# Relationship between FDI and GDP: A Case Study of South Asian Countries

Muhammad Mansoor Baig Shehla kiran Muhammad Bilal

#### Abstract

The basic objective of this study is to compute the long run relationship between FDI and GDP for south ASIAN countries (Pakistan. Nepal, Bhutan, India and Maldives). For this purpose, the FDI and GDP data of south Asian countries is collected for the period 1991-2012.the data was analyzed by using technique of unit root test, Johnson co-integration and granger causality test. The unit root test (ADF) augmented test confirmed that data is not stationary at level but it is stationary at first difference. The Result of co integration test indicates that there exist co-integration equations at the 0.05 level. The granger test shows that fdi and GDP in case of Nepal cause a unidirectional causality. The study will help and give guiding principle to policymaker and investor make scheme to prop up economic growth in Pakistan which is suffering from a high ratio of unemployment.

Keywords: Foreign Direct Investment, Gross Domestic Product, Unit Root Test, Co integration Test, Granger Causality Test

#### 1. Introduction

In the light of expected benefit of FDI many studies have been conducted the role of FDI in host countries may increase through marketing skills, inflow of managerial know-how, capital with creating new job opportunities, through the inflow of technology, and impact of proficient market. FDI has most established component of capital flows. As a result, FDI has become an important substitute in the development finance process (Global development finance 2001). Inward FDI can increase host countries export which cause to increase foreign exchange earning in developing countries. FDI can also encourage technology transfer and creation of new job and boost overall economic growth. From earlier literature we find that foreign direct investment increase gross domestic product like Falki (2009) who lays stress on foreign direct investment. The result shows negative relation of FDI and GDP but positive relation between GDP, domestic capital and labor force. More result of literature deals with relationship between FDI and economic growth, between trade and FDI on economic growth and relationship between trade and economic growth. The important element of cash flow is FDI and important channel through which financial globalization benefit the economy (Paraased, et al., 2003).

Accordingly, some researchers have found either a statistically insignificant or a negative relation between FDI and economic growth and among trade and growth (**Reiter & Steensma, 2010**). Current study explores the relationship between trade FDI and economic growth. Our study is different in the way there is little experimental work on this subject in Asian countries. Earlier studies relationship between FDI and economic growth in Middle East and south Mediterranean countries Pakistan found notorious result. The study will help and give guiding principle to policymaker and investor make scheme to prop up economic growth in Asian countries which is suffering from a high ratio of unemployment. The objective of this study is to Identification of the direction of the relationship to understand the changes arise in the trends in the economy so; the relevant scheme shall be devised to overcome any sort of problem at earlier stage.

#### Literature Review

E.Borensizein, at al., (1998) tests the effect of FDI on economic growth in cross countries. Utilizing data on FDI flows from industrial countries to 69 developing countries over the last two decades. They conclude that if advance technology is available in host country then FDI contributes economic growth.

Robles and Pradhan (2002) suggest that According to modernization theory transfer of technology through FDI is important in modern countries. Because most developing countries have many problems like lack of necessary infrastructure, liberalized market, economic and social stability which are needed to promote growth.

Adams (2009) analyzes the impact of FDI on Domestic investment in sub-Saharn Africa. Data use from (1990-2003). This study explore that Domestic investment has positive effect on economic growth in OLS and fixed estimation but FDI is only positive OLS Estimation. He also fined that FDI has initial negative effect on DI but later on positive effect.

Arshad and Shujat (2011) establish empirical relationship between FDI and economic growth in Pakistan. The data use from (1981-2008). The result support and has positive effect on output in long run. The most striking result in this study is that FDI cause growth in primary service sector and on other hand growth cause FDI in manufacturing sector.

The linkage between FDI and economic growth has been widely discussed in Literature, studies like; Masnoon and Rafique (2013) conclude a study to determine empirical linkage between FDI and economic growth. In this regard, they took the sample from 1981-2010 and conclude with the negative linkage between FDI and economic growth in Pakistan. They further conclude the independent of four other variables like debit, trade, inflation and domestic investment that can affect the ordinary relationship between FDI and growth.

A.M.M Mustafa, at al., (2013) has conducted a study on Sri-Lankan economy. In this study he used the data ranging from 1998 to 2012. Findings of the study conclude that FDI has positive effect on economic growth. They also suggest that according to statistically endogenous theory FDI is determined by economic factor which are domestic investment and labor force. Makki and Somwaru () conducted a study on impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth. The data was collected from the world development indicators (WDI) database by covering 66 countries for the period 1971-2000. The data was analyzed by using a system of three equations by applying Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) method as well as instrumental variable (Three Stage Least Squares or TSLS) approach. The results show that FDI act as a magnet for the interaction in sense of positively with trade and in terms of domestic investment. Furthermore, this study concluded that if the rates of inflation, tax, and government consumption will be decreases then it brings in economic developments.

Berthelemy and Demurger (2000) conducted a study on relationship between foreign direct investment and economic growth in china. The data was collected from the world development indicators (WDI) database by covering 24 Chinese provinces, from 1985 to 1996 the data was analyzed by using an empirical test of the theoretical model. The results show that the fundamental role played by foreign investment in provincial economic growth in China, and stresses the importance of potential growth in foreign investment decisions.

Cilar and Altiner (2000) conducted a study on relationship between foreign direct investment and economic growth on CCO region. The data was collected from the world development indicators (WDI) database for period *of 1995-2011*. The data was analyzed by using a granger Causality Test based on error correction model and Holtz-Eakin, Newey and Rosen Panel Causality Test are applied in analysis. the results indicate that a positive causality from FDI to GDP and a slightly less positive causality from GDP to FDI in ECO region have been perceived.

Matthew and Johnson (2014) investigated a study on an Investigation of the Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth in Nigeria. The data was collected published work of Abu and Echegbulu (2011). The data was analyzed by using Granger Causality Test single equation model in their FDI-growth studies. The results ad findings show that The present review will focus more on the relevance of FDI to the Nigeria economy.

Hasnen and Rand (2005) conducted a study on Granger causal relationships between foreign direct investment (FDI) and GDP in a sample of 31 developing countries. For this purpose, the data was collected from the sample of 31 developing countries covering 31 years.). The data was analyzed by using Granger Causality Test between the FDI-to-GDP ratios. The results and findings shows that the FDI has a lasting impact on GDP, while GDP has no long run impact on the FDI-to-GDP ratio and concluded that long-run effects from FDI to GDP.

# Hypothesis

- 1. HA: There is long run relationship exist between FDI and gross domestic product.
- 2. H0: There is no long run relationship exist between FDI and gross domestic product.

# Methodology

#### Data source

This study will base upon the exploration of the relationship between FDI and economic growth in Pakistan. In this succession, uses the annual time series data ranges from 1991-2012. Data will be extracted from world development index (WDI) of World Bank and different volume of international statistic (IFS). The variables of this study include per capita GDP growth for measurement of economic growth, FDI inflows to GDP for foreign direct investment.

This study will focus the linkage between FDI, trade and economic growth in presence of different control variable. In this regard we use the time series data for such determination and for the sake of convenience use the log linear model to eliminate trends in the data. This relationship is identifying to application of new theory of endogenous growth stating permanent role of FDI in economic progress. In any discussion about causation among variables, the first step is the determination of unit roots in the data. Different approaches are used for calculation of panel unit root test like (ADF), (PHILLIP PERON) etc. Among these approaches, the most appropriate approach with respect to data will be employed in this study to determine the level of integration. Similarly, different approaches of co integration are also (JOHANSAN COINTEGRATION) and granger causality were used. Among these different approaches most suitable approach with respect to the level of integration as defined earlier will be selected for determination of long-run relationship.

# Unit Root:

	ADF LEVEL	ADF 1 <sup>st</sup> DIF	ADF LEVEL	ADF 1 <sup>st</sup> DIF
	FDI	FDI	GDP	GDP
Pakistan	-1.83286	-3.88449	0.842867	-3.85455
Nepal	-2.63991	-4.03797	1.716876	-5.05921
India	-1.89583	-5.55924	0.737368	-4.06182
Maldives	0.297584	-5.05646	-0.83123	-5.72681
Bhutan	-2.98038	-4.99879	0.953694	-4.6471
		<b>Critical values</b>		
1%	-4.4206	4.58265	3.80855	3.80855
5%	3.25981	-3.32097	-3.02069	-3.02069
10%	2.77113	-2.80138	-2.65041	-2.65041

# Co Integration:

# Pakistan

	Eigenvalue	<b>Trace Statistic</b>	<b>Critical Value 5%</b>	Prob.**	Remarks
None *	0.792538	24.96552	15.49471	0.0014	Co-Integration
At most 1 *	0.398092	6.091809	3.841466	0.0136	Co-Integration

The above result show that there is co integration at 0.05 level.

#### Nepal

	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value 5%	Prob.**	Remarks
None *	0.915256	33.92829	15.49471	0	Co-Integration
At most 1 *	0.301791	4.310844	3.841466	0.0379	Co-Integration

The above result show that there is co integration at 0.05 level.

#### India

	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value 5%	Prob.**	Remarks
None *	0.57014	30.88048	15.49471	0.0001	Co-Integration
At most 1 *	0.428427	12.30599	3.841466	0.0005	Co-Integration

The above result show that there is co integration at 0.05 level.

# Maldives

	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value 5%	Prob.**	Remarks
None *	0.625962	30.31989	15.49471	0.0002	Co-Integration
At most 1 *	0.412922	10.65195	3.841466	0.0011	Co-Integration

The above result show that there is co integration at 0.05 level.

#### Bhutan

	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	Critical Value 5%	Prob.**	Remarks
None *	0.908261	24.84118	15.49471	0.0015	Co-Integration
At most 1 *	0.511463	5.730718	3.841466	0.0167	Co-Integration

All above Johnson co integration test shows that FDI and GDP for all Asian countries like Pakistan. Nepal, Bhutan, India and Maldives are co integrated. Since GDP and FDI have long run relationship for all Asian countries at 00.05 level.

#### Granger Causality: Bhutan

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	<b>F-Statistic</b>	Prob.
BUHTAN_GDP does not Granger Cause BUHTAN_FDI	8	2.54164	0.2261
BUHTAN_FDI does not Granger Cause BUHTAN_GDP		0.09041	0.9159

Aaldives			
Null Hypothesis:	Obs	<b>F-Statistic</b>	Prob.
MDV_GDP does not Granger Cause MDV_FDI	20	0.68004	0.5215
MDV_FDI does not Granger Cause MDV_GDP		0.14478	0.8664
ndia			
Null Hypothesis:	Obs	<b>F-Statistic</b>	Prob.
INDIA_GDP does not Granger Cause INDIA_FDI	20	1.44596	0.2665
INDIA_FDI does not Granger Cause INDIA_GDP		0.89496	0.4294
Vepal			
Null Hypothesis:	Obs	<b>F-Statistic</b>	Prob.
NEPAL_GDP does not Granger Cause NEPAL_FDI	10	1.16204	0.3851
NEPAL_FDI does not Granger Cause NEPAL_GDP		11.8822	0.0126
Pakistan	•		
Null Hypothesis:	Obs	<b>F-Statistic</b>	Prob.
PAKISTAN_GDP does not Granger Cause PAKISTAN_FDI	19	0.56774	0.5793
PAKISTAN_FDI does not Granger CausePAKISTAN_GDP		0.29388	0.7499
	1	I	

Granger test explores whether the lagged varies of one variable can significantly explain the changes of other variable. When we move Nepal FDI to NEPAL GDP, then cause Nepal FDI to NEPAL GDP.SO a unidirectional causality exist in case of Nepal FDI to NEPAL GDP.

# Conclusion

The purpose of this study to relationship between FDI and GDP for Asian countries (Pakistan. Nepal, Bhutan, India and Maldives). For this purpose, the FDI and GDP data of south Asian countries is collected. The augmented test confirmed that data is not stationary at level but it is stationary at first difference. The Result of co integration test indicates that there exist co-integration equations at the 0.05 level. The granger test shows that fdi and GDP in case of Nepal cause a unidirectional causality. The study will help and give guiding principle to policymaker and investor make scheme to prop up economic growth in Pakistan which is suffering from a high ratio of unemployment.

# 9. References:

- Telatar, O. M., Genc, M. C., Keser, H. Y., Ay, S., & Deger, M. (2011). THE CAUSALITY RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN FDI AND R&D IN EUROPEAN UNION. Journal of Economic and Social Development, 106-113.
- AQEEL, A., & NISHAT, M. (2004). The Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review, 651-664.
- ATIQUE, Z., AHMAD, M. H., & AZHAR, U. (2004). The Impact of FDI on Economic Growth under Foreign Trade Regimes: A Case Study of Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review, 707-718.
- Borenszteina, E., Gregoriob, J., & Lee, J.-W. (1998). How does foreign direct investment affect economic growth. Journal of International Economics, 115-125.
- Bourdon,, M. H., Mouël, C. L., & Vijil, M. (2009). The relationship between trade openness and economic growth: Some new insights on the openness measurement issue. Inra, UMR 1302 SMART, 1-27.
- Gürsoy, F., Sekreter, A., & Kalyoncu, H. (2013). FDI and Economic Growth Relationship Based on Cross-Country Comparison. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 519-524.
- Mustafa, A., & Santhirasegaram, D. (2012). The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth in Sri Lanka. Journal of Management, Volume VIII No. 1, 27-32.
- Kastrati, S. K. (2013). IMPACT OF FDI ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MAIN THEORIES OF FDI AND EMPIRICAL RESEARCH. European Scientific Journal, 56-77.
- Alfaro, L. (2003). Foreign Direct Investment and Growth: Does the Sector Matter. Harvard Business School, 1-32.
- Bussea, M., & Hefekerb, C. (2005). Political Risk, Institutions and Foreign Direct Investment. aHamburg Institute of International Economics (HWWA), Neuer Jungfernstieg 21, 20347 Hamburg, 1-25.
- Carp, L., România, I., & Popa, D. (2013). The relationship between foreign direct investment,trade and economic growth in Bulgaria and Romania under the impact of the globalization. Conference of Informatics and Management Sciences, 168-173