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Abstract
Ethiopia being the center of origin and genetic diversity of Arabica coffee is lucky in this regard. Despite the existence of high genetic diversity in coffee population that provides immense opportunities for improvement program, shortage of improved hybrid varieties is the major one. It is obvious that research work carried out so far on coffee genetics and breeding was not adequate to address these diverse agro-ecologies of the country. Hybridization study through heterosis and combining ability analysis are some of the basic breeding tools to address such problems. Some studies had been conducted on assessment of heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and morphological characters of coffee in Ethiopia and the presence of heterosis was reported in crosses of selected indigenous C. arabica L. varieties in Ethiopia under different set of studies mainly due to presence of diverse parental lines. These results clearly suggested that the possibility to bring significant coffee improvement through heterosis and combining ability analysis. Therefore, continuous crossing program should be required to acquire many more cross combinations for intensive and extensive evaluation to develop better performing and high yielding hybrids for Ethiopian origin coffee.
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Introduction
Coffee (Coffea arabica L.) belongs to the family Rubiaceae and the genus Coffea (Coste, 1992). The two important commercial species among 124 species in the genus Coffea (Davis et al., 2012) are Arabica coffee and Robusta coffee (Coffea canephora P.), in which the former is the only tetraploid species (2n = 4x = 44), while the latter is diploid (2n = 2x = 22) (Gichuru et al., 2008) both cover about 10 million hectares worldwide (Bunn, 2015). Coffee arabica, unlike many other coffee types is considered to be a 95% self-fertile and only 5% cross fertile species, meaning it can set fruit from its own pollen (Veddeler et al., 2008). In Ethiopia, the total land area coverage of Arabica coffee is estimated to be 700,474.69 ha with an annual average production of 469,091.1 tonnes, out of which over a half is consumed locally (CSA, 2016/2017).

Ethiopia is both the center of origin and diversification of C. arabica L. (Bayetta, 2001). The crop spreads widely in the country stretching from the river bank of Gambella plain (550m.a.s.l) to the central and Eastern highlands of the country with an altitude as high as 2600m (Bayetta, 1986). Within this range of altitudes and ecological diversity, there exists considerable genetic diversity within the cultivated and traditionally recognized land races of arabica coffee in Ethiopia (Dessalegn, 2002).

A number of important characteristics or traits have been recorded in Ethiopian coffee, such as resistance to coffee leaf rust (Hemileia vastatrix) (Wondimu,1998), nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita) (Anzueto et al., 2001), coffee berry disease (Colletotrichum kahawae) (Bellachew et al., 2000), as well as a wide range of variations in green bean biochemical compounds (caffeine, chlorogenic acids, sucrose and trigonelline) composition (Ky et al., 2001), tree size and shape, bean size, shape and color (Wondimu, 1998) and many other characters which are useful for improvement program.

Ethiopia is also well-known for its very fine cup quality, unique aroma and flavor across coffee growing areas. Some of the famous coffee types that are acclaimed for having such unique and distinct characteristics include Sidamo, Yirgachefe, Hararge, Ghimbi and Limu (Workafes and Kassu, 2000).

Despite the existence of high genetic diversity in coffee population that provides immense opportunities for improvement program, shortage of improved hybrid varieties is the major one (Bayetta, 2001; Mesfin, 1988; Babur, 2009). It is obvious that research work carried out so far on coffee genetics and breeding was not adequate to address these diverse agro-ecologies of the country. In any crop breeding program intended to address such problems like the ones mentioned above, hybridization study through heterosis analysis are some of the basic breeding tool. Nevertheless, such studies on coffee are scanty at both national and international level.

Besides, the analysis of combining ability is also the other important tool which has presently become an integral part of a breeding program. It helps to identify the best combining parent, to know the type of gene action involved in controlling the expression of a character and to choose appropriate breeding methods (Sprague and Tatum, 1942; Mathur and Mathur, 1983). Indeed, diallel analysis for combining ability suggested by Griffing (1956) is one of the powerful tools to provide the above information. In Arabica coffee, information in
information on heterosis in C. arabica is relatively scanty compared to other crops since its hybridization studies had started quite recently. The perennial nature of the crop is another challenge as it requires several years to obtain meaningful results (Cilas et al., 1998). Consequently, research results on the effect of heterosis are limited. In heterosis breeding, it has been established that the more distant the parents in origin (geographical separation), ancestral relationships, gene frequencies and morphological characteristics, the greater is the potential for heterosis manifestation (Falconer, 1996).

In Ethiopia, where maximum diversity of C. arabica lines are expected to exist, heterosis over the better parent of up to 60% for yield was reported (Mesfin and Bayetta, 1983). Out of nine F1 hybrids only one hybrid exhibited negative heterosis of -8%. The highest yielding hybrids, 7396 x F59 (Melko-CH2) and 741 x F59 (Ababuna), which have been approved for release to growers showed 20% and 18% heterosis over the better parent, respectively. The actual yield of these hybrids were 23.97 and 23.68 quintals per ha, respectively, on the basis of 2500 trees/hectare or 31.96 and 31.57 quintals of clean coffee on the basis of 3333 trees/hectare, respectively. In addition, these hybrids have shown up to 12% heterosis over the better parent for primary nodes (Ababuna), which have been approved for release to growers showed 20% and 18% heterosis over the better

2.2. Coffee Heterosis in Ethiopia

Information on heterosis in C. arabica is relatively scanty compared to other crops since its hybridization studies had started quite recently. The perennial nature of the crop is another challenge as it requires several years to obtain meaningful results (Cilas et al., 1998). Consequently, research results on the effect of heterosis are limited. In heterosis breeding, it has been established that the more distant the parents in origin (geographical separation), ancestral relationships, gene frequencies and morphological characteristics, the greater is the potential for heterosis manifestation (Falconer, 1996).

In Ethiopia, where maximum diversity of C. arabica lines are expected to exist, heterosis over the better parent of up to 60% for yield was reported (Mesfin and Bayetta, 1983). Out of nine F1 hybrids only one hybrid exhibited negative heterosis of -8%. The highest yielding hybrids, 7396 x F59 (Melko-CH2) and 741 x F59 (Ababuna), which have been approved for release to growers showed 20% and 18% heterosis over the better parent, respectively. The actual yield of these hybrids were 23.97 and 23.68 quintals per ha, respectively, on the basis of 2500 trees/hectare or 31.96 and 31.57 quintals of clean coffee on the basis of 3333 trees/hectare, respectively. In addition, these hybrids have shown up to 12% heterosis over the better parent for primary nodes (Mesfin and Bayetta, 1982).

In another 6 x 6 half diallel cross where 18 seedling characters (seven for shoot, six for leaf and five root characters) were considered, maximum better parent heterosis of 69% for inter node length was found (Bayeta, 1991). Bayeta (2001) also reported mid- and better- parent heterosis of up to 68% and 53% for fresh cherry yield and 73% and 57% for clean coffee, respectively.

On the other hand, heterosis was reported to be lacking in crosses of selected indigenous C. arabica L. varieties in Brazil after several years of studies (Carvalho et al., 1969) mainly due to lack of diverse parental lines. In conformity to this, Bayetta et al., (2007) reported that hybrids having parents of similar origin but different differences in growth habit has exhibited high and significant heterosis effect.

Wassu (2011) also concluded that coffee hybrids generated from distant parents both in origin and growth habit were most heterotic for coffee yield. Other group of hybrids which had distant parents in origin but similar growth habit was the second most heterotic hybrids. The lowest magnitude of Heterosis was recorded for hybrids having both parents similar in origin and growth habit. Wassu observed that an increase in heterosis was highly associated with increasing parental distance. This result clearly showed that the presence of diversity among parental lines is a prerequisite in hybrid variety development program.

Dula et al., (2018) studied heterosis and combining ability analysis for morphological characters in Western Ethiopian origin coffee and reported that proper choice of parental lines with distinct morphological variations is of paramount importance in a breeding program to obtain certain hybrids that outperforms its parent’s or the character of interest. Parental lines that are morphologically similar in their morphological characters could not necessarily produce hybrid vigor.

He further noted that, the observed level of positive heterosis over the mid and better parent for characters of plant height, canopy diameter, average length of primary branch and number of primary branches may suggest that dominant and partially dominant genes were important in controlling the expression of those characters and that hybridization could be the best approach to improve the performance of these characters. In contrast, for characters’ height up to first primary branch, leaf length and leaf width in which the hybrids manifested negative mid and better-parent heterosis, both partial and dominance effects of genes are probably lacking and hence, selection is the best method as opposed to hybridization to improve those traits.
In Tanzania, (Ferine, 1970) reported 53% and 11% heterosis over the mean of two standard varieties and over the better parent for yield and stem diameter, respectively. The yield of superior hybrid was 16 q/ha of clean coffee. The same author reported the presence of hybrid vigour in coffee from Costarica and Uganda. In India, Srinivasan and Vishveshwara (1978) reported yield heterosis of 86% and 100% over the better parent from crosses Agaro x 2045 and Chochie x 1934, respectively. In Tanzania and India, the hybrids that showed significant heterosis had their complementary parents, VC496 and Chochie, which were of Ethiopian origin. This results clearly suggested that the presence of enormous genetic variability in Ethiopian origin coffee.

Bayetta et al., (2007) also reported that the hybrids that exhibit the highest mid- and better parent heterosis do not always exhibit the highest yield if the two parents are basically low yielders. He further noted that, economically sound heterosis is the standard heterosis i.e. heterosis over the standard check, and hence selection and ranking of hybrids based on the degree of mid and better parent heterosis per se is misleading in view of the commercial importance of the hybrids specially if the parents are low yielders. This result showed that candidate hybrids for release must be compared to the standard checks before getting released as commercial variety significant heterosis effect.

In all the coffee hybridization studies reviewed above, all hybrids that exhibited the highest heterosis percentage did not necessarily exhibit the highest yield suggesting that selection of hybrids based on the degree of heterosis perse is misleading.

### 2.3. Coffee Combining ability analysis in Ethiopia

Before embarking on any improvement program, genetic information regarding the inheritance of quantitative characters, particularly the nature and magnitude of gene action governing the inheritance of the character should be determined. For instance, results of several investigators of combining ability analyses for yield and morphological characters in coffee demonstrated that both additive and non-additive types of gene actions are important in the inheritance of these traits (Bayetta, 2001; Wassu, 2004; Ayano et al., 2014; Dula et al., 2018). However, the non-additive gene action was more important than the additive components for most traits. In Ethiopia, even though not extensive, some combining ability studies had been conducted in coffee for yield and some important morphological traits that could be used as a base for the future study.

Ayano et al., (2014) studied combining ability for yield and morphological characters in diallel crosses among five parental lines originated from south western Ethiopia. He found that the mean squares for both GCA (general combining ability) and SCA (specific combining ability) effects in across location analysis were highly significant for yield, indicating that both additive and non-additive gene actions are important for the inheritance of this economic trait. However, the high percentage contribution of SCA over GCA may indicate the predominance of non-additive gene action. Both the additive and non-additive gene actions were also found important in the control of fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, bean length, bean width, bean thickness and 100 -bean weight.

Ayano et al., (2015) further reported that for the fruit and bean traits studied, the relative contribution of GCA was predominant suggesting the greater contribution of additive gene action for these traits. But for majority of fruit and bean characters GCA with environmental interaction (GCA x E) and SCA with environmental interaction (SCA x E) were significant indicating inconsistent results across locations and hence the need to depend on GCA and SCA effects of each location.

Wassu (2011) studied combining ability for Coffee Quality in Diallel Crosses among five parents from southwestern Ethiopia (Kaffa type) and south Eastern Ethiopia (Sidamo type). He observed that, the hybrids exhibited positive and significant heterosis that ranged from 14 to 33% relative to the commercial Sidamo coffee variety for most of the parameters studied. Particularly, two hybrids, viz. 7440 x 75227 and 744 x 1681 were evaluated as having highly acceptable Sidamo coffee quality were the best specific combinations for all coffee quality parameters. He found Two Kaffa coffee parents namely, 7440 and 75227, which were good combiners and produced hybrids better than the commercial Sidamo coffee variety. He also reported that non-additive gene actions were important for acidity, body, cup quality and overall quality and additive gene action for flavor. These studies suggested that selection of parents on the basis of their quality performance and crossing among them is an important breeding approach to improve the quality of coffee in a particular area or region.

Wassu (2004), also reported genetic analysis of GCA and SCA showed that mean squares of both General combining ability (GCA) and Specific combining ability (SCA) were significant for stem girth, plant height, average number of nodes on main stem, number of secondary and tertiary branches, clean coffee yield and single berry weight. This result suggested that, both additive and non-additive gene actions were important in controlling the expression of these characters. However, the primary importance of non-additive gene actions for all characters except average inter-node length was noted.

Dula et al., (2018), noted that the extent of mean squares due to SCA were higher than that of GCA and the components of variances ratios were less than unity, the non-additive gene actions were probably of primary importance in the inheritance of all the morphological traits studied. He further noted that, when non-additive
gene action is predominant in the expression of a trait, selection will not be effective to improve the character in question. This finding thus may suggested that the limited efficiency of selection method to improve the characters considered and the need to consider other breeding methods such as hybridization which enables to exploit the advantage of dominant gene effects.

Bayeta (2001) also reported on the importance of additive and non-additive gene action in controlling the inheritance of yield, fruit length and morphological traits. However, he noted that additive gene action was probably predominant as indicated by larger GCA than SCA mean squares for most the character evaluated. He also observed that good general combiners have multiple advantages in that they often have high probabilities of good specific combining abilities, allow for the development of synthetic varieties, and are ideal choices as parents in a hybrid program. In addition, the hybrids with the highest SCA effects were observed evolving from any possible combination of parents having negative and positive GCA effects, the negative x positive crosses producing hybrids with the highest SCA effects more frequently than other combinations.

Dula et al., (2018) also observed that some crosses that involved parents with good general combiners were poor specific combinations. This result may suggest that parents with high general combining ability effect (GCA) might not always yield crosses with high specific combining ability effects (SCA) and also parents with negative general combining ability effect might not always produce crosses with low specific combining ability effects for all the agronomic traits of interest.

In conformity to this, Clias et al., (1998) reported absence of correlation between performance of lines and their GCA effect when used as parent. In this study some poorly yielding lines (Two Ethiopian lines Et3 and Et7) performed better as parent, while one high yielding variety (Java) classified as poor parent for the same trait. These two investigations may indicate that a coffee hybrid that had desirable traits could be obtained from any possible parental combination with positive or negative GCA effects. In addition, a parent that performed well as pure line may not be good general combiner when used as parent in crossing. This indicated that the average performance of parents could not be used as predictors of their general combining ability.

2.4 Achievements made

From the various hybridization studies, a number of useful results were obtained. Among others, partial to complete dominance of the CBD susceptible alleles over the resistance alleles, involvement of a maximum of two to five recessive genes in controlling CBD, lack of cytoplasmic inheritance, presence of considerable amount of heterosis for yield and some important growth characters, importance of both additive and non-additive gene actions in controlling inheritance of CBD and the expression of yield and some yield related growth characters, and the importance of testing of different sets of crosses in different locations over several years had resulted in the release of the first three hybrid varieties that combine high yield, moderate resistance to CBD and CLR and acceptable quality in 1997 and 2002 for production at medium altitude coffee growing areas of the country as summarized in table (1).

Continuous efforts made in hybridization study to bring about improvement in coffee yield had resulted in the release of additional three new hybrid verities, in 2016 and one hybrid verities in 2018 that were recommended for production at low, medium and high altitude coffee growing areas (JARC, 2016 and JARC, 2018). On the other hand, effort is under way to improve quality through selection and hybridization. In this regard, elite parental lines were identified and crossed to develop hybrid varieties with good quality for Limu, Harerghhe and Wollega coffee producing regions as part of local landrace variety development program (JARC, 2016).
Table 1. Coffee Hybrid Varieties released by JARC (Jimma Agricultural Research Center) and area of adaptation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Varieties released</th>
<th>Year of release</th>
<th>Yield Q/ha (Clean coffee)</th>
<th>Area of Adaptation</th>
<th>Example location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ababuna</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>1000-1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gawe</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1000-1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EIAR50/CH</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Melko-Ibsitu</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TepiHC5</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GeraCH-1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>24.41</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>1750-2100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B: Altitude 1000-1500 Lowland; 1550-1750 Medium; 1750-2100 Highland
Source: Kufa, 2010, JARC, 2016 and JARC, 2018

In general, the findings from various sets of hybridization studies have laid down remarkable foundations for present and future national breeding program in the development of hybrid coffee varieties. The results so far achieved had also clearly indicated the possibility to improve the productivity and growth performance of the crop through pure line and hybrid coffee varieties development. However, from the various sets of pure lines variety development program in Ethiopia, it had been observed that it is rarely possible to improve yield above 1800-2000 kg/ha through direct selection indicating the need to look heterotic hybrids to maximize yield as high as 2500 - 3000 kg/ha. This approach is well applicable to developing improved varieties for different coffee growing regions of Ethiopia having diverse coffee types, agro ecologies and quality profiles through hybridization.

2.5 Opportunities and Challenges of coffee hybridization in Ethiopia

*C. arabica* hybridization development program in Ethiopia, the initial breeding objectives were to increase vigour, productivity and adaptability to local conditions. To achieve these objectives, breeding strategies were directed towards identification of superior plants in the population in order to develop improved pure line cultivars and crossing among the superior cultivars to develop hybrid varieties (Bayetta, 2011). Among different tools on breeder hands heterosis and combining ability study is the major breeding tools to achieve these objectives in Ethiopia.

On the other hand, breeding programs in Arabica coffee are limited due mainly to narrow genetic bases in almost all coffee producing countries except Ethiopia and this has greatly hindered quick development of improved varieties which are high in yield, quality, insect pest and disease resistance and other desirable traits (Vossen, 1985). Ethiopia being the center of origin and genetic diversity of Arabica coffee is lucky in this regard but because of limited human-, physical- and financial-resources in coffee research, it was not possible to effectively exploit this opportunity.

Hence, very little work has been done on coffee hybridization program through heterosis, combining ability analysis and other available breeding tools. Therefore, it necessitates urgent action for well-designed strategic to fulfill human-, physical- and financial-resources in coffee research for morphological, molecular and biochemical characterization for future coffee hybridization work and efficient utilization of the available genetic variations.

3. Conclusion

Ethiopia is both the center of origin and diversification of *C. arabica*. Despite the existence of high genetic diversity in coffee population that provides immense opportunities for improvement program, shortage of improved varieties hybrid varieties is the major one. In any crop breeding program intended to address such problems like the ones mentioned above, heterosis and combining ability analyses are some of the basic breeding tools. Nevertheless, such studies on coffee are scanty at both national and international level. However, some
studies had been focused on coffee hybridization development assessment through heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and morphological characters of coffee in Ethiopia. These studies concluded that presence of heterosis in crosses of selected indigenous C. arabica L. varieties in Ethiopia under different sets of crosses studied mainly due to presence of diverse parental lines. Hence, the first three hybrid varieties were released that combine high yield, moderate resistance to coffee berry disease and coffee leaf rust and acceptable quality in 1997 and 2002 for production at medium altitude coffee growing areas of the country. Besides, three new hybrid varieties were added in 2016 that were recommended for production at low and medium altitude and also one hybrid variety were added for high altitude coffee growing areas. Efforts are underway to develop hybrid varieties with good quality for different regions of Ethiopia, namely; Limu, Harergh and Wollega coffee producing areas.

4. Recommendations
From the various sets of pure lines variety development program in Ethiopia, it had been observed that it is rarely possible to improve yield above 1800-2000 kg/ha through direct selection indicating the need to look heterotic hybrids to maximize yield as high as 2500-3000 kg/ha. Thus, it could be useful to further evaluate the performance of the best performing hybrids for yield and growth characters at full bearing stage to identify high yielding, disease resistant, insect pest tolerant, quality and vigorous hybrids for commercial use.
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