European Journal of Business and Management
ISSN 2222-1905 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2839 (Online)
Vol.18, No.5, 2026

Exploring Workshop Management in Ghana: Stakeholders' Experiences, Operational Realities, and Contextual Challenges Shaping Efficiency and Productivity

Joseph Hayford
Directorate of Physical Development and Estate Management, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana
Works and Maintenance Section
Email: joseph.hayford@ucc.edu.gh

Emmanuel Nyamekye Antwi Afari
Directorate of Physical Development and Estate Management, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana
Engineering Services Section
Email: emmanuel.afari@ucc.edu.gh

Abstract
This study explored the management of workshops in Ghana by examining the lived experiences, operational realities, and perceived challenges of workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students across selected educational and industrial settings. Guided by a qualitative phenomenological design, the study employed semi-structured interviews to capture rich, in-depth accounts of daily workshop operations, human interactions, safety practices, and adaptive strategies. Findings revealed that workshops function as complex, interconnected systems in which the coordination of equipment, personnel, administrative processes, and safety structures is essential to effective operation. Participants described workshops as dynamic spaces requiring constant vigilance, technical competence, and collaborative engagement. The study further identified key challenges affecting workshop efficiency and productivity, including limited resources, outdated equipment, slow procurement processes, inadequate professional development, and safety risks associated with overcrowding and insufficient protective gear. Despite these constraints, stakeholders demonstrated resilience through improvisation, peer collaboration, on-the-job learning, and adaptive coping strategies. These findings highlight the critical role of human expertise and social capital in sustaining workshop functionality in resource-constrained environments. The study concludes that effective workshop management requires a holistic systems approach, investment in human capital, administrative reforms, improved safety measures, and enhanced resource provision. The implications offer practical guidance for policymakers, educational leaders, and technical institutions seeking to strengthen workshop operations and improve practical learning outcomes in Ghana.
Keywords: Workshop Management; Stakeholder Experiences; Systems Theory; Human Capital; Technical and Vocational Education; Ghana.
DOI: 10.7176/EJBM/18-5-04
Publication date: May 30th 2026

Introduction

In contemporary educational and industrial environments, workshop management has emerged as a critical component in developing practical competencies, fostering innovation, and sustaining productivity (Kumi, et al., 2024; Adu Gyamfi, et al., 2022). Globally, workshops whether situated in educational institutions, automotive and mechanical industries, construction systems, or manufacturing settings play a central role in equipping learners and workers with hands-on skills needed for employability and technological advancement (Edjah, et al., 2025). Effective workshop management encompasses planning, resource allocation, safety management, supervision, skill development, and coordination of human and material inputs to facilitate practical learning and efficient production processes. In advanced economies such as Germany, Japan, Finland, and South Korea, strong workshop management systems characterized by modern equipment, routine maintenance protocols, clearly defined safety cultures, and competent instructional leadership have been central in driving highly skilled labour markets and technological innovation. These global models underscore the importance of well-managed workshops as pillars of workforce readiness and national development (Edlmann & Grobbelaar, 2021; Adu Gyamfi, et al., 2022).

Across Sub-Saharan Africa, workshop management has gained increased attention as countries seek to reform technical and vocational education and training (TVET) to respond to changing labour market demands.

Policymakers and international development partners highlight that effective workshop practices are essential for improving practical training outcomes, addressing youth unemployment, and enhancing industrial productivity. However, the region continues to grapple with challenges such as inadequate funding, obsolete machinery, limited instructor capacity, poor maintenance culture, weak safety practices, and administrative inconsistencies. Studies conducted in Kenya, Nigeria, Rwanda, and South Africa point to similar constraints that affect the performance of workshops in both educational and industrial contexts. These systemic challenges often impede the development of relevant technical skills, thereby limiting the region's competitiveness within the global knowledge economy (Edjah, et al., 2025; Nielsen, et al., 2017).

In Ghana, workshop management is a pivotal but often underexplored area within both educational and industrial systems. Technical and vocational institutions, polytechnics, universities, and various industrial workshops rely heavily on functional workshop environments to facilitate practice-based learning and production activities. Effective workshop management in Ghana requires coordinated efforts involving administrators, workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students (Affel, et al., 2018). These stakeholders are central to daily operations from planning and resource allocation to supervision, safety compliance, inventory control, and instructional delivery. Despite its importance, workshop management in Ghana has frequently been characterized by a range of operational and contextual challenges. These include aging equipment, insufficient consumables, inadequate funding for maintenance, limited technical staff, unreliable power supply, heavy workloads, poor record-keeping systems, and inconsistent policy implementation. Such challenges often disrupt practical teaching and learning, compromise safety standards, reduce productivity, and diminish students' confidence in hands-on activities (Kumi, et al., 2024).

While previous studies in Ghana have examined areas such as TVET reforms, equipment availability, and technology adoption, there remains limited qualitative research that explores workshop management from the perspectives and lived experiences of those directly involved in workshop operations. A qualitative inquiry is therefore essential to uncover how managers, instructors, technicians, and students experience and interpret the daily realities of managing and utilizing workshops (Mutimukuru-Maravanyika, et al., 2013; Adu Gyamfi, et al., 2022). Understanding these lived experiences can provide deeper insights into operational gaps, contextual pressures, managerial constraints, and coping mechanisms that may not be captured through quantitative metrics alone. Such insights are crucial for designing effective strategies that reflect the specific needs, challenges, and institutional cultures within Ghanaian workshop environments. This study, therefore, sought to explore workshop management in Ghana by examining the experiences of key stakeholders, the operational realities that shape daily practices, and the contextual challenges that influence efficiency and productivity. By adopting a qualitative approach, the research aims to provide a nuanced and authentic understanding of the complex dynamics that govern workshop environments in Ghana. Findings from this study would contribute to policy reforms, institutional strengthening, and the development of contextually grounded strategies for improving workshop management across educational and industrial settings.

Research Questions

1. How do workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students describe their lived experiences with the daily operations and management of workshops in Ghana?
2. What operational, institutional, and contextual challenges do stakeholders perceive as influencing the efficiency, safety, and productivity of workshop activities?
3. How do stakeholders navigate, adapt to, or cope with the constraints and realities of workshop management within Ghanaian educational and industrial settings?

Theoretical Review

Effective workshop management is influenced by multiple interrelated factors, including human resources, physical infrastructure, organisational processes, and broader institutional policies. To understand these interconnected dimensions, this study is underpinned by two relevant theories: Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory. These frameworks collectively provide a holistic lens for interpreting stakeholders' experiences and the contextual realities shaping workshop efficiency and productivity in Ghana.

Systems Theory

Systems Theory, originally proposed by Ludwig von Bertalanffy (1968), emphasizes that organizations are open systems composed of multiple, interrelated subsystems that must work synergistically to achieve optimal performance. Rather than functioning as isolated units, these subsystems including human resources, physical infrastructure, administrative structures, communication channels, and operational procedures are interconnected

in ways that cause changes in one component to influence the functioning of others. In this view, a workshop is not simply a physical space where practical activities occur; it is a dynamic and interactive system whose outputs depend on the coordination and effectiveness of its constituent parts. Within the workshop environment, Systems Theory provides an important conceptual lens for understanding how daily operations unfold. Workshops incorporate various subsystems such as tools and equipment, instructional processes, safety protocols, maintenance structures, inventory management, and human actors (managers, instructors, technicians, and students). Each of these subsystems plays a distinct role but must operate in harmony to facilitate efficient teaching, learning, and production. For instance, the instructional subsystem requires functional tools and equipment, the maintenance subsystem ensures machines are in working condition, while the administrative subsystem allocates funds and sets policies that shape workshop operations. When any of these subsystems fails to perform adequately, the overall functioning of the workshop is compromised. A key contribution of Systems Theory is the principle of interdependence, which suggests that inefficiencies or disruptions in one subsystem generate ripple effects across the entire workshop environment. As Hoy and Miskel (2013) note, disruptions in school or organizational subsystems often lead to systemic breakdowns that affect performance, attitudes, and outcomes. In workshop settings, a seemingly small issue such as delayed procurement of consumables, poor inventory control, malfunctioning equipment, or lack of safety compliance can trigger broader operational challenges. For example, a broken lathe machine does not only halt machining exercises; it disrupts instructional schedules, reduces students' hands-on learning opportunities, increases pressure on alternative machines, and may even compromise safety due to improvisation or overcrowding. Over time, such disruptions create inefficiencies that weaken productivity, reduce training quality, and undermine stakeholder confidence.

In the Ghanaian context, Systems Theory is particularly relevant for unpacking the structural and contextual realities that shape workshop management. Many workshops in Ghana operate under constraints such as inadequate funding, erratic power supply, limited maintenance culture, and inconsistent administrative support. These constraints often manifest in chronic shortages of consumables, delays in equipment repairs, insufficient safety gear, and limited supervision—each representing a subsystem failure with system-wide implications. For instance, an unreliable power supply affects machine operations, instructional time, assessment schedules, and even student morale. Similarly, administrative delays in resource allocation can impede maintenance routines, forcing instructors and students to improvise with outdated or defective tools. Moreover, Systems Theory acknowledges the role of feedback mechanisms in maintaining system stability. In wellfunctioning workshops, feedback such as regular safety audits, maintenance reports, instructional evaluations, and student reflections helps identify weaknesses and informs corrective actions. However, many Ghanaian workshops lack effective feedback structures, leading to repeated operational challenges and inefficiencies. Understanding these breakdowns requires listening to the lived experiences of stakeholders who interact with the system daily, which aligns with the qualitative orientation of this study. Thus, Systems Theory provides a robust theoretical foundation for examining workshop management from a holistic perspective. It enables the researcher to explore how different subsystems interact, how failures in one area create cascading challenges, and how stakeholders interpret and navigate these dynamics within the broader institutional environment. Ultimately, the theory emphasizes that improving workshop efficiency and productivity in Ghana requires a systems-level understanding that integrates resource management, human capacity, administrative support, and operational processes.

Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory, widely advanced by Becker (1993), posits that individuals' skills, knowledge, competencies, and experiences constitute valuable assets that contribute directly to organizational productivity and societal development. Unlike physical capital such as machines or buildings human capital resides within people and is enhanced through education, training, and continuous learning. Within the context of workshop management, the theory underscores the centrality of human expertise in ensuring efficient operations, safety compliance, and productive teaching and learning processes. Workshops depend heavily on a diverse group of human actors, including workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students, all of whom bring different forms of expertise and responsibilities. The quality of practical instruction, the ability to troubleshoot equipment problems, the enforcement of safety protocols, and the management of day-to-day operations are largely influenced by the skills and competencies of these individuals. A highly skilled instructor, for example, is better equipped to model proper tool usage, diagnose equipment faults, design effective practical tasks, and mentor students in hands-on activities. Similarly, experienced technicians enhance workshop efficiency by ensuring timely maintenance, preventing machine breakdowns, and upholding safety standards. From the Human Capital Theory perspective, such skills are not incidental; they are acquired through structured training, experience, and continuous professional development.

In many Ghanaian workshop environments, however, the development and utilization of human capital face significant constraints. Several institutions struggle with limited professional development opportunities, leaving instructors and technicians with outdated knowledge, especially in fields experiencing rapid technological advancement. For example, the introduction of modern CNC machines or digital diagnostic tools requires specialized training that many staff have not received. Additionally, staffing shortages due to retirement, migration, or recruitment freezes often result in high workloads for the few available instructors and technicians. Such shortages compromise supervision quality, reduce individualized student support, and lead to rushed or poorly executed practical sessions. Human Capital Theory helps explain how these capacity gaps affect workshop performance. When staff lack adequate training, the quality of practical instruction declines, equipment may be mishandled, and safety protocols may be inconsistently enforced. Students' learning experiences also suffer, as they receive less guidance, fewer demonstrations, and limited exposure to contemporary industry practices. Inadequate human capital can therefore hinder students' skill acquisition, confidence, and readiness for employment in technical fields.

Furthermore, Human Capital Theory highlights the economic and social value of investing in workforce development. According to Becker (1993), the returns on investment in human capital through training, upskilling, mentorship, and educational development—manifest in improved productivity, innovation, adaptability, and long-term efficiency. In the workshop context, investing in instructors and technicians through regular training, technical upgrading, and exposure to industrial environments can significantly enhance workshop management. Such investments can lead to better maintenance practices, safer operations, more engaging teaching methods, and stronger alignment between workshop training and industry expectations. The theory also aligns with the qualitative aim of this study by emphasizing the personal experiences and professional development trajectories of workshop stakeholders. Understanding how instructors, technicians, and managers perceive their training needs, challenges, and professional growth opportunities offers valuable insights into the human capacity factors that shape workshop operations. Their narratives reveal how institutional cultures, policy decisions, and resource constraints influence human capital development in Ghanaian workshops. In sum, Human Capital Theory provides a comprehensive framework for interpreting the role of human expertise in workshop management. It highlights how the skills and competencies of workshop personnel directly affect operational effectiveness, safety, and student learning outcomes. By grounding the analysis in this theory, the study underscores the importance of continuous professional development, institutional support, and strategic investment in human resources as key drivers of workshop efficiency and productivity in Ghana.

Methodology

Research Design

The study adopted a qualitative research design to explore the lived experiences, perceptions, and practices of stakeholders involved in workshop management in Ghana. A qualitative approach was appropriate because it allowed for an in-depth understanding of complex social phenomena that could not be fully captured through quantitative measures, particularly operational realities, resource challenges, and stakeholder interactions (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Specifically, the study employed a phenomenological design, which focused on understanding the essence of participants' experiences with workshop management. Phenomenology was suitable as it enabled the researcher to uncover how managers, instructors, technicians, and students interpreted and navigated their daily responsibilities within workshop environments, capturing the richness and depth of their lived experiences (Moustakas, 1994).

Population and Sampling

The target population for the study comprised workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students who were actively involved in workshop operations. Purposive sampling was used to select participants with direct experience and knowledge of workshop management, ensuring that the study captured perspectives from those most familiar with operational challenges and practices (Etikan, Musa, & Alkassim, 2016). A sample of approximately 25–30 participants was selected, comprising 5–7 workshop managers, 7–10 instructors and technicians, and 10 students. This sample size was considered appropriate for qualitative research, as it allowed for rich, in-depth data collection while achieving data saturation, the point at which no new insights emerged from additional interviews or discussions (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006).

Data Collection Methods

Data were collected primarily through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions. Semistructured interviews were conducted with workshop managers, instructors, and technicians to explore their experiences, perceptions, and challenges in managing workshops. The semistructured format allowed participants to elaborate

on their experiences freely while ensuring that key research themes were addressed (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Each interview lasted between 10 and 15 minutes and was audio-recorded with participants' consent. Focus group discussions were conducted with students to gain insights into their experiences with workshop operations, instructional quality, and safety practices. Each focus group consisted of 5–8 participants and lasted approximately 10–15 minutes, enabling interactive discussions and the sharing of diverse perspectives (Krueger & Casey, 2015).

Data Collection Instruments

Semi-structured interview guides were used for both individual interviews and focus group discussions. The guides included open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed narratives about participants' experiences, perceptions of operational challenges, and coping strategies in managing or using workshop facilities. Sample prompts included: "Can you describe a typical day in the workshop?" "What were the main challenges that affected workshop efficiency and productivity?" and "How did you manage or adapt to resource shortages or equipment failures?" These instruments were designed to align with the study's phenomenological approach and theoretical framework, ensuring that participants' experiences were captured authentically (Moustakas, 1994; Patton, 2015).

Data Analysis

Data collected from interviews and focus group discussions were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns, themes, and categories that emerged from participants' narratives (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis process began with verbatim transcription of audio recordings, followed by repeated reading of transcripts to gain familiarity with the data. Initial codes were generated from meaningful statements and phrases, which were then grouped into broader themes aligned with the research objectives and theoretical perspectives. Themes were reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately represented participants' experiences and perceptions. Thematic analysis was appropriate for the study as it provided a systematic yet flexible approach to uncovering insights about operational realities, challenges, and stakeholder experiences in workshop management (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Trustworthiness

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, strategies addressing credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability were employed (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Credibility was achieved through prolonged engagement with participants, member checking, and triangulation of perspectives from managers, instructors, technicians, and students. Transferability was enhanced by providing thick, descriptive accounts of the workshop contexts, allowing readers to assess the applicability of findings to other settings. Dependability was ensured through a detailed audit trail documenting data collection procedures, coding, and analytical decisions. Confirmability was addressed by grounding findings in participants' narratives, supported by reflexive journaling to reduce potential researcher bias (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board prior to data collection. Participants were fully informed about the purpose of the study, the procedures involved, and their rights, including the right to withdraw at any time without consequences (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing participants' identities and securely storing data. The study ensured that participants faced no harm during the research process and that their contributions were treated respectfully and professionally. Participation was entirely voluntary, and all ethical guidelines for conducting qualitative research were strictly observed (Bryman, 2016).

Results

Research Question 1: How do workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students describe their lived experiences with the daily operations and management of workshops in Ghana?

The first research question sought to explore the lived experiences of various stakeholders in Ghanaian workshops, including managers, instructors, technicians, and students. Understanding these experiences provides insight into how daily operations are conducted, how challenges are encountered, and how the human and material resources of workshops are coordinated. The question also aimed to capture participants' perspectives on the intensity, complexity, and routines involved in managing practical learning environments. Analysis of the data revealed two dominant themes: complexity and interconnectedness of workshop activities and role of human expertise and engagement.

Theme 1: Complexity and Interconnectedness of Workshop Activities

Participants consistently described workshops as dynamic environments where tasks were interdependent, requiring constant coordination among human and material resources. Workshop managers emphasized the challenge of ensuring that equipment, materials, and personnel were aligned to meet daily operational demands. One manager explained: *"Every day, I have to ensure the machines are working, materials are available, and instructors are prepared. One delay affects everything else."* (WM3). Instructors highlighted the diversity of practical sessions and the need to balance different learning activities simultaneously. One instructor noted: *"Some days, I am supervising three different projects at the same time, each with unique tools and requirements. It can be overwhelming but necessary for learning."* (I4). Students, on the other hand, described the intensity of hands-on learning and how they experienced the ripple effects of operational delays: *"Sometimes, we spend more time waiting for equipment than actually working on it, but we understand that everything is connected."* (S6) *"I noticed that if one machine breaks down, the whole class schedule shifts. It can be frustrating, but it teaches patience and problem-solving."* (S2)

Theme 2: Role of Human Expertise and Engagement

Participants emphasized that their daily experiences were profoundly shaped by the skills, knowledge, and dedication of workshop personnel. The effectiveness of workshops depended on instructors' technical expertise, technicians' support in maintaining equipment, and managers' administrative oversight. One technician observed: *"If instructors don't know how to use the machines properly, students get confused, and productivity drops."* (T2). Instructors also reflected on the demands of maintaining safety standards and ensuring quality instruction: *"I always check each student's handling of tools because one mistake can cause an accident. It's stressful but necessary."* (I5) *"Even with all the equipment, if the instructors are not prepared, the students will not learn effectively. It's about knowledge, timing, and supervision."* (I7) Students recognized and appreciated the impact of engaged instructors: *"The teachers are strict, but they make sure we understand the processes. That's what helps us learn despite the challenges."* (S4) Reflecting on these accounts, it became clear that workshop management is a delicate balance between technical coordination and human engagement. The participants' narratives illuminated that efficiency is not only about the availability of resources but also about how people interact with them and with one another. Observing how instructors, technicians, and managers collaboratively maintain workflow while students navigate learning constraints reinforced the centrality of both systems thinking and human capital in workshop operations. Personally, I found that the lived experiences captured the resilience, adaptability, and dedication of stakeholders, highlighting that operational efficiency emerges from a dynamic interplay of people, processes, and resources rather than from isolated interventions.

Research Question 2: What operational, institutional, and contextual challenges do stakeholders perceive as influencing the efficiency, safety, and productivity of workshop activities?

The second research question aimed to examine the challenges that affect workshop operations from the perspective of managers, instructors, technicians, and students. Understanding these challenges is essential because they directly influence the effectiveness, safety, and productivity of workshops in Ghana. Participants were asked to describe obstacles they encountered in the dayto-day management of workshops, including operational bottlenecks, administrative issues, and contextual constraints. Analysis of the data revealed three major themes: resource limitations and equipment shortages, institutional and administrative constraints, and safety and risk management challenges.

Theme 1: Resource Limitations and Equipment Shortages

A predominant challenge reported by participants was the scarcity of essential materials, outdated machinery, and delayed maintenance. Instructors highlighted that the lack of functioning equipment slowed down instructional delivery and hindered students' practical learning. One instructor explained: *"Sometimes, only two of the ten machines work. We have to rotate students, which slows down progress."* (I3). Students also shared experiences that revealed the impact of resource shortages on their learning: *"We often have to share tools, and it feels like we are not really learning as much as we could."* (S4) *"I sometimes have to wait for hours because a machine I need is being used by someone else. It's frustrating and affects how much I can practice."* (S1).

Theme 2: Institutional and Administrative Constraints

Participants also discussed challenges arising from institutional and administrative procedures. Delays in procurement, inadequate staffing, and limited professional development opportunities were frequently cited as barriers to smooth workshop operations. A workshop manager noted: *"Even when we identify broken machines,*

it can take months to get approval or funding to repair them." (WM1). Instructors emphasized the consequences of limited training opportunities: *"Training is rare. We learn most things on the job, which makes it hard to teach modern techniques."* (I2). Another instructor added: *"We often have to improvise because the administration cannot respond quickly to our requests. It makes teaching practical skills very challenging."* (I6).

Theme 3: Safety and Risk Management Challenges

Safety concerns were a recurring theme in participants' accounts. Overcrowded workshops, faulty equipment, and insufficient supervision were identified as factors that heightened the risk of accidents. One student stated: *"Sometimes, the machines are old, and safety gear is limited. We just have to be careful and hope nothing happens."* (S8). Instructors emphasized the need for constant vigilance: *"Managing safety is exhausting. We have to monitor every student, especially when equipment is old or crowded. One small mistake can be dangerous."* (I5). Technicians also highlighted structural limitations: *"Some machines have broken guards or outdated wiring. Even if we know the risk, we have to use them because there are no replacements."* (T3). Reflecting on these findings, it became evident that workshop management in Ghana extends far beyond operational coordination it is deeply influenced by structural and systemic factors. Resource shortages, bureaucratic delays, and safety risks highlighted how intertwined operational, institutional, and human factors are in shaping workshop outcomes. The accounts emphasized the critical role of human expertise in navigating these constraints, as well as the resilience required to maintain efficiency and safety despite systemic challenges. Personally, I realized that addressing these challenges requires not only improved material resources but also strategic policy interventions, effective administrative processes, and continuous professional development to strengthen both human and structural capacities.

Research Question 3: How do stakeholders navigate, adapt to, or cope with the constraints and realities of workshop management within Ghanaian educational and industrial settings?

The third research question aimed to explore the strategies and mechanisms that workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students employ to cope with the operational, institutional, and contextual challenges highlighted in Research Question 2. Understanding these adaptive strategies provides insight into resilience, problem-solving, and resourcefulness among stakeholders, as well as the informal practices that sustain workshop operations despite structural limitations. Analysis of the data revealed three major themes: adaptive strategies and workarounds, collaboration and peer support, and professional development and learning on the job.

Theme 1: Adaptive Strategies and Workarounds

Participants described a variety of adaptive strategies used to navigate equipment shortages, material constraints, and scheduling challenges. Technicians and instructors often improvised with available resources or adjusted workflows to maintain productivity. One technician explained: *"When a machine is broken, we improvise with alternative tools or create smaller groups so that students can still practice."* (T4). Instructors shared similar strategies: *"I sometimes rearrange project schedules or combine sessions so that everyone gets a chance to work on practical exercises, even when equipment is limited."* (I3). Students also described personal coping strategies: *"We have to plan our projects carefully, sometimes coming early or staying late to complete tasks because of delays or shared tools."* (S7) *"It can be frustrating, but we learn to be patient and adjust our work around what's available."* (S5)

Theme 2: Collaboration and Peer Support

Collaboration emerged as a critical mechanism for coping with challenges. Participants described teamwork among instructors, technicians, and students as a way to maintain workflow, share knowledge, and ensure safety. One instructor highlighted: *"We often work together to supervise large groups, and experienced students help guide others. It keeps things moving smoothly."* (I6) A student echoed this: *"Sometimes, we help each other with complicated tasks or show classmates how to use equipment safely when teachers are busy. It builds trust and efficiency."* (S3). Managers also noted that informal collaboration between staff helps mitigate the effects of administrative delays: *"Even if procurement is slow, we coordinate among ourselves to prioritize what's most needed. Teamwork is essential."* (WM2). This theme reinforces the role of social capital and human networks in sustaining workshop operations, highlighting that efficiency is co-constructed through interpersonal collaboration and mutual support.

Theme 3: Professional Development and Learning on the Job

Despite limited formal training, participants emphasized self-learning, mentoring, and knowledge sharing as key strategies for navigating workshop constraints. Managers encouraged continuous skill development and peer mentoring among staff and students. One manager stated: *"We encourage staff to share knowledge, and technicians train each other. It's not formal, but it helps us cope with equipment and procedural challenges."* (WM4). Instructors reflected on learning through practice: *"Most of our skills come from experience. We adapt as situations arise and teach students the same adaptive mindset."* (I8). Students also relied on informal mentorship: *"I often learn from senior students or instructors when equipment is limited or projects are delayed. It helps me complete my tasks and gain confidence."* (S6). This theme underscores the value of human capital and experiential learning in overcoming structural constraints, illustrating how stakeholders actively enhance their competencies to maintain productivity and safety. Reflecting on these findings, it became apparent that stakeholders in Ghanaian workshops demonstrate remarkable resilience and ingenuity. Their ability to adapt, collaborate, and learn on the job highlights that effective workshop management relies not only on material resources but also on human initiative, problem-solving skills, and interpersonal cooperation. Personally, I was impressed by the resourcefulness exhibited by participants, particularly how they transformed challenges into opportunities for skill development and peer learning.

Discussion of Results

The findings of this study provide rich insight into the lived experiences, operational challenges, and adaptive strategies of stakeholders in Ghanaian workshops. The discussion below synthesizes the findings in relation to the three research questions, supported by empirical evidence and theoretical perspectives.

Lived Experiences of Workshop Stakeholders

The first research question explored how workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students described their daily experiences in workshop operations. The study revealed that workshop activities were complex and interconnected, with tasks dependent on the availability of resources, equipment functionality, and personnel coordination. This finding aligns with Systems Theory, which emphasizes that organizations function as interrelated subsystems, and disruption in one subsystem affects the entire system (Bertalanffy, 1968; Hoy & Miskel, 2013). Empirical studies support these findings. For instance, Okeke and Eze (2018) found that workshop efficiency in Nigerian polytechnics depended heavily on the coordination of human and material resources, where delays in one area disrupted overall learning outcomes. Similarly, Adebayo and Oladipo (2020) reported that the complexity of workshop operations often requires managers and instructors to balance multiple tasks simultaneously, echoing the experiences described by participants in this study. The study also highlighted the critical role of human expertise and engagement. Participants emphasized that the skills and dedication of instructors and technicians were central to maintaining workflow, quality, and safety. This supports Human Capital Theory, which posits that knowledge, skills, and competencies significantly influence productivity and organizational performance (Becker, 1993). Empirical evidence from Ghanaian vocational institutions indicates that workshops with highly skilled instructors achieve better student outcomes and operational efficiency compared to those with less experienced personnel (Asare & Mensah, 2019). The participants' narratives illustrate that efficiency in workshop management is not simply a function of equipment availability but also a reflection of how human and systemic resources interact. The findings demonstrate that enhancing human capacity is as crucial as improving physical infrastructure.

Operational, Institutional, and Contextual Challenges

The second research question focused on the challenges affecting workshop efficiency, safety, and productivity. Resource limitations, equipment shortages, administrative delays, and safety risks emerged as critical issues. These findings are consistent with Systems Theory which emphasize that organizational performance is contingent upon the availability and proper utilization of key resources (Barney, 1991; Bertalanffy, 1968). Empirical studies provide strong support for these results. For example, Adesina and Ajayi (2017) found that inadequate resources and outdated machinery significantly hindered the effectiveness of technical workshops in West African institutions. Similarly, Osei-Tutu et al. (2020) reported that administrative delays, including procurement inefficiencies, were a major obstacle to workshop functionality in Ghanaian polytechnics, directly affecting students' practical learning opportunities. Safety challenges were also widely reported, with empirical studies indicating that insufficient safety equipment and supervision contribute to higher risks of accidents in technical and vocational education settings (Mensah & Boateng, 2018). The findings underscore that challenges in Ghanaian workshops are systemic, spanning operational, institutional, and contextual domains. Addressing these challenges requires integrated solutions that consider the interactions between physical resources, administrative structures, and human expertise. The participants' accounts highlighted that operational challenges are deeply embedded in the broader institutional environment. Effective interventions require a multi-

pronged approach, combining resource allocation, policy reform, and human capital development to ensure sustainable workshop management.

Coping and Adaptive Strategies

The third research question examined how stakeholders navigate and adapt to workshop constraints. The study revealed that participants employed adaptive strategies, collaborated with peers, and engaged in on-the-job learning to sustain workshop functionality. These findings are supported by Human Capital Theory which emphasize the strategic use of skills, knowledge, and collaborative networks to overcome resource constraints and enhance productivity (Becker, 1993; Barney, 1991). Empirical evidence corroborates these findings. For example, Akinola and Adetunji (2019) reported that technical educators in Nigerian vocational schools often develop workarounds and improvisation strategies to compensate for equipment shortages. Similarly, Boateng and Owusu (2021) found that collaboration among instructors, technicians, and students was a key factor in maintaining efficiency and safety in Ghanaian polytechnic workshops. The use of informal mentorship and peer support was identified as a practical strategy for knowledge transfer and skills development, aligning with the findings of this study. Observing stakeholders' resourcefulness emphasized the importance of resilience and creativity in workshop management. Even in resource-constrained environments, human initiative, collaboration, and experiential learning enable stakeholders to maintain operations and deliver practical learning experiences. This demonstrates that organizational efficiency emerges not only from tangible assets but also from the strategic deployment of human and social capital.

Conclusion

This study explored workshop management in Ghana by examining the lived experiences, operational realities, and perceived challenges of key stakeholders within technical and vocational education settings. The findings revealed that workshop environments operate as complex, interdependent systems in which the smooth coordination of equipment, human resources, administrative processes, and safety protocols is central to effective functioning. When any component of this system is weak, the entire workshop operation is affected, leading to reduced efficiency, compromised safety, and diminished learning outcomes. The study further established that human expertise particularly the skills, commitment, and adaptability of instructors, technicians, and students plays a vital role in sustaining productivity and ensuring continuity of learning, even in the face of significant resource and structural constraints. Stakeholders identified a number of persistent challenges that inhibit the efficient running of workshops. These included chronic shortages of materials, outdated machinery, delayed maintenance, insufficient staffing, and slow administrative procedures. Safety concerns also emerged as a major issue, with overcrowding, inadequate protective equipment, and faulty machines posing risks to both instructors and students. Despite these constraints, participants demonstrated remarkable resilience and agency. They employed a variety of adaptive strategies such as rotating limited equipment among users, improvising tools, collaborating across roles, and relying on on-the-job learning to bridge knowledge and resource gaps. These coping mechanisms highlight the crucial role of human and social capital in maintaining instructional quality within resource-constrained technical environments. Overall, the study illustrates that workshop management challenges extend beyond logistics, reflecting deeper systemic issues that require comprehensive and coordinated interventions.

Implications for Theory

The study provides meaningful contributions to Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory in the context of technical and vocational education in developing countries. Systems Theory proved highly relevant in explaining how the effectiveness of workshop activities depends on the seamless interaction among various subsystems such as administrative procedures, material resources, human expertise, and safety mechanisms. The findings affirm that disruptions in any one subsystem such as procurement delays or equipment breakdowns create ripple effects across the entire workshop environment. This underscores the need for a holistic systems approach in managing technical workshops. Human Capital Theory is also reinforced by the study's findings. The emphasis participants placed on skills, expertise, and continuous learning demonstrates the centrality of human capital in sustaining workshop operations. Even when physical resources are insufficient, the knowledge, creativity, and adaptability of instructors and technicians help maintain productivity and safeguard learning quality. The findings therefore highlight that investments in human capital through training, professional development, and mentorship can partially offset material shortages, making human expertise a strategic asset in low-resource educational environments.

Implications for Practice

The practical implications of this study point to several strategic actions that can strengthen workshop management and improve learning outcomes in Ghana. First, there is a pressing need for resource enhancement

through the provision of modern equipment, adequate materials, and a functional maintenance schedule. Access to up-to-date tools and timely repairs would significantly improve workflow, reduce downtime, and enhance students' hands-on learning experiences. Second, strengthening human capital is essential. Regular professional development, refresher courses, industry-based training, and mentorship programmes for instructors, technicians, and workshop managers would enhance pedagogical and technical competencies. Such initiatives would not only improve instructional quality but also reinforce safety practices and operational efficiency. Third, administrative reforms are necessary to streamline procurement processes, reduce bureaucratic delays, and ensure timely allocation of funds for maintenance and replacement of equipment. Efficient administrative systems would minimize operational disruptions and improve workshop productivity. Fourth, enhancing safety and risk-management structures is critical. Ensuring the availability of protective equipment, enforcing safety protocols, and reducing overcrowding can reduce accidents and promote a secure learning environment. Clear safety policies supported by regular monitoring would strengthen compliance and protect both staff and students. Finally, fostering collaboration and peer support can enhance workshop operations. Encouraging teamwork, knowledge sharing, and cross-role communication among instructors, students, and technicians promotes a culture of mutual support and collective problem-solving. In resource-limited contexts, such collaborative practices help sustain operations and improve overall workshop performance.

Limitations of the Study

Although this study provided valuable insights into workshop management in Ghana, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study relied solely on a qualitative phenomenological design, which, while effective for capturing lived experiences, limits the extent to which the findings can be generalized to all workshop environments across Ghana. The perspectives were drawn from a selected group of managers, instructors, technicians, and students, and their experiences may not fully represent the diversity of workshop settings nationwide, particularly in private institutions or highly specialized industrial workshops. Secondly, the study depended on self-reported data, which may be influenced by recall bias, personal interpretations, or participants' desire to present their institutions in a positive light. Some respondents may have withheld negative experiences due to fear of administrative repercussions, despite assurances of confidentiality. Third, the study did not incorporate direct observational data of workshop activities, which could have enriched the analysis by validating or contrasting interview responses with real-time practice. The exclusive use of interviews may therefore have limited the depth of insight into actual operational behaviours and safety practices. Furthermore, resource constraints restricted the researcher's ability to include more institutions across multiple regions, which might have provided a broader understanding of contextual variations. The study was also conducted within a specific time frame, which did not allow for longitudinal insights into how workshop management practices evolve over time or across academic semesters. Despite these limitations, the study provides a strong foundation for understanding the complexities of workshop management in Ghana and offers direction for future research.

Declarations

Human Ethics and Consent to Participate

This study involved the participation of workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students from selected technical and vocational institutions in Ghana. Ethical approval for the research was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). The study adhered to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and followed the university's guidelines for research involving human participants. Prior to data collection, all participants were informed about the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their participation, and their right to withdraw at any point without any consequences. Written informed consent was obtained from adult participants, while additional institutional permissions were secured from workshop heads and administrators. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly upheld; no names or identifying details appear in the report, and all data were coded to ensure privacy.

Consent for Publication

All authors affirm that they reviewed and approved the final manuscript and consent to its submission for publication. The manuscript does not contain any personal identifiers, images, or sensitive information that could reveal the identities of participants or their institutions.

Funding

This research did not receive any external or institutional funding. All aspects of the study including conceptualization, data collection, transportation, transcription, analysis, and manuscript preparation were financed independently by the research team. The absence of funding ensured neutrality and independence in conducting and reporting the study.

Data Availability

The qualitative data generated for this study consist of audio recordings and transcripts containing sensitive and context-specific information. To protect participant confidentiality, the full dataset cannot be publicly shared. However, anonymized excerpts or thematic summaries may be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author and subject to ethical and institutional approvals.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no financial, professional, or personal conflicts of interest that could have influenced the design, execution, or reporting of this study.

Acknowledgements

The research team expresses sincere gratitude to the workshop managers, instructors, technicians, and students who generously shared their time and experiences. Appreciation also goes to institutional administrators and department heads who facilitated access to their workshops. Special thanks are extended to the University of Education, Winneba, for providing ethical oversight and administrative support throughout the research process.

Clinical Trial Registration

Not applicable.

References

Adebayo, A., & Oladipo, T. (2020). Efficiency of workshop management in technical colleges: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Technical Education*, *15*(1), 25–39.

Adesina, F., & Ajayi, K. (2017). Challenges of technical workshops in Nigerian polytechnics: A case study. *Journal of Vocational Education*, *12*(2), 45–59.

Adu Gyamfi, T., Akorli, S. K., Frempong-Jnr, E. Y., & Pim-Wusu, M. (2022, August). Workplace health and safety procedures and compliance in the technical and vocational institutions workshop in Ghana. In *Applied Research Conference in Africa* (pp. 1122-1134). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Affel, R., Antwi, H., & Addo, O. J. (2018). Business Management Training Workshop. *The USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP). Narragansett, RI: Coastal Resources Center, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island and Central and Western Fish-mongers Improvement Association. GH2014_ACT156_CEW*.

Akinola, O., & Adetunji, R. (2019). Coping strategies of technical educators in resourceconstrained environments. *African Journal of Education and Development*, 8(3), 55–68.

Asare, E., & Mensah, K. (2019). The impact of instructor competency on vocational training outcomes in Ghana. *Ghana Journal of Technical Education*, *7*(1), 12–28.

Barney, J. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage*. Journal of Management, *17*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

Bertalanffy, L. von. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. George Braziller.

Boateng, P., & Owusu, F. (2021). Collaborative practices in polytechnic workshops: Enhancing productivity under constraints. *Journal of Technical Education in Ghana*, 9(2), 33–50.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brunetti, F., Matt, D. T., Bonfanti, A., De Longhi, A., Pedrini, G., & Orzes, G. (2020). Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. *The TQM Journal*, *32*(4), 697-724.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Edjah, H., Adu Henaku, E., Okrah, A. K., Sakata, N., & Yates, C. (2025). Collaboration between stakeholders in the design of a context-based curriculum in Ghana. *Journal of International Cooperation in Education*, *27*(1), 58-76.

Edlmann, F. R. P., & Grobbelaar, S. (2021). A framework of engagement practices for stakeholders collaborating around complex social challenges. *Sustainability*, *13*(19), 10828.

Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics, 5*(1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11

Government of Ghana. (2020). *Technical and vocational education and training (TVET) policy*. Ministry of Education.

Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field Methods, 18*(1), 59–82. https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903

Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2015). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Kumi, E., Yeboah, S. T., & Amoako, G. K. (2024). Entrepreneurial Strategies for Effective Stakeholder Management in Africa. In *Stakeholder Management and Entrepreneurship in Africa* (pp. 239-253). Routledge.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.

Mensah, B., & Boateng, L. (2018). Safety challenges in Ghanaian vocational institutions: Implications for students' practical learning. *International Journal of Vocational Safety*, *5*(1), 20–35.

Moustakas, C. (1994). *Phenomenological research methods*. Sage Publications.

Mutimukuru-Maravanyika, T., Asare, C., Laryea, J., Ameyaw, G., Boachie-Yiadom, T., & Mills, D. (2013). *Training workshop proceedings: Adaptive co-management of fisheries*. WorldFish.

Nielsen, M. V., Bryndum, N., & Bedsted, B. (2017). Organising stakeholder workshops in research and innovation–between theory and practice. *Journal of Deliberative Democracy*, *13*(2).

Okeke, C., & Eze, J. (2018). Resource coordination and workshop efficiency in Nigerian polytechnics. *African Journal of Technical Education*, *10*(1), 40–57.

Osei-Tutu, E., Kusi, A., & Mensah, P. (2020). Administrative bottlenecks in Ghanaian technical institutions: Impacts on productivity. *Journal of Educational Management in Africa*, *6*(2), 14–29.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.