52.20±0.33g) were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than those on 75 and 100% urea-fermented BDG diets (50.50±0.52, 50.81±0.39g) but were similar (P<0.05) to the control while the control is similar (P>0.05) to all treatments. The hen day production of the layers fed the control diet (82.51±0.84%) was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of layers on 100% urea-fermented BDG diets. The total number of eggs produced per bird in the entire period were not significantly (P>0.05) different. However, the values of urea-fermented BDG based diets were slightly lower than those of the control diet. Average egg weight of layers fed 25 and 50% urea-fermented BDG based diets (52.21±0.41, 52.20±0.33g) were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than those on 75 and 100% urea-fermented BDG diets (50.50±0.52, 50.81±0.39g) but were similar (P>0.05) to the control while the control is similar (P>0.05) to all treatments. The hen day production of the layers fed the control diet (82.51±0.84%) was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of layers on 100% urea-fermented BDG diets (76.03±2.09%) but similar (P>0.05) to those fed 25, 50 and 75% urea-fermented BDG diets. There were no significant (P>0.05) differences in feed conversion ratio (feed: egg in kg) in all treatment groups.

The results of the economic analysis of egg production are presented in Table 4. There were no significant (P>0.05) differences in the amount of total feed consumed (kg/bird) among layers on the different dietary treatments. The cost of total feed consumed per bird for the control and 25% urea-fermented BDG diets (N181.34±2.0, N176.57±5.64) were similar (P>0.05), but were significantly (P<0.05) higher than the others, while diets with 75 and 100% urea-fermented BDG inclusions (N172.17±2.17, N171.54±2.18) were significantly (P<0.05) the cheapest in terms of cost. The feed cost per kilogramme egg for birds fed diets with 0, 25, and 50% urea-fermented BDG were similar (P>0.05) but were significantly (P<0.05) higher than diets with 75 and 100% inclusion levels. The hen day production of the layers fed the control diet (82.51±0.84%) was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of layers on 100% urea-fermented BDG diets (76.03±2.09%) but similar (P>0.05) to those fed 25, 50 and 75% urea-fermented BDG diets. There were no significant (P>0.05) differences in feed conversion ratio (feed: egg in kg) in all treatment groups.

**Table 2: Proximate Analysis of Test Ingredient (Urea-Fermented BDG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fermented BDG (%)</th>
<th>Untreated BDG (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>88.76</td>
<td>93.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein</td>
<td>38.52</td>
<td>24.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Free Extract</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>46.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
<td>82.77</td>
<td>85.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Energy kcal/g (calculated)</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Urea concentration used was 2%. 20g urea per litre of water)

**Table 3: Performance Characteristics of Layer Pullets Fed Experimental Diets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement Levels (%)</th>
<th>00UFBDG</th>
<th>25UFBDG</th>
<th>50UFBDG</th>
<th>75UFBDG</th>
<th>100UFBDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Treatments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Body Weight (kg/Bird)</td>
<td>1.42±0.04ab</td>
<td>1.55±0.01a</td>
<td>1.44±0.04ab</td>
<td>1.47±0.02ab</td>
<td>1.45±0.01ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Body Weight (kg/bird)</td>
<td>1.50±0.03ab</td>
<td>1.60±0.02a</td>
<td>1.46±0.04ab</td>
<td>1.48±0.02ab</td>
<td>1.46±0.01ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight Gain (kg/bird)</td>
<td>0.08±0.04a</td>
<td>0.05±0.00b</td>
<td>0.02±0.00b</td>
<td>0.01±0.00b</td>
<td>0.01±0.00b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Intake (g/bird/day)</td>
<td>93.68±2.08a</td>
<td>95.97±1.87a</td>
<td>94.57±1.78a</td>
<td>93.21±1.59a</td>
<td>93.45±1.37a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Feed Consumed (bird/kg)</td>
<td>5.25±0.01a</td>
<td>5.37±0.17a</td>
<td>5.30±0.11a</td>
<td>5.22±0.01a</td>
<td>5.24±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Eggs produced (per bird/wk)</td>
<td>5.78±0.06a</td>
<td>5.26±0.13b</td>
<td>5.34±0.11b</td>
<td>5.38±0.11b</td>
<td>5.33±0.15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Eggs produced per bird</td>
<td>46.23±0.69a</td>
<td>42.06±3.27a</td>
<td>43.27±0.69a</td>
<td>43.03±1.66a</td>
<td>42.61±1.33a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Egg Weight (g)</td>
<td>51.47±0.44ab</td>
<td>52.21±0.41a</td>
<td>52.20±0.33a</td>
<td>50.50±0.52</td>
<td>50.81±0.39b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Eggs Weight/bird (kg)</td>
<td>2.38±0.06a</td>
<td>2.33±0.20a</td>
<td>2.22±0.06a</td>
<td>2.17±0.04b</td>
<td>2.16±0.08a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen Day production (%)</td>
<td>82.51±0.84a</td>
<td>80.60±0.62ab</td>
<td>78.38±1.45ab</td>
<td>76.79±1.51b</td>
<td>76.03±2.09b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Conversion ratio</td>
<td>2.21±0.03a</td>
<td>2.47±0.16a</td>
<td>2.44±0.04a</td>
<td>2.41±0.03a</td>
<td>2.43±0.09a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a, b, c, d Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05)
birds fed the control and the urea-fermented BDG diets up to the 75% inclusion level is an indication that these
productivity, and supported by similar results of Babatunde and Oluyemi (2000) and Isikwenu
body weight gain performance for the control diet was significantly better than all treatments containing urea-
Aduku, A. O., (1993). Tropical Feedstuffs Analysis Table. Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal
Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru-Zaria, Nigeria.

Table 4: Economic Analysis of Egg Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement Levels (%)</th>
<th>00UFBDG</th>
<th>25UFBDG</th>
<th>50UFBDG</th>
<th>75UFBDG</th>
<th>100UFBDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>100GNC</td>
<td>75GNC</td>
<td>50 GNC</td>
<td>25 GNC</td>
<td>00GNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Feed Consumed (kg/bird)</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.17b</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.11c</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.08d</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.01e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of total Feed Consumed/bird (₦)</td>
<td>181.43 ± 2.00a</td>
<td>176.57 ± 5.64a</td>
<td>164.73 ± 3.45b</td>
<td>144.02 ± 2.19b</td>
<td>145.42 ± 1.18c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Cost per Kg Egg(₦)</td>
<td>76.32 ± 1.21a</td>
<td>75.74 ± 0.32a</td>
<td>74.15 ± 0.67a</td>
<td>66.08 ± 2.01b</td>
<td>66.48 ± 1.88b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Differential per Kg Egg (₦)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.49b</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.53b</td>
<td>10.23 ± 2.90a</td>
<td>9.84 ±1.18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Cost Benefit per Kg Egg (%)</td>
<td>100.00b</td>
<td>100.76 ± 1.21b</td>
<td>102.91 ± 0.70b</td>
<td>115.76 ± 4.76e</td>
<td>114.90 ± 2.10a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d Mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05)
* Cost of feed per Kg (₦): Diet 1, ₦34.58; Diet 2, ₦32.86; Diet 3, ₦31.10; Diet 4, ₦27.59; Diet 5, ₦27.77
UFBDG: Urea – fermented brewer’s dried grains
GNC: Groundnut cake

4.0 Discussion
The results of performance parameters showed that daily feed intake and the total feed consumed per bird for all
treatment groups were similar. This implies that replacement of GNC with urea-fermented BDG did not affect
the amount of feed intake of layer birds. The similarity in the level of consumption of all diets indicate that birds
had the same level of acceptability for both GNC and urea-fermented BDG based diets. The similarity in total
egg produced per bird for the period and feed conversion ratio (feed: egg in kg) for all treatment levels is a
confirmation of the acceptability of the diets and a comparable nutrient content among the dietary treatments.
However, the trend seemed to have slightly favoured the control treatment over others which may not be
unconnected with increase in fibre as urea-fermented BDG replaces GNC in the diets. The average egg weights
from dietary treatments with 25 and 50% urea-fermented BDG which compared favourably with the control
indicated that these replacement levels are within the optimum range of inclusion for positive synergistic effects
on egg weights. Average egg weights obtained in this study were comparable to that of Sekoni et al. (2002) and
Ayanwale et al. (2003) who fed cottonseed cake to pullet layers. The similarity of the hen day production of
birds fed the control and the urea-fermented BDG diets up to the 75% inclusion level is an indication that these
levels of inclusion furnished adequate nutrients to the birds to support same production level. The decline in hen
day production at 100% inclusion level is an indication of the effects of increase dietary fibre level on
egg production. The reduction in the calculated cost of a kg feed with incremental levels of urea-fermented BDG
followed from the reduced cost of production of urea-fermented BDG relative to the cost price of GNC. The
decrease in feed cost between the control diet of ₦344.56 per kg feed and that of diet with 75% replacement of
GNC that cost ₦427.59 per kg feed represent a 20.17% reduction in the cost of making 1 kg of feed. A saving of
this magnitude on feed formulation will be of great benefit to the farmer. This is because feed alone accounts for
about 80% of the recurrent expenditure in poultry production. Therefore, it is no surprise that the cost of
production of a kg of egg decreased from ₦476.32 for control to ₦466.08 for 75% replacement of GNC,
representing a 13.42% reduction in the cost of producing 1 kg of eggs. This is an indication of a favourable cost
analysis which could be interpreted to mean a positive response to urea-fermented BDG as replacement for
GNC in the diet of laying hens. The cost differential and relative cost-benefit results also showed a progressive
gain per kg egg produced as urea-fermented BDG replaced GNC in the layers diets. The use of urea-fermented
BDG is a possible alternative to GNC in the diets of egg laying birds.

5.0 Conclusion
Based on the similarity in hen day production, the experiment showed that the replacement of groundnut cake
with urea-fermented BDG up to 75% level is possible. The use of urea-fermented BDG in replacing GNC in
layer's diets was economical because the cost of producing 1 kg of feed and feed cost per kg egg were markedly
reduced by 20.17% and 13.42% respectively. The use of urea-fermented BDG as an alternative to GNC in layers
diets is possible and is therefore recommended.
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