

## Results with Random Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Sharad Gupta<sup>1</sup> Ramakant Bhardwaj<sup>2</sup> Kamal Choubey<sup>3</sup>

1.Research Scholar, AISECT University Bhopal

2.Department of Mathematics, TIT Bhopal

3.Department of Mathematics, Govt .M.V.M. Bhopal

### Abstract

In this paper we obtain some fixed point results in random fuzzy metric space of two mappings.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, Random Fuzzy metric space.

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** 45H10, 54H25.

### Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of fuzzy metric space or a fuzzy set is introduced by Zadeh in 1965, Some times for the measurement of an ordinary length, it proves the concept of a fuzzy metric space. The author divides the results in two groups, in which a set X maps on fuzzy metric space defines the totality of all fuzzy points of a set and also the distance between objects is fuzzy and the objects together may or may not be fuzzy. By this the fuzzy objects has a numerical distances. Later then the concept of fuzzy metric space is introduced by Kramosil and Michalek it proves the the contraction principles.

**Definition 1.1.** An algebraic structure  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy metric space if a non-empty set X, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , satisfying the following conditions for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and each  $t$  and  $s > 0$ ,

- (1)  $M(x, y, t) > 0$ ,
- (2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (3)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (4)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$
- (5)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous.

Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. for  $t > 0$  and the open ball  $B(x, r, t)$  with center  $x \in X$  radius  $0 < r < 1$  is defined as

$$B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r\}$$

A subset  $A \subset X$  is called open If for each  $x \in A$ , there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset A$ . Let  $\tau$  denotes the family of all open subsets of X. Then  $\tau$  is called the topology on X induced by the fuzzy metric M. This topology is Hausdorff and first countable. A subset A of X is said to be F-bounded if there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $M(x, y, t) > 1 - r$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Lemma 1.2:** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then for all  $x, y \in A$ . we have a non decreasing function  $M(x, y, t)$  with respect t.

**Definition 1.3:** A binary operation  $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous t- norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1) \* is associative and commutative ,
- (2) \* is continuous,
- (3)  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$ ,
- (4)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$ ,

Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are  $a * b = ab$  and  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ .

**Definition 1.4:** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. M is said to be continuous on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$$

Whenever a sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$  in  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  converges to a point  $(x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$$

**Lemma 1.5:** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then  $M$  is continuous function on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-mappings on a fuzzy metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then the mappings are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is,  $fx = gx$  implies that  $fgx = gfx$ .

**Definition 1.7:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ . The space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be complete If every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.8:** A fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be precompact if for each  $0 < r < 1$  and each  $t > 0$  there is a finite subset  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r, t)$ . A fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is called compact if  $(X, \tau)$  is a compact topological space. Also it is clear that every compact set is closed F-bounded.

**Definition 1.9:** Throughout this paper  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  denotes a measurable space.  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a measurable selector.  $X$  is any non empty set.  $\star$  is continuous t-norm,  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ . A binary operation  $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if  $([0, 1], *)$  is an abelian Topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a * b \geq c * d$  whenever

$$a \geq c \text{ and } b \geq d, \quad \text{For all } a, b, c, d, \in [0, 1]$$

$$\text{Example of t-norm are } a * b = a b \text{ and } a * b = \min \{a, b\}$$

**Definition 1.9 (a):** The 3-tuple  $(X, M, \Omega, *)$  is called a **Random fuzzy metric**

**space**, if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for all

$$\xi x, \xi y, \xi z \in X \text{ and } s, t > 0,$$

$$(RFM-1) : M(\xi x, \xi y, 0) = 0$$

$$(RFM-2) : M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0, \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(RFM-3) : M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = M(\xi y, \xi x, t)$$

$$(RFM-4) : M(\xi x, \xi z, t+s) \geq M(\xi x, \xi y, t) * M(\xi z, \xi y, s)$$

$$(RFM-5) : M(\xi x, \xi y, \xi a) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is left continuous}$$

In what follows,  $(X, M, \Omega, *)$  will denote a random fuzzy metric space. Note that  $M(\xi x, \xi y, t)$  can be thought of as the degree of nearness between  $\xi x$  and  $\xi y$  with respect to  $t$ . We identify  $\xi x = \xi y$  with  $M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = 0$  with  $\infty$ . In the following example, we know that every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

**Example** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

Define  $a * b = a b$ , or  $ab = \min \{a, b\}$  and for all  $x, y, \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(\xi x, \xi y)}$$

Then  $(X, M, \Omega, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space. We call this random fuzzy metric  $M$  induced by the metric  $d$  the standard fuzzy metric.

**Definition 1.9 (b):** Let  $(X, M, \Omega, *)$  is a random fuzzy metric space.

(i) A sequence  $\{\xi x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $\xi x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\xi x_n, \xi x, t) = 1$$

(ii) A sequence  $\{\xi x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\xi x_{n+p}, \xi x_n, t) = 1, \forall t > 0 \text{ and } p > 0$$

(iii) A random fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be Complete.

Let  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space with the following condition.

$$(RFM-6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(\xi x, \xi y, t) = 1, \forall \xi x, \xi y \in X$$

. **Definition 1.9 (c):** A function M is continuous in fuzzy metric space iff whenever  $\xi x_n \rightarrow \xi x, \xi y_n \rightarrow \xi y \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\xi x_n, \xi y_n, t) \rightarrow M(\xi x, \xi y, t)$

**Definition 1.9 (d):** Two mappings A and S on fuzzy metric space X are weakly commuting iff

$$M(AS\xi u, SA\xi u, t) \geq M(A\xi u, S\xi u, t)$$

### Main Results

**Theorem 2.1:** Let R and S be self-maps of on a F-bounded Random fuzzy metric space  $(X, N, *)$  satisfying

(i)  $R(X) \subseteq S(X), S(X)$  is complete. If  $(R, S)$  is a weakly compatible pair.

(ii)  $N(R\xi x, R\xi y, u)$

$$\geq \varphi \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(S\xi x, S\xi y, u), N(S\xi x, R\xi x, u), N(S\xi y, R\xi y, u), N(S\xi x, R\xi y, u) \\ N(S\xi y, R\xi x, u), \frac{N(S\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(S\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(S\xi x, S\xi y, u)}, \\ \frac{N(S\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(S\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(S\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(S\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(S\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(S\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(S\xi x, S\xi y, u)N(S\xi y, R\xi x, u)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\forall \xi x, \xi y \in X$  and  $\forall u > 0$ , where  $\varphi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous and monotonically increasing such that  $\varphi(t) > t, \forall t \in [0,1]$ .

Then R and S have a unique common random fixed point in X.

**Proof:** Let  $\xi f_0 \in X$  from  $R(X) \subseteq S(X)$ , there exist a sequence  $\{\xi f_n\}$  in X such that

$$R\xi f_n = S\xi f_{n+1} = \xi E_n \text{ for some } n$$

Case (i) Suppose  $\xi E_{n+1} = \xi E_n$  for some n, Then  $R\xi z = S\xi z$ , where  $\xi z = \xi f_{n+1}$

Denotes  $K = R\xi z = S\xi z$

Since  $(R, S)$  is a weakly compatible pair, we have  $R_k = S_k$

Therefore from (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(R_k, \xi k, \xi u) &= N(\xi R_k, \xi R_z, u) \\ &\geq \varphi \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\xi S_k, \xi S_z, u), N(\xi S_k, \xi R_k, u), N(\xi S_z, \xi R_z, u), N(\xi S_k, \xi R_z, u) \\ N(\xi S_z, \xi R_k, u), \frac{N(\xi S_k, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_z, \xi R_z, u)}{1 + N(\xi S_k, \xi S_z, u)}, \\ \frac{N(\xi S_k, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_k, \xi R_z, u), N(\xi S_z, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_z, \xi R_z, u)}{1 + N(\xi S_k, \xi R_z, u), N(\xi S_z, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_k, \xi S_z, u)N(\xi S_z, \xi R_k, u)} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \varphi[\min\{N(\xi R_k, \xi k, u)\}] \end{aligned}$$

$$> \{N(\xi R_k, \xi k, u)\}, \text{ if } \{N(\xi R_k, \xi k, u)\} < 1$$

Hence  $\xi R_k = \xi k$  Thus  $\xi S_k = \xi R_k = \xi k$

If v is another common fixed point of Rand S, Then  $N(\xi k, \xi v, u) = N(\xi R_k, \xi R_v, u)$

$$= \varphi[\min\{N(\xi k, \xi v, u), 1, 1\}N(\xi k, \xi v, u), N(\xi k, \xi v, u), 1, 1\}]$$

$$= \varphi[N(\xi k, \xi v, u)]$$

$$> [N(\xi k, \xi v, u)] \text{ if } N(k, v, u) < 1$$

Hence  $\xi k = \xi v$ . Thus  $\xi k$  is the unique common fixed point of S and R.

Case (ii) Assume that  $\xi E_{n+1} \neq \xi E_n \forall n \in N$ ,

$$\text{let } \xi \beta_n(u) = \inf\{N(\xi E_i, \xi E_j, u); i > n, j > n\}$$

$\forall u > 0$ . Then  $\{\xi \beta_n(u)\}$  is a monotonically increasing sequence of real number between 0 and 1 for all  $u > 0$ .

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi \beta_n(u) = \xi \beta_n(u)$  for some  $0 \leq \xi \beta_n(u) \leq 1$  for any  $n \in N$  and integer  $i \geq n$ ,

$j \geq n$ , we have

$$N(\xi E_i, \xi E_j, u) = N(R\xi x_i, R\xi x_j, u)$$

$$\geq \varphi \left[ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_{j-1}, u), N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_i, u), N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_j, u), N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_j, u) \\ N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_i, u), \frac{N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_i, u) + N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_j, u)}{1 + N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_{j-1}, u)}, \\ \frac{N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_i, u) + N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_j, u), N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_i, u) + N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_j, u)}{1 + N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_j, u)}, N(\xi E_{i-1}, \xi E_i, u) + N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_{j-1}, u)N(\xi E_{j-1}, \xi E_i, u) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$\geq \varphi[\xi \beta_{n-1}(u)]$ , since  $\varphi$  is monotonic increasing

Hence  $\xi \beta_n(u) \geq \varphi[\xi \beta_{n-1}(u)]$

Let  $\xi \beta_n(u) \geq \varphi[\xi \beta_{n-1}(u)]$  then at  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$\xi \beta_n(u) \geq \varphi \xi \beta_n(u) > \xi \beta_n(u)$ , if  $\xi \beta_n(u) < 1$

Hence  $\xi \beta_n(u) = 1$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi \beta_n(u) = 1$

Thus for given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0 \in N$  such that  $\xi \beta_n(u) > 1 - \epsilon$ ,  $\forall n > n_0$ .

Therefore  $n > n_0, m \in N$  we have

$M(\xi E_n, \xi E_{n+m}, u) > 1 - \epsilon$

Hence  $\{\xi E_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $S(X)$  is Complete, it follows that  $\xi E_n \rightarrow \xi z$  for some  $z \in S(X)$ .

Hence there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $z = Sw$  Now,

$$N(\xi R_w, \xi Rx_n, u) \geq \varphi \left[ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\xi S_w, \xi Sx_n, u), N(\xi S_w, \xi R_w, u), N(\xi Sx_n, \xi Rx_n, u), N(\xi S_w, \xi Rx_n, u) \\ N(\xi Sx_n, \xi R_w, u), \frac{N(\xi S_w, \xi R_w, u) + N(\xi Sx_n, \xi Rx_n, u)}{1 + N(\xi S_w, \xi Sx_n, u)}, \\ \frac{N(\xi S_k, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_k, \xi R_z, u), N(\xi S_z, \xi R_k, u) + N(\xi S_z, \xi R_z, u)}{1 + N(\xi S_w, \xi Rx_n, u), N(\xi Sx_n, \xi R_w, u) + N(\xi S_w, \xi Sx_n, u)N(\xi Sx_n, \xi R_w, u)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  we get

$(\xi R_w, \xi z, u) \geq \varphi[\min\{1, N(\xi z, \xi R_w, u), 1, 1, N(\xi z, \xi R_w, u), 1, 1\}]$

$= \varphi(N(\xi z, \xi R_w, u))$

$> N(\xi z, \xi R_w, u)$  if  $N(\xi z, \xi R_w, u) < 1$

Hence  $\xi R_w = \xi z$  Thus  $\xi S_w = \xi R_w = \xi z$ .

**Corollary 2.2:** Let  $R$  be a self-map on a  $F$ -bounded Complete random fuzzy metric space  $(X, \Omega, N, *)$  satisfying

$$(i) \quad N(R\xi x, R\xi y, u) \geq \varphi \left[ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\xi x, \xi y, u), N(\xi x, R\xi x, u), N(\xi y, R\xi y, u), N(\xi x, R\xi y, u) \\ N(\xi y, R\xi x, u), \frac{N(\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(\xi x, \xi y, u)}, \\ \frac{N(\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi x, \xi y, u)N(\xi y, R\xi x, u)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$\forall \xi x, \xi y \in X$  and  $\forall u > 0$ , where  $\varphi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous and monotonically

increasing such that  $\varphi(t) > t$ ,  $\forall t \in [0,1]$ .

Then  $R$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Now we prove the following theorem in compact fuzzy metric spaces by using the methodology of Shih and Yeh

**Theorem 2.3:** Let  $(X, \Omega, N, *)$  be a compact random fuzzy metric space  $S, R : X \rightarrow X$  be satisfying:

- (i)  $R$  is continuous,  $SR = RS$  and
- (ii)  $N(R\xi x, R\xi y, u) > \min\{N(\xi x_1, \xi y_1, u); \xi x_1, \xi y_1 \in Q(x) \cup Q(y)\}$   
 For all  $\xi x, \xi y \in X$  with  $\xi x \neq \xi y$ ,  $\forall u > 0$  where  $Q(\xi x) = \{g\xi x : g\xi \in \tau\}$ ,  $\tau$  being the semi group of self maps on  $X$  generated by  $\{S, R, I\}$ , ( $I$  is the Identity map on  $X$ ). Then  $S$  and  $R$  have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X$ .

**Proof:** We know that  $R^n X$  is Compact and  $R^{n+1} X \subseteq R^n X$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Let  $X_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n X$ ,  $X_0$  is a non empty compact subset of  $X$ ,  $RX_0 = X_0$  and  $SX_0 \subseteq X_0$ .

Since  $N$  is continuous on  $X_0^2 \times (0, \infty)$  and  $X_0$  is compact it follows that for each  $u > 0$ ,  $N(\cdot, \cdot, u)$  has a minimum value. Hence  $\exists \xi v_1, \xi v_2 \in X_0$  such that

$N(\xi v_1, \xi v_2, u) = \inf\{N(\xi x, \xi y, u); \xi x, \xi y \in X_0\}$  For each  $u > 0$ .

since  $TX_0 = X_0 \ni \xi y_1, \xi y_2 \in X_0$  such that  $R\xi y_1 = \xi v_1$  and  $R\xi y_2 = \xi v_2$ , suppose  $\xi y_1 \neq \xi y_2$  Then from (ii) we have

$N(\xi v_1, \xi v_2, u) = N(R\xi y_1, R\xi y_2, u)$

$$\begin{aligned} &> \min\{N(\xi x, \xi y, u); \xi x, \xi y \in Q(\xi y_1) \cup Q(\xi y_2)\} \\ &\geq N(\xi v_1, \xi v_2, u) \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Hence  $\xi y_1 = \xi y_2$  and  $\xi v_1 = \xi v_2$ .

Hence  $X_0$  is a singleton set, say  $\{v\}$  Thus  $v$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $R$ .

**Corollary 2.4:** Let  $R$  be a continuous self map on a compact random fuzzy metric space  $(X, \Omega, N, *)$  satisfying

$$N(R\xi x, R\xi y, u) \geq \varphi \left[ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\xi x, \xi y, u), N(\xi x, R\xi x, u), N(\xi y, R\xi y, u), N(\xi x, R\xi y, u) \\ N(\xi y, R\xi x, u), \frac{N(\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(x, y, u)}, \\ \frac{N(\xi x, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi y, R\xi y, u)}{1 + N(\xi x, R\xi y, u), N(\xi y, R\xi x, u) + N(\xi x, \xi y, u)N(\xi y, R\xi x, u)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$\forall \xi x, \xi y \in X$  with  $\xi x \neq \xi y$  and for all  $u > 0$ .

Then R has a unique common fixed point in X.

## References

1. A. Razani, R. Saadati, and H. Adibi, “A common fixed point theorem in  $L$ -fuzzy metric spaces”, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, Chaos(2006), 01-023.
2. A. George and P. Veeramani, “On some results in fuzzy metric space”, Fuzzy sets Syst.,64(1994), 395-399.
3. B. Singh and S. Jain,“Semi compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation”, Internat. J. Math.Math.Sci.16(2005),2617-2629.
4. B. Singh and S. Jain, “Semi compatibility, compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space”, J. Chung Cheong Math. Soc.,18(1)(2005),1-22.
5. B. Singh and M.S. Chauhan, “Common Fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces”, Fuzzy Sets Syst.,115(2000), 471-475.
6. G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, “Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity”, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29 (3)(1998), 227-238.
7. H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho and S. M. Kang, “Remarks on r-Weakly commuting mappings and common fixed point theorems”, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 34(2)(1997)247-257.
8. I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, “Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces”, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 326-334.
9. J. Rodrigues Lopez and S. Romaguera, “The Hausdroff Fuzzy metric on compact sets”, Fuzzy sets Sys. 147(2004), 273-283.
10. L. A. Zadeh, “Fuzzy sets”, Inform and control, 8(1965), 338-353.
11. M. Imdad Javid Ali, Jungck’s, “Common fixed point theorem and E.A property”, Acta Math. Sinica 24(1) (2008) 87-94.
12. M. Grabiec, “Fixed points in Fuzzy metric spaces”, Fuzzy Sets Syst.,27(1988), 385-389.
13. M.H. Shih and C.C. Yeh. “On fixed point theorems of contractive type”, Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 85(1982), 465-468.
14. O. Hahzic and E. Pap, “A fixed point theorem for multi valued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces and an application in fuzzy metric spaces”, Fuzzy sets Syst.127(2002), 333-344.
15. R. P. Pant, “Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings”, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 188(1994) 436-440.
16. R. P. Pant, “A common fixed point theorem under a new condition”, Indian J. Pure Appl. 188 (1994) 436-440.
17. R. Vasuki, “Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces”, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (1999) 419-423.
18. R. Chugh and S. Kumar, “Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces”, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 94(1)(2002), 17-22.
19. S. Kumar and S. K. Garg, “Expansion mapping theorems in metric spaces”, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 4(2009)1749-1758.
20. S.N. Sharma, S. N. Mishra and S.L. Singh, “Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces”, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17(1994), 253-258.
21. V. Gregori and S. Romaguera, “Some properties of fuzzy metric spaces”, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 115(2000), 485-489.
22. Volker, Kratschmer, “A unified approach to fuzzy-random-variables” Seminar notes in Statistik and Oonometrie Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaft, Universitat des Saarlandes Saarbrucken, Germany, 1998, pp. 1-17.
23. Y. J. Cho,“Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces”, J. Fuzzy Math.,5(1997), 949-962.