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#### Abstract

. Inventories are considered to be one of the important weapons of supply chain to improve the efficiency of any manufacturing unit. Continuous availability of inventories are the prime requirement for uninterrupted working .To effectively manage inventory levels, it is essential to consider the appropriate reorder points as well as the optimized ordering quantity. The proposed system uses the Genetic algorithm to find the optimized ordering quantity at proper reorder point, by considering the power plant live data as a practical case study. The proposed approach is implemented in Matlab platform version 7.10
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## 1.Proposed work

RTPP(Rayalaseema Thermal power plant) utilizes many raw materials in their working process. From these author has selected some of the raw materials in the proposed work. In this research work an attempt has been made to improve the performance of present methodology by applying the 'supply chain tools' using genetic algorithm. The raw materials that are use in this case are as follows.

1. Al cladding sheet

2 .MS Electrodes
3. SS Electrodes

4 .Fire proof wool
5 .Gaskets
6 .Steam seals
7 .Bearings.

## 2.Generation Of Associated Solution Demand Matrix

The associated solution demand matrix: $D 2=\left\{D 2_{i j}\left|D 2_{i j}<N_{\max } ; i=1, \cdots,|R| ; 1<j \leq|M|\right\}\right.$ which contains the expected solution demands for every raw material for the period of $M$ is generated using the predicted demand rate $D 1$ where $N_{\max }=\operatorname{Max}(D 1)+0.20 \times \operatorname{Max}(D 1)$. The randomly generated solution demand rate for every raw material is less than $N_{\text {max }}$ and every row of the associated solution demand matrix gives the expected ordering quantity of each raw material in $R$ respectively.(i.e.) the first row of $D 2$ is the expected solution demand for the raw material $R 1$ for the period of ' $M$ ' months, the second row is the expected solution demand for the raw material $R 2$ and so on.

Specimen calculations: $\mathrm{N}_{\max }=350+0.20 \times 350=420$

Hence the generated demand values of Raw material Aluminum cladding sheet in every month(corresponding row) will be less than the value of $\mathrm{N}_{\text {max }}$.

## 3. Population generation and chromosome representation

The genetic algorithm which incorporates a fitness function to numerically evaluate the quality of each chromosome within the population searches for the optimized ordering quantity and reorder point. The population consists of a group of individuals called chromosomes each of which represents a complete solution to the defined problem. Initially $N_{c}$ No of chromosomes are randomly generated. Each gene represents a randomly generated number ${ }^{|R|}$
between 0 and $2-1$ which is subsequently encoded by employing a decimal to binary encoder where $|R|$ is the number of raw materials. The raw materials used in this case is 7 , hence the population is generated as $2-1=127$.

12 chromosomes (12*12) gene values in binary form are generated as shown in Table 3

### 3.1 Fitness evaluation of chromosome

The genetic algorithm searches for the chromosome with highest fitness, where the fitness function is used to assess the quality of a given chromosome within the population. To find the optimized ordering quantity and reorder point the proposed system uses the $D 1$ the demand matrix and $D 2$, associated solution demand matrix for finding the fitness of the chromosome. The fitness of the chromosome is calculated as follows.
$F C_{k}=\frac{|R|}{\sum F G_{i}}$; where, $1<i<M$, where R is the length of chromosome and
$F G_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}F G h_{i} & \text { If } P=H c \\ F G s_{i} & \text { If } P=S c\end{array}\right\}$ and $P=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}H c & \text { If } & D 2_{i j}>D 1_{i j} \\ S c & \text { If } & D 2_{i j}<D 1_{i j} \\ 0 & \text { If } & D 2_{i j}=D 1_{i j}\end{array}\right\}$
$F G h_{i}=X_{i j}\left(\left(30 *\left(V_{j}+V_{j-1}\right) * P\right)+\left(\right.\right.$ Pur $\left._{j} * D 2_{j i}\right)+$ Ord $\left._{j}\right)$ and
$F G s_{i}=X_{i j}\left(\left(30 *\left(V_{j}\right) * P\right)+\left(\right.\right.$ Pur $\left._{j} * D 2_{j i}\right)+$ Ord $\left._{j}\right)$ where $V_{j}=D 1_{i j}-D 2_{i j}$
Depends on the deviation value of the $D 2_{i j}$ with $D 1_{i j}$, the fitness of the gene value changes. If $D 2_{i j}$ the associated solution ordering quantity of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ raw material for the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ month is greater than the $D 1_{i j}$ then the fitness of the corresponding gene is calculated with the holding cost. If $D 2_{i j}$ is less than the $D 1_{i j}$, the gene value is calculated with the shortage cost. The fitness function is carried out for the every chromosome and the best two parent chromosomes are selected according to their high value in fitness.

### 3.2 Cross over

Crossover is also known as recombination of component materials due to mating. The outcome of crossover heavily depends on the chromosomes selected from the population. Crossover is a binary genetic operator acting on two parents. Different crossover operators have been developed for various purposes. In this work, the single point crossover operator selects a crossover point within a chromosome at random by using the cross over rate.

Subsequently, genes of the two parent chromosomes in between the point are interchanged to produce two new off springs. The crossover points $c_{1}$ is determined as follows.

$$
C 1=|M| * R_{a}
$$

$R_{a}$ denotes the cross over rate and M denotes months Here a cross over rate of 0.4 is used to generate new
offspring's. The 12 chromosomes that are produced by population generation(Table 3) are utilized for cross over and 6 new offspring's are generated as shown in the (Table3.2)

### 3.3 Mutation

One or more gene values in a chromosome from its initial state is altered by the genetic operator known as mutation, which may lead to entirely new gene values being added to the gene pool. The genetic algorithm may be capable of arriving at a better solution than the solution previously achieved, possible by employing these new gene values. Owing to the fact that mutation helps to prevent the population from stagnating at any local optima, it is considered as an important part of the genetic search. Mutation operator occurs in accordance with a user-definable mutation probability during the evolution.
The Mutation operation can be effectively performed using the index value " I " and the Mutation value "MV". The new offspring's produced from cross over operation are Mutated by randomly generated Index value and mutation value. The first offspring 1 is Mutated with $\mathrm{I}=5$, the randomly generated value and the value of MV is also generated randomly within $2-1=127$ say $M V=87$. This value of 87 is converted in to binary form 1010111.As the Index value is taken as 5 the corresponding gene at the $5^{\text {th }}$ cell is replaced by the binary form of MV as shown in table 3.3. This process is followed for generating other offspring's and 6 mutated offspring's are generated as shown in table 3.4

## 4 . Generation of optimized chromosome

In order to produced the most efficient chromosomes, again the $1^{\text {st }}$ six parent chromosomes from population generation(Table 3) and six Mutated offspring's (Table 3.4) are applied with all the above operators of "G A".

## 5 . Generation of inverted chromosome

In order to produce the inverted chromosome all the positive status ' 1 ' of the best chromosome are changed to negative ordering status ' 0 ' and the negative status ' 0 ' is changed to positive status ' 1 '

## 6. Performance Evaluation

The performance of the proposed approach is evaluated using the different data set. The total cost of different data set is evaluated using the proposed approach as well as for the inverted chromosome obtained from the best chromosome. The optimized reordering point and the optimized ordering quantity is obtained by the best chromosome and the total cost is calculated, consequently inverted reordering point is generated from the best chromosome.(i.e.) All the positive status ' 1 ' of the best chromosome are changed to negative ordering status ' 0 ' and the negative status ' 0 ' is changed to positive status ' 1 ' subsequently the total cost is calculated for the same inverted chromosome. The total cost of proposed system is denoted by T cost and the total cost of present system is denoted by T cost 1 .

## 7. conclusions

The main aspects of the inventory control in the manufacturing plant is to reduce the total cost. By applying the tools of genetic algorithm for the real data collected from RTPP the performance of the proposed system and the present system are compared and the results proved that the proposed is more efficient and also the cost can also be reduced.
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Table 1. Demand matrix (D1)

| Raw materials | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | M8 | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aluminum <br> cladding <br> sheet(kgs) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 150 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 350 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| M.S welding <br> electrodes(nos) | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 5000 | 6000 | 6000 | 10000 | 12000 | 12000 | 10000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| S S welding <br> electrodes <br> (nos) | 1000 | 2000 | 2000 | 1500 | 2500 | 2500 | 4500 | 4000 | 4500 | 3500 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Fire proof <br> wool <br> (sq mts) | 100 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| Gaskets(kgs) | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 5 |
| Steam <br> seals(kgs) | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Bearings(nos) | 200 | 200 | 250 | 250 | 200 | 150 | 200 | 150 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 150 |

The various cost of the raw materials incurred for RTPP are shown below.
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Table 1. 1. Various cost of raw materials.

| S.no | Raw <br> material | Purchasing <br> cost | Transpor <br> tation <br> cost | Total <br> cost <br> T C | Holding cost <br> $5 \%$ of T C | Shortage cost <br> $4 \%$ of T C | Ordering <br> cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Al cladding <br> sheet | $185 /-$ | $15 /-$ | $200 /-$ | $10 /-$ | $8 /-$ | $2 /-$ |
| 2 | MS welding <br> electrodes | 3.50 per <br> piece | 0.15 | $3.65 /-$ | $0.18 /-$ | $0.15 /-$ | $4 /-$ |
| 3 | SS welding <br> Electrodes | $20 /-$ per <br> piece | $1.60 /-$ | $21.60 /-$ | $1.08 /-$ | $0.9 /-$ | $6 /-$ |
| 4 | Fire proof <br> wool | $160 /-$ per <br> sqm | $13 /-$ | $173 /-$ | $9 /-$ | $7 /-$ | $2 /-$ |
| 5 | Gaskets | $350 /-$ per kg | $15 /-$ | $365 /-$ | $18.25 /-$ | $14.60 /-$ | $8 /-$ |
| 6 | Steam seals | $3200 /-$ per <br> kg | $30 /-$ | $3230 /-$ | $161.50 /-$ | $130 /-$ | $2 /-$ |
| 7 | Bearings | $400 /-$ | $5 /-$ | $405 /-$ | $20.25 /-$ | $17 /-$ | $3 /-$ |

Table 2. Associated demand matrix

| 246 | 132 | 283 | 167 | 93 | 102 | 163 | 15 | 146 | 232 | 235 | 29 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8380 | 6853 | 9532 | 11320 | 2737 | 13846 | 7705 | 13170 | 9298 | 2210 | 752 | 217 |
| 1061 | 2850 | 798 | 395 | 2997 | 5299 | 880 | 846 | 884 | 424 | 3880 | 2410 |
| 92 | 109 | 159 | 22 | 53 | 12 | 26 | 13 | 34 | 139 | 162 | 94 |
| 16 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 249 | 245 | 287 | 55 | 202 | 221 | 246 | 205 | 100 | 11 | 37 | 86 |

Table 3. population generation

| '0001001' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0011111' | '0110000' | '0101001' | '1010001' | '0101100' | '0101100' | '0110110' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '0101001' | '0110110' | '1111101' | '0110111' | '0101000' | '1110101' | '0011010' | '1100100' | '1111010' | '1100100' | '1100100' | '1111101' |
| '1000100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0100011' | '0111111' | '0111100' | '0011111' | '1010110' | '1010110' | '0100111' |
| '1010100' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '1100010' | '0101100' | '0000101' | '1010110' | '0000001' | '0000001' | '1011010' |
| '0110100' | '0010100' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0110110' | '0011000' | '1111001' | '0010111' | '0100101' | '1001101' | '1001101' | '1010101' |
| '1101001' | '0100100' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '0100101' | '1110101' | '1011100' | '1010110' | '0110010' | '0110010' | '1000101' |
| '1011100' | '0111000' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '0001100' | '0000111' | '0111101' | '1011001' | '1110101' | '1110101' | '1011001' |
| '1111100' | '1000011' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '1001010' | '1011110' | '0010100' | '0001001' | '0000001' | '0000001' | '1010101' |
| '1000100' | '0111011' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1010111' | '0100011' | '0101100' | '0100001' | '0111011' | '0111011' | '0010111' |
| '0101010' | '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '1000110' | '0110110' | '1001110' | '0011101' | '0110110' | '0110110' | '0010001' |
| '0001110' | '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '0110111' | '1000110' | '0011001' | '1010101' | '0111011' | '0111011' | '1111111' |
| '1001110' | '1111000' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '1010010' | '1111000' | '1011110' | '1101100' | '1100010' | '1100010' | '0010110' |

Table 3.1 Fitness values of chromosome

| $1.87 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-$ |
| 06 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 |  |  |  |  |  | 06 | 06 | 06 | 06 |  |  |
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Table3.1.1 process of cross over.

| '0001001' | '0001001' | '0001001' | '1100011' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '0101001' | '0101001' | '0101001' | '0110110' |  |
| '1000100' | '1000100' | '1000100' | '0001100' |  |
| '1010100' | '1010100' | '1010100' | '0100010' |  |
| '0110100' | '0110100' | '0110100' | '0010100' |  |
| '0100100' | '1101001' |  | '0100100' | '0100100' |
| '0111000' | '1011100' |  | '0111000' | '0111000' |
| '1000011' | '1111100' |  | '1000011' | '1000011' |
| '0111011' | '1000100' |  | '0111011' | '0111011' |
| '1110000' | '0101010' |  | '1110000' | '1110000' |
| '1000010' | '0001110' |  | '1000010' | '1000010' |
| '1111000' | '1001110' |  | '1111000' | '1111000' |

Table3.2 Generation of offspring's

| Offspring 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| '0001001' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0011111' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '0101001' | '0110110' | '1111101' | '0110111' | '0101000' | '1110101' |
| '1000100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0100011' |
| '1010100' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '1100010' |
| '0110100' | '0010100' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0110110' | '0011000' |
| '0100100' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '1101001' | '1101001' |
| '0111000' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '1011100' | '1011100' |
| '1000011' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' |
| '0111011' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1000100' | '1000100' |
| '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '0101010' | '0101010' |
| '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '0001110' | '0001110' |
| '1111000' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '1001110' | '1001110' |

Table 3.3 Mutation process

|  | I=5 |  | I=5 |  | I=2 |  | I=2 |  | I=5 |  | I=4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offspring 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MV }=87 \\ & 1010111 \end{aligned}$ | Offsing2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MV }=121 \\ & 1111001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Offspring $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MV=91 } \\ & 1011011 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Offspring4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MV }=16 \\ & \mathbf{0 0 1 0 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Offspring } \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MV }=58 \\ & 0111010 \end{aligned}$ | Offspring 6 |  |
| '0001001' | '0001001' | '1100011' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0110111' | '0011111' | '0011111' |
| '0101001' | '0101001' | '0110110' | '0110110' | '1111101' | '1011011' | '0110111' | '0010000' | '0101000' | '0101000' | '1110101' | '1110101' |
| '1000100' | '1000100' | '0001100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0010101' | '0100011' | '0100011' |
| '1010100' | '1010100' | '0100010' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '0010111' | '1100010' | '1010101' |
| '0110100' | '1010111' | '0010100' | '1111001' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0110110' | '0111010' | '0011000' | '0011000' |
| '0100100' | '0100100' | '0001110' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '0001100' | '1101001' | '1101001' | '1101001' | '1101001' |
| '0111000' | '0111000' | '1110100' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '1001101' | '1011100' | '1011100' | '1011100' | '1011100' |
| '1000011' | '1000011' | '1110000' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '0111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' |
| '0111011' | '0111011' | '1101000' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1011001' | '1000100' | '1000100' | '1000100' | '1000100' |
| '1110000' | '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '1011001' | '0101010' | '0101010' | '0101010' | '0101010' |
| '1000010' | '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '1010010' | '0001110' | '0001110' | '0001110' | '0001110' |
| '1111000' | '1111000' | '0000011' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '0000101' | '1001110' | '1001110' | '1001110' | '1001110' |

Table 3.4 Mutated Offspring's

| '0001001' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0011111' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| '0101001' | '0110110' | '1011011' | '0010000' | '0101000' | '1110101' |
| '1000100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0100011' |
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| '1010100' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '1010101' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '1010111' | '1111001' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0111010' | '0011000' |
| '0100100' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '1101001' | '1101001' |
| '0111000' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '1011100' | '1011100' |
| '1000011' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' |
| '0111011' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1000100' | '1000100' |
| '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '0101010' | '0101010' |
| '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '0001110' | '0001110' |
| '1111000' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '1001110' | '1001110' |

Table 4. New chromosomes, $\mathbf{6}$ from population generation and $\mathbf{6}$ from mutated offspring's.

| '0001001' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0011111' | '0001001' | '1100011' | '1101001' | '0011001' | '0110111' | '0011111' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '0101001' | '0110110' | '1111101' | '0110111' | '0101000' | '1110101' | '0101001' | '0110110' | '1111101' | '0110111' | '0101000' | '1110101' |
| '1000100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0100011' | '1000100' | '0001100' | '1011101' | '0111110' | '0010101' | '0100011' |
| '1010100' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '1100010' | '1010100' | '0100010' | '0101100' | '0010000' | '0010111' | '1100010' |
| '0110100' | '0010100' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0110110' | '0011000' | '0110100' | '0010100' | '1001011' | '1001011' | '0110110' | '0011000' |
| '1101001' | '0100100' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '0100101' | '0100100' | '0001110' | '0011101' | '0001100' | '1101001' | '1101001' |
| '1011100' | '0111000' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '0001100' | '0111000' | '1110100' | '0110001' | '1001101' | '1011100' | '1011100' |
| '1111100' | '1000011' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '1001010' | '1000011' | '1110000' | '1001011' | '0111100' | '1111100' | '1111100' |
| '1000100' | '0111011' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1010111' | '0111011' | '1101000' | '0100000' | '1011001' | '1000100' | '1000100' |
| '0101010' | '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '1000110' | '1110000' | '0100010' | '0100101' | '1011001' | '0101010' | '0101010' |
| '0001110' | '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '0110111' | '1000010' | '1001100' | '1001111' | '1010010' | '0001110' | '0001110' |
| '1001110' | '1111000' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '1010010' | '1111000' | '0000011' | '0100010' | '0000101' | '1001110' | '1001110' |

Table 4.1 optimized chromosome.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Table 5. Inverted chromosome

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
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Table 6. Performance Comparison results of total cost.

\left.| Data Set:1, Iteration Limitation = 50 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total cost of | Total cost of inverse |
| Ordering point(T cost1) |  |$\right]$| 5460742.0000000 | 9983010.80000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3161336.20000000 | 9864896.0000000 |
| 3771384.0000000 | 9575622.10000000 |
| 4733264.90000000 | 10031103.5000000 |
| 5500463.50000000 |  |

7. Convergence graph of total cost for various Iterations.
$X$ axis $=$ Iterations

Y axis = Fitness values


Figure 1. Graph
7.1 Bar chart showing the difference between the proposed system and present system.

Tcost1(Total ordering cost of present system) $=93,66,567.500$
$\mathrm{T} \operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{Total}$ ordering cost of proposed system) $=54,60,742.00$
Difference(savings by the proposed system) $=39,05,825.00$
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Figure 2. Bar chart comparison.
Interpretation. The above bar chart clearly represents that the total ordering cost of proposed system is less compared to the total ordering cost of present system.
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