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Abstract
This paper presents a chaotic particle swarm algorithm for solving the multi-objective reactive power dispatch problem. To deal with reactive power optimization problem, a chaotic particle swarm optimization (CPSO) is presented to avoid the premature convergence. By fusing with the ergodic and stochastic chaos, the novel algorithm explores the global optimum with the comprehensive learning strategy. The chaotic searching region can be adjusted adaptively. In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm, it has been tested on IEEE 30 bus system and simulation results show that (CPSO) is more efficient than other algorithms in reducing the real power loss and maximization of voltage stability index.
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1. Introduction
One of the major problems faced by power system operators is the reactive power dispatch imposed on electric power utilities for a continuous and reliable supply of energy. Major power loads require a significant amount of reactive power that has to be supplied while maintaining load bus voltages within their permissible operating limits. In order to maintain desired levels of voltages and reactive flows under various operating conditions and system configurations, power system operators may utilize a number of control tools such as switching var sources, changing generator voltages, and by adjusting transformer tap settings. By an optimal adjustment of these controls, the redistribution of the reactive power would minimize transmission losses. Various mathematical techniques have been adopted to solve this optimal reactive power dispatch problem. These include the gradient method (O. Alsac et al.1973; Lee K Yet al.1985), Newton method (A. Monticelli et al.1987) and linear programming (Deeb Net al.1990; E. Hobson1980; K.Y Lee et al.1985; M.K. Mangoli 1993). The gradient and Newton methods suffer from the difficulty in handling inequality constraints. To apply linear programming, the input-output function is to be expressed as a set of linear functions which may lead to loss of accuracy. Recently Global Optimization techniques such as genetic algorithms have been proposed to solve the reactive power flow problem (S.R.Paranjothi et al 2002; D. Devaraj et al 2005). In recent years, the problem of voltage stability and voltage collapse has become a major concern in power system planning and operation. To enhance the voltage stability, voltage magnitudes alone will not be a reliable indicator of how far an operating point is from the collapse point (C.A. Canizares et al.1996). The reactive power support and voltage problems are intrinsically related. Hence, this paper formulates the reactive power dispatch as a multi-objective optimization problem with loss minimization and maximization of static voltage stability margin (SVSM) as the objectives.

Voltage stability evaluation using modal analysis is used as the indicator of voltage stability. In recent years, several new optimization techniques have emerged. The evolutionary algorithms (EAs) for reactive power optimization problem have been extensively studied. Several global optimization algorithms such as differential evolution (DE) (Dib.N et al .2010; Lin. C et al.2010), genetic algorithm (GA) (Zhang et al.2009; Vaitheeswaran.S et al.2008), simulated annealing (SA) (Ferreira, J. A et al.1997), Ant colony optimization (ACO) (Hooseni.S. A et al 2008), particle swarm optimization (PSO) (Perez Lopez et al.2009; Liu, D et al.2009; Li, W.-T et al.2010; Goudos, S et al.2010; Shavit, R et al.2005) are used for reactive power optimization problem. However, these methods present certain drawbacks with the possibility of premature convergence to a local optimum. In this paper, a novel chaotic PSO algorithm (CPSO) is proposed. Based on the ergodicity, regularity and pseudo-randomness of the Chaotic variable, chaotic search is used to explore better solutions. The performance of (CPSO) has been evaluated in standard IEEE 30 bus test system and the results analysis shows that our proposed approach outperforms all approaches investigated in this paper.

2. Voltage Stability Evaluation
2.1 Modal analysis for voltage stability evaluation

Modal analysis is one of the methods for voltage stability enhancement in power systems. The linearized steady state system power flow equations are given by.
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta P \\
\Delta Q \\
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
J_{pq} \\
J_{qQ} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(1)

Where
\[\Delta P = \text{Incremental change in bus real power.}\]
\[\Delta Q = \text{Incremental change in bus reactive power injection}\]
\[\Delta \theta = \text{incremental change in bus voltage angle.}\]
\[\Delta V = \text{Incremental change in bus voltage Magnitude}\]

\[J_{pq}, J_{qQ}, J_{QQ}\] jacobian matrix are the sub-matrixes of the System voltage stability is affected by both P and Q. However at each operating point we keep P constant and evaluate voltage stability by considering incremental relationship between Q and V.

To reduce (1), let \(\Delta P = 0\), then.
\[\Delta Q = J \Delta V\]
(2)
\[\Delta V = J^{-1} \Delta Q\]
(3)

Where
\[J = (J_{QV} - J_{QP}^{-1}J_{PV})\]
(4)

\(J\) is called the reduced Jacobian matrix of the system.

2.2 Modes of Voltage instability

Voltage Stability characteristics of the system can be identified by computing the eigen values and eigen vectors

Let
\[J = \xi \Lambda \eta\]
(5)

Where,
\[\xi = \text{right eigenvector matrix of } J\]
\[\eta = \text{left eigenvector matrix of } J\]
\[\Lambda = \text{diagonal eigenvalue matrix of } J\]

From (3) and (6), we have
\[\Delta V = \xi \Lambda^{-1} \eta \Delta Q\]
(7)

or
\[\Delta V = \sum \frac{\xi_n}{\lambda_i} \Delta Q\]
(8)

Where \(\xi_i\) is the ith column right eigenvector and \(\eta\) the ith row left eigenvector of \(J\).

\(\lambda_i\) is the ith eigen value of \(J\).

The ith modal reactive power variation is,
\[\Delta Q_{mi} = K_i \xi_i\]
(9)

where,
\[K_i = \sum_j \xi_i j^2 - 1\]
(10)

Where \(\xi_{ij}\) is the jth element of \(\xi_i\)

The corresponding ith modal voltage variation is
\[\Delta V_{mi} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \Delta Q_{mi}\]
(11)

It is seen that, when the reactive power variation is along the direction of \(\xi_i\) the corresponding voltage variation is also along the same direction and magnitude is amplified by a factor which is equal to the magnitude of the inverse of the ith eigenvalue. In this sense, the magnitude of each eigenvalue \(\lambda_i\) determines the weakness of the corresponding modal voltage. The smaller the magnitude of \(\lambda_i\), the weaker will be the corresponding modal voltage. If \(|\lambda_i| = 0\) the ith modal voltage will collapse because any change in that modal reactive power will cause infinite modal voltage variation.

In (8), let \(\Delta Q = e_k\) where \(e_k\) has all its elements zero except the kth one being 1. Then,
\[\Delta V = \sum \frac{\xi_{nk}}{\lambda_i} \Delta Q_{mi}\]
(12)

\[\eta_{1k} = \text{k th element of } \eta_1\]
\[V - Q \text{ sensitivity at bus } k\]
\[\frac{\partial V}{\partial Q_k} = \sum \frac{\xi_{nk}}{\lambda_i} = \sum \frac{P_{ki}}{\lambda_i}\]
(13)

3. Problem Formulation

The objectives of the reactive power dispatch problem considered here is to minimize the system real power loss and maximize the static voltage stability margins (SVSM).
3.1 Minimization of Real Power Loss

It is aimed in this objective that minimizing of the real power loss (Ploss) in transmission lines of a power system. This is mathematically stated as follows.

\[ P_{\text{loss}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k (v_i^2 + v_j^2 - 2v_i v_j \cos \theta_{ij}) \]  

(14)

Where \( n \) is the number of transmission lines, \( g_k \) is the conductance of branch \( k \), \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) are voltage magnitude at bus \( i \) and bus \( j \), and \( \theta_{ij} \) is the voltage angle difference between bus \( i \) and bus \( j \).

3.2 Minimization of Voltage Deviation

It is aimed in this objective that minimizing of the Deviations in voltage magnitudes (VD) at load buses. This is mathematically stated as follows.

\[ \text{Minimize } V_D = \sum_{k=1}^{n_l}[V_k - 1.0] \]  

(15)

Where \( n_l \) is the number of load busses and \( V_k \) is the voltage magnitude at bus \( k \).

3.3 System Constraints

Objective functions are subjected to these constraints shown below.

Load flow equality constraints:

\[ P_{Gi} - P_{Di} - V_{Gi}^2 \sum_{j \neq i} v_j \left[ G_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij} \right] = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, nb \]  

(16)

\[ Q_{Gi} - Q_{Di} - V_{Gi}^2 \sum_{j \neq i} v_j \left[ G_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij} \right] = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, nb \]  

(17)

where, \( nb \) is the number of buses, \( P_G \) and \( Q_G \) are the real and reactive load of the generator, \( P_D \) and \( Q_D \) are the real and reactive load of the generator, and \( G_{ij} \) and \( B_{ij} \) are the mutual conductance and susceptance between bus \( i \) and bus \( j \). Generator bus voltage \( (V_{Gi}) \) inequality constraint:

\[ V_{Gi}^{\text{min}} \leq V_{Gi} \leq V_{Gi}^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{ng} \]  

(18)

Load bus voltage \((V_{Li})\) inequality constraint:

\[ V_{Li}^{\text{min}} \leq V_{Li} \leq V_{Li}^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{nl} \]  

(19)

Switchable reactive power compensations \((Q_{Gi})\) inequality constraint:

\[ Q_{Gi}^{\text{min}} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{nc} \]  

(20)

Reactive power generation \((Q_{Gi})\) inequality constraint:

\[ Q_{Gi}^{\text{min}} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{ng} \]  

(21)

Transformers tap setting \((T_i)\) inequality constraint:

\[ T_i^{\text{min}} \leq T_i \leq T_i^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{nt} \]  

(22)

Transmission line flow \((S_{Il})\) inequality constraint:

\[ S_{Il}^{\text{min}} \leq S_{Il} \leq S_{Il}^{\text{max}}, i \in \text{nl} \]  

(23)

Where, \( nc \), \( ng \), and \( nt \) are numbers of the switchable reactive power sources, generators and transformers.

4. Principle of CPSO

4.1 Principle of CPSO

Inspired by the social behaviours of animal, bird flocking and fishing, PSO was developed by (Kennedy et al. 1995). The particle is endowed with two factors: velocity and position which can be regarded as the potential solution in the \( D \) dimension problem space. In basic PSO, they can be updated by following formulas:

\[ v_{id}(t+1) = \omega v_{id}(t) + c_1 r_1 (p_{id}(t) - x_{id}(t)) + c_2 r_2 (p_{gd}(t) - x_{id}(t)) \]  

(24)

\[ x_{id}(t+1) = x_{id}(t) + v_{id}(t+1) \]  

(25)

Where \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), \( d = 1, \ldots, D \), \( N \) is the number of particles. \( \omega \) is the inertia weight factor to control the exploration and exploitation. \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are two random numbers within the range \([0, 1]\). \( v_{id}(t) \) and \( x_{id}(t) \) are the velocity and position of the current particle \( i \) at time step \( t \) in the \( d \)-th dimensional search space respectively. When \( v_{id}(t) \) and \( x_{id}(t) \) are beyond the boundary, the solution may be illegal. So, the treatment of boundaries in the PSO method is important in order to prevent the swarm from explosion (Xu.S et al. 2007). In many practical problems, the search range \( x_{id} \) is in \([X_{\text{min}}, X_{\text{max}}]D\). \( v_{id} \) should be clamped to a maximum magnitude \( V_{\text{max}} \). \( p_i \) is the previous best position of particle \( i \) which is also called “personal best”, and its \( d \)-th dimensional is \( p_{id} \). The global best \( p_g \) is the best position found in the whole particles, and its \( d \)-th dimensional is \( p_{gd} \). \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are the acceleration constants which change the velocity of a particle towards the \( p_i \) and \( p_g \).

4.2 Modification Techniques in CPSO

The basic PSO uses \( p_i \) as neighbourhood topology. Each particle learns from its \( p_i \) and \( p_g \). Restricting the social learning part to \( p_g \) can make basic PSO converge quickly. However, because all particles in the swarm learn
from the $p_i$ even if the current $p_p$ is far from the global optimum, particles may easily be attracted to the area and trapped in a local optimum. Furthermore, the fitness value of a particle is determined by all dimensions. A particle that has discovered the region corresponding to the global optimum in some dimensions may have a low fitness value because of the poor solutions in other dimensions (Liang, J.-J. et al. 2006). In order to acquire more beneficial information from the entire swarm, we define $p_c$ as “comprehensive best position”.

\[
\begin{align*}
 p_c & = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i1}}{N}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i2}}{N}, \cdots, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{iD}}{N} \right\} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(26)

Where $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Thus Equation (1) is modified as

\[
v_{id}(t + 1) = \omega v_{id}(t) + c_1 r_{id} (P_{id}(t) - x_{id}(t)) + c_2 r_{2d} (P_c(t) - x_{id}(t))
\]

(27)

where $p_{id}$ is the $d$th-dimensional part of $p_i$. By using $p_c$ instead of $p_p$, all particles' $p_i$ can potentially be used as the exemplars to guide their flying direction. The comprehensive learning strategy yields a larger potential search space than that of the basic PSO. On the other hand, a particle can learn from $p_c$, as well as its personal best and the other particles' best, so that the particle can learn from particle itself, the elite and other particles.

The strategy can increase the initial diversity and enable the swarm to overcome premature convergence problem. Typical PSO has shown some important advances by providing high speed of convergence in specific problems. However it does exhibit some shortages (Modares.H et al. 2010). During the process of evolution, sometimes particles lose their abilities of exploration and will be stagnated. When some particles' velocity is close to zero, other particles will quickly fly into the region near the inactive particles position that guided by $p_i$ and $p_c$. Because of the particles randomness in initialization and evolution process, the updating sometimes looks aimless. As a result, when $p_c$ is trapped in a local optimum, the whole swarm becomes premature convergence, and the exploration performance will not be improved. Optimization algorithms based on the chaos theory are stochastic search methodologies that differ from any of the existing evolutionary algorithms. Due to the non-repetition of chaos, it can carry out overall exploration at higher velocities than stochastic and ergodic searches that depend on probabilities (Coelho, L., Det al. 2009). Chaotic PSO can be divided into two types. In the first type, chaos is embedded into the velocity updating equation of PSO. In (Modares.H et al. 2010), $c_1$ and $c_2$ are generated from the iterations of a chaotic map instead of using the rand function. In (Wang, Y., et al. 2010), a chaotic map is used to determine the value of $\omega$ during iterations. In the second type, chaotic search is fused with the procedures of PSO. This type is a kind of multi-phase optimization technique that chaotic optimization and PSO can switch to each other according to certain conditions (Wu.Q 2011). Therefore, this paper provides a new strategy, which not only introduces chaotic mapping with certainty, ergodicity and stochastic property into PSO algorithm, but also proposes multi-phase optimization integrated by chaotic search and PSO evolution. The multi-phase optimization of chaotic PSO includes: $v_{id}$ and $x_{id}$ are updated by basic PSO with comprehensive learning strategy. If the swarm is stagnated, chaotic disturbance would be introduced. Here, variance $\sigma^2$ demonstrates the converge degree of all particles.

\[
\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{f_i - f_{avg}}{f} \right)^2
\]

(28)

\[
f = \max \left\{ 1, \max \left\{ f_i - f_{avg} \right\} \right\}
\]

(29)

Where $f_i$ is the fitness of the $i$th particle; $f_{avg}$ is the average fitness value; $f$ is the factor of fitness value. The bigger $\sigma^2$ is the broader $i$th particles will spread. Otherwise, they will almost converge. The chaotic sequence can be generated by the logistic map introduced by Robert May in 1976. It is often cited as an example of how complex behaviour can arise from a simple dynamic system without any stochastic disturbance (He, Y.-Y., et al. 2009). The equation is the following

\[
y_{id}(t + 1) = \mu y_{id}(t) \left( 1 - y_{id}(t) \right)
\]

(30)

Where $y_{id}(t) \in (0,1), i = 1, \ldots, N, d = 1, \ldots, D, \mu$ is usually set to 4 to obtain ergodicity of $y_{id}(t + 1)$ within $(0,1)$. When the initial value $y_{id}(0) \in (0.25,0.5,0.75)$ using equation (30) we can obtain chaotic sequences. In order to increase the population diversity and prevent premature convergence, we add adaptively chaotic disturbance $p_c$ at the time of stagnation. Thus, $p_c$ is modified as $p'_c$.

\[
p_{id}(t + 1) = p_{cd}(t) + R_{id} \left( 2 y_{id}(t) - 1 \right)
\]

(31)

\[
R_{id} = \beta [p_{cd}(t) - p_{id}(t)]
\]

(32)

Where $\beta$ is the region scale factor. Because $y_{id}(t) \in (0,1)$, the second part of Equation (31) is in the range of $(-|R_{id}|,|R_{id}|)$ that would restrict the searching area around $p_{id}$. In addition, the searching range can be adaptively adjusted by the distance between $p_i$ and $p_c$. If $p_i$ is surrounded with the previous best positions $p_i$, it means that a good region may have been found, and it is reasonable to search elaborately in a small area. On the contrary, if $p_i$ is far from $p_c$, this probably suggests that a good area has not yet been found. For better solution,
searching region should be enlarged (Lin, C.et al.2007). Thus in CPSO, the new position can be expressed as

\[ v_{id}(t + 1) = \omega v_{id}(t) + c_1 r_1(p_{id}(t) - x_{id}(t)) + c_2 r_2(p_{id}'(t) - x_{id}(t)) \]

(33)

Different from Equation (24), \( p_g \) is replaced by \( p'_c \) at the time of stagnation when \( \sigma^2 \) is less than the stagnation factor \( \xi \). Chaotic search is restricted into a small range to obtain high performance in local exploration. Additionally, the algorithm keeps a dynamic balance between global and local searches due to its adaptive mechanism. With the new updating rule, different exemplars are used in different dimensions to explore a larger search space than the basic PSO. In addition, chaotic disturbance is embedded in different dimensions to maintain the diversity which plays an important role in avoiding early convergence.

5. Simulation Results

The validity of the proposed Algorithm technique is demonstrated on IEEE-30 bus system. The IEEE-30 bus system has 6 generator buses, 24 load buses and 41 transmission lines of which four branches are (6-9), (6-10), (4-12) and (28-27) - are with the tap setting transformers. The lower voltage magnitude limits at all buses are 0.95 p.u. and the upper limits are 1.1 for all the PV buses and 1.05 p.u. for all the PQ buses and the reference bus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLNo</th>
<th>Contingency</th>
<th>ORPD Setting</th>
<th>Vscrpd Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28-27</td>
<td>0.1400</td>
<td>0.1422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-12</td>
<td>0.1658</td>
<td>0.1662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.1784</td>
<td>0.1754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>0.2012</td>
<td>0.2032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Limit Violation Checking Of State Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State variables</th>
<th>limits</th>
<th>ORPD</th>
<th>Vscrpd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.3422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>49.92</td>
<td>25.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>63.52</td>
<td>38.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.9300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V10</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V12</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V14</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V18</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V19</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V21</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V22</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V23</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V24</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V27</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V28</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V29</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V30</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.0418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of Real Power Loss
Method | Minimum loss
--- | ---
Evolutionary programming [11] | 5.0159
Genetic algorithm [12] | 4.665
Real coded GA with Lindex as SVSM [13] | 4.568
Real coded genetic algorithm [14] | 4.5015
Proposed CPSO method | 4.2031

6. Conclusion

A large-scale power system should supply power to the customers in a reliable and economic way while keeping system voltages in permissible limits. The purpose of the optimal reactive dispatch problem is to improve system voltage profile by minimizing power system losses. In this research paper a chaotic particle swarm has been utilized to solve the optimal reactive power dispatch problem. The performance of the proposed algorithm has been tested in standard IEEE test system and simulation results shows the best performance of the proposed system and the real power loss has been considerably reduced.
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