

A Commentary on CSR

Alistair M. Brown

School of Accounting
Curtin University of Technology, Australia

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is lauded by well-intentioned CSR interest groups¹ but has been mocked by big corporations such as British American Tobacco, Coca-Cola and Shell where bad business behaviour persists despite assurances of best CSR practices (Amnesty International, 2007; Christian Aid, 2004; Other Shell Report, 2003).

The sites of Amnesty International (Amnesty International, 2007), Catholic Agency for Overseas Development (CAFOD, 2007), Centre for Corporate Accountability (CCA, 2007), Christian Aid (2004), Corporate Responsibility (Core Responsibility, 2007), Corporate Watch (2006), Environmental Justice

Foundation (EJF, 2005), Friends of the Earth (FOE, 2007), Global Witness (Global Witness, 2004; 2006), Green Alliance (Green Alliance, 2007), Greenpeace (Greenpeace, 2002a; 2002b; 2004; 2006), IFAW (IFAW, 1999) and International Alert (International Alert, 2005) are awash with the recent failings of CSR and the way companies, individually and collectively, use and abuse CSR to further company ends.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) fails because it does not make companies keep their promises and ignores problems by allowing company reports to gloss over core business impacts (FOE, 2007). Its voluntary approach renders CSR useless in enforcing signed-up principles, while at the same placing legal frameworks for international trade and investment (Doane & Holder, 2007). At best, companies implementation of CSR is fragmented and shallow (World Bank, 2007); at worst, CSR is ignored or used to emote about the wretchedness of the earth without really changing corporate practices.

¹ See for example the Equator Principles (2007), the Global Sullivan Principles (1977), OECD's (2007) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, UN's (2007), Business for Social Responsibility (BSR, 2007), World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD, 2007), Instituto Ethos (2007), Centre for Social Markets (2007) African Institute of Corporate Citizenship (2007), International Business Leaders Forum (2007), SustainAbility (2007), Ethics Officer Association (2007)

Accounting has always managed to trample over public domains and construct them into new ways of being – health (Llewellyn & Northcott, 2005; Samuel, Dirsmith & McElroy, 2005) and war (Chwastiak, 2006), for example – and CSR is no exception. The gurusque Balanced Scorecard (Nørreklit, 2003), lapidary Global Reporting Initiative (GRI, 2006), technically bound AA1000 Framework (AccountAbility, 1999a) and AA1000 Assurance (AccountAbility, 1999b), and bureaucratic ISO certification (ISO, 2007) and Social Accountability International program SA 8000 (SAI, 2007) are symbolic of the way accounting popularized conceptions of CSR as professional artifacts that allow regulators, governments and accounting standard boards to shirk their responsibility from legislating on CSR.

One of the foulest examples of accounting's complicity in constructing CSR as professional artifact must surely be the egregious *Dow Jones Sustainability Index (DJSI)* which claims to measure 'objectively' an index of corporate sustainability. The conflation of *Dow Jones*, a symbol of American market capitalism, *Sustainability*, a term to do with future needs – not just market capital

ones – and *Index*, suggesting technical proficiency, renders the term an unambiguous and authoritative reality of sustainability, rather than a highly constructed one based on a core-financial self-interests.

Indeed, the *DJSI* manages to express sustainability as a corporate possessive 'corporate sustainability' which in turn is defined as 'a business approach that creates long-term shareholder value'. This, of course, falls wide of the interpretations of either the Brundtland Commission – "to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (Brundtland, 1987) or that of the World Conservation Union, United Nations Environment Programme and World Wide Fund for Nature – "improving the quality of human life while living within the carrying capacity of supporting eco-systems" (IUCN, 1991). Moreover, it allows the Dow Jones to engineer a defined set of criteria and weightings that makes its financially loaded index look like a natural measurement of sustainability. Thus, data proficiency is ritualised by summoning up both the preposterously constructed Laspeyres formula² (DJSI, p. 33) and the elevated PricewaterhouseCoopers' Review which both suggest an unproblematic and authoritative stream of calculation of sustainability.

CSR claims to speak for the next generation of people but is informed and guided by powerful financial instruments like the *DJSI*. Accounting academics and environmental and social special interest groups may agonise over the pros and cons of CSR but when accountants wittingly and unwittingly drum up artefacts of nonsense for corpo-

² One illustration of the absurdity of this formula is the placement of the different weightings given to the sub-categories ('criteria') of 'economic', 'environment' and 'social' sustainability categories (or 'dimensions'). For example, the environmental sub-category of Environmental Reporting is given a weight of 3.0% while the social sub-category Talent Attraction & Retention is given 5.5%; the economic sub-category of Risks & Crisis Management is given 6.0% while the social sub-category of Social Reporting is given 3.0%. Quite why Talent Attraction & Retention is worth double the weight of Environmental Reporting or Social Reporting is not explicated but clearly, the picture of sustainability that the Laspeyres formula wishes to show might politely be described as Dow Jones-esque.

rate gargantuans, like the Dow Jones and their rich clientele, then it is time to drop CSR from our vocabulary. What is really needed from those interested in social and environmental reform is the exertion of pressure on both the International Accounting Standards Board, which has yet to come up with international stand-alone environmental or social standard (Porter et al., 2006), and first world national governments, which sanctify constructions like the *Dow Jones Sustainability Index*, to come up with regulations and laws to stop companies harming the planet and its people.

References

- AccountAbility (1999a) AA1000 Framework
 _____ (1999b) AA1000 Assurance
- African Institute of Corporate Citizenship (2007) www.aiccafrica.org
- Amnesty International (2007) Corporate Social Responsibility: Human Rights for All People for All Times, <http://news.amnesty.org/pages/csr>
- Bruntland Commission (1987) World Commission on Environment and Development. Our Common Future. (Oxford, Great Britain: Oxford University Press, p. 8.
- Business for Social Responsibility (2007) www.bsr.org
- CAFOD (2007) Corporate Responsibility, Catholic Agency for Overseas Development, http://www.cafod.org.uk/about_cafod/what_we_do/corporate_responsibility
- CCA (2007) "Promoting Worker and Public Safety: Number of workers who died in different regions of the world, Centre for Corporate Accountability", <http://www.corporateaccountability.org/international/deaths/tables/summary/main.htm>
- Centre for Social Markets (2007) www.csmworld.org
- Christian Aid (2004) "Behind the mask: the real face of corporate social responsibility", http://www.christian-aid.org.uk/indepth/0401csr/csr_behindtheface.pdf
- Chwastiak, M. (2007) "Rationality, performance measures and representations of reality: planning, programming and budgeting and the Vietnam war", *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 17, pp. 29-55.
- Corporate Responsibility (2007) "Why Corporate Social Responsibility is Failing Children", http://www.corporate-responsibility.org/module_images/WhySCRpagesHR.pdf
- Corporate Watch (2006) What's Wrong With Corporate Social Responsibility?, Corporate Watch, Oxford, pp. 1-28.
- Doane, D. & Holder, A. (2007) "Why Corporate Social Responsibility is Failing Children, Save the Children and The Corporate Responsibility (CORE) Coalition", London, http://www.corporate-responsibility.org/module_images/WhyCSRpagesHR.pdf
- DJSI (2007) Dow Jones Sustainability Indexes, www.sustainability-indexes.com
- EJF (2005) Impact Report: Protecting People and Planet 2004-2005, Environmental Justice Foundation http://www.ejfoundation.org/pdf/impact_report_04-05.pdf

- Equator Principles (2007) www.equator-principles.com
- Ethics Officer Association (2007) www.eoa.org/home.asp
- FOE (2007) Corporate Social Responsibility: Friends of the Earth, http://www.foe.co.uk/campaigns/corporates/issues/cover_up/index.html
- FTSE (2007). FTSE4Good, www.ftse.com/ftse4good/index.jsp
- Global Reporting Initiative (GRI, 2006) www.globalreporting.org
- Global Witness (2004). Angola Transparency Move Welcomes But Serious Questions Remain, http://www.globalwitness.org/media_library_detail.php/331/en/angola_transparency_move_welcomes_but_serious_questions_remains
- _____ (2006) Global Witness Submission to the ICJ Expert Legal Panel on Corporate Complicity in International Crimes, http://www.globalwitness.org/media_library_detail.php/502/en/expert_legal_panel_on_corporate_complicity_in_international_crimes
- Green Alliance (2007) The Green Standard: Tests for Environmental Leadership <http://www.greenalliance.org.uk/greal.aspx?id=1326>
- Greenpeace (2002a) Who really rules our world? <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/news/who-really-rules-our-planet#>
- _____ (2002b) Investment firms tell Dow to invest in Bhopal clean up Greenpeace (2002a) <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/news/investment-firms-tell-dow-to-i#>
- _____ (2004) Exxon Valdez disaster – an ongoing history of lies, <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/press/releases/exxon-valdez-disaster-an-ongo#>
- _____ (2006) Greenpeace Response to Kimberley-Clark Claims, <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/news/kc-response>
- IFAW (1999) IFAW Releases Hard-hitting Ad Against Mitsubishi, <http://www.ifaw/general/default.aspx?oid=67278>
- Instituto Ethos (2007), www.ethos.org.br
- International Alert (2005) Peace through profits: Sri Lankan perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility, International Alert, London, 1-149.
- International Business Leaders Forum (2007): www.iblf.org
- ISO (2007) International Organization for Standardization, www.iso.org
- IUCN (1991) Caring for the Earth: A Strategy for Sustainable Living, The World Conservation Union, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), Gland, Switzerland.
- Llewellyn, S. & Northcott, D. (2005) “The Average Hospital, Accounting”, *Organizations and Society*, Vol. 30, No. 6, pp. 555-583.
- OECD (2007) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, www.oecd.org
- Global Sullivan Principles (2007) www.globalsullivanprinciples.org
- Nørreklit, H. (2003) “The Balanced Scorecard: what is the score? A

- rhetorical analysis of the Balanced Scorecard”, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 28, pp. 591–619
- Porter, S., Brown, A. M., Purushothaman, M. & Scharl, A. (2006) “The Strategies of the IASB and its Funders”, *Journal of Strategic Change*, Vol. 15, No. 6, pp. 305-317.
- SAI (2007) Social Accountability International, www.sa-intl.org
- Samuel, S., Dirsmith, M. W. & McElroy, B. (2005) “Monetized medicine: from the physical to the fiscal”, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 30, Issue 3, pp. 249-278.
- Sustainability (2007) www.sustainability.com
- UN (2007) Global Compact, www.unglobalcompact.org
- WBCSD (2007). World Business Council for Sustainable Development, www.wbcsd.ch

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

