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Abstract
This study is to analyze the Influence of Personality, Transformational Leadership, Job Engagement, on Performance with Mediating Variables Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior Secretary of Private Company Offices in Surabaya. The results of the study are. Personality, Transformational Leadership, Job Engagement, affect Performance. Organizational Commitment has an effect on Organizational Citizenship Behavior while Organizational Citizenship Behavior has a significant effect on performance. The results of this study can illustrate how important the behavioral variables possessed by a company secretary so that the results of their performance can be relied upon because of their strategic position as holders of confidential information data material for the company.
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1. Background
The function of the administrative executor in the company has a strategic position for the orderliness of all data owned by the company both external and internal data between the parts that are inside the company. Data owned by the company can be either hard copy or soft copy depending on the needs. Orderly administration is the main demand for all activities of an organization or company that are either oriented to profit motives or non-profit motives. If the administration of the company is inaccurate and orderly, it will have a direct impact on the company's operations because the company does not have accurate data on the activities that have been carried out or will be carried out in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) that it already has. In order for companies to always have an orderly administrative climate that is reliable, we need an individual figure who runs the administrative operations seriously and can be accounted for the completeness of the data and confidentiality. It is not uncommon for us to find difficulties when searching for organization or company data when it is needed immediately to make a decision about the problem it is facing. The figure of the person who handles secretariat must be able and have sufficient Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes, Personality (Knowledge, Skill and Attitude, personality) to carry out their duties so that the data that will be used as information for management and outside the company is always ready to be presented if needed. Of course there must also be facilities and infrastructure that support managing data as information material both in the form of software and hardware. Especially for office administration managers need a qualified personality because it will have an impact on their behavior which is sometimes needed by the leadership to be able to support management in any condition regarding readiness to present good and correct data and accurate in decision making. A person's personality will be reflected in his behavior in various tasks and responsibilities, the smarter a person's personality, the tendency of his performance behavior always leads to high personal discipline in maintaining the success of his duties in accordance with his job description.

According to Moorhead and Griffin (2010: 63) personality is a series of psychological attributes that are relatively stable and distinguish between people from one another. Given the personal character of one person to another may be different then, of course in the style of behavior will vary too depending on the environment in which the person concerned is located. In carrying out their respective duties, of course, someone needs a person as the coordinator of the activity which is their responsibility. The coordinator is a leader who accommodates all activities under his leadership. There are various types of leadership styles, one of which is transformational leadership which has the task of transforming all of its needs and wants to its followers so that the organization can achieve its stated goals in accordance with its work plan. Hughes et al (2010: 5) leadership is a process when a boss encourages his subordinates to behave according to his wishes. As described above, an officer must obtain
support from an organization or company so that the operational duties can run smoothly, therefore the role of the organization can also contribute directly to the secretarial operations of the office so that its data is guaranteed. and employees can express their abilities to the maximum, both in terms of emotions and their high motivation in carrying out their duties and obligations. Bakker (2010) Job Engagement includes emotional conditions and active feelings of someone in carrying out their own efforts to achieve their goals. Every employee will have the feeling to assess the existence of an organization or company, is it able to provide confidence to employees so that they have a sense of loyalty to the company and it is not easy to move to another place by considering the advantages and disadvantages of having other plans in their career. Robbins and Judge (2015: 47) organizational commitment is the level to which an employee sits with an organization and its goals and desires to maintain its membership in the organization. A person's organizational commitment will be even greater if the personality factors that are owned support the maturity in making decisions and leadership of someone will also give encouragement to someone to remain in the company accompanied by a strong will to carry out their feelings to do more for the organization with high enthusiasm. Along with the organizational commitment that is owned by someone or the person concerned will be willing and willing to do anything outside of his duties and feel capable of carrying out tasks outside the main task. Robbins and Judge (2015: 53) Organizational Citizenship Behavior is the organization of positive behavior from someone who is willing to help the work of others in the hope of exceeding normal performance in his work. How strong organizational behavior can help create citizenship behavior (OCB), the motivational variables that exist within the organization or company will be the moderator variable which makes the influence of organizational commitment on OCB stronger or not.

According to Kreitner and Kinicki (2014: 212) motivation is a psychological process that provides stimuli and directs behaviors that have goals. Obviously OCB will have an effect on someone's performance at the end of their activities because OCB is a distinctive advantage of efforts made in the interest of the organization to achieve the shared goals that have been owned. Along with the high willingness to carry out activities regardless of whether the activities concerned responsibility or not will result in high performance in addition to organizational culture factors can make the moderator influence OCB on one's performance. According to Koesmono (2016: 117), Work culture is a behavioral guide for employees when carrying out their work activities to lead to goals and objectives in the production process so that the results reach the maximum point as expected by the stakeholders both in quality and quantity. Maximum performance is the hope of all parties so that it requires a hard effort to achieve it and even period after period of performance is expected to show a high surge in any conditions. Whereas McShane and Glinow (2003: 448) organizational culture is the basic pattern of share assumptions, values and beliefs is considered to be the correct way of thinking about and acting on problems and opportunities facing organizations. According to Rahmawati (2008: 123) performance is the result of one's business in a certain period of time when carrying out their duties. Given the importance of office secretaries in private companies as data managers of companies or organizations it is necessary to conduct research on variables that can affect their performance on the topic: Effect Analysis of Personality, Transformational Leadership, Job Engagement, on Performance with Mediating Variables Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior of Secretary of Private Company Offices in Surabaya.

2. Theoretical Review

For a secretary who handles corporate administration is a party that is strongly associated with data owned by the company, given the importance of the position it has, it must have resilience in managing corporate documents both short and long term. Company data must be as real as what is needed by management because with an orderly administration, it is possible for the company not to experience problems if it requires data relating to its operations. For a company secretary requires a strong personality in an effort to maintain company data both internal data and external data. Personality is a statement of the form of tendency of one's behavior on the basis of the framework of his mindset. McShane and Glinov (2003: 84) Personality is a realavely stable pattern of behaviors and consistent internal states that explain personal behavioral tendencies. The more perfect a person's personality will strengthen his commitment to the organization. Abdullah et al (2013) Personality Significant Effect to Organizational Commitment and Employee performance. Personality can have a significant impact on organizational commitment and employee performance. It is understandable that one's personality is an identity of identity so that it will have an impact on loyalty and performance to the organization. A person's leadership will have an impact on his followers and if his leadership feels comfortable for his followers it will have an impact on organizational commitment. Seed et al (2013) Transformational Leadership significant influence to Organizational commitment of employees'. Gathungu et al (2015) Transformational Leadership has a direct influence on Organizational Citizenship behavior and job performance. In the study of Sani and Maharani (2012) found that Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment, Organizational Citizenship behavior direct effect to Job Performance. Whereas Bakker (2010) Job engagement is an active representation of emotions and feelings towards work activities carried out by someone.
According to Shamaa et al. (2015), work engagement positive influence on organizational commitment. When a person has a high emotional attachment to his work, it will have an impact on his organizational commitment, therefore the existence of organizational commitment must be maintained so that employee loyalty becomes higher and not easily shaken and wants to leave the company. Robbins and Judge (2015: 47) organizational commitment is the level where some workers identify an organization, its goals and expectations to remain a member. Regarding the Organizational Commitments held by employees it will have an impact on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB). Robbins and Judge (2015: 19) Citizenship behavior is the formal behavior of workers in the psychological and social environment that exceeds their responsibilities and gives performance above expectations. Not everyone will contribute OCB to the organization and strong people will run it for maximum performance from the efforts made. High OCB awareness will produce maximum performance for the organization. Whereas Monday (2008: 259) the performance of the results of the employee's work is in accordance with the target of his work in the period underwent. While performance management is a process that is oriented and directed at the goal of maximizing the productivity of employees, teams and organizations. Whatever form of performance is expected in the organization will lead to the maximum achievement of the performance of organizational actors both at the strategic and non-strategic levels on all fronts. A person's motivation will strengthen the position of his organizational commitment in creating or influencing his Organizational Citizenship Behavior. McShane and Glinov (2003: 33) Motivation is the forces within a person that influences his or her direction, intensity and persistence of voluntary behavior. Organizational culture as a guideline for the behavior of individuals in running an organization will also influence the strength or lack of citizenship behavior (OCB) in influencing the performance of employees, because whatever OCB is good but if it is not supported by a good and true organizational culture performance will not be as expected. Organizational culture will place someone to behave according to what is expected by the stakeholder of the company.

2.1 Research Conceptual Framework

![Figure 1. Research Conceptual Framework](image)

2.2 Hypotheses

Hypotheses of this research are described as follow
1. Personality affects the Organizational Commitment of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya
2. Transformational Leadership influences Organizational Commitment Secretary of Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya
3. Job Engagement affects the Organizational Commitment of the Private Company Office Secretary in Surabaya
4. Personality influences the performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya
5. Transformational Leadership influences the Performance of Secretaries of Private Company offices in Surabaya
6. Job Engagement affects the performance of the Secretary of the Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya
7. Organizational commitment has an effect on OCB Secretary of the Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya
8. OCB affects the Performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya
9. Motivation as a variable Moderator influences Organizational Commitment to OCB Secretary of Private Company Offices in Surabaya
10. Organizational Culture as a moderator variable of the influence of OCB on the Performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya

3. Research Method
3.1 Research Design
Based on the background of the problem it can be classified that this study uses a hypothesis. This research is based on current facts or conditions from the secretary of a Private Company in Surabaya. This study presents the Analysis of the Influence of Personality, Transformational Leadership, Job Engagement, on Performance with Mediating Variables Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior of the Office of Private Companies in Surabaya.

3.2 Data types and sources
Data type is interval scale (likert scale) indicated by the same distance between numbers one with the other starting from the smallest to the largest number, namely 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neutral), 4 (agree) and 5 (strongly agree). While the data source used is primary by distributing questionnaires to respondents.

3.3 Sampling technique and number of sample
The sampling technique used was random sampling from the private company secretary population in Surabaya. Sugiyono (2010: 91) Adequacy of the number of samples used is 100-200 people, or the number of samples = Number of indicators X 5 to 10. In this study circulating questionnaires that returned 250 sheets and meet the requirements of 188 sheets.

3.4 Data Analysis Technique
Technical data analysis will be used using SEM (Structural Equation Modeling) with the AMOS 4.0 Program. Ferdinand (2014: 110)
Regression Equation
\[ Y1 = aX1 + bX2 + cX3 \]
\[ Y2 = dY1 \]
\[ Y3 = eX1 + fX2 + gX3 + hY2 \]
\[ Z = \text{Moderating variable} \]

3.5 Variables Identification
1. Independent variables: Personality (X1), Transformational Leadership (X2), Job Engagement (X3)
2. Dependent variables: Organizational Commitment (Y1), Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y2), Performance (Y3)
3. Moderating variable: Motivation (Z1) and Organizational Culture (Z2)

3.6 Definition of Variables
1. Personality is the whole way in which an individual reacts and interacts with other individuals.
2. Transformational leadership is the process of someone in leading other people to inspire followers in the direction of their stated goals by clarifying their roles and duties.
3. Job Engagement is the response of employees psychologically (emotional) and intellectually to work tasks with high enthusiasm.
4. Organizational Commitment is the degree to which an employee ties himself to the organization and his desire to achieve goals and maintain membership in the organization.
5. Organizational Citizenship Behavior is the willingness of someone to do organizational activities outside of their main tasks.
6. Performance is someone's achievement in a certain period of time in carrying out his work activities.
7. Motivation is an encouragement both internally and externally to a person to achieve what is needed and desired.
8. Organizational Culture is a code of conduct and social glue in carrying out activities within the organization.

4. Results
4.1 Descriptive Statistics
Respondents:
The sex of the respondent is female.

Age of Respondents:
20-25 years: 80 people
26-30 years: 64 people
> 30 years: 44 people

Education Respondents
Middle School: 110 people
Vocational Program: 45 people
Undergraduate: 33 people

Work experience
1-5 years: 40 people
5 - 10 Years: 85 people
10-15 years: 35 people
> 15 years: 28 people

4.2 Description of Research Results
The description of results are as follow:

Table 1. Result of Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>Personality (X1)</th>
<th>Transformational Leadership (X2)</th>
<th>Job Engagement (X3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicators Mean</td>
<td>X1.1 4.40</td>
<td>X2.1 4.24</td>
<td>X3.1 3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.2 4.32</td>
<td>X2.2 4.23</td>
<td>X3.2 4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.3 4.11</td>
<td>X2.3 4.32</td>
<td>X3.3 4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.4 4.43</td>
<td>X2.4 4.23</td>
<td>X3.4 4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.5 4.24</td>
<td>X2.5 4.29</td>
<td>X3.5 4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Commitment (Y1)</td>
<td>X1.1 4.39</td>
<td>X2.1 4.04</td>
<td>X3.1 4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.2 3.97</td>
<td>X2.2 4.01</td>
<td>X3.2 4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.3 3.98</td>
<td>X2.3 4.28</td>
<td>X3.3 4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.4 4.03</td>
<td>X2.4 4.30</td>
<td>X3.4 4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.5 4.11</td>
<td>X2.5 4.32</td>
<td>X3.5 4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Culture (Z2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Validity Test Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X2.4</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td></td>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>X2.5</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td></td>
<td>X3.5</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.1</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Y2.1</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.1</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.2</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Y2.2</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.2</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.3</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Y2.3</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.3</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.4</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2.4</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.4</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.5</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2.5</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.5</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1.1</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Z2.1</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1.2</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Z2.2</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1.3</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>≥ 0.5</td>
<td>Z2.3</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1.4</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.4</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1.5</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.5</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Reliability Test Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>F.Loading</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X2.4</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X2.5</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>X3.5</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.1</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>Y2.1</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.1</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.2</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>Y2.2</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3.2</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.3</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>Y2.3</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
<td>Y3.3</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>≥ 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4.3 Testing of SEM Assumptions

Multivariate Normality
Multivariate CR is known to be -2.418, the value is between -2.58 to +2.58, so the data is multivariate normally distributed, or the assumption of multivariate normality is fulfilled.

Univariate Outlier
The Z-score value on all indicators is in the range of -3 to +3, so that data is univariate which is not indicated as an outlier.

Multivariate Outlier
Chi-square table = 59.70
The maximum value of Mahalobis d-square is known to be 48,701, this value is still smaller than 59.70, so there are also no outliers in the research data, so that all respondents (samples) can be used for analysis.

Table 4. Model Fit Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria of goodness of fit</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P value chi-square</td>
<td>≥ 5%</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmin/df</td>
<td>≤ 2.00</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>≤ 0.80</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>≥ 0.90</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>≥ 0.90</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>≥ 0.90</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>≥ 0.90</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Results of Direct Effect Hypothesis Testing

Result of direct effect testing are as follow

Table 5. Direct Effect Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyp.</th>
<th>Relations between variables</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>T count</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Personality (X1) → Organizational Commitment (Y1)</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>3.030</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Transformational Leadership (X2) → Organizational Commitment (Y1)</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>4.339</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Job Engagement (X3) → Organizational Commitment (Y1)</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>4.802</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>Personality (X1) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Transformational Leadership (X2) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>Job Engagement (X3) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>2.627</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>Organizational Commitment (Y1) → OCB (Y2)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>2.152</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>OCB (Y2) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>2.495</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5 Moderate Variable Test
The first step is to examine the effect of independent on dependents must be significant, and the moderator's influence on dependents must also be significant. The second stage is to test the effect of interaction on dependent must be significant, while the main influence that was previously significant, becomes insignificant.

Table 6. Moderate Phase I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Relations between variables</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderating effect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number-1</td>
<td>Organizational Commitment (Y1) → OCB (Y2)</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation (Z1) → OCB (Y2)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderating effect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number-2</td>
<td>OCB (Y2) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Culture (Z2) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The influence of the independent variable on the dependent and the effect of the moderator variable on the dependent, both are significant, so the testing of the moderation effect can be continued to stage 2.

Table 7. Moderate Phase II

Testing the Effects of Interactions on Dependent Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hip.</th>
<th>Relations between variables</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>Organizational Commitment (Y1) → OCB (Y2)</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction Z1 * Y1 → OCB (Y2)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>OCB (Y2) → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction Z2 * Y2 → Performance (Y3)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Testing H9
The influence of the interaction variable on OCB (Z1 * Y1 → Y2) resulted in p value <0.05 so that it was significant, while the main influence (Y1 → Y2) resulted in p value > 0.05 so that the previous significant influence was significant. This shows the moderating effect of motivation (Z1) on the influence of organizational commitment (Y1) on OCB (Y2).

The coefficient value of the interaction effect is 0.006, this value is positive, meaning that motivation is proven to strengthen the influence of organizational commitment on OCB, so that H9 is accepted. The effect of organizational commitment on OCB will be stronger for employees with high work motivation.

Testing H10
The effect of the interaction variable on performance (Z2 * Y2 → Y3) resulted in p value <0.05 so that it was significant, while the main influence (Y2 → Y3) also produced a p value <0.05 so that the main influence remained significant. This shows that there is no moderating organizational culture effect (Z2) on the influence of OCB (Y2) on Performance (Y3), so that H10 is rejected. That is, the influence of OCB remains strong on performance, both in conditions of low organizational culture and in conditions of high organizational culture.

Regression equation results of the study
Y1 = 0.243 X1 + 0.379 X2 + 0.425 X3
Y3 = 0.007 X1 + 0.180 X2 + 0.238 X3 + 0.246 Y2
Y2 = 0.203 Y1

5. Discussion
The discussions of the results of research in accordance with hypotheses are as follows.
1. Personality influences Organizational Commitment Office Secretary of Private Company in Surabaya, this can be accepted, the results of data analysis show that Personality significantly influences Organizational Behavior
with a regression coefficient of 0.243. The results of this study support the research conducted by Farrukh et al. (2017); Panaccio and Vandenberge (2012); Spagnoli and Caetano (2012); Yang and Hwang (2014).

2. Transformational Leadership influences Organizational Commitment. The Secretary of the Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya, this can be accepted, the results of data analysis show that Transformational Leadership has a significant effect on Organizational Commitment with a regression coefficient of 0.379. The results of this study support the research conducted by Joo et al. (2012), Nguyen et al. (2016), Nguyen et al. (2016).

3. Job Engagement has an effect on Organizational Commitment. The Secretary of the Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya is acceptable, data analysis shows that Job Engagement has a significant effect on Organizational Commitment with a regression coefficient of 0.425. The results of this study support the research conducted by Basit (2019), Shamaa et al. (2015), Agyemang and Ofei (2013), Prerana (2017).

4. Personality influences the performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya, the results of data analysis show that Personality does not significantly influence Organizational Behavior with a regression coefficient of 0.007. The results of this study reject the research conducted by Buil et al. (2018), Widyasari et al. (2007), Fiermaningsih (2017).

5. Transformational leadership influences the performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya, this is acceptable, the results of data analysis show that Transformational Leadership significantly influences performance with a regression coefficient of 0.18. The results of this study support the research conducted by Buil et al. (2018), Elgelal and Noermijati (2014), Pratama (2015).

6. Job Engagement affects the Performance of the Secretary of the Private Enterprise Office in Surabaya, this can be accepted, the results of data analysis show that Job Engagement has a significant effect on performance with a regression coefficient of 0.238. The results of this study support the research conducted by Anitha.J (2014), Sigianingrat et al. (2018), Dajani (2015).

7. Organizational commitment influences OCB. Secretary of the Office of Private Companies in Surabaya this can be accepted, the results of data analysis show that Organizational Commitment influences OCB with a regression coefficient of 0.203. The results of this study do not support the research conducted by Harwiku (2016). Organizational Commitment does not affect OCB, but the results of this study support the research conducted by Cheng et al. (2011), Azan (2015).

8. OCB affects the Performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya, this can be accepted, the results of data analysis show that OCB has an effect on Performance with a regression coefficient of 0.246. The results of this study support the research conducted by Mahasneh (2015); Chelagat et al. (2015); Halim Dan Dewi (2018). Basu (2017). Sigianingrat et al. (2018).

9. Motivation as a variable Moderator of the influence of Organizational Commitment to OCB. Office Secretary of Private Companies in Surabaya this can be accepted, in the results of data analysis shows that Motivation becomes a moderate variable the influence of Organizational Commitment on OCB with the moderator value of 0.006. The results of this study support the research conducted by Pridar and Adamy (2018).

10. Organizational Culture as a moderator variable in the influence of OCB on the Performance of the Secretary of the Private Company Office in Surabaya. It turned out that the results of the study stated that Organizational Culture did not become a moderator variable on the effect of Organizational Citizenship Behavior on Performance where p value <0.05.

6. Conclusion
From the results of the processed data in this study the conclusions can be described as follows:

1. Personality, Transformational Leadership and Job Engagement significantly influence organizational commitment, this shows that organizational commitment or loyalty possessed by employees will increase and become stronger when supported by personalities who can be relied upon to behave and are supported by a very leadership style. inspiring for his subordinates besides being balanced by the attitude of employees as a depiction of emotions and feelings actively towards work activities carried out by someone in total.

2. Transformational leadership and Job Engagement significantly influence performance, this shows that leadership and job engagement owned by employees will increase and become stronger and more reliable in behaving and supported by a very inspirational leadership style for subordinates other than those complemented by attitude positive and productive employees.

3. Personality has no significant effect on performance, the findings of this study provide information that private company secretaries have fully realized the demands of their duties and personality (attitudes, behaviors and emotional strength / abilities) as professionals to distribute and store data for the company through data hardware and software.

4. Organizational commitment has a significant effect on Organizational Citizenship Behavior, this shows that high loyalty will form additional behavior beyond the capacity that must be done and is an excess of achievement for an employee and even supported or strengthened by the motivation he has.

5. OCB has an effect on performance, something reasonable because someone who has more capacity to achieve
will increasingly influence his performance level and organizational culture as a moderator variable does not play a strong role in the influence of OCB on performance. So the organizational culture (strong or weak) has been firmly planted in employees as a professional secretary in other words, culture as a guide to behavior is known, understood and internalized and implemented consciously and correctly.

Appendix: Indicators of Variables

**Personality**
1. I prioritize hospitality when communicating with other people
2. In carrying out my duties and obligations in the company, always be careful
3. I always want to hold back emotions when there are personal problems or in the office
4. I always try to be comfortable when cooperating with anyone
5. I prioritize openness in accepting other people’s opinions

**Transformational leadership**
1. Leaders always instill pride in their followers for the existence of the company
2. Leaders always inspire subordinates to achieve company goals
3. Pemimpin always provides assistance in solving personal and official problems that arise in the organization
4. Leaders always provide training for the benefit of developing their subordinates
5. The leader always gives new knowledge to his subordinates

**Job Engagement**
1. I always enjoy my work under any circumstances
2. I do not limit work time if needed
3. I am able and try to complete the work properly and correctly
4. I always want to find other ways to produce maximum performance
5. I really understand and appreciate my type of work

**Organizational Commitment**
1. I accept and recognize the values that exist in the company
2. I am sure that the company can protect my needs
3. I will not leave this company to move to another place of work
4. I already feel right working in this company to meet household needs.
5. I feel that this company provides a moral strength to pursue a career going forward

**Organizational Citizenship Behavior**
1. I do not limit the tasks given by the leader
2. I am always ready to help co-workers if they have difficulties in carrying out their duties
3. I am ready to work outside working hours if needed by the company
4. I am willing to give an opinion to the leader if there are problems outside of my duties
5. I am always ready to help companies when experiencing problems with the company's environment

**Motivation**
1. Paying is carried out fairly and objectively
2. Leaders always encourage their subordinates to develop themselves to employees
3. Providing awards to employees tailored to the performance of each
4. Social security is given in accordance with labor regulations
5. Subordinates are given the opportunity to participate in providing input in making decisions about problems that occur.

**Organizational culture**
1. Work regulations are carried out as needed
2. The company prioritizes employee honesty in carrying out their duties
3. The company always pays attention to the needs of ritual events in religious holidays.
4. Every employee must maintain the confidentiality of the company
5. Communication between supervisors working with subordinates runs harmoniously

**Performance**
1. Employees complete their tasks on time
2. Employees do their work in accordance with the job description
3. Employees work according to their competencies
4. Employees never postpone their work assignments
5. Arrivals Employees at the company are always on time

References
Abdullah, Iqra; Omar, Rozeyta; Rashid, Yahya. 2013. Effect of Personality on Organizational Commitment and Employees’ Performance: Empirical Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research ISSN: 1990-9233. 18 (6) pp 759-766


Buil, Isabel; Martinez, Eva; Matute, Jorge. 2018. International Journal of Hospitality Management.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.014


Cheng, Chang Chi; Chen, Meng; Shan, Tsai Meng. 2011. The Organizational Citizenship Behaviors and Organizational Commitments of Organizational members Influences the Effect of Organizational Learning. International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance. ISSN:2010-023X Vol.2 No.1 pp 21-26


Elgelal, Kamel Shaleh Khalifa; Noermijati. 2014. The Influences of Transformational Leadership on Employees Performance (A Study of the Economics and Business Faculty Employee at University of Muhammadiyah Malang. Asia-Pacific Management and Business Applications Vol.3 No.1 pp.48-66


Hughes, Richad L; Ginnen, Robert C; Curphy, Gordon J. 2012. Leadership. Memperkaya Pelajaran dari Pengalaman Edisi terjemahan Penerbit Salemba Empat Jakarta

Joo, Baek Kyoo; Yoon, Hae Jun; Jeung, Chang Wook. 2012. The effect of core self-Evaluations and Transformational
Leadership on Organizational Commitment. Leadership & Organizational Development Journal. Vol33 No.6 pp. 564-582.


McShane, Steven L; Glinov, Mary Ann Von. 2003. Organizational Behavior: Emerging, Realities for the Workplac er Revolution. McGrawhill, Irwin


Nguyen, Thi Thu; Mia, Lokman; Winata, Lanita; Chong, Vincent K. 2016. Effect of transformational leadership style and management control system on managerial performance. Journal of Business Research. JBR.09232 No of page 12


Seed, Rashid; Hashmi, Arshia; Lodhi, Rab Nawaz; Ahmad, Moeed; Arshad, Hafiz Muhammad; Achmad, Muhammad Azeem. 2013. The Effect of Transformational Leadership on Organizational Commitment With a Mediating Effect of Psychological Empowerment. Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research. ISSN : 2090-4304 3(6) pp. 28-36

Shamaa, Rasha Abu; Rabayah, Wafaa A Al; Khasawneh, Rawan T. 2015. The Effect of Job Satisfaction and and work Engagement on Organizational Commitment. The UIJ Journal of Organizational Behavior Vo.XIV No.4 pp 7-27


Sugiyono. 2010. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D Penerbit Alfabeta, Bandung
