www.iiste.org

# The Effect of Internal and External Factors on the Stock Price of Pharmaceutical Companies in Emerging and Emerged Markets

MANEESH KUMAR PANDEY

National Research University Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg School of Economics and Management, Kantemirovskaya Ulitsa, 3A корпус 1, St Petersburg, Russia, 194100

## Abstract:

**Purpose:** The global financial crisis of 2008, considered by many economists to have been the most consequential since the great depression of the 1930s, altered the very fabric of global macro-economy and left many short and long-term impressions at various levels. Though the catastrophic effect of that meltdown penetrated the global market with lightning speed, the aftermath was rather uneven in its manifestation. Countries of American and European continent suffered severely but Asian countries were rather mildly affected and the reason behind this uneven perfusion could be the disparity in the economic environment at the microeconomic and macroeconomic levels in different continents.

The Purpose of carrying out this research is to unveil the influence of internal microeconomic factors at firm level as well as external macroeconomic factors at country level on the overall stock price of Pharmaceutical companies of Asia's emerging market (India) and emerged markets of United States of America and Western European countries (Germany, France, UK, and Switzerland) after the global financial crisis of year 2008.

**Research Design/Methodology:** During the present course of the investigation, secondary data of forty pharmaceutical companies from the year 2008 to the year 2017 has been employed to perform an empirical analysis. Moreover, an explanatory and comparative research design has been applied to corroborate the statistical outcome.

For statistical analysis, EViews 10 student version, statistical software has been used on the dependent variable "Organization Stock Price" and several internal independent variables such as Return on Equity (ROE), Earnings Per Share (EPS), Dividend Per Share (DPS), Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR), PE ratio, firm's asset as well as few macroeconomic level independent variables such as corporate tax, inflation rate, GDP and exchange rate.

**Findings:** Price movement of stocks is not independent in nature and doesn't follow a random walk. There are several factors responsible for the stock price movement such as investor sentiment, social, economic, environment and organization's operational and financial situation etc. This study shows how the aforementioned factors affect the stock prices of sample companies of emerging and emerged markets.

**Practical Implications:** The outcome of this research could be helpful to managers to regulate organization's financial ratios and address the macroeconomic fluctuations to sustain the momentum of the stock price that will inadvertently increase the value of the company. From the investor's point of view, this study could provide them with better anticipation of future trends in the stock prices.

**Originality/Value:** This study offers a contemporary review of the role of organizational and macroeconomic factors on the share price of companies and the stock market, thus contributes to the available literature in this domain.

**Keywords:** *Pharmaceutical Companies, Emerging Market, Emerged Market, Microeconomic Variables, Macroeconomic Variables* 

**DOI**: 10.7176/EJBM/11-36-18 **Publication date:** December 31<sup>st</sup> 2019

## 1. Introduction

The year 2008 brought about successive quarters of negative growth in the gross domestic product (GDP) of countries across the continents and had a damaging effect on the industries because economic downturns directly triggered a strong decline in the performance of various macroeconomic Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). Against that grim backdrop, global pharmaceutical industry fared better as they were not dangerously reliant on borrowing and therefore less exposed to the macro environment (Law, 2008). Although the pharmaceutical industry has always had its own share of problems, crash of 2008 had got two things going for them: they became more attractive for investors and unsettled times raised the demand for medicines. On the other hand, lack of global spending from governments and disparity in public medicines coverage inadvertently pushed the Pharmaceutical industry to uncharted territories.

To thrive and excel in the testing times, pharmaceutical companies have come under great pressure to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of their operations. A looming patent cliff, with approximately 40% of the top-selling products worldwide going out of patent, has been resulting in companies losing their patents with the substantial impact of the revenue. There is also a consolidation of providers (doctors, group practices, hospitals, health systems) into larger accounts that have greater influence and economies of scale. Healthcare reform, driven by government policy, is reshaping the delivery of healthcare, from care coordination to reimbursement strategies, impacting behaviours and tactics within the marketplace (O'riordan et. al., 2016). Tightening regulations and ballooning healthcare cost have also impacted the healthcare operating models, reflecting the major shift in marketing tactics and customer engagement to reporting requirements by the government, payers, and providers.

Amidst the mounting pressure coming from the aforementioned fronts, the fundamentals of the pharmaceutical industry have remained strong. The output of new drugs has been increasing and looks set to remain elevated over the next five years, largely based on the exciting new science of speciality medicines, to offset current and future patent expiries. After four years of falling or stagnating revenue from the year 2011 to year 2014, the industry returned to growth in year 2015 with acceleration and improved operating margins in the year 2016. But, the new science of speciality medicines faces a persistent affordability gap between payers and governments budgets and the sales forecasts for new products. Some notable, recent new launches have been struggling to perform on account of concerns over the prevailing price sensitive climate. The key impact of accelerating revenue growth and improving operating margins, despite falling profit margins, on investors has been profound. The shift to speciality drugs, backed by strong pipeline, has opened up avenues to investors worldwide to favour stocks backed by value driven companies with focus on personalized patient outcomes by leveraging technology collaboration and driving operational efficiency. Aftermath of the year 2008 meltdown was momentum-driven move to the downside soaked in pessimism and massive liquidity crunch.

The stock price is the primary indicator for investors to gauge the financial health of institutions. Listed institutions deploy their shares in the market to collect more funds to expand the business, unlike unlisted companies, who follow the Initial Public Offering (IPO) process. Moreover, stock price directly correlates with the amount of assets that the institution owns after the shareholder invests in the business, so this value is incurred on the balance sheet by the asset (Uddin, 2009). At firm-specific level, a bullish trend in the stock price of the firm reflects the confidence of investors in the fundamentals and performance of the firm and a bearish trend in the stock price of the firm reflects low confidence of investors in the fundamentals and performance of the firm. At the macroeconomic level, consumer prices, industrial production, exchange rate and the market rate of interest reflect bidirectional causation with stock prices affecting companies in both the short and long term.

From the standpoint of financial experts and economist, there are many firm level and macroeconomic factors, play a pivotal role in the firm's financial and business operations differently and eventually drive the stock price. It is important to take into consideration that macroeconomic factors are more decisive in their impact on the oscillation of many firm-level factors controlling the stock rally. Therefore, the overall impact of the aforementioned factors varies, depending on the nature of business and industry in which firms operate. Basically, there are two types of industries, based on their sensitivity towards fluctuation in the economic cycle:

• Cyclical Industries: Cyclical Industries and their stocks adhere tightly to the economic cycle. During the ascending economic phase, their stocks perform above average. However, during the descending economic phase, they get dipped into a downward direction. Example of cyclical industries such as automobile, Information technology, steel, tourism, fashion and so on.

• Non-Cyclical Industries: Since non-cyclical industries manufacture and distribute goods and services, which are always required for society such as food, power, medicine, and other utilities, their stocks do not tightly attached with the economic cycle. As a result, they tend to perform rather neutrally during both the ascending and descending phases of the economic cycle.

Since medicines are one of the most basic needs for human being and animals to treat diseases and maintain a healthy life and pharmaceutical sector manufactures medicines to cater to this need of the global population, this industry is considered as a non-cyclical industry by economists and financial experts.

The main objectives of this study to investigate the collective and individual effects of internal microeconomic factors and external macroeconomic factors on the market stock price of Pharmaceutical companies in emerging and emerged markets. In case of India: most of the Indian companies had positive net income throughout the sample period that in turn made all financial ratios such as EPS, DPS, DPR, and PE ratio stay in positive territory. Since most of the companies were having consistent profit, the distributed dividends to stockholders followed a regular pattern whereas in the emerged market, apart from few big companies, most of the companies registered negative net income that affected their EPS which turned out into no dividend to shareholders. Though the pharmaceutical sector was generally considered as a non-cyclical industry, the fluctuation in GDP, inflation, interest rate, corporate tax, and exchange rate didn't affect the stock price of Indian pharmaceutical sector any significantly. But, in the case of the emerged market, fluctuation in corporate tax and exchange rate were the main drivers affecting the pharmaceutical industry.

#### 2. Literature Review

Literature review section begins by shedding light on key trends and advancements the pharmaceutical industry has seen in the last 40 years, with emphasis on its outlook post-2008 global financial crisis. Subsequently, it focuses on explicatory research works related to the significance of firm-level factors and macroeconomic factors on the price of stocks by analyzing the current and previous studies done by the various researches and academicians. This section also gives a chance to understand whether managers and financial experts can predict the momentum of stocks more precisely by employing the outcome of these studies. Furthermore, by going through the multiple research works and studies, this section also tries to get insight into possible relationship between macroeconomic factors and firm-specific factors and impact of their mutual influence.

#### **Pharmaceutical Industry Overview:**

In the last 40 years, the pharmaceutical industry has seen myriads of scientific, technological and legal advancements; however, the industry has also been marred by various controversies in areas of clinical trials management, approval mechanism, increased pricing and pharmacovigilance.



Figure 1: Key Milestones in the pharmaceutical industry (Accenture Research, 2016)

The key factors driving the Pharmaceutical industry are high R&D cost, the discrepancy between list and real price, rising ageing population and high affordability of drugs. As a result, the pharmaceutical industry is increasingly moving towards sustainability through more segmentation, robust regulatory framework and continuous breakthroughs in New Drug Discovery process. In the wake of the Global economic crisis of 2008, where most of the Industry sectors had been hard hit, the pharmaceutical industry remained relatively unscathed. Key underlying factors behind that resilience were less sensitivity of economic trends and no price elasticity in time of crisis.

Despite the relatively modest outlook, biotech and smaller pharmaceutical niche players are feeling the pressure because of depleting funding sources on account of the credit crunch. On the other end of the spectrum, larger players are also feeling the heat because of intensified scrutiny on healthcare budget by both public and private players and that has let to further cost containment measures to reduce drug prices, increase generic substitutions and demand for new drug formulation. In contrast with many other industries, pharmaceutical companies especially the larger once generally have a strong balance sheet with little debt and therefore have maintained stronger leverage in this time of crisis and uncertainty.

#### 2.1. Theoretical Basis and Development of Hypothesis

Since financial ratios are the computational result of several inter-connected firm-level factors, it is one of the most prevalent ways to make an estimation of the financial and operational efficiency of a firm. The financial ratio can be used to determine irregularities in the implementation of the company's operational activities by comparing the financial ratio with that of previous years (Wild et al., 2008). Hence, financial ratios are being used as a major pointer in order to examine the current position of firm's profitability, liquidity, income, utilization of assets, liability, etc., by performing intra-comparative analysis between current report and previous report of the same firm or inter-comparative analysis among many firms.

**Return on Equity (ROE):** Return on equity ratio (ROE) is considered a key measure of a company's earnings performance. The ROE provides information to common shareholders on how efficiently their money is being used. By analyzing ROE, shareholders can understand whether a firm is profit-enhancing or profit-depleting and whether management has the profit-earnings ability or not (Kijewska 2016). A rising ROE can signal that a company is able to grow profits without adding new equity into the Business, which dilutes the ownership share of existing shareholders (**Thorp 2012**).

Potum on Equity (POE)	_	Net Income (NI)
Keturn on Equity (KOE)	-	Shareholder Equity (E)

The higher Return on Equity (ROE) implies the higher profitability of firm that shows firm's management is utilizing investors' money in an efficient manner and investors can expect a higher rate of return on their investments which enhances the overall value of the firm and eventually increases the stock price. This ratio is also used to measure the ability of a company to use its own capital to generate profits for all shareholders, through both common and preferred stock. An increase in the company's stock price will provide a high return for investors. This will further increase the attractiveness of the company for investors. Karnawi Kamar (2017), conducted research to see the effect of Return on Equity and Debt to Equity ratio on the share price of listed cement companies at the Indonesian stock exchange. Statistical analysis revealed that together both factors produce a significant impact on the share price of companies. Moreover, ROE alone demonstrated a significant positive relationship with the share price of companies which proves that efficient utilization of inventors' money increases the price of shares. On the other hand, Hague and Faruquee (2013), studied the impact of fundamental factors on the share price of listed pharmaceutical companies of Dhaka stock exchange and found that ROE doesn't have any effect on the share price of companies. Fouzan Al Qaisi et. Al. (2016), showed in their study that ROE didn't have any effect on the stock price. However, Ursula Tamuntuan (2015), found a collective significance of ROE, ROA, and EPS on the stock price of food and beverages companies at Indonesian stock market but, ROE alone didn't produce any significant effect on stock prices.

Another study conducted by Fitri Sukmawati and Innes Garsela (2016), observed an inverse relationship between ROE and Share Price which means an increment in return on equity causes a decrement in the share price. Joanna L Saragih (2017), also found that ROE together with ROA and Debt-to-Equity ratio has no significant effect on the share price. However, ROE shows the insignificant positive partial effect on the share price.

**Earnings per Share (EPS):** Earning per share (EPS) is generally one of the most important financial ratios, uses to evaluate the stock price and the value of the firm. Earnings per share measures the amount of net income earned against each share. In other words, this is the amount of money each share of stock would receive if all of the profits were distributed to the outstanding shares at the end of the year.

EPS are also a calculation that shows how profitable a company is from a shareholder's perspective. Therefore, a larger company's profits per share can be compared to the smaller company's profits per share.

EPS or basic earnings per share are calculated by subtracting preferred dividends from net income and dividing by the weighted average common shares outstanding.



EPS are generally considered to be the single most important variable in determining a share's price. It is also a major component used to calculate the price-to-earnings valuation ratio (Besley 2006).

EPS is a carefully scrutinized metric that is often used as a barometer to gauge a company's profitability per unit of shareholder ownership. As such, earnings per share are a key driver of share prices. It is also used as the denominator in the frequently cited P/E ratio (Md. Rashidul et. al., 2014).

Pankaj Kumar (2017), studied the influence of EPS on the listed Indian automobile companies. The regression result showed a significant effect of EPS on the share price of automobile companies. Budhi Suparnihgsih (2017) and Ursula Tamuntuan (2015) found that EPS and other chosen variables simultaneously showed a significant effect on the share price of textile and garment companies of Indonesia stock exchange. Moreover, a partial significant positive effect of EPS was observed on the stock prices. Subramaniam V. A. and Tharshiga Murugesu (2013), studied the effect of the EPS on the share price of listed manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka. By employing regression techniques on the sample data, they found a strong significant positive relationship between EPS and Share Prices.

Md. Bellal Hossain and A. H. M. Asaduzzaman (2017) and Sayed Akif and Umara Nareen (2016), also supported the significant positive effect on the stock prices of sample companies. Sanjeet Sharma (2011), found in his study that EPS showed a significantly positive impact on the share price of sample companies.

**Dividend per Share (DPS):** Dividends are commonly defined as the distribution of earnings (past or present) in real assets among the shareholders of the firm in proportion to their ownership (Kapoor 2009). In other words, Dividend per share is the amount of perquisite issued by a firm for every common share outstanding. Dividends per share can be calculated by dividing the total number of dividends paid out by a firm, including interim dividends, over a period of time, by the number of shares outstanding *(investopedia.com)*.



In a favourable economic environment, raising the dividend amount and frequency gives a very prominent signal about the strong performance and higher profitability of the firm to its shareholders. However, at times in order to maintain the confidence of shareholders in the firm, many big firms pay dividends on a regular basis to their shareholders even after getting an average or weak financial result.

Onyango Benedict Enrile (2018), conducted a research to understand the relationship between dividend per share (DPS) and the stock prices of listed companies at the Nairobi Stock Exchange, where author observed that DPS had a positive and significant effect on the share price of companies. Similarly, Sanjeet Sharma (2011), also observed a significant positive impact of DPS on share Prices.

On the other hand, Sayed Akif and Umara Nareen (2016), studied fifty non-financial companies of Karachi stock exchange to check the role of dividend policy on stock prices volatility and they found a significant negative effect of DPS on stock prices of companies. Similarly, Sebastianus Laurens, (2018) and Hashemijoo, M., Ardekani, A. M., &Younesi, N. (2012) also found an inverse relationship between DPS and share price of companies. This inverse relationship between DPS and share price supports the dividend irrelevance theory.

**Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR):** According to Akinsulire (2014), the dividend payout ratio is the ratio of ordinary dividends to retained earnings. It signifies that what portion of the net profits distributed to shareholders as dividends and what portion kept for internal reinvestment. A high payout ratio simply shows a liberal distribution of profits whereas a low payout ratio reflects a conservative distribution policy. However, from the share valuation model, Simon (2009) asserts that the value of a share depends very much on the amount of dividend distributed to shareholders such that the higher the dividend payout ratio, the more attractive the share is to the shareholders.

Conversely, Hasan M, et, al (2015) found in their study that there is a negative impact of dividend payout ratio on the profitability of a firm in Pakistan's energy and textile sector. Similarly, Md. Bellal Hossain and A. H. M. Asaduzzaman (2017) did a study on the relationship between dividend policy and the stock price of

the listed fuel, power, and cement industry of Bangladesh. They applied the random effect panel data regression model and observed a negative influence of DPR on stock prices.

Onyango Benedict Enrile (2018), conducted a research to understand the relationship between dividend per share (DPR) and the stock prices listed companies at the Nairobi Stock Exchange, where author observed that DPR didn't have any significant effect on the share price of companies whereas another research conducted by Hunjra et. al.(2014), to understand role of dividend policy, EPS, ROE, and profit after tax on the stock price. The regression result reflected a significant positive correlation between DPR and share price that shows distributing more dividends and keeping less retained earnings is a positive signal for increment in share price and this result also refutes dividend irrelevance theory.

Dividend Depart Patie =	Dividends Paid		Dividend per Share (DPS)
Dividena Payola Rano –	Net Income	or	Earnings per Share (EPS)

In practice, decisions as to whether to pay out dividends or not, how much of the profits to pay a dividend and in what form the dividend should be paid are influenced by many internal and external factors. According to (Alfred, D. D. 2007) there are many internal and external factors such as company's operational sector, nature of the company, liquidity constraints, tax constraints, reinvestment opportunity, risk factors, and so on can influence the dividend payout strategies of a company.

**Price-to-Earnings Ratio (P/E Ratio):** The price-earnings ratio (P/E Ratio) is the ratio for assigning a value for a firm that measures its current share price relative to its per-share earnings (Nicholson, S. F.1960). The price-earnings ratio often called the P/E ratio or price to earnings ratio, is a market prospect ratio that calculates the market value of a stock relative to its earnings by comparing the market price per share by the earnings per share.

The price-earnings ratio is normally calculated as the market value per share divided by earnings per share. In other words, the price-earnings ratio shows what the market is willing to pay for a stock based on its current earnings.



Normally, a high P/E ratio implies that investors are anticipating higher earnings growth within the next years while firms with a lower P/E are expected lower growth (Ghaeli, 2017). Investors often use this ratio to evaluate what a stock's fair market value should be by predicting future earnings per share. Companies with higher future earnings are usually expected to issue higher dividends or have appreciating stock in the future.

There are two key drivers responsible for the increment in the P/E ratio; the first one is rapid growth in Price-Earnings ratios and the second one is slower growth in dividend yields. Rapid growth in price-earnings ratios simply shows the strong confidence of existing investors in a company due to the favourable market-wide environment. On the other hand, slower growth in dividend yields shows the company's management strategies focusing on reinvestment by employing a bigger chunk of retained earnings. Hence, sometimes lower dividend yields give hope to investors to accumulate even better return in future and this situation leads to a higher stock price as well as higher P/E ratio. These findings are consistent with Myers's (1984) pecking order and stakeholder hypotheses of dividend behaviour. There is also evidence that the P/E ratio is high when the price growth rate is high. This study indicates that a high P/E ratio signals faster growth in short-term stock prices (Raymond Y. C. Tse., 2002).

Pankaj Kumar (2017), studied the influence of P/E ratio on the listed Indian automobile companies. The regression result showed a significant effect of P/E on the share price of automobile companies.

Sanjeet Sharma (2011), conducted research on 115 listed companies of six different industries of India. Regression analysis showed that the P/E ratio exerts a significant positive impact on the share price of the companies. Similarly, Taimur Sharif et. al., (2015), studied the effect of PE ratio along with other firm-specific variable and they found that PE ratio is one of the main driving factors for the upward price movement of stocks. On the other hand, Onyango Benedict Enrile (2018) and Budhi Suparnihgsih (2017), respectively found positive yet insignificant effect and no significant effect of P/E ratio on the stock price. Similarly, AtyHerawati and Angger (2018) and George Gatheru Githinji (2011), observed no effect of the P/E ratio on the stock price.

**The Asset-Growth Effect:** There is a significant and negative relationship between asset growth and future stock returns in emerging markets. Watanabe et al. (2012) find a weaker asset-growth effect in emerging markets relative to developed markets. In the context of this study, the asset growth effect has been analyzed and investigated, with a focus on the global financial crisis of 2008 and compare the existence of the asset-growth effect between normal and crisis periods. Since the financial crisis has effects globally on emerged as well as

emerging countries, our analysis on stocks traded in emerging markets provides the opportunity to identify whether mispricing or optimal investment explanation would find support in those countries. It has been observed that the asset-growth effect is stronger during the crisis period relative to more normal periods. During the peak year of the Global Financial Crisis, 2008, strong indicators have been found to support the existence of the asset growth effect during the crisis period, but not in other periods.

That points to a significant association between the asset-growth effect and the global financial crisis period. The logic is that an episode of crisis gives investors the possibility to improve their assessment of the real value of firms' investment even in those countries where the agency problems and asymmetric information are commonly assumed to be higher.

In particular, the negative relation between asset growth and subsequent taxes returns holds only for the subset of firms with low innovation potential. Innovation based firms with high asset growth not only do not suffer negative excess returns subsequent to asset growth but actually, earn significantly positive subsequent excess returns. In addition, the significantly positive interaction effect between asset growth and innovative capacity on subsequent stock returns is robust to the industry effect, the size and BTM effects, and the IE effect documented in Hirshleifer et al. (2012). Asset Growth in innovative Pharmaceutical companies driven by huge investments and aggressive M&A strategy can generate new growth options.

**Gross Domestic Product (GDP):** Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is the prime reflector of a country's economic growth; it gives a clear view about the degree of growth in almost every type of industry of a country. The high tide of economic growth marks the high probability of higher cash flow and low probability of the firm's chances to bankrupt, which provides a good environment for higher profitability, investment and so on which in turn increases value and stock prices of firms. On the other hand, during the time of economic downturn, the situation turns upside down.

Economic growth occurs when an economy's productive capacity increases, which in turn is used to produce more goods and services. Economic growth is measured by an increase in the amount of goods and services that are produced in a country. Therefore, a growing economy produces more goods and services each successive time period. (Jhingan, M. 1997 Cited in Kampamba Shula (2017)). However, it is not always true that we get a correlation between GDP and stock return (www.wise-owl.com).

**Inflation Rate:** Inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon in the sense that it is and can be produced only by a more rapid increase in the quantity of money than in output. Empirically, the variability of inflation tends to increase with the level of inflation, reinforcing the negative effect of higher inflation on the quantity of money demanded (Friedman., M. 1987). Higher inflation generally decreases the purchasing power of individuals and companies that eventually produces a negative influence on productivity, profitability and stock price of firms. Firms operate in a high inflation environment have a lower degree of willingness to opt debt financing which disrupts long investment plans and short-term purchasing power of firms (Öztekin., Ö. (2015).

**Interest Rate:** Interest rates greatly affect a company's plan in fulfilling its capital needs, either by issuing equity securities or bonds. The low-interest rate will encourage investment and economic activity which generates a higher stock price as the result. (*Thobarry, A. A. 2009 Cited in Kampamba Shula (2017)*. Similarly, Md. Gazi Salah Uddi and Md. Mahmudul Alam (2010) found an inverse relationship between the interest rate and share prices of listed companies at the Dhaka Stock Exchange. Low-interest rates will lead to lower borrowing costs since the borrower (the company) is charged to pay less interest. There is a negative relationship between the interest rate and borrowing capacity of the firm (*Jõeveer, K. (2013). Firm, Country and Macroeconomic Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from Transition Economics. Journal of Comparative Economics, 41(1), 294-308).* 

**Corporate Tax:** Reduction of government economic activity in the past 30 years in the wake of sweeping capitalism resulted in limiting both the scope and form of government taxation but the financial crisis of 2008 changed this paradigm, as it was expected from federal authorities to once again bail out the situation to restore calmness to markets. In this context, taxation became the most important tool of government policy (Renata Perić, LjubicaKordić, 2014).

In the aftermath of the financial crisis of 2008, many pointed out that the reason lies in the fact that too many firms had loaded up on debt while relying on only a thin layer of equity. The reason is straightforward: whereas equity can absorb a business downturn – profits fall, but the firm does not immediately fail – debt is less forgiving because creditors do not wait around to be paid (Mark Roe, 2013, World Economic Forum). The tax system that was skewed towards allowing, firms to use more debt than is safe. Tax deductions for interest

payments encourage them to borrow which in turn resulted in more tax-induced lending from financial institutions. The tax reform measures, brought in after the global crisis, had both positive and negative impacts on the global pharmaceutical investment outlook. Opportunities to access new markets mitigate risk due to pricing pressures and patent expirations continued to be the main impetus for the new operating model.

- Corporate tax rates and repatriation as key drivers spurred M&A activity by making more cash available.
- Many life sciences companies have chosen to keep their intellectual property (IP) rights offshore for tax reasons (Jeff Ellis and Dennis Howell, 2018).
- R&D expenditures to boost new drug discovery and maintain a lucrative pipeline enjoyed cash infusions from various tax reforms. Counties like the US are also trying to retain intangible benefits by asking companies to amortize the cost of R&D performed onshore and offshore rather than deduct it all immediately.

**Exchange Rate:** There is no theoretical consensus on the relationship between stock prices and exchange rates either. For instance, portfolio balance models of exchange rate determination postulate a negative relationship between stock prices and exchange rates and that the causation runs from stock prices to exchange rate. In contrast, a positive relationship between stock prices and exchange rates and exchange rates with the direction of causation running from exchange rates to stock prices can be explained as follows: domestic currency depreciation makes local firms more competitive, leading to an increase in their exports. This, in turn, raises their stock prices.

A weak or no association between stock prices and exchange rates can also be postulated. The factors/news that causes changes in exchange rates may be different from the factors that cause changes in stock prices. Under such a scenario, there should be no link between the said variables *Muhammad*, *N and Rasheed*, *A.*,(2002).

Some studies have found a significant positive relationship between stock prices and exchange rates for instance Smith (1992); Solnik (1987)\* and Aggarwal (1981)\*, while others have reported a significant negative relationship between the two e.g., Soenen and Hennigar (1998)\*. On the other hand, there are some studies that have found very weak or no association between stock prices and exchange rates, for instance, Franck and Young (1972); Bartov and Bodnor (1994)\*. Note: \*references are cited in *Muhammad, N and Rasheed,*  $A_{.,(2002)}$ 

## 3. Methodology

## 3.1. Data Collection:

The data for this research work has been collected from the different relevant secondary sources such as the annual stock price of companies collected from <u>www.Investing.com</u>, other internal data of companies, for instance ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, P/E ratio, and asset from Thomson Router EKion Data Stream, Macroeconomic data such as GDP, Inflation Rate, etc from <u>www.knoema.com</u>, and Currency Exchange Rate from <u>www.poundsterlinglive.com</u>. Since this research study is related to the pharmaceutical industry of emerging and emerged markets, quantitative data of twenty listed companies selected from emerging market (India) and twenty listed companies form emerged market (USA, Germany, France, United Kingdom, and Switzerland) have been sampled and analyzed.

Two-panel data have been constructed, first one for the emerging market from the year 2008 to the year 2017 with 200 observations and the second one for the emerged market for the same period with 181 observations.

In this study, selected companies were chosen based on their home countries and stock prices of those companies, belonging to their home stock exchanges, were collected along with other firm-specific data, retrieved from Thomson Ekion data stream. Since stock prices, EPS, DPS, and Asset of every company were collected in their local currency units, the currencies have been converted into US dollars, for the sake of congruency.

The reason behind selecting twenty companies is because most of the mid-sized and small-sized companies of the emerged markets are privately held and not listed in stock exchanges. Similarly, due to many

negative and unavailable values of Earnings per share and dividend per share respectively, only 181 observations were made for the emerged market companies.

## **3.2.** Research Methodology

The multiple regression method has been employed in this study in order to understand the relationship between several independent variables and the dependent variable. The independent variables used in this study are namely ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, PER, ASSET, GDPGR, INFR, INTR, CT, and EXCHR whereas the dependent variable is MPS. Moreover, panel data model is being used in current course of investigation. There are several reasons behind using panel data, such as higher number of informative data, higher level of divergence among data, lower chance of collinearity among variables and more degree of freedom, which contribute towards constructing a more efficient data model.

Four steps have been employed:

- Descriptive statistical analysis to obtain the overview of data in terms of mean, maximum, minimum, sum, standard deviation, kurtosis, and so on
- Correlation test has been employed to check the degree of Multicollinearity.
- The following are the two types of panel analytic models have been considered: (1) Fixed effect model and (2) Random effect model. The fixed effect model is the divergence across cross-sectional units which can be represented in divergence in the constant term and the intercept term of the regression model varies across the cross sectional units whereas the random effect model, the individual effects are randomly dispersed across the cross-sectional units and in order to represent the individual effects, the regression model is specified with an intercept term which represents an overall constant term (Seddighi, 2000)
- In order to obtain better statistical model between Fixed Effect and Random Effect, the Hausman test has been used
- Fixed effect Panel data regression model has been used to investigate simulations and partial effect of independent variables on dependent variables

## 3.3. Research Hypothesis

This section aims at providing a specific, clear, and testable proposition or predictive statement about the possible outcome of this study based on various variables and relationships between them in the context of the Pharmaceutical industry. Specifying the research hypotheses is one of the most important steps in planning a quantitative research study and it typically states *a priori* expectation about the research outcome in research hypotheses, because the design of the research often is determined by the stated hypotheses.



Figure 2: Conceptual Framework Figure



Serial	Variable	Measureme	Expected	Key Empirical	Key Empirical	Key Empirical
Numbe	s	nt	Hypothes	Studies in	Studies in	Studies observed
r			is	support of	contrary to	no effect of
				Expected	Expected	Expected
1	Markat	Appual		Hypotnesis	Hypotnesis	Hypotnesis
1	Price of	Closing				
	Share	Market Price				
	(MPS)	of Share				
2	Return on	Net Income /	+	KarnawiKamar	FitriSukmawati	Haque and
	Equity	Shareholder		(2017),	and Innes Garsela	Faruquee (2013),
	(ROE)	Equity			(2016)	Fouzan Al Qaisi
				D 11 10 11		et. Al. (2016)
3	Earnings	(Net Income	+	BudhiSuparnings		
	(EPS)	- Dividends		In (2017), Orsula		
	(E1 5)	Stocks) /		(2015) etc		
		Avg.		(2013), etc		
		Outstanding				
		Shares				
4	Dividend	Total	+	Onyango	SebastianusLaure	
	Per Share	Dividends –		Benedict Enrile	ns (2018),	
	(DPS)	Special Dividende		(2018), Sanjeet	Hashemijoo. et.al	
		(If Any) /		sharma (2011),	(2012)	
		Annual		ete		
		Weighted				
		Average of				
		Outstanding				
		Shares				
5	Dividend	Dividend Per	_	Hunjra et. al.	Hasan M et. al.	Onyango Benedict
	Payout	Snare / Earnings Per		(2014)	(2013), Hossain	Enrile (2018)
	(DPR)	Share			Asaduzzaman	
	(211)	Share			(2017)	
6	Profit-	Market	+	Pankaj Kumar		Aty Herawati and
	Earnings	Value Per		(2017), Sanjeet		Angger (2018),
	Ratio	Share		Sharma (2011)		George Gatheru
	(PER)	/Earnings				Githinji (2009)
7	Asset	Company's	+			
<b>′</b>	(LASSE	Total Asset	'			
	T)	1000110000				
8	Gross	Annual GDP	+	Jhingan, M.		https://www.wise-
	Domestic	Growth Rate		(1997)		owl.com/investme
	Product	of				nt-education/is-
	Growth	Countries				there-a-
	Kate (CDPCP					correlation-
						growth-and-stock-
	, ·					market-returns
9	Inflation	Annual	-	Milton Friedman		
	Rate	Inflation		(1987), Öztekin.,		
	(INFR)	Rate of		Ö. (2015)		
10		Countries				
10	Interest	Annual Real	-	Md. Gazi		
	(INTR)	of		Md. Mahmudul		



		Countries		Alam (2010), Thobarry, A. A. (2009)		
11	Corporate Tax (CT)	Annual Corporate Tax of Countries	-	Mark Roe, 2013		
12	Exchange Rate (EXCHR )	Countries' Exchange Rate on the Last day of Financial Year of Companies	-	Soenen and Hennigar (1998)	Solnik (1987) and Aggarwal (1981	Franck and Young (1972)

Table1: Summary Table of Variables, Expected Hypothesis, and Studied Previous results

## 3.4. The Model

 $MPS_{ii} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ROE_{ii} + \beta_2 EPS_{ii} + \beta_3 DPS_{ii} + \beta_4 DPR_{ii} + \beta_5 PER_{ii} + \beta_6 LASSET_{ii} + \beta_7 GDPGR_{ii} + \beta_8 INFR_{ii} + \beta_9 INTR_{ii} + \beta_{10} CT_{ii} + \beta_{11} EXCHR_{ii} + \emptyset_{ii}$ 

- MPS it = Market Prices of Share for the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **ROE** <sub>it</sub> = Effect of Return on Equity (ROE) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **EPS** it = Effect of Earnings per Share (EPS) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **DPS** it = Effect of Dividend per Share (DPS) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **DPR** it = Effect of Dividend Pay Ratio (DPR) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **PER** it = Effect of Price Earnings Ratio (PER) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- ASSET it = Effect of Log of Total Assets (LASSET) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- GDPGR it= Effect of GDP (GDPGR) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- INFR it= Effect of Inflation Rate (INFR) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- INTR it= Effect of Interest Rate (INTR) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- $CT_{it}$  = Effect of Corporate Tax (CT) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t
- **EXCHR** it= Effect of Currency Exchange Rate (EXCHR) on MSP of the Pharmaceutical Companies during the period t

 $\beta_0 = \text{Intercept}$ 

- $\beta_1 \beta_{11} = \text{Coefficient parameters}$
- $\mathbf{Ø}_{it} = error term$

#### 4. Findings and Analysis

## 4.1. Descriptive Statistics Analysis

	MPS	ROE	EPS	DPS	DPR	PER	LASSET	GDPGR	INFR	INTR	CT	EXCHR
Mean	5.017152	0.214122	0.263590	0.047207	0.208234	20.55632	5.780051	0.070600	0.077300	0.068960	0.338670	53.86850
Median	3.019759	0.186500	0.194419	0.034325	0.200525	18.02119	5.610477	0.069000	0.092500	0.073200	0.339900	52.62000
Maximum	32.14881	0.816000	1.572172	0.301864	1.568615	275.6667	9.155685	0.103000	0.110000	0.080000	0.346100	66.25500
Minimum	0.135349	-0.070000	0.009611	0.000000	0.000000	0.736363	3.319507	0.039000	0.036000	0.052500	0.324400	39.98000
Std. Dev.	5.381683	0.118203	0.231940	0.040955	0.160171	22.95119	1.378394	0.016529	0.025755	0.009534	0.007619	8.773534
Skewness	2.114633	1.416436	2.656942	2.318320	4.871247	7.495629	0.070622	0.061060	-0.407024	-0.467803	-1.027661	-0.031573
Kurtosis	8.321841	6.664292	13.18731	11.73509	39.16005	79.64759	2.240334	3.005369	1.491282	1.722949	2.725734	1.646541
Jarque-Bera	385.0724	178.7683	1100.156	815.0016	11687.21	50829.93	4.975352	0.124520	24.49088	20.88515	35.82978	15.29866
Probability	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.083103	0.939639	0.000005	0.000029	0.000000	0.000476
Sum	1003.430	42.82440	52.71798	9.441383	41.64673	4111.265	1156.010	14.12000	15.46000	13.79200	67.73400	10773.70
Sum Sq. Dev.	5763.540	2.780413	10.70540	0.333786	5.105326	104824.6	378.0942	0.054368	0.132002	0.018088	0.011552	15318.00
Observations	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Table2:	<b>Sable2: Results Descriptive Statistics Analysis of Indian Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by</b>											

EViews-10)

	MDC	DOF	EDS	000	ססס	DED	LACOLT	CDDCD		INITO	CT.	EVOLD
	IVIP3	RUE	EPO	DPS	DPK	PER	LASSET	GDPGR	INFR	INTR	UI	EVOUR
Mean	182.5609	0.103425	2.401576	1.345293	0.868461	172.6613	8.820834	0.012310	0.095880	0.005643	0.307701	0.909303
Median	42.15102	0.143000	1.922450	1.119050	0.431267	17.55490	9.940290	0.017000	0.015000	0.002500	0.341500	1.000000
Maximum	3789.540	1.030000	17.26635	8.345960	80.00000	7233.280	12.26881	0.039000	1.650000	0.039500	0.350000	1.064100
Minimum	1.620000	-4.647000	-5.710000	0.000000	-10.94737	-497.1429	3.081910	-0.056000	-0.011000	-0.007500	0.177700	0.605000
Std. Dev.	559.6947	0.413980	3.588705	1.612761	6.013378	700.1737	2.530846	0.016686	0.357660	0.007692	0.063275	0.130422
Skewness	4.698629	-7.659486	1.383203	2.474909	12.65399	6.505117	-0.520661	-1.686224	4.120806	1.835959	-1.242271	-0.964057
Kurtosis	25.29681	88.33408	6.430707	10.17754	167.6029	57.32034	1.968180	5.564488	18.00747	7.947771	2.812989	2.350414
Jarque-Bera	4878.801	62638.14	161.8562	576.4683	209165.2	25869.71	17.90837	149.5834	2442.903	316.3618	51.73272	34.49657
Probability	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000129	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	36512.18	20.68508	480.3152	244.8433	157.1914	34359.60	1764.167	2.462000	19.17600	1.128600	61.54020	181.8605
Sum Sq. Dev.	62338370	34.10449	2562.882	470.7808	6508.929	97068151	1274.631	0.055403	25.45623	0.011775	0.796738	3.384965
Observations	200	200	200	182	181	199	200	200	200	200	200	200

Table3: Results Descriptive Statistics Analysis of US & European Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by EViews-10)

For Indian pharmaceutical companies, Table 2 shows descriptive statistics analysis of 200 observations of for one dependent variable and twelve independent variables. In the case of US and European pharmaceutical companies, Table 3 shows descriptive statistics analysis of DPS and DPR with 181 observations, PER with 199 observations and rest of variables with 200 observations.

Descriptive statistical analysis of MPS for US and European pharmaceutical companies reflects a key difference from Indian pharmaceutical companies by having a huge gap between the minimum (1.620000) and maximum (3789.540) MSP value, much higher mean (182.5609), and standard deviation (559.6947). This result shows that few companies have had very high share price throughout the taken time period. Similarly, for US and European pharmaceutical companies, other variables such as ROE, EPS, DPR, and PER are swinging from higher negative value for the minimum to higher positive values for maximum. Moreover, other statistical parameters for instance mean and standard deviation are also showing value on the higher side. The reason behind this surge could be attributed to a much better performance of few companies over others.

In the case of Indian pharmaceutical companies, MSP variable has a moderate range from minimum (0.135349) to maximum (32.14881) with mean of 5.017152 and standard deviation 5.381683. Similarly, other variables such as EPS, DPS, DPR, LASSET, and PER are also showing moderate variation in values for minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation. Results show that throughout the observed time period, most of the Indian pharmaceutical companies in this study had performed in a reasonable manner.

Descriptive statistical analysis of Indian as well as US and European pharmaceutical companies also depicts that dependent variable (MPS) and many independent variables have skewness greater than 1, which

**Correlation Analysis** 

4.2.

shows high positive skewness whereas few variables have skewness less than -1, which shows high negative skewness. Both scenarios explain that data is not symmetrical. However, few variables have positive or negative skewness near to 0 which reflects that these variables have better symmetrical distribution than their peers.

Since all variables have Kurtosis value more than 0, it infers that data distribution of variables has a heavier tail, called leptokurtic distribution. Furthermore, Kurtosis results also show that variables are not distributed symmetrically. In case of Indian pharmaceutical companies, Jarque-Bera test for checking the symmetrical distribution of variables reflects that except LASSET and GDPGR, other variables have probability value less than 5%, which shows that variables are not normally distributed. However, in case of US and European pharmaceutical companies, Jarque-Bera test for checking the symmetrical distribution of variables shows each variable having probability value less than 5%, indicating that variables are not normally distributed.

	ROE	EPS	DPS	DPR	PER	LASSET	GDPGR	INFR	INTR	CT	EXCHR
ROE	1.000000	0.480539	0.263484	-0.136942	-0.161969	0.221006	-0.055083	0.104760	0.052074	-0.074084	-0.156407
EPS	0.480539	1.000000	0.723002	-0.207805	-0.075762	0.128634	-0.024787	-0.269697	0.061018	0.091441	0.249788
DPS	0.263484	0.723002	1.000000	0.124588	-0.053951	-0.013739	0.034329	-0.222783	0.061242	0.098134	0.229039
DPR	-0.136942	-0.207805	0.124588	1.000000	0.591633	-0.272037	-0.000456	0.097938	0.088633	-0.084579	-0.021667
PER	-0.161969	-0.075762	-0.053951	0.591633	1.000000	0.093046	0.077463	-0.320882	0.059757	0.175649	0.312853
LASSET	0.221006	0.128634	-0.013739	-0.272037	0.093046	1.000000	-0.000143	-0.168783	0.038952	0.060398	0.167452
GDPGR	-0.055083	-0.024787	0.034329	-0.000456	0.077463	-0.000143	1.000000	-0.044101	-0.584998	0.289197	0.185959
INFR	0.104760	-0.269697	-0.222783	0.097938	-0.320882	-0.168783	-0.044101	1.000000	-0.009365	-0.643132	-0.842999
INTR	0.052074	0.061018	0.061242	0.088633	0.059757	0.038952	-0.584998	-0.009365	1.000000	-0.457664	-0.049103
CT	-0.074084	0.091441	0.098134	-0.084579	0.175649	0.060398	0.289197	-0.643132	-0.457664	1.000000	0.582928
EXCHR	-0.156407	0.249788	0.229039	-0.021667	0.312853	0.167452	0.185959	-0.842999	-0.049103	0.582928	1.000000

Table4: Results Correlation Analysis of Indian Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by EViews-10)

	ROE	EPS	DPS	DPR	PER	LASSET	GDPGR	INFR	INTR	CT	EXCHR
ROE	1.000000	0.420612	0.329006	-0.003524	0.036733	0.374162	0.100853	-0.019127	-0.147015	-0.226923	-0.025139
EPS	0.420612	1.000000	0.655097	-0.040519	-0.120871	0.447783	0.078046	-0.074960	-0.277622	-0.391630	0.200210
DPS	0.329006	0.655097	1.000000	-0.008333	-0.067289	0.556479	0.073373	-0.055722	-0.261344	-0.494865	0.180711
DPR	-0.003524	-0.040519	-0.008333	1.000000	0.265700	-0.003076	0.055695	-0.009277	0.049829	0.017572	-0.072630
PER	0.036733	-0.120871	-0.067289	0.265700	1.000000	-0.031576	0.090410	-0.034270	0.026866	-0.292712	-0.427684
LASSET	0.374162	0.447783	0.556479	-0.003076	-0.031576	1.000000	0.145368	0.072950	-0.180310	-0.110412	0.332583
GDPGR	0.100853	0.078046	0.073373	0.055695	0.090410	0.145368	1.000000	0.175232	-0.271363	-0.080916	0.153838
INFR	-0.019127	-0.074960	-0.055722	-0.009277	-0.034270	0.072950	0.175232	1.000000	-0.054430	0.158937	0.143092
INTR	-0.147015	-0.277622	-0.261344	0.049829	0.026866	-0.180310	-0.271363	-0.054430	1.000000	0.263986	-0.327848
CT	-0.226923	-0.391630	-0.494865	0.017572	-0.292712	-0.110412	-0.080916	0.158937	0.263986	1.000000	0.165459
EXCHR	-0.025139	0.200210	0.180711	-0.072630	-0.427684	0.332583	0.153838	0.143092	-0.327848	0.165459	1.000000

Table5: Results Correlation Analysis of US & European Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by EViews-10)

Based on table 4 and 5, the value of correlation coefficient among independent variables of Indian as well as US and European pharmaceutical companies can be seen. In the correlation matrix, a correlation coefficient shows the extent to which one variable is correlated with others. A positive correlation means any increment in one variable causes an increment in other variable and a decrement in one variable similarly in case of negative correlation it is vice versa i.e. an increment in one variable causes a decrement in other variables.

In table 4, the correlation matrix of Indian pharmaceutical companies depicts the higher correlation between few variables for instance EPS has highest positive correlation value (0.723002) with DPS followed by a positive correlation value (0.591633) between DPR and PER. However, the highest negative correlation value (-0.842999) observed between INFR and EXCHR.

The Correlation matrix of US and European pharmaceutical companies in Table 5, EPS has the highest positive correlation with DPS with a correlation value of (0.655097). The second highest correlation in this matrix is the positive correlation value (0.556479) between DPS with LASSET.

Multicollinearity does not affect the properties of the OLS estimators. The estimator remains unbiased and efficient. But the fact is that when multicollinearity is present in the data then the OLS estimators are imprecisely estimated (**Paul**). Since seriousness of multicollinearily in dataset can be measured through the degree of correlation among variables, generally, highest degree of accepted correlation between two variables should be less than 0.80 (Edhi, A., 2017). By analyzing the correlation matrices of both markets, it can be inferred that of the degree of correlation among most variables is less than 0.80. However, negative correlation value (-0.842999) is observed between INFR and EXCHR for Indian pharmaceutical market in Table 4 whereas and positive correlation value (0.143092) is observed between INFR and EXCHR for European pharmaceutical market. Since this study is primarily focusing on comparative analysis between two markets while keeping type and number of variables intact in both sides, this higher correlation between INFR and EXCHR for Indian pharmaceutical market in Table 4 has been overlooked.

## 4.3. Regression Analysis

## **F-TEST**

The F test is carried out to verify whether the independent variables ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, PER, LASSET, GDPGR, INFR, INTR, CT, and EXCHR combined, can significantly influence the dependent variable MSP of companies.

Hypothesis: There is a simultaneous significant influence of independent variables on the dependent variable.

Indian Pharmaceutical companies	US and European Pharmaceutical companies				
Result of the F test (simultaneous coefficient test)	Result of the F test (simultaneous coefficient test)				
shows that F-statistic of 32.32335 with significance	shows that F-statistic of 99.05622 with significance				
below (0.000000<0.05). It can be concluded that	below (0.000000<0.05). It can be concluded that				
together with ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, PER, LASSET,	together with ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, PER, LASSET,				
GDPGR, INFR, INTR, CT, and EXCHR have a	GDPGR, INFR, INTR, CT, and EXCHR have a				
simultaneous significant effect on MSP of Indian	simultaneous significant effect on MSP of US and				
Pharmaceutical Companies.	European Pharmaceutical Companies.				
Hypothesis Accepted	Hypothesis Accepted				

**Regression Result Summary** 

Dependent Variable: MFS Method: Panel Least Squares Date: 04/22/19 Time: 21:17 Sample: 2008 2017 Periods included: 10 Cross-sections included: 20 Total panel (balanced) observations: 200										
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.						
C ROE EPS DPS DPR PER LASSET GDPGR INFR INTR INTR CT EXCHR	-16.60750 -8.035798 7.059722 61.00841 -7.331832 0.061568 2.031314 -1.596778 -27.03355 -15.50890 37.90745 -0.045328	16.20192 3.537531 2.073999 9.119055 2.236580 0.013690 0.975845 12.73396 15.69895 25.39091 36.99307 0.038517	-1.025032 -2.271584 3.403919 6.690212 -3.278144 4.497197 2.081595 -0.125395 -1.721998 -0.610805 1.024718 -1.176839	0.3068 0.0244 0.0008 0.0000 0.0013 0.0000 0.0389 0.9004 0.869 0.5421 0.3070 0.2409						
	Effects Sp	ecification								
Cross-section fixed (du	mmy variables	)								
Cross-section inted (dummy variables)           R-squared         0.851585         Mean dependent var         5.01715           Adjusted R-squared         0.825239         S.D. dependent var         5.38168           S.E. of regression         2.249779         Akaike info criterion         4.60112           Sum squared resid         855.3947         Schwarz criterion         5.11236           Log likelihood         -429.1123         Hannan-Quinn criter.         4.80801           F-statistic         32.32335         Durbin-Watson stat         1.56428										

# Figure 4: Regression Result of Panel Data of Indian Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by EViews-10)

Regression result of panel data of Indian pharmaceutical companies summarizes the regression test model in the following sections.

The regression output summary of Indian pharmaceutical companies revealed an R-squared value of 0.851585 which means that 85.1585% of the variation in the market share price of Indian pharmaceutical companies can be explicated by the independent variables included in the current study. Result of the F-test

(simultaneous coefficient test) also shows that F-statistic value of 32.32335 with significance below (0.000000<0.05) validates the inclusive simultaneous effect of all independent variables on the market price of a share of Indian pharmaceutical companies.

**ROE** for Indian Pharmaceutical companies has the negative coefficient value of -8.035798 and probability (0.0244<0.05), which implies that the ROE has a significant negative effect on the MSP that is why H0 can be deemed rejected. This result supports previous studies of Fitri Sukmawati and Innes Garsela (2016).

Independent variable EPS with positive coefficient value of 7.059722 and probability value of (0.0008<0.05) reflects that **EPS** has a significant positive effect on MSP of Indian pharmaceutical companies and thus H1can be deemed validated, which falls in line with the previous studies of Budhi Suparnihgsih (2017), Ursula Tamuntuan (2015).

Similarly, the regression output of **DPS** for Indian pharmaceutical companies gives a positive coefficient value of 61.00841 with a probability value of (0.0000 < 0.05). Therefore, DPS can be considered to have a significant positive effect on the market share price which ratifies H2and supports previous studies of Onyango Benedict Enrile (2018), Sanjeet Sharma (2011), etc.

However, Regression output of **DPR** has the negative coefficient value of -7.331832 and probability value of (0.0013 < 0.05) which depicts DPR with the significant negative effect on the stock price that means H3 is accepted and supports previous studies of Hunjra et. al.(2014). Regression output indicates that the estimation result of **PER** for Indian pharmaceutical companies shows a positive coefficient value of 0.061568 with a probability value of (0.000 < 0.05). It reflects that the PER has a significant positive effect on the market share price, which is in line with H4and supports previous studies of Pankaj Kumar (2017), Sanjeet Sharma (2011).

Similarly, Regression output result of LASSET with positive coefficient value of 2.031314 and probability value lower than the specified significance level of 5% (0.0389 < 0.05), reflects that the LASSET has a significant positive effect on the stock price of Indian Pharmaceutical companies, hence it can be concluded that H5 is accepted.

Regression result of macroeconomic variables such as GDPGR, INFR, INTR, CT, and EXCHR doesn't show any significant effect on the market share price of Indian pharmaceutical companies. Hence, hypothesisH6, H7, H8, H9, and H10 are rejected.

Dependent Variable: MPS Method: Panel Least Squares Date: 04/22/19 Time: 21:56 Sample: 2008 2017 Periods included: 10 Cross-sections included: 20 Total panel (unbalanced) observations: 181										
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.						
C ROE EPS DPS PER LASSET GDPGR INFR INTR CT EXCHR	1759.451 24.38475 3.928813 33.59069 -3.617106 0.112454 -21.14702 -51.14591 14.50280 2468.204 -7053.961 743.9563	454.4975 36.24807 6.061408 31.44775 2.025520 0.026697 27.00078 688.0880 35.55964 1683.991 1062.856 221.1875	3.871201 0.672718 0.648168 1.068143 -1.785767 4.212300 0.783201 -0.074330 0.407844 1.465687 -6.636798 3.363465	0.0002 0.5022 0.5179 0.2872 0.0762 0.0000 0.4347 0.9408 0.6840 0.1448 0.0000 0.0010						
	Effects Sp	ecification								
Cross-section fixed (du	mmy variables	)								
R-squared         0.951949         Mean dependent var         192.57           Adjusted R-squared         0.942339         S.D. dependent var         586.65           S.E. of regression         140.8712         Akaike info criterion         12.888           Sum squared resid         2976705.         Schwarz criterion         13.436           Log likelihood         -1135.387         Hannan-Quinn criter.         13.110           F-statistic         99.05622         Durbin-Watson stat         0.4127           Prob(F-statistic)         0.000000         0.00000         0.4127										

Figure 5: Regression Result of Panel Data of US and European Pharmaceutical companies (Processed by EViews-10)

Regression result of panel data of US and European pharmaceutical companies summarizes the regression test model in the following sections.

The regression output summary of US and European pharmaceutical companies revealed an R-squared value of 0.951949 which means that 95.1949 % of the variation in the market share price of US and European pharmaceutical companies can be explicated by the independent variables included in the current study. Result of the F-test (simultaneous coefficient test) also shows that F-statistic value of 99.05622 with significance below (0.000000<0.05) supporting the inclusive simultaneous effect of all independent variables on the market price of a share of US and European pharmaceutical companies.

In the case of US and European pharmaceutical companies, most of the microeconomic variables such as ROE, EPS, DPS, DPR, and LASSAT don't have any significant effect on the market share price of US and European pharmaceutical companies. Hence, hypothesis H0, H1, H2, H3, and H5 are rejected. However, microeconomic variable namely **PER** shows a positive and significant effect on the market share price of US and European pharmaceutical companies with a coefficient value of 0.076573 and probability value (0.0000<0.05) respectively. Therefore, Hence, H4 is accepted and the result supports previous studies of Pankaj Kumar (2017), Sanjeet Sharma (2011).

Regression result of macroeconomic variables such as GDPGR, INFR, and INTR don't show any significant effect on the market share price of US and European pharmaceutical companies. Hence, hypothesis H6, H7, and H8, are rejected. However, macroeconomic variables such as CT and EXCHR for US and European companies show the negative coefficient value of -7053.961 and positive coefficient 743.9563 respectively, while having probability value of (0.0000>0.05) for both variables. This result shows that **CT** and **EXCHR** have significant negative effect and positive effect respectively on the market share price of US and European pharmaceutical companies. Hence, hypothesis H9 is accepted which supports the previous study of Mark Roe (2013), whereas hypothesis H10 is rejected and supports the precious study of Solnik (1987) and Aggarwal (1981) and refutes the previous study done by Soenen and Hennigar (1998).

	Indian Pha	armaceutical	companies		US and European Pharmaceutical companies					
S.	Name of	Coefficien	Significance	Result	Name of	Coefficient	Significance	Result		
Ν	Variable	t	level		Variable	value	level			
	S	value			S					
(a)	ROE	-8.035798	(0.0244	Significan	ROE	24.38475	(0.5022>0.0	Insignificant		
			< 0.05)	t negative			5)	effect. H0		
				effect. H0				rejected		
				Rejected						
(b)	EPS	7.059722	(0.0008<0.05	Significan	EPS	3.928813	(0.5179>0.0	Insignificant		
			)	t positive			5)	effect. H1		
				effect. HI				rejected		
	DDC	(1.000.41	(0.00000.05	acceptea	DDC	22 500 60	(0.0070: 0.0	T		
(c)	DPS	61.00841	(0.0000<0.05	Significan	DPS	33.59069	(0.28/2>0.0	Insignificant		
			)	i positive			5)	ejjeci. H2		
				ejjeci. H2				rejecieu		
(d)	DPR	-7 331832	(0.0013<0.05	Significan	DPR	-3 617106	(0.0762>0.0	Insignificant		
(u)	DIK	-7.551052	(0.0013 < 0.05	t negative	DIK	-5.017100	(0.0702>0.0	offoct H3		
			)	effect H3			5)	rejected		
				accented				rejecteu		
(e)	PER	0.061568	(0.0000<0.05	Significan	PER	0.112454	(0.0000<0.0	Significant		
(-)			)	t positive			5)	positive		
			,	effect. H4			,	partial		
				accepted				effect. H4		
				· ·				accepted		
(f)	LASSET	2.031314	(0.0389<0.05	Significan	LASSET	-21.14702	(0.4347>0.0	Insignificant		
			)	t positive			5)	effect. H5		
				effect. H5				rejected		
				accepted						



(g)	GDPGR	-1.596778	(0.9004>0.05 )	Insignific ant effect. H6 rejected	GDPGR	-51.14591	(0.9408>0.0 5)	Insignificant effect. H6 rejected
(h)	INFR	-27.03355	(0.0869>0.05)	Insignific ant effect. H7 rejected	INFR	14.50280	(0.6840>0.0 5)	Insignificant effect. H7 rejected
(i)	INTR	-15.50890	(0.5421>0.05)	Insignific ant effect. H8 rejected	INTR	2468.204	(0.1448>0.0 5)	Insignificant effect. H8 rejected
(j)	СТ	37.90745	(0.3070>0.05)	Insignific ant effect. H9 rejected	СТ	-7053.961	(0.0000<0.0 5)	Significant negative effect. H9 accepted
(k)	EXCHR	-0.045328	(0.2409>0.05)	Insignific ant effect. H10reject ed	EXCHR	743.9563	(0.0010<0.0 5)	Significant positive effect. H10reiected

Table 6: Comparative Analysis of Regression Result between Emerging and Emerged Market

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effect of internal (microeconomic) and external (macroeconomic) factors on the market price of a share of pharmaceuticals companies of emerging market (India) and emerged market (the US and Europe). During the course of study, 200 observations for emerging market and 181 observations for emerged markets, were examined and analyzed to understand the diverse behaviour of the same variables in emerging and emerged markets. The statistical estimation method was based on panel OLS regression while considering the fixed effect model. This research strived to establish an association between the market price of the share (MPS) and other eleven variables for both emerging and emerged markets separately.

The empirical findings show a positive and significant relationship between EPS, DPS, PER, and LASSET with market price of the share (MPS) for emerging (India) market. This significant positive relation with MPS explains why higher EPS and DPS make companies more attractive for investment. Similarly, PER exerts the same impact on companies by making investors willing to buy their share at current market price even during the rally and thus fuel the overall upward growth. On the same note, higher LASSET growth boosts confidence in investors towards companies' growth and investment outlook. Having said that, significant negative relation of ROE and DPR with MPS show that higher return on equity causes decrement in share price because of possible approach of middle size companies in aggressive reinvestment of retained earnings and investors' money towards their expansion. Therefore, higher dividend payout ratio causes apprehension in investor's mind about investment and growth policy of companies and that affects MPS in a negative manner.

Since pharmaceutical companies are considered the non-cyclical industry, with better immunity against macroeconomic fluctuations, this study further reinforces that macroeconomic factors such as GDP growth, Inflation rate, interest rate and exchange rate do not affect significantly the market price of a share of pharmaceutical companies of the emerging market. In the case of emerged markets, most of the internal factors except PER do not show any significant effect on the MPS of US and European pharmaceutical companies. The possible reason behind this result could be the weak effect of ROE, EPS, DPS, and DPR on the MSP. As per data, these factors for many middle size pharmaceutical companies of the emerged market show that although they had negative EPS and they didn't pay DPS due to negative net income, MPS wasn't affected severely. Moreover, significant positive relationship between PER and MPS also brings to fore a crucial fact that despite successive bad results and unattractive dividend policies, investors showed their confidence in those companies. In emerged markets, the story is rather different. This study reveals macroeconomic factors such as GDP growth, Inflation rate and Interest rate, do not affect significantly the market price of a share of pharmaceutical companies of the emerged market. However, higher fluctuation in corporate tax and variation in exchange rates

show a significant negative and positive effect respectively on the MPS of pharmaceutical companies of the emerged market. The probable reason behind it could be the close relationship between the European and US economy.

Possible recommendations promulgated by this study are stemmed firmly into the domains of micro and macroeconomics factors affecting key performance of pharmaceutical companies of emerging and emerged markets. During the research, pharmaceutical companies from five countries in the emerged market and one country in the emerging market have been considered to study the patterns of stock price changes. Along the same line, this methodology can also be implemented to study the pharmaceutical companies of each country separately, to achieve a more comprehensive and precise results, which can be helpful for investors and managers to understand and predict the stock patterns before investing their capital in pharmaceutical stocks. Also, the further studies can be helpful for the investors to comprehend the potentials of different countries in the field of pharmaceutical developments beforehand. In conclusion, it is worthwhile to mention that this study has paved the path to delve deeper into financial conditions of pharmaceutical companies of different countries from a novel perspective.

#### 6. Limitations

Considering a few limitations of financial ratios, in some situations, financial ratios don't necessarily give very precise financial information such as distorted balance sheet of the firm due to inflation, different accounting policies in place and practices of different firms (<u>https://www.thebalancesmb.com/limitations-of-financial-ratio-analysis-393236</u>).

Since many middle and small-sized pharmaceutical companies in the USA and Europe are private entities and not listed in the stock exchange, only twenty companies have been taken from emerged markets in this study.

Huge difference in operational, financial, other parameters between big and small emerged companies may cause biased regression results.

This study gives a generalized idea about the effect of internal and external factors on the stock price of pharmaceutical companies in emerging and emerged market and how these factors behave differently in a different market.

This study doesn't give a complete picture of share price fluctuation in the pharmaceutical sector of the entire emerging and emerged markets.

In this study, in order to compare the regression results of pharmaceutical companies, in both emerging and emerged markets, fixed effect model has employed. Additionally, Hausman test has been performed and it was inferred that Random effect would have been more appropriate for Indian firms but considering the objective of this study, which is doing a comparative analysis of regression results of two different markets, the fixed effect was given chosen instead of random effect.

## References

- Akif, S. & Noreen, U. (2016). Price Volatility and Role of Dividend Policy: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, Vol. 6(No. 2), 461–472.
- Akinsulire, O. (2014). Financial Management 8th ., Lagos: -Toda Ventures.
- Alfred, D.D. (2007). . Corporate Finance: Issues, Investigations, Innovation &nApplications, Lagos: High Rise Publications.
- Asmirantho, E and Somantri, O. K. (2017). The Effect of Financial Performance on Stock Price at Pharmaceutical Subsector Company
  - Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange.

Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi Vol. 3(No. 2), 94-107

- Besley, S. & Brigham, E.F. (2008). Essentials of Managerial Finance, Thomson South-Western.nhttps://epdf.tips/essentials-of-managerial-finance.html
- Enrile, O.B. (2018). The Relationship Between Dividend Payout Ratio and the Share Prices of Companies Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange. , *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, Vol. 6(No.6), 615–642.
- Ellis, J., & Howell, D. (2018). Tax reform's influence on life sciences investments, Boston Business Journal.

Friedman, M. (1987). "Quantity Theory of Money" A Dictionary of Economics, vol. 4, 3-20.

Githinji, G.G. (2011). Relationship between Price Earning Ratio and Share Prices of Companies Listed on the Nairobi Stock Exchange. Ghaeli, M.R. (2017). Price-to-earnings ratio: A state-of-art review. *Accounting 3*, 131–136.

- Haque, S. & Faruquee, M. (2013). Impact of Fundamental Factors on Stock Price: A Case Based Approach on Pharmaceutical Companies Listed with Dhaka Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, vol.2(No.9), 34–41.
- Hasan, M. et al., (2015). Dividend Payout Ratio and Firm's Profitability. Evidence from Pakistan. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, (No.5), 441–445.
- Hashemijoo, M., Ardekani, A.M. & Younesi, N. (2012). The Impact of Dividend Policy on Share Price Volatility in the Malaysian Stock Market. Journal of Business Studies Quarterly, Vol. 4(No. 1), 111–129.
- Hunjra, A.I. et al., (2014). Impact of Micro Economic Variables on Firms Performance. *International Journal of Economics and Empirical Research*, 65–73.
- Islam, M.R. et al., (2014). How Earning Per Share (EPS) Affects on Share Price and Firm Value. European Journal of Business and Management, Vol. 6(No. 17), .97-108.'
- Jhingan, M., (1997), Macroeconomic theory, Vrinda publishing, Delhi.
- Jõeveer, K. (2013). Firm, Country and Macroeconomic Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from Transition Economics. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. Vol.41 (No.1), 294-308.
- Herawati, A. & Setiadiputra, A. (2018). The influence of Fundamental Analysis on Stock Prices: The Case of Food and Beverage Industries. European Research Studies Journal, Volume XXI (No. 3), 316–326.
- Hirshleifer, D.A., Hsu, P. & Li, D. (2013). Innovative efficiency and stock returns. Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 107.
- Is there a Correlation between GDP Growth and Stock Market Returns? <u>https://www.wise-owl.com/investment-education/is-there-a-</u> correlation-between-gdp-growth-and-stock-market-returns
- Kamar, K. (2017). Analysis of the Effect of Return on Equity (ROE) and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) On Stock Price on Cement Industry Listed In Indonesia Stock Exchange (Idx) In the Year of 2011-2015. IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), Vol.19(No.5), 66–76.
- Kampamba, S. (2017). The Impact of GDP, Inflation, Interest and Exchange rates GDP on the Stock Market in Zambia, Working Paper, ECONOMICS ASSOCIATION OF ZAMBIA.
- Kapoor, S. (2009). Impact of Dividend Policy on Shareholders' Value: A Study of Indian Firms, Synopsis. Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, 1–39.
- Kijewska, A., (2016). Determinants of the Return on Equity Ratio (ROE) on the Example of Companies from Metallurgy and Mining Sector in Poland. *Metallurgija*, Vol.55(No.2), 285–288.
- Kumar, P. (2017). , Impact of Earning per Share and Price Earnings Ratio on Market Price of Share: A Study on Auto Sector in India. International Journal of Research – GRANTHAALAYAH, Vol. 5(No. 2), 113–118.
- Laurens, S. (2018). Influence Analysis of DPS, EPS, and PBV toward Stock Price and Return. The WINNERS, Vol. 19(No.1), 21-29.
- Law, J. (2008). Is Pharma recession-proof? *Pharmaceutical Technology Europe*, 20(11). Available at: <u>http://www.pharmtech.com/pharma-recession-proof-0</u>.
- Muhammad, N., & Rasheed, A. (2002). Stock Prices and Exchange Rates: Are they Related? Evidence from South Asian Countries, *The Pakistan Development Review* Vol.41 (N0.4) Part II.
- Nicholson, S.F. (1960). Price-earnings ratios. Financial Analysts Journal, Vol. 16(No. 4), pp. 43-45.
- O'riordan, A., Julian, K., Brückner, A., Bajaaj, A. (2016). Global pharmaceutical company: developing a more customer-centric commercial model to meet rapid market changes. *Accenture Research*.
- Öztekin., Ö. (2015). Capital Structure Decisions Around the World: Which Factors are Reliably Important? Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Vol. 50 (No. 3), 301-323.
- Paul, R. K., Multicollinearity:Causes, Effects and Remedies M. Sc. (Agricultural Statistics), Roll No. 4405I.A.S.R.I, Library Avenue, New Delhi-110012
- Pound Sterling Live. https://www.poundsterlinglive.com.
- Qaisi, F.A., Tahtamouni, A. & AL-Qudah , M. (2016). Factors Affecting the Market Stock Price The Case of the Insurance Companies Listed in Amman Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, Vol. 7(No. 10), 81–90.
- Renata Perić, & LjubicaKordić. (2014). The Role of Taxation during the Financial Crisis 2008-2013: Selected Issues. Review paper, UDK 336.26:338.124.4"2008/2013"
- Roe, M. (2013). Did taxes cause the financial crisis? World Economic Forum, 22 AUG 2013
- Trading Economics. https://tradingeconomics.com/ (Corporate tax rate and interest rate for all countries using this site)
- Raju, M.B.H. & Asaduzzaman, A.H.M. (2017). The Impact of Dividend Policy on Stock Price: A Study of Fuel, Power and Cement Industry in Bangladesh. *Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, Vol. 8(No.03), 84–91.
- Raymond, T.Y.C. (2002). Price-Earnings Ratios, Dividend Yields and Real Estate Stock Prices. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, Vol. 8(No. 2), 107–113.

- Saragih, J.L. (2017). The Effects of Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) on Stock Returns in the Wholesale and Retail Trade Companies Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Science and Research Methodology, Human Journals*, Vol. 8 (No.3), 348-367.
- Seddigi, H., & Lawler, K. A. (2000). Econometrics: a practical approach. New York: Routledge
- Sharma, S. (2011). Determinants of Equity Share Prices in India, Journal of Arts, science, and Commerce. Journal of Arts, science, and Commerce, Vol. 2(No.4), 51–60.
- Sharif, T., Purohit, H. & Pillai, R. (2015). Analysis of Factors Affecting Share Prices: The Case of Bahrain Stock Exchange. International Journal of Economics and Finance, Vol. 7(No. 3), 207–216.
- Simon, S. P. (2009). Dividend Policy; T/Dialogue; www.hkiaat.org/images/uploads/articles/dividend.pdf.
- Subramanian, V.A. & Murugesu, T. (2013). Impact of Earning Per Share (EPS) On Share Price (Listed Manufacturing Companies in Sri Lanka). International Journal of Innovative Research & Studies, Vol.2(No. 12), 251–258.
- Sukmawati, F. & Garsela, I. (2016). The Effect of Return on Assets and Return on Equity to the Stock Price. Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research, Vol. 15. 1st Global Conference on Business, Management and Entreupreuneurship (GCBME-16)
- Suparnihgsih, B. (2017). Effect of debt to equity ratio (DER), price earnings ratio (PER), net profit margin (NPM), return on investment (ROI), earning per share (EPS) In influence exchange rates and Indonesian interest rates (SBI) share price in textile and garment industry Indonesia stock exchange. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, Vol. 04(No. 11), 58– 62.
- Tamuntuan, U. (2015). The Effect of Return on Equity, Return on Assets and Earnings Per Share Toward Share Price: An Emperical Study of Food and Beverage Companies Listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange. *JurnalBerkalallmiahEfisiensi*, Vol. 1 (No.05).
- Thorp, W.A. (2012). Deconstructing ROE: DuPont Analysis. *Computerized Investing Articles*. Available at: https://www.aaii.com/journal/article/deconstructing-roe-dupont-analysis.
- Uddin, M., Rahman, S. & Hossain, R. (2013). Determinants of Stock Prices in Financial Sector Companies in Bangladesh- A Study on Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE). *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, Vol. 5(No. 3).
- Watanabe, A. et al., (2012). The asset growth effect: Insights from international-equity markets. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 108(No. 2), 529–563.
- What Are the Limitations of Ratio Analysis? https://www.thebalancesmb.com/limitations-of-financial-ratio-analysis-393236.
- Wild, J.J. & Subramanyam, K.R. (2008). Financial Statement Analysis 10th., McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- World Data Atlas. https://knoema.com/atlas (for all countries using this site)

#### **Appendices:**

#### **Key Financial Data of Selected Companies:**

Yea	Firm Specific Data of Indian Pharmaceutical Companies							
r	Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars							
	Sun	Lupin Limited	Cipla Limited	Unichem	Cadila			
	Pharmaceuticals	India	India	Laboratory Ltd.	Healthcare			
	India			India	India			
2007	MPS:2.82466233	MPS:2.47073536	MPS:5.49649824	MPS:1.30115057	MPS:0.80165082			
-	1	8	9	5	5			
2008	ROE:38.30%	ROE: 37.90%	ROE:20.10%	ROE:12.80%	ROE:26.80%			
	EPS:0.186843422	EPS:	EPS:	EPS:0.142571286	EPS:0.068284142			
	DPS:0.026263	0.250125063	0.225612806		DPS:0.015008			
	DPR:0.141	DPS:0.025013	DPS:0.050025	DPS:0.050025	DPR:0.220			
	PE_RATIO:15.1	DPR:0.100	DPR:0.222	DPR:0.351	PE_RATIO:11.7			
	18	<b>PE_RATIO:9.87</b>	PE_RATIO:24.3	PE_RATIO:9.12	40			
	ASSET:1520.460	8	63	6	ASSET:637.2686			
	23	ASSET:842.8464	ASSET:1433.866	ASSET:151.5757	343			
		232	933	879				
2008	MPS:2.19600869	MPS:2.72357241	MPS:4.34202726	MPS:1.26812882	MPS:0.71685437			
-	4	7	7	8	7			
2009	ROE:30.20%	ROE:37.10%	ROE: 19.00%	ROE: 24.10%	ROE:25.70%			
	EPS:0.173483501	EPS:0.240466311	EPS:0.196008694	EPS:	EPS:0.058486465			



	DPS:0.027267	DPS:0.049397		0.236909702	DPS:0.011855
	DPD-0 157	DPD-0 205	DDS+0 030518	DPS-0 063220	DPD-0 203
	$\frac{DIR.0.137}{DEDATIO.12}$	DI K.0.205 DE DATIO.11.2	DI 5.0.057510	DI 5.0.005229	$DI \mathbf{R}.0.203$ $DE \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{O}.12.2$
	PE_KATIO:12.0	PE_KATIO:11.5	DPK:0.202	DPK:0.207	PE_KATIO:12.2
	58	26	PE_RATIO:22.1	PE_RATIO:5.35	57
	ASSET:1632.780	ASSET:793.7759	52	3	ASSET:668.5437
	083	336	ASSET:1316.301	ASSET:139.7945	661
			126	07	
2009	MPS:3.99776910	MPS:7.24841048	MPS:7.52035694	MPS:3.58148354	MPS:2.45398773
-	2	5	4	7	ROE:35.30%
2010	- DOF-18 20%	DOF-34 10%	BOE . 21 10%	POF-23 50%	FPS-0 111008717
2010	ROE.10.2070	ROE.34.1070	ROE. 21.1070 EDS.0 205(22017	ROE.23.30 /0	DDS.0.014047
	EPS:0.145454545	EPS:0.353374233	EPS:0.305033017	EPS:	DPS:0.014947
	DPS:0.030/86	DPS:0.060234	<b>DDG 0 0 1 1 (10</b>	0.304/40658	DPR:0.135
	DPR:0.212	DPR:0.170	DPS:0.044618	DPS:0.089236	PE_RATIO:22.0
	PE_RATIO:27.4	PE_RATIO:20.5	DPR:0.146	DPR:0.293	88
	85	12	PE_RATIO:24.6	PE_RATIO:11.7	ASSET:844.2833
	ASSET:2023.892	ASSET:1134.523	06	53	24
	917	146	ASSET:1630.585	ASSET:179.1634	
			611	133	
2010	MPS:4.96911847	MPS:9.32846715	MPS:7.21055586	MPS:4.25154407	MPS:3.55440763
-	3	3	7	6	6
2011	BOE·21 00%	BOE·29 50%	ROF-15 70%	© ROF-16 10%	BOE·37 40%
2011	FPS-0 106067006	FDS+0 /3/811003	FPS-0 276608484	FPS-0 23640635	FDS+0 156002083
	DDG.0.020204	DDG.0.0(7270	EI 5.0.2/0090404	DDG.0 000027	EI 5.0.150072005
	DPS:0.039304	DPS:0.06/3/8		DPS:0.089837	DPS:0.028074
	DPR:0.200	DPR:0.155	DPS:0.062886	DPR:0.380	DPR:0.180
	PE_RATIO:25.2	PE_RATIO:21.4	DPR:0.227	PE_RATIO:17.9	PE_RATIO:22.7
	28	54	PE_RATIO:26.0	77	71
	ASSET:2778.910	ASSET:1375.496	59	ASSET:197.9337	ASSET:1035.508
	724	912	ASSET:1930.758	451	141
			001		
2011	MPS:5.59277794	MPS:10.3944657	MPS:5.97684231	MPS:2.59248356	MPS:2.98341674
-	1	1	2	4	ROE:27.40%
2012	ROE:24.50%	ROE:23.80%	ROE:16.00%	ROE:11.10%	EPS:0.125012266
	EPS·0 251790796	EPS-0 381316848	EPS·0 279658522	EPS-0 154842508	DPS-0 029438
	DPS-0 041802	DPS-0.062801	11 5.0.279 050522	LI 5.0.134042500	DPR-0 235
	DI 5.0.041002	DI 5.0.002001 DDD.0 165	DDS+0 03025	DDS-0 059975	DI K.0.235 DE DATIO.23.8
	$DI \mathbf{R}.0.100$ $DE \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{O}.22.2$	DI K.0.105 DE DATIO.27.2	DI 5.0.05725	DI 5.0.030075	1 E_KATIO.23.0
	PE_KATIO:22.2	PE_KATIO:27.2	DPK:0.140	DPK:0.580	03 A CODE 10(0 500
	12	<b>5</b> 9	PE_RATIO:21.3	PE_KATIO:16.7	ASSE1:1260.523
	ASSET:3233.048	ASSET:1566.519	72	43	992
	769	478	ASSET:1834.913	ASSET:193.6218	
			159	232	
2012	MPS:7.54315188	MPS:11.5879156	MPS:6.99548678	MPS:3.18412084	MPS:2.73132541
-	4	3	3	4	2
2013	ROE:22.00%	ROE:28.50%	ROE:18.50%	ROE:16.30%	ROE:23.60%
	EPS:0.265266648	EPS:0.541401861	EPS:	EPS:	EPS:0.117527862
	DPS:0.046053	DPS:0.073685	0.354425716	0.230634614	DPS:0.027632
	DPR-0 174	DPR-0 136	DPS-0 036843	DPS+0 082896	DPR-0 235
	DF DATI0.10 4	DF DATIO.11 4	DDD.0 104	DDD.0 350	DF DATIA.12.1
	1 E_KA 110:20.4	1.L_KA110:21.4	DI K.U.104 DE DATIO.107	DE DATIO.12.0	1 E_KATIU:23.2
	J0		re_kaii0:19.7	re_ka110:13.8	4U
	ASSET: 5791.599	ASSE 1:1642.055	38		ASSE 1:1358.423
	889	817	ASSET:2147.701	ASSET:197.5683	137
			943	891	
2013	MPS:9.58555703	MPS:15.6087391	MPS:6.39926617	MPS:3.82338225	MPS:3.42361574
-	8	6	7	5	4
	ROE:18.80%	ROE:30.30%	ROE:14.60%	ROE:16.60%	ROE:25.20%



2014	EPS:0.253002001 DPS:0.025017 DPR:0.099 PE_RATIO:37.8 87 ASSET:4898.398 933	EPS:0.683622415 DPS:0.100067 DPR:0.146 PE_RATIO:22.8 32 ASSET:1702.134 75	EPS:0.288358906 DPS:0.033356 DPR:0.116 PE_RATIO:22.1 92 ASSET:2235.356 905	EPS:0.311707805 DPS:0.133422 DPR:0.428 PE_RATIO:12.2 66 ASSET:190.9106 071	EPS:0.130920614 DPS:0.03002 0.229 DPR:0.229 PE_RATIO:26.1 50 ASSET:1331.971 314
2014	MPS:16.4007688 6 ROE:19.50% EPS:0.302418709 DPS:0.048054 DPR:0.159 PE_RATIO:54.2 32 ASSET:8034.614 769	MPS:32.1488066 6 ROE:29.80% EPS:0.856158898 DPS:0.120135 DPR:0.140 PE_RATIO:37.5 50 ASSET:2124.139 03	MPS:11.3919589 9 ROE:11.40% EPS: 0.235623899 DPS:0.032036 DPR:0.136 PE_RATIO:48.3 48 ASSET:2506.343 104	MPS:3.26125260 3 ROE:8.80% EPS: 0.133109082 DPS:0.032036 DPR:0.241 PE_RATIO:24.5 01 ASSET:187.1856 479	MPS:5.57200064 1 ROE:28.90% EPS:0.180041647 DPS:0.038443 DPR:0.214 PE_RATIO:30.9 48 ASSET:1463.110 684
2015 - 2016	MPS:4.22609614 4 ROE:14.90% EPS:0.29582673 DPS:0.015093 DPR:0.051 PE_RATIO:14.2 86 ASSET:8381.299 525	MPS:21.8889140 4 ROE:22.20% EPS:0.761451966 DPS:0.113199 DPR:0.149 PE_RATIO:28.7 46 ASSET:3414.821 523	MPS:7.73073730 3 ROE:12.30% EPS:0.255527885 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.118 PE_RATIO:30.2 54 ASSET:3188.921 591	MPS:3.34993585 4 ROE:11.70% EPS: 0.179609086 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.168 PE_RATIO:18.6 51 ASSET:193.7514 15	MPS:4.78378990 3 ROE:37.80% EPS:0.224435892 DPS:0.048298 DPR:0.215 PE_RATIO:21.3 15 ASSET:1592.423 213
2016 - 2017	MPS:10.6097749 ROE:20.00% EPS:0.446808511 DPS:0.053962 DPR:0.121 PE_RATIO:23.7 46 ASSET:9468.115 942	MPS:22.2756706 8 ROE:20.70% EPS:0.874036386 DPS:0.115634 DPR:0.132 PE_RATIO:25.4 86 ASSET:4102.266 42	MPS:9.13197656 5 ROE:8.40% EPS:0.193031144 DPS:0.030836 DPR:0.160 PE_RATIO:47.3 08 ASSET:3243.462 843	MPS:4.41335183 5 ROE:-7.00% EPS:0.184397163 DPS:0.046253 DPR:0.251 PE_RATIO:23.9 34 ASSET:235.3684 86	MPS:6.83395004 6 ROE:23.50% EPS:0.224020968 DPS:0.049337 DPR:0.220 PE_RATIO:30.5 06 ASSET:2346.700 586

Yea r	Firm Specific Data of Indian Pharmaceutical Companies Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars						
	Glenmark	TTK Healthcare	<b>IPCA Laborator</b>	Torrent	Biocon Ltd.		
	Pharmaceuticals	India	ies India	Pharmaceuticals	India		
	Ltd India			India			
2007	MPS:12.2736368	MPS:1.77588794	MPS:3.04502251	MPS:1.76838419	MPS:1.79514757		
-	2	4	1	2	4		
2008	ROE57.40%:	ROE:23.10%	ROE:25.50%	ROE:29.30%	ROE:37.20%		
	EPS:0.646323162	EPS:0.375937969	EPS:0.271635818	EPS:0.19909955	EPS:0.20010005		
	DPS:0.017509	DPS:0.075038	DPS:0.04002	DPS:0.043772	DPS:0.012506		
	DPR:0.027	DPR:0.200	DPR:0.147	DPR:0.220	DPR:0.062		
	PE_RATIO:18.9	PE_RATIO:4.72	PE_RATIO:11.2	PE_RATIO:8.88	PE_RATIO:8.97		



	90	4	10	2	1
	ASSET:736.7683	ASSET:30.46773	ASSET:290.6203	ASSET:318.6843	ASSET:519.9849
	842	387	102	422	925
2000	MDG 2 1150(005	MDG 1 00202012	MDG 1 20004072	MDG 1 22444101	MDG
2008	MPS:3.11/9608/	MPS:1.88203912	MPS:1.29994072	MPS:1.52444181	MPS: 0.051700104
-	/ DOE.12 200/	J DOE-12 190/	J DOE:16 500/	RUE:31.80% EDS:0.215272456	0.951/00104 DOE-6 210/
2009	KUE:12.JU70 EDS:0 151551077	KUE:13.1070 FDS.0 101950316	KUE:10.5070 FDS.0 158664206	DDS-0 030519	KUE:0.2170 EDS:0.031911905
	DPS-0 007904	DPS-0 059277	DPS-0 04347	DI 5.0.057510 DPR+0 183	DPS-0 010750
	DPR:0.052	DPR:0.309	DPR:0.274	PE RATIO:6.15	DPR:0.621
	PE RATIO:20.5	PE RATIO:9.80	PE RATIO:8.19	0	PE RATIO:29.9
	74	9	3	ASSET:329.4803	19
	ASSET:841.2369	ASSET:27.64671	ASSET:271.6064	399	ASSET:502.5884
	097	014	019		213
2009	MPS:5.93976575	MPS:5.71890686	MPS:6.01896263	MPS:6.08075850	MPS:2.11444506
-	6	ROE:14.40%		5	4
2010	<b>KOE:16.40%</b>	EPS:0.260568879	ROE:27.50%	ROE:31.20%	ROE:17.90%
	EPS:0.2//525/05	DPS:0.078081	LPS:0.300/59021	LPS:0.304/40038	EPS:0.112214100
	DPS:0.008924	DFK:0.500 DF DATIO.21 0	DPS:0.002405	DPS:0.000927	DPS:0.020102
	DI K.0.032 PF_RATIO:21.4	1E_KATIO.21.9	PF RATIO-164	DI K.0.220 PF_RATIO-10.0	DI K.0.255 PF RATIO-18.8
	1 E_KATIO.21.4 03	40 ASSET+34 60122	11	54	43
	ASSET:1089.525	699	ASSET:360.7808	ASSET:438.4160	ASSET:655.0139
	934		143	625	431
2010	MPS:6.36945536	MPS:9.07018528	MPS:6.77372262	MPS:6.49477821	MPS:2.57495788
-	2	9	8	4	9
2011	ROE:20.60%	ROE:20.96%	ROE:27.40%	ROE:29.20%	ROE:19.39%
	EPS:0.376866929	EPS:0.425828186	EPS:0.470746771	EPS:0.3586/4902	EPS:0.140595171
	DPS:0.008984	DPS:0.089857	DPS:0.0/18/ DPD:0.152	DPS:0.00/3/8	DPS:0.033689
	DI K.0.024 PF. RATIO-16 9	PF RATIO:213	PE RATIO-14 3	PF_RATIO-18.1	PF RATIO-183
	01	00	89	08	15
	ASSET:1144.929	ASSET:43.32172	ASSET:429.3767	ASSET:572.5772	ASSET:805.3003
	815	937	546	038	93
2011	MPS:6.03768030	MPS:7.67049357	MPS:6.57442841	MPS:6.16858012	MPS:1.55725640
-	6 DOE 10 <b>5</b> 00/	3		ROE:25.60%	3
2012	ROE:10.70%	ROE:19.13%	ROE:24.00%	EPS:0.329310176	ROE:15.70%
	EPS:0.334210400	LPS:0.39505446	LPS:0.431301005	DPS:0.08340/	EPS:0.113040918
	DF 5.0.03925	DF 5.0.076501	DPR-0 146	DI K.0.255 PF_RATIO-18.7	DF 5.0.052774
	PE RATIO-180	PE RATIO-194	PE RATIO:15 2	32	PE RATIO-137
	65	16	41	ASSET:600.4710	76
	ASSET:1154.626	ASSET:37.75488	ASSET:456.7363	038	ASSET:774.2125
	631	176	36		405
2012	MPS:8.52077001	MPS:7.44496638	MPS:9.70065395	MPS:6.40361057	MPS:1.68610113
-	KOE:24.00%			4 DOE:22.100/	j DOE:20 700/
2013	EPS:0.422051869	KUE:15.50%	KUE:23.00%	KUE:33.10%	KUE:20.50% EDS:0.150520415
	DF5:0.030843 DDD.0.097	EF5:0.330925486	EF5:0.4/2506217	EF5:0.4/1052514	EF5:0.159528415
	DE RATIO.20.1	DF 5:0.0/3085	DF 5:0.0/3085	DF 5:0.119/30 DPR-0 254	DF5: 0.030/04 DPR:0.103
	90	PE RATIO-22 0	PE RATIO-20.5	PE RATIO-13.5	PE RATIO-10.5
	ASSET:1320 991	97	30	95	69
	066	ASSET:38.56498	ASSET:496.8223	ASSET:697.0433	ASSET:813.5028
		112	266	821	092



2013	MPS:9.43795863	MPS:8.73082054	MPS:14.0893929	MPS:8.73332221	MPS:2.35740493
-	9	7	3	5	7
2014	ROE:18.90%	ROE:12.60%	ROE:27.20%	ROE:39.90%	ROE:14.50%
	EPS:0.333722482	EPS:0.266010674	EPS:0.632421614	EPS:0.654269513	EPS:0.11724483
	DPS:0.033356	DPS:0.066711	DPS:0.083389	DPS:0.166778	DPS:0.027852
	DPR:0.100	DPR:0.251	DPR:0.132	DPR:0.255	DPR:0.238
	PE_RATIO:28.2	PE_RATIO:32.8	PE_RATIO:22.2	PE_RATIO:13.3	PE_RATIO:20.1
	81	21	78	48	07
	ASSET:1439.893	ASSET:40.01667	ASSET:535.4069	ASSET:845.5303	ASSET:959.0727
	262	779	38	536	151
0011					
2014	MPS:12.5901009	MPS:14.8966842	MPS:10.2282556	MPS:18.3429441	MPS:2.50680762
-		9	5	ROE:33.00%	5
2015	ROE:15.90%	ROE:14.35%	ROE:12.20%	EPS:0.710876181	ROE:15.80%
	EPS:0.28063431	EPS:0.3331/3154	EPS:0.322/61493	DPS:0.180202	EPS:0.132/88/23
	DPS:0.032036	DPS:0.072081	DPS:0.016018	DPK:0.253	DPS:0.026/5
	DPK:0.114 DE DATIO:44.9	DPK:0.210 DE DATIO:44.7	DPK:0.050 DE DATIO.21 (	PE_KATIO:25.8	DPK:0.201 DE DATIO.19.9
	PE_KATIU:44.8	PE_KATIO:44./	PE_KATIO:51.0	UJ ASSET.1275 (94	PE_KATIU:18.8
	05 ASSET.1551 727	12 ASSET.44 09127	90 ASSET.660 7070	ASSE1:12/5.004	/0 ASSET.1014 220
	ASSE1:1551.757	ASSE1:44.00157	ASSE1:000.7079	/0/	ASSE1:1014.239
	247	114	95		242
2015	MPS:11.9885291	MPS:13.9612104	MPS:8.75028299	MPS:20.2203607	MPS:2.42547732
	_	-	0	2	•
-	7	7	8	3	2
- 2016	7 ROE:19.30%	7 ROE:17.46%	8 ROE:4.20%	3 ROE:56.50%	2 ROE:15.10%
- 2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765
- 2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606
2016	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 BOE:10.90%	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 POE:12.52%	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 POE:8.30%	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80%	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 POE:13.80%
2016 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.377032069	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.950601205	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181
- 2016 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418
2016 2016 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836 DPP:0.062	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089 DPP:0.207	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418 DPP:0.065	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585 DPP:0.254	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418 DPP:0.096
2016 2016 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836 DPR:0.062 PE RATIO:264	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089 DPS:0.207 PE RATIO:32.8	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.065 PE RATIO:40.4	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585 DPR:0.254 PE RATIO:28.0	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.096 PE_RATIO:36.3
- 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836 DPR:0.062 PE_RATIO:26.4 25	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089 DPR:0.207 PE_RATIO:32.8 53	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.065 PE_RATIO:40.4 25	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585 DPR:0.254 PE_RATIO:28.0 81	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.096 PE_RATIO:36.3 65
- 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836 DPR:0.062 PE_RATIO:26.4 25 ASSET:1882.824	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089 DPR:0.207 PE_RATIO:32.8 53 ASSET:43.92537	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.065 PE_RATIO:40.4 25 ASSET:610.4687	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585 DPR:0.254 PE_RATIO:28.0 81 ASSET:1495.713	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.096 PE_RATIO:36.3 65 ASSET:1448.381
- 2016 - 2017	7 ROE:19.30% EPS:0.377480945 DPS:0.030186 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:31.7 59 ASSET:1635.499 208 MPS:13.2246376 8 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.500462535 DPS:0.030836 DPR:0.062 PE_RATIO:26.4 25 ASSET:1882.824 545	7 ROE:17.46% EPS:0.440570523 DPS:0.075466 DPR:0.171 PE_RATIO:31.6 89 ASSET:45.25092 446 MPS:12.2224791 9 ROE:12.52% EPS:0.372032069 DPS:0.077089 DPR:0.207 PE_RATIO:32.8 53 ASSET:43.92537 774	8 ROE:4.20% EPS:0.11138782 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:78.5 57 ASSET:587.3820 844 MPS:9.61069996 9 ROE:8.30% EPS:0.237742831 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.065 PE_RATIO:40.4 25 ASSET:610.4687 018	3 ROE:56.50% EPS:1.545845597 DPS:0.301864 DPR:0.195 PE_RATIO:13.0 80 ASSET:1367.127 009 MPS:23.8860622 9 ROE:23.80% EPS:0.850601295 DPS:0.21585 DPR:0.254 PE_RATIO:28.0 81 ASSET:1495.713 845	2 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.140366765 DPS:0.025206 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:17.2 80 ASSET:1276.597 993 MPS:5.82531606 5 ROE:13.80% EPS:0.160191181 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.096 PE_RATIO:36.3 65 ASSET:1448.381 129

Yea r	Firm Specific Data of Indian Pharmaceutical Companies Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars						
	FDC Ltd. India         Dabur India         Ajanta         NATCO         JB Chem. And						
			Pharmaceutical	Pharmaceutical	Pharmaceuticals		
			S	S	India		
			India	India			
200	MPS:0.7141070	MPS:0.8741870	MPS:0.2668834	MPS:0.4239619	MPS:0.862931466		
7-	54	94	42	81	ROE:10.40%		
200	ROE:17.90%	ROE:60.86%	ROE:17.50%	ROE:28.30%	EPS:0.134317159		
8	EPS:0.08254127	EPS:0.04827413	EPS:0.06228114	EPS:0.07228614	DPS:0.012506		
	1	7	1	3	DPR:0.093		
	DPS:0.025013	DPS:0.018759	DPS:0.008254	DPS:0.006253	<b>PE_RATIO:6.425</b>		



	DPR:0.303 PE_RATIO:8.6 52 ASSET:125.537 7689	DPR:0.389 PE_RATIO:18. 109 ASSET:370.385 1926	DPR:0.133 PE_RATIO:4.2 85 ASSET:90.0200 1001	DPR:0.087 PE_RATIO:5.8 65 ASSET:104.902 4512	ASSET:185.4177089
200 8- 200 9	MPS:0.6757557 79 ROE:21.60% EPS:0.08931041 3 DPS:0.024699 DPR:0.277 PE_RATIO:7.5 66 ASSET:108.911 2824	MPS:0.9751037 34 ROE:54.50% EPS:0.04465520 6 DPS:0.017388 DPR:0.389 PE_RATIO:21. 836 ASSET:373.266 1529	MPS:0.1353487 45 ROE:17.40% EPS:0.05730092 9 DPS:0.00652 DPR:0.114 PE_RATIO:2.3 62 ASSET:90.7330 5671	MPS:0.1906737 8 ROE:24.13% EPS:0.06204307 4 DPS:0.00494 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:3.0 73 ASSET:103.932 0292	MPS:0.502074689 ROE:5.60% EPS:0.06026477 DPS:0.019759 DPR:0.328 PE_RATIO:8.331 ASSET:148.844102
200 9- 201 0	MPS:1.7958728 39 ROE:31.40% EPS:0.17824874 5 DPS:0.039041 DPR:0.219 PE_RATIO:10. 075 ASSET:152.258 7842	MPS:1.7691020 64 ROE:47.60% EPS:0.06313441 2 DPS:0.022309 DPR:0.353 PE_RATIO:28. 021 ASSET:506.190 7418	MPS:0.5414389 29 ROE:19.90% EPS:0.08633575 DPS:0.010485 DPR:0.121 PE_RATIO:6.2 71 ASSET:110.451 7568	MPS:0.5983268 27 ROE:17.81% EPS:0.07718906 9 DPS:0.008924 DPR:0.116 PE_RATIO:7.7 51 ASSET:123.658 6726	MPS:1.09180145 ROE:22.90% EPS:0.314110429 DPS:0.044618 DPR:0.142 PE_RATIO:3.476 ASSET:187.8192973
201 0- 201 1	MPS:2.2841100 51 ROE:26.40% EPS:0.18214486 2 DPS:0.044919 DPR:0.247 PE_RATIO:12. 540 ASSET:172.869 1746	MPS:2.1572150 48 ROE:43.20% EPS:0.07344188 7 DPS:0.025828 DPR:0.352 PE_RATIO:29. 373 ASSET:881.055 5867	MPS:0.5998877 04 ROE:24.50% EPS:0.12981471 1 DPS:0.015048 DPR:0.116 PE_RATIO:4.6 21 ASSET:117.282 4256	MPS:1.2282987 09 ROE:16.25% EPS:0.08534531 2 DPS:0.008984 DPR:0.105 PE_RATIO:14. 392 ASSET:158.854 5761	MPS:2.061987647 ROE:22.10% EPS:0.370578327 DPS:0.044919 DPR:0.121 PE_RATIO:5.564 ASSET:224.4581696
201 1- 201 2	MPS:1.5356687 27 ROE:20.40% EPS:0.14326366 4 DPS:0.03925 DPR:0.274 PE_RATIO:10. 719 ASSET:169.325 8758	MPS:2.0881169 66 ROE:41.50% EPS:0.07261309 DPS:0.025513 DPR:0.351 PE_RATIO:28. 757 ASSET:824.315 5726	MPS:1.1936021 98 ROE:29.30% EPS:0.17270140 3 DPS:0.019625 DPR:0.114 PE_RATIO:6.9 11 ASSET:127.328 0345	MPS:1.3884800 31 ROE:14.43% EPS:0.08065940 5 DPS:0.011775 DPR:0.146 PE_RATIO:17. 214 ASSET:177.646 9434	MPS:1.157688156 ROE:81.60% EPS:1.572171524 DPS:0.03925 DPR:0.025 PE_RATIO:0.736 ASSET:235.0701599
201 2- 201 3	MPS:1.6975223 36 ROE:21.00% EPS:0.15676522 1	MPS:2.5246384 82 ROE:40.00% EPS:0.08068527 2	MPS:3.1605415 86 ROE:32.40% EPS:0.23505572 4	MPS:1.5807313 25 ROE:14.27% EPS:0.08473795 7	MPS:1.308096159 ROE:8.00% EPS:0.172791747 DPS:0.055264 DPR:0.320



	DPS:0.041448	DPS:0.027632	DPS:0.115133	DPS:0.014737	<b>PE RATIO:7.570</b>
	DPR:0.264	DPR:0.342	DPR:0.490	DPR:0.174	ASSET:239.5136778
	PE RATIO:10.	PE RATIO:31.	PE RATIO:13.	PE RATIO:18.	
	828	290	446	654	
	ASSET-178 004	ASSET-867 366	ASSET-132 301	ASSET-199 023	
	9737	6759	7408	6714	
	7151	0157	7400	0/14	
201	MPS:2.1005670	MPS:2.9944963	MPS:6.6819546	MPS:2.6584389	MPS:2.066711141
3-	45	31	36	59	ROE:6.00%EPS:0.121
201	ROE:16.60%	ROE:38.51%	ROE:47.40%	ROE:16.30%	08072
4	EPS-0 12658439	EPS:0.08739159	EPS-0 44396264	EPS-0 10723815	DPS-0 050033
•	DPS-0 037525	4	2	9	DPR:0.413
	DPR-0 296	T DPS+0 029186	2 DPS+0 066711	DPS+0 016678	PF RATIO-17 069
	DI R.0.290 DE DATIO-16	DI 5.0.027100	DI 5.0.000711	DI 5.0.010070	A SSET-225 3168770
	50 <i>1</i>	DI K.0.334 DE DATIO-34	DI R.0.130 DE DATIO-15	DI R.0.130 DE DATIO.24	ASSE1.225.5100775
	377 ASSET.176 (51	1 E_KATIO.34.	1 E_KATIO.13. 051	700	
	ASSE1:1/0.051	200 ASSET.005.002	UDI ACCET.159 229	/90 ASSET.100 417	
	1007	ASSE 1:005.925	ASSE1:150.550	ASSE 1:199.410	
		9495	8926	2775	
201	MDS.7 1201204	MPS.4 2510621	MDS-10.620194	MDS-6 7507200	MDS+3 004666026
4	1711 (5.2.4271200	08	60	00	DUL 8.3.024000020
4- 201	15 DOE:16 000/	70 DOE:25 700/	07 DOE:41 400/	77 DOE:17 140/	RUE.0.7070 FDS.0 190652411
201	KUE:10.0070 EDS:0.12242044	KUE:35.7070 EDS:0.00729007	KUE:41.4070 EDS:0 5(447220	KUE:17.1470 EDS:0.12022595	EFS:0.109052411
Э	EPS:0.15542944	EPS:0.09738907	EFS:0.50447220	EPS:0.15022585	DP5:0.004072
	1 DDS-0.02/04	0 DDS-0.022026	9 DDS-0.00(109	J DDS-0.01(019	DFK:0.338 DE DATIO:1(219
	DPS:0.03004	DPS:0.052050	DPS:0.090108	DPS:0.010018	PE_RATIO:10.318
	DPK:0.270	DPK:0.529	DPK:0.170	DPK:0.125	ASSE1:249.403399
	PE_KATIO:18.	PE_KATIO:43.	PE_KATIO:54.	PE_KATIO51.9	
	205 A SEET 107 746	66U A SCET 050 504	7/4	U8:	
	ASSE1:187.746	ASSE 1:950.584	ASSE1:183.149	ASSE1:221.399	
	2758	6548	127	968	
201	MDS.2 70(0152	MDS.2 7604700	MDS.21 200050	MDS.6 2101522	MDS-2 764244209
201 5	NII 5.2.7900155 05	NII 5.5.7004709	32	71	DOE ·13 50%
	75 DOF-16 100/	00 DOE-33 400/	J2 DOF.30 700/	71 DOF-14 679/	FDS: 0 288120108
201	RUE:10.1070 FDS:0 14209254	RUE:55.40 /0 EDS:0 10746359	RUE.33.7070 FDS:0.71205106	KUE.14.07 /0 EDS.0 1272/012	DDS. 0.075466
0	EPS:0.14508554	EFS:0.10/40358	EFS:0./1205100	EPS:0.15754812	DP5: 0.0/5400
			DPS:0.120/40	5 DDG 0.0100/7	DPR: 0.262
	DPS:0.03390	DPS:0.03390	DPK:0.109	DPS:0.01880/	PE_RATIO: 13.064
	DPK:0.257	DPK:0.316	PE_KATIO:29.	DPK:0.157	A55E1: 248.0906049
	PE_KATIO:19.	PE_RA110:34.	864	PE_KATIO:45.	
	541	993	ASSE1:224.586	280	
	ASSE1:191.049	ASSE1:1046.30	8236	ASSE1:2/4.952	
	7321	5939		8337	
201	MDS.2 14(777)	MDS.4 2720404	MDS.27 120002	MDS.12 050007	MDS. 5 240004502
201 6	1VII 0.3.140///0	NII 5.4.2750490	Q2	111 3.13.030090	DOF. 1/ 500/
0-	/5 DOE 1( 000/	45 DOE 29 200/	0) DOE 26 700/		RUE: 14.50%
201	KUE:10.00%	KUE:28.30%	KUE:30.70%	KOE:55.00	EPS: 0.334500759
/	EFS:0.10342892	EF5:0.111//921	Er5:0.08/91242	EDG.0 42000200	DF5: 0.015418
			/ DDG 0 200 422	EFS:0.43000308	DrK: 0.040
	DPS:0.0346	DPS:0.03469	DPS:0.200432	4 DDG 0 10 40	PE_KATIO: 15.991
		DPR:0.310	DPR:0.226	DPS:0.1040	ASSET: 258.0943571
	DPR:0.212	PE_RATIO:38.	PE_RATIO:30.		
	PE_RATIO:19.	228	566	DPR:0.242	
	255	ASSET:1191.95	ASSET:284.875	PE_RATIO:30.	
	ASSET:226.318	1896	1156	369	
	2239			ASSET:357.323	
			1	4659	

Yea r	Firm Specific Data of Indian Pharmaceutical Companies Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars					
	Nectar Life Sciences India	Indoco Remedies India	RPG Life Sciences India	Albert David India	Aarti Drugs India	
2007	MPS:0.50150075	MPS:0.95722861	MPS:0.83791895	MPS:1.89594797	MPS:0.65607803	
2008	ROE:29.00 % EPS:0.124312156 DPS:0.010005 DPR:0.080 PE_RATIO:4.03 4 ASSET:237.2436 218	4 ROE:11.84% EPS:0.081790895 DPS:0.016758 DPR:0.205 PE_RATIO:11.7 03 ASSET:87.16858 429	9 ROE:12.09% EPS:0.104802401 DPS:0.030015 DPR:0.286 PE_RATIO:7.99 5 ASSET:43.87693 847	4 ROE:15.04% EPS:0.32166083 DPS:0.075038 DPR:0.233 PE_RATIO:5.89 4 ASSET:37.42871 436	9 ROE:13.10% EPS:0.146823412 DPS:0.022511 DPR:0.153 PE_RATIO:4.46 8 ASSET:104.2021 011	
2008	MPS:0.22228808	MPS:0.36139102	MPS:0.45544358	MPS:0.92076664	MPS:0.37541987	
2009	5 ROE:18.00% EPS:0.068563525 DPS:0.001976 DPR:0.029 PE_RATIO:3.24 2 ASSET:254.0209 445	9 ROE:11.80% EPS:0.06737798 DPS:0.013831 DPR:0.205 PE_RATIO:5.36 4 ASSET:73.99723 375	8 ROE:12.70% EPS:0.090891128 DPS:0.023711 DPR0.261 PE_RATIO:5.01 1 ASSET:33.18118 949	7 ROE:14.15% EPS:0.260620431 DPS:0.069156 DPR:0.265 PE_RATIO:3.53 3 ASSET:31.88697 886	7 ROE:13.70% EPS:0.134755977 DPS:0.029638 DPR:0.220 PE_RATIO:2.78 6 ASSET:79.66804 979	
2009	MPS:0.80758505	MPS:1.18817624	MPS:1.63301728	MPS:2.66034578	MPS1.139542666	
2010	3 ROE:20.00% EPS:0.128276631 DPS:0.005577 DPR:0.043 PE_RATIO:6.29 6 ASSET:330.2844 395	I ROE:14.30% EPS:0.101952036 DPS:0.020747 DPR:0.203 PE_RATIO:11.6 54 ASSET:107.1500 279	9 ROE:18.10% EPS:0.167540435 DPS:0.032125 DPR:0.192 PE_RATIO:9.74 7 ASSET:37.49023 982	9 ROE:17.28% EPS:0.401561629 DPS:0.10039 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:6.62 5 ASSET:41.26938 09	: ROE:20.90% EPS:0.266369214 DPS:0.055772 DPR:0.209 PE_RATIO:4.27 8 ASSET:93.49693 252	
2010	MPS:0.53677709	MPS:1.33295901	MPS:1.70353733	MPS:2.61875350	MPS:1.43739472	
2011	ROE:15.50% EPS:0.10443571 DPS:0.002246 DPR:0.022 PE_RATIO:5.14 0 ASSET:407.0971 364	ROE:15.50% EPS:0.124649074 DPS:0.024031 DPR:0.193 PE_RATIO:10.6 94 ASSET:126.4907 355	ROE:18.30% EPS:0.180572712 DPS:0.035935 DPR:0.199 PE_RATIO:9.43 4 ASSET:42.52891 63	ROE:16.19% EPS:0.426726558 DPS:0.101067 DPR:0.237 PE_RATIO:6.13 7 ASSET:44.24031 443	- ROE:14.80% EPS:0.208422235 DPS:0.056148 DPR:0.269 PE_RATIO:6.89 7 ASSET:115.8674 90	
2011	MPS:0.47198508	MPS:1.06172112	MPS:1.25404768	MPS:1.63870081	MPS:0.99931311	
2012	S ROE:9.70% EPS:0.06397802 DPS:0.001963 DPR:0.031 PE_RATIO:7.37 7 ASSET:416.2300	0 ROE:12.60% EPS:0.098714552 DPS:0.021588 DPR:0.219 PE_RATIO:10.7 55 ASSET:128.8195 467	7 ROE:1.10% EPS:0.010008831 DPS:0.0157 DPR1.569 PE_RATIO:125. 294 ASSET:35.82180	+ ROE:10.42% EPS:0.262388382 DPS:0.088313 DPR:0.337 PE_RATIO:6.24 5 ASSET:39.80571 092	7 ROE:13.50% EPS:0.181925228 DPS:0.049063 DPR:0.270 PE_RATIO:5.49 3 ASSET:120.2237	



	069		355		268
2012	MPS:0.28829326	MPS:1.06567191	MPS:1.14212029	MPS:1.66067974	MPS:1.31933314
2013	<pre>7 ROE:10.40% EPS:0.070369347 DPS:0.001842 DPR:0.026 PE_RATIO:4.09 7 ASSET:418.9002 487</pre>	<ul> <li>ROE:10.70%</li> <li>EPS:0.085474809</li> <li>DPS:0.020263</li> <li>DPR:0.237</li> <li>PE_RATIO:12.4</li> <li>68</li> <li>ASSET:127.4569</li> <li>402</li> </ul>	ROE:5.90% EPS:0.049184858 DPS:0.022106 DPR:0.449 PE_RATIO:23.2 21 ASSET:37.90365 663	0 ROE:10.93% EPS:0.276319425 DPS:0.082896 DPR:0.300 PE_RATIO:6.01 0 ASSET:38.60919 223	9 ROE:23.54% EPS:0.344109791 DPS:0.092106 DPR:0.268 PE_RATIO:3.83 4 ASSET:132.5780 60
2013	MPS:0.40360240	MPS:2.35573715	MPS:1.00233489	MPS:1.93462308	MPS:2.19646431
2014	2 ROE:6.90% EPS:0.046197465 DPS:0.001668 DPR:0.036 PE_RATIO:8.73 6 ASSET:399.2828 552	8 ROE:13.30% EPS:0.104903269 DPS:0.023349 DPR:0.223 PE_RATIO:22.4 56 ASSET:121.6477 652	ROE:52.90% EPS:0.538525684 DPS:0.020013 DPR:0.037 PE_RATIO:1.86 1 ASSET:32.70013 342	2 ROE:14.66% EPS:0.367411608 DPS:0.083389 DPR:0.227 PE_RATIO:5.26 6 ASSET:33.02201 468	ROE:20.90% EPS:0.424949967 DPS:0.10840 DPR:0.255 PE_RATIO:5.16 9 ASSET:141.7111 40
2014	MPS:0.59106198 9	MPS:5.81611404 8	MPS:2.64936729 1	MPS:4.40333173 2	MPS:10.4396924 6
2015	ROE:7.20% EPS:0.047252923 DPS:0.001602 DPR:0.034 PE_RATIO:12.5 08 ASSET:389.1878 904	ROE:16.80% EPS:0.14400128 DPS:0.025629 DPR:0.178 PE_RATIO:40.3 89 ASSET:131.3951 626	ROE:0.80% EPS:0.009610764 DPS:0.012814 DPR:1.333 PE_RATIO:275. 667 ASSET:31.54092 58	2 ROE:15.00% EPS:0.384590742 DPS:0.088099 DPR:0.229 PE_RATIO:11.4 49 ASSET:30.98670 511	ROE:27.60% EPS:0.510972289 DPS:0.128144 DPR:0.251 PE_RATIO:20.4 31 ASSET:160.4837 41
2015	MPS:0.57354162 ROE:5 80%	MPS:4.34533242	MPS:3.35672779	MPS:4.50984831	MPS:6.85985963
2016	EPS:0.036525545 DPS:0.001509 DPR:0.041 PE_RATIO:15.7 02 ASSET:385.8274 847	ROE:14.80% EPS:0.134178553 DPS:0.024149 DPR:0.180 PE_RATIO:32.3 85 ASSET:141.9666 44	ROE:9.20% EPS:0.1061052 DPS:0.02414 DPR:0.228 PE_RATIO:31.6 36 ASSET:31.41196 891	ROE:41.80% EPS:1.269338163 DPS:0.083013 DPR:0.065 PE_RATIO:3.55 3 ASSET:33.78462 003	ROE:21.00% EPS:0.428345031 DPS:0.101879 DPR:0.238 PE_RATIO:16.0 15 ASSET:168.3948 381
2016	MPS:0.54270737 ROE:5.60%	MPS:3.85368486 ROE:12.60%	MPS:6.93493678 7	MPS:4.9128893 ROE:9.98%	MPS:8.87372803 ROE:21.90%
2017	EPS:0.038082023 DPS:0.000771 DPR:0.020 PE_RATIO:14.2 51 ASSET:397.9185 939	EPS:0.128893 DPS:0.024669 DPR:0.191 PE_RATIO:29.8 98 ASSET:184.5050 879	ROE:15.35% EPS:0.195806352 DPS:0.04317 DPR:0.220 PE_RATIO:35.4 17 ASSET:38.06506 321	EPS0.431082331: DPS:0.084798 DPR:0.197 PE_RATIO:11.3 97 ASSET:43.86833 179	EPS:0.514955288 DPS:0.015418 DPR:0.030 PE_RATIO:17.2 32 ASSET:183.6262 72

Year	Firm Specific Data of US and European Pharmaceutical Companies						
		Annual Fina	ncial data in million	s of US Dollars			
	Pfizer INC	Merck & Co.	Eli Lilv &	Bristol-Myers	Abbott		
	(USA)	INC (USA)	Company (USA)	Squibb Company	Laboratories		
		· · · ·		(USA)	(USA)		
2008	MPS:17.71	MPS:30.4	MPS40.27:	MPS:23.25	MPS:25.54		
	ROE:13.22%	ROE:42.30%	ROE:-20.50%	ROE:54.57%	ROE:27.68%		
	EPS:1.2	EPS:3.65	EPS:-1.89	EPS:-1.89 EPS:2.56			
	DPS:1.28	DPS:1.52	DPS:1.9	DPS:1.24	DPS:1.44		
	DPR:1.067	DPR:0.416	DPR:-1.005	DPR:0.484	DPR:0.456		
	PE_RATIO:14.7	PE_RATIO:8.32	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:9.082	PE_RATIO:8.082		
	58	9	21.307	ASSET:29486	ASSET:42419		
	ASSE1:111148	ASSE1:47196	ASSE1;29123				
2009	MPS:18.19	MPS:36.54	MPS:35.71	MPS:25.25	MPS:25.83		
	ROE:11.70%	ROE:33.20%	ROE:53.20%	ROE:78.05%	ROE:28.30%		
	EPS:1.23	EPS:5.67	EPS:3.94	EPS:5.35	EPS:3.71		
	DPS:0.8	DPS:1.52	DPS:1.96	DPS:1.25	DPS:1.6		
	DPR:0.650	DPR:0.268	DPR:0.497	DPR:0.234	DPR:0.431		
	PE_RATIO:14.7	PE_RATIO:6.44	PE_RATIO:9.06	<b>PE_RATIO:4.720</b>	<b>PE_RATIO:6.962</b>		
	89 A SSET-212040	4 AGGET:110214	3	ASSET:31008	ASSET:52582		
	ASSE1:212949	ASSE1:112314	ASSE1:2/461				
2010	MPS:17.15	MPS:36.04	MPS:35.04	MPS:26.48	MPS:22.92		
	ROE:9.28%	ROE:1.50%	ROE:46.20%	ROE:20.30%	ROE:20.20%		
	EPS:1.03	EPS:0.28	EPS:4.58	EPS:1.8	EPS:2.99		
	DPS:0.72	DPS:1.52	DPS:1.96	DPS:1.29	DPS:1.76		
	DPR:0.699	DPR:5.429	DPR:0.428	DPR:0.717	DPR:0.589		
	PE_RATIO:16.6	PE_RATIO:128.	PE_RATIO:7.65	PE_RATIO:14.71	PE_RATIO:7.666		
	50 ASSET-195014	71 ASSET·105781	1 ASSET·31001	1 ASSET·31076	ASSE 1:605/4		
2011	MPS:21.64	MPS:37.7	MPS:41.56	MPS:35.24	MPS:26.9		
	ROE:11.77%	ROE:11.50%	ROE:33.50%	ROE:23.40%	ROE:20.06%		
	EPS:1.28	EPS:2.04 DPS:1.52	EPS:3.9 DDS.1.02	EPS:2.18 DDS.1 22	LPS:3.04 DDS.1.02		
	DF 5:0.0 DDD:0 625	DF 5:1.52 DPD:0 745	DF 5:1.90 DDD:0 503	DF 5:1.55	DF 5:1.92		
	DI K.0.025 PF RATIO-16 9	DI K.0.745 PF RATIO-184	DI K.0.505 PF RATIO:10.6	PF RATIO-16 16	DI N.0.052 PF_RATIO:8.849		
	1E_KATIO.10.7	80	56	5	ASSET60277		
	ASSET:188002	ASSET:105128	ASSET:33660	ASSET:32970	NOOLI VOLI II.		
2012	MDG-25-09	MDS: 40.04	MDS: 40.22	MDG-22 79	MDG-21-24		
2012	WIPS:25.08	MICS:40.94	MPS:49.52	MITS:52.59 DOF12 2007 -	MPS:31.34 DOF:22.210/		
	RUE:12.24% FDS.1.06	KUE:11.50% EDS.2.03	KUE:28.90% EDS:3.67	KUE15.50%: EDS.1 17	KUE:23.31% EDS:3.70		
	DPS:0.88	DPS:1.68	DPS:1.96	DPS:1.37	DPS:2.01		
	DPR:0.449	DPR:0.828	DPR:0.534	DPR:1.171	DPR:0.530		
	PE RATIO:12.7	PE RATIO:20.1	PE RATIO:13.4	PE RATIO:27.85	PE RATIO:8.269		
	96	67	39	5	ASSET:67235		
	ASSET:185798	ASSET:106132	ASSET:34399	ASSET:35897			
2013	MPS:30.63	MPS:50.05	MPS:51	MPS:53.15	MPS:38.33		
	ROE:27.92%	ROE:8.60%	ROE:28.90%	ROE:17.80%	ROE:9.92%		
	EPS:3.23	EPS:1.49	EPS:4.33	EPS:1.56	EPS:1.65		
	DPS:0.96	DPS:1.72	DPS:1.96	DPS:1.4	DPS:0.56		
	DPR:0.297	DPR:1.154	DPR:0.453	DPR:0.897	DPR:0.339		
	PE_RATIO:9.48	PE_RATIO:33.5	PE_RATIO:11.7	PE_RATIO:34.07	PE_RATIO:23.23		
	3	91	78		U		
				ASSET:38592			



	ASSET:172101	ASSET:105645	ASSET:35249		ASSET:42935
2014	MPS:31.15	MPS:56.79	MPS:68.99	MPS:59.03	MPS:45.02
	ROE:12.37%	ROE:24.20%	ROE:14.50%	ROE:13.40%	ROE:9.78%
	EPS:1.44	EPS:4.12	EPS:2.23	EPS:1.21	EPS:1.51
	DPS:1.04	DPS:1.76	DPS:1.96	DPS:1.44	DPS:0.9
	DPR:0.722	DPR:0.427	DPR:0.879	DPR:1.190	DPR:0.596
	PE RATIO:21.6	<b>PE RATIO:13.7</b>	<b>PE RATIO:30.9</b>	<b>PE RATIO:48.78</b>	<b>PE RATIO:29.81</b>
	32	84	37	5	5
	ASSET:167566	ASSET:98335	ASSET:36308	ASSET:33749	ASSET:41207
2015	MPS:32.28	MPS:52.82	MPS:84.26	MPS:68.79	MPS:44.91
	ROE:10.23%	ROE:9.50%	ROE:16.10%	ROE:10.70%	ROE:20.69%
	EPS:1.13	EPS:1.58	EPS:2.27	EPS:0.94	EPS:2.96
	DPS:1.12	DPS:1.8	DPS:2	DPS:1.48	DPS:0.96
	DPR:0.991	DPR:1.139	DPR:0.881	DPR:1.574	DPR:0.324
	PE_RATIO:28.5	PE_RATIO:33.4	PE_RATIO:37.1	PE_RATIO:73.18	PE_RATIO:15.17
	66	30	19	1	2
	ASSET:167381	ASSET:101677	ASSET:35569	ASSET:31748	ASSET:41247
2016	MPS:32.48	MPS:58.87	MPS:73.55	MPS:58.44	MPS38.41:
	ROE:11.61%	ROE:9.20%	ROE:19.20%	ROE:29.30%	ROE:6.70%
	EPS:1.18	EPS:1.42	EPS:2.59	EPS:2.67	EPS:0.95
	DPS:1.2	DPS:1.84	DPS:2.04	DPS:1.52	DPS:1.04
	DPR:1.017	DPR:1.296	DPR:0.788	DPR:0.569	DPR:1.095
	PE_RATIO:27.5	PE_RATIO:41.4	PE_RATIO:28.3	PE_RATIO:21.88	PE_RATIO:40.43
	25	58	98	8	2
	ASSET:171615	ASSET:95377	ASSET:38806	ASSET:33707	ASSET:52666
2017	MPS:36.22	MPS:56.27	MPS:84.46	MPS:61.28	MPS:57.07
	ROE:32.56%	ROE:6.43%	ROE:-1.59%	ROE:7.21%	ROE:1.85%
	EPS:3.57	EPS:0.88	EPS:-0.19	EPS:0.61	EPS:0.27
	DPS:1.28	DPS:1.88	DPS:2.08	DPS:1.56	DPS:1.06
	DPR:0.359	DPR:2.136	DPR:-10.947	DPR:2.557	DPR:3.926
	PE_RATIO:10.1	PE_RATIO:63.9	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:100.4	PE_RATIO:211.3
	46	43	444.526	59	70
	ASSET:171797	ASSET:87872	ASSET:44981	ASSET:33551	ASSET:76250
1			1	1	

Yea	Firm Specific Data of US and European Pharmaceutical Companies									
r	Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars									
	Akorn INC (USA)	OPKO Health (USA)	Biogen INC (USA)	AMAG Pharmaceutical s (USA)	BioMarin Pharmaceutical (USA)					
2008	MPS:2.3 ROE:-12.60% EPS:-0.09 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 25.556 ASSET:82.3	MPS:1.62 ROE:-464.70% EPS:-0.21 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:-7.714 ASSET:21.8	MPS:47.63 ROE:13.80% EPS:2.67 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:17. 839 ASSET:8479	MPS:35.85 ROE-28.70% : EPS:-4.22 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 8.495 ASSET:232	MPS:17.8 ROE:13.27% EPS:0.31 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:57.4 19 ASSET:906.7					
2009	MPS:1.79	MPS:1.83	MPS:53.5	MPS:38.03	MPS:18.81					
	ROE:-50.60%	ROE:-103.70%	ROE:16.10%	ROE:-52.40%	ROE:-0.16%					



	EPS:-0.28	EPS:-0.15	EPS:3.37	EPS:-5.46	EPS:0
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.00	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:#DIV/0!
	PE RATIO-	PE RATIO-	PE RATIO-15	PE RATIO-	PE RATIO:#DI
	6 303	12 200	875	6 065	
	0.373 ASSET.68 8	12.200 ASSET.97 /	075 ASSET.9557	0.703 ASSET.184.6	V/U: ASSET.017 2
	A55E1:00.0	A55E1:07.4	A55E1:0552	ASSE1:184.0	ASSE1:917.2
2010	MPS:6.07	MPS:3.67	MPS:67.05	MPS:18.1	MPS:26.93
	ROE:34.80%	ROE:-35.66%	ROE:17.30%	ROE:-41.80%	ROE:39.57%
	EPS:0.24	EPS:-0.08	EPS:3.98	EPS:-3.9	EPS:2
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.00	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000
	PE_RATIO:25.2	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:16.	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:13.4
	92	45.875	847	4.641	65
	ASSET:111.1	ASSET:77.8	ASSET:8092	ASSET:336.1	ASSET:1262.6
2011	MPS:11.12	MPS:4.9	MPS:110.05	MPS:18.91	MPS:34.38
	ROE:35.10%	ROE:-1.11%	ROE:20.90%	ROE:-36.20%	ROE:-7.22%
	EPS:0.45	EPS:-0.01	EPS:5.09	EPS:-3.64	EPS:-0.48
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000
	PE RATIO:24.7	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:21	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:-
	11	490.000	621	5 195	71 625
	ASSET-307 1	ASSET-229 5	ASSET-9050	ASSET-267 2	ASSET-1305 7
	A55E1.507.1	A55E1.227.5	A55E1.9050	A55E1.207.2	A55E1.1505.7
2012	MPS:13.36	MPS:4.81	MPS:146.37	MPS:14.71	MPS:49.2
	ROE:19.70%	ROE:-14.87%	ROE:20.60%	ROE:-9.50%	ROE:-12.78%
	EPS:0.37	EPS:-0.11	EPS:5.8	EPS:-0.78	EPS:-0.95
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:N/A
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:#VALUE!
	PE RATIO:36.1	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:25.	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:-
	08	43.727	236	18.859	51.789
	ASSET-369.6	ASSET-289.8	ASSET-10130	ASSET-258 1	ASSET-1568 3
	10011.007.0	10011.207.0		115511125011	1001110000
2013	MPS:24.62	MPS:8.44	MPS:279.57	MPS:24.28	MPS:70.35
	ROE:22.70%	ROE:-21.20%	ROE:23.90%	ROE:-5.60%	ROE:-14.97%
	EPS:0.54	EPS:-0.32	EPS:7.86	EPS:-0.44	EPS:-1.28
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>
	PE RATIO:45.5	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:35.	PE RATIO:-	PE RATIO:-
	93	26.375	569	55.182	54.961
	ASSET:431.8	ASSET:1391.5	ASSET:11863	ASSET:265.5	ASSET:2244.1
2014	MPS:36.2	MPS:9.99	MPS:339.45	MPS:42.62	MPS:90.4
	ROE:4.70%	ROE:-20.00%	ROE:30.20%	ROE:42.97%	ROE:-9.30%
	EPS:0.13	EPS:-0.41	EPS:12.42	EPS:6.06	EPS:-0.92
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	<b>DPR:</b> #VALUE!	DPR:#VALUE!	DPR:#VALUE!
	PE_RATIO:278.	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:27.		PE_RATIO:-
	462	24.366	331	PE_RATIO:7.0	98.261
	ASSET:1893.9	ASSET:-24.366	ASSET:14315	33	ASSET:2475.4
2015	MDS.27.21	MDS.10.05	MDS-207 25	ASSET:1388.9	MDS.10476
2015	WIP5:57.31	WIP5:10.05	NIP5:306.35	MPS:30.19	MIPS:104.76
	KOE:30.80%	KUE:-2.10%	RUE:35.20%	KUE:4.71%	KOE:-8.70%
	EPS:1.29	EPS:-0.06	EPS:15.37	EPS:1.04	EPS:-1.07
	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:0	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A
	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:0.000	DPR:#VALUE!	DPR:#VALUE!
	PE_RATIO:28.9	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:19.	PE_RATIO:29.	PE_RATIO:-
1	22	167.500	932	029	97.907



	ASSET:2042.5	ASSET:2799.2	ASSET:19505	ASSET:2476.2	ASSET:3729.4
2016	MPS:21.83	MPS:9.3	MPS:283.58	MPS:34.8	MPS:82.84
	ROE:25.60%	ROE:-2.40%	ROE:34.40%	ROE:0.26%	ROE:-24.40%
	EPS:1.5	EPS:-0.09	EPS:16.95	EPS:-0.07	EPS:-3.8
	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A	DPS:0	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A
	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	DPR:0.000	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	DPR:#VALUE!
	PE_RATIO:14.5	PE_RATIO:#VAL	PE_RATIO:16.	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:-
	53	UE!	730	497.143	21.800
	ASSET:1973.7	ASSET:2766.6	ASSET:22877	ASSET:2478.4	ASSET:-21.800
2017	MPS:32.23	MPS:4.9	MPS:318.57	MPS:13.25	MPS:89.17
	ROE:-2.97%	ROE:-15.50%	ROE:20.51%	ROE:-23.10%	ROE:-4.19%
	EPS:-0.2	EPS:-0.55	EPS:11.94		EPS:-0.67
	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A	DPS:N/A	EPS:-5.71	DPS:N/A
	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	DPS:N/A	DPR:#VALUE!
	PE_RATIO:-	PE_RATIO:-8.909	PE_RATIO:26.	<b>DPR:#VALUE!</b>	PE_RATIO:-
	161.150	ASSET:2590	681	PE_RATIO:-	133.090
	ASSET:1909.5		ASSET:23653	2.320	ASSET:4633.1
				ASSET:1900.4	

Year	Firm Specific Data of US and European Pharmaceutical Companies Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars								
	Sanofi SA (France)	Roche Holdings (Switzerland)	IPSEN SA (France)	Bayer AG (Germany)	NICOX SA (France)				
2008	MPS:32.66076 ROE:8.61% EPS:2.115036 DPS:1.58268 DPR:0.748 PE_RATIO:15.4 42 ASSET:51787.44 78	MPS:179.51367 ROE:19.90% EPS:11.098563 DPS:5.3205 DPR:0.479 PE_RATIO:0.47 9 ASSET:80966.30 49	MPS:20.136006 ROE:17.41% EPS:1.25895 DPS:0.50358 DPR:0.400 PE_RATIO:15.994 ASSET:1125.4293 6	MPS:39.617358 ROE:10.41% EPS:1.589874 DPS:0.992772 DPR:0.624 PE_RATIO:24.9 19 ASSET:37776.41 34	MPS:24.078318 ROE:-54.50% EPS:-4.841562 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 4.973 ASSET:88.9178 4				
2009	MPS:38.37682 ROE:11.30% EPS:2.80891 DPS:1.6728 DPR:0.596 PE_RATIO:13.6 63 ASSET:55934.94 7	MPS:187.0816 ROE:30.00% EPS:9.374752 DPS:6.2016 DPR:0.662 PE_RATIO:19.9 56 ASSET:77070.38 4	MPS:27.01572 ROE:16.76% EPS:1.29642 DPS:0.52275 DPR:0.403 PE_RATIO:20.839 ASSET:1099.0993	MPS:39.08776 ROE:7.70% EPS:1.16399 DPS:0.96186 DPR:0.826 PE_RATIO:33.5 81 ASSET:35576.27 4	MPS:0.30361 ROE:-49.30% EPS:-4.18897 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 4.847 ASSET:109.707 8				
2010	MPS:35.66739 ROE:10.80% EPS:3.123226 DPS:1.8635	MPS:133.10388 ROE:103.00% EPS:9.451494 DPS:6.15186	MPS:17.024936 ROE:9.25% EPS:0.842302 DPS:0.59632	MPS:41.22062 ROE:6.90% EPS:1.15537 DPS:1.103192	MPS:8.1994 ROE:-36.10% EPS:-2.258562 DPS:0				



	DPR:0.597	DPR:0.651	DPR:0.708	DPR:0.955	DPR:0.000
	PE_RATIO:11.4	PE_RATIO:14.0	PE_RATIO:20.212	PE_RATIO:35.6	DE DATIO
	20 ASSET-63555 78	83 ASSET:56876 74	ASSE1:1250.9302	// ASSET-38307 57	PE_RATIO:-
	ASSE1.05555.70	ASSE1.50070.74	0	24	ASSET:84.1556
	50	-		2.	6
					-
2011	MPS:43.862075	MPS:156.62066	MPS:18.742825	MPS:38.18126	MPS:3.9224675
	ROE:10.40%	ROE:86.60%	ROE:0.04%	ROE:13.00%	ROE:-18.00%
	EPS: 3.331199	EPS:10.3411 DDS:6 30268	EPS:0.007729	EPS:2.272320 DPS:1 252008	FDS. 0.881106
	DPR-0 615	DPR-0 618	DPR-80 000	DPR-0 551	DPS-0
	PE RATIO:13.1	PE RATIO:15.1	PE RATIO:2425.0	PE RATIO:16.8	DPR:0.000
	67	45	00	03	
	ASSET:77806.29	ASSET:57891.35	ASSET:1263.4596	ASSET:40767.38	PE_RATIO:-
	72	8	3	34	4.452
					ASSET:74.0438
					2
2012	MPS:54.149315	MPS:171.16302	MPS:17.271045	MPS:54.528565	MPS:8.836525
	ROE:8.60%	ROE:70.80%	ROE:-2.93%	ROE:12.80%	ROE:-12.90%
	EPS:2.85196	EPS:10.183696	EPS:-0.25789	EPS:2.1693	EPS:-0.53095
	DPS:2.101045	DPS:6.73113	DPS:0.6068	DPS:1.418395	DPS:0
	DPK:0./3/ PF PATIO-180	DPK:0.001 PF PATIO:16.8	DPR:-2.555	DPK:0.054 PF_DATIO:25.1	DPR:0.000
	87	1E_KATIO.10.8	PE RATIO:-	36	PE RATIO:-
	ASSET:76160.22	ASSET:59351.16	66.971	ASSET:38924.70	16.643
	65	64	ASSET:1184.7011		ASSET:63.7898
			5		
2013	MDS-56 012256	MDS.220 45814	MDS-24 062031	MDS.74 046285	MDS.8 7102315
2013	ROE:6.50%	ROE:66.00%	ROE:16.27%	ROE:16.30%	ROE:-26.69%
	EPS:2.040903	EPS:11.735787	EPS1.336392:	EPS:2.75994	
	DPS:2.03364	DPS:6.95058	DPS:0.58104	DPS:1.503441	EPS:-0.900612
	DPR:0.996	DPR:0.592	DPR:0.435	DPR:0.545	DPS:0
	PE_RATIO:27.4	PE_RATIO:18.7	PE_RATIO:18.679	PE_RATIO:26.8	DPR:0.000
	45 ASSET:69764.74	05 ASSET:55397.01	ASSE1:1130.0775	29 ASSET:37271.53	PE RATIO:-
	65	37	,	71	9.681
					ASSET:52.4388
					6
2014	MDS.54 80133	MDS.764 9593	MDS-31 1065	MDS.91 0915	MDS.6 7580325
2014	ROE:7.76%	ROE:48.00%	ROE:15.07%	ROE:16.30%	ROE:-29.31%
	EPS:2.42317	EPS:10.871308	EPS:1.356685	EPS:2.96004	EPS:-1.037465
	DPS:2.067675	DPS:7.9136	DPS:0.616675	DPS:1.603355	DPS:0
	DPR:0.853	DPR:0.728	DPR:0.455	DPR:0.542	DPR:0.000
	PE_RATIO:22.6	PE_RATIO:24.3	PE_RATIO:22.995	PE_RATIO:27.6	
	55 ASSET:70657.80	03 ASSET:74724 16	ASSE1:1242.9991	90 ASSET,50054 76	PE_KATIO:-
	ASSE1.70037.09	ASSE1./4/24.10	5	ASSE1.50754.70	ASSET:108.825
	<u> </u>	0		•	11000020
2015	MPS:72.16266	MPS:275.006475	MPS:56.0041	MPS:106.31598	MPS:8.3767444
	KOE:7.50%	ROE:43.70%	KOE:16.59%	KOE:18.52%	<b>KOE:-28.22%</b>
	DPS-2 600033	LF 5:10.34441 / DPS+8 04807	DPS-0 780385	DPS+2 258526	LF 5:-1.14/025 DPS-0
	DPR:0.893	DPR:0.778	DPR:0.368	DPR:0.503	DPR:0.000
	PE_RATIO:23.9	PE_RATIO:26.5	PE_RATIO:26.407	PE_RATIO:23.6	
1	63	85			DE DATIO.



	ASSET:93940.91 01	ASSET:75285.69 31	ASSET:1779.2778	ASSET:67863.19 77	7.299 ASSET:149.925 73
2016	MPS:72.8243 ROE:8.14% EPS:3.46602 DPS:2.80312 DPR:0.809 PE_RATIO:21.0 11 ASSET:99131.01 3	MPS:242.2364 ROE:42.70% EPS:11.440072 DPS:8.34596 DPR:0.730 PE_RATIO:21.1 74 ASSET:78186.37 82	MPS:65.0589 ROE:17.50% EPS:2.59478 DPS:0.80495 DPR:0.310 PE_RATIO:25.073 ASSET:2295.0545	MPS:92.37985 ROE:16.59% EPS:5.07592 DPS:2.51902 DPR:0.496 PE_RATIO:18.2 00 ASSET:77879.38	MPS:8.078857 ROE:-18.48% EPS:-0.7576 DPS:0.000 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO: - 10.664 ASSET:140.818 9
2017	MPS:59.93727 ROE:14.55% EPS:5.58914 DPS:2.527626 DPR:0.452 PE_RATIO:10.7 24 ASSET:83264.00 46	MPS:240.1681 ROE:34.29% EPS:9.8720 DPS:8.09665 DPR:0.820 PE_RATIO:24.3 28 ASSET:74797.43 8	MPS:83.061294 ROE:18.75% EPS:2.75286 DPS:0.8342 DPR:0.303 PE_RATIO:30.173 ASSET:2562.6624	MPS:85.38037 ROE:21.85% EPS:6.915518 DPS:2.302392 DPR:0.333 PE_RATIO:12.3 46 ASSET:62637.57 54	MPS:8.458788 ROE:-3.12% EPS:-0.100104 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 84.500 ASSET:125.797 36

Yea r	Firm Specific Data of US and European Pharmaceutical Companies Annual Financial data in millions of US Dollars							
	Alliance Pharmaceuticals (UK)	Virbac SA (France)	Vifor AG (Switzerland)	Novartis AG (Switzerland)	Astra Zenica PLC (UK)			
2008	MPS:1.8209 ROE:31.25% EPS:0.0139 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:131.0 00 ASSET:33.916	MPS:41.682036 ROE:18.63% EPS:2.956734 DPS:0.86328 DPR0.292: PE_RATIO:14.0 97 ASSET:296.8963 8	MPS:36.530553 ROE:35.10% EPS:3.096531 DPS:0.74487 DPR:0.241 PE_RATIO:11. 797 ASSET:2236.31 256	MPS:56.07807 ROE:16.47% EPS:4.0978491 DPS:2.138841 DPR:0.522 PE_RATIO:13.6 85 ASSET:88650.31 572	MPS:1909.86 ROE:39.80% EPS:2.02245 DPS:0.9869 DPR:0.488 PE_RATIO:944.3 30 ASSET:22678.023 75			
2009	MPS:16.4035 ROE:32.30% EPS:0.01238 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:1325. 000 ASSET:35.1592	MPS:50.69281 ROE:17.96% EPS:3.12256 DPS:0.92004 DPR:0.295 PE_RATIO:16.2 34 ASSET:302.8465	MPS:38.76 ROE:31.20% EPS:3.338528 DPS:0.7752 DPR:0.232 PE_RATIO:11. 610 ASSET:3003.95 168	MPS:58.34672 ROE:15.60% EPS:3.948352 DPS:2.149888 DPR:0.545 PE_RATIO:14.7 77 ASSET:101971.5 289	MPS:1796.0285 ROE:41.10% EPS:1.98699 DPS:0.87898 DPR:0.442 PE_RATIO:903.8 94 ASSET: 21043.20212			



2010	MPS:19.778 ROE:34.00% EPS:0.02552 DPS:0.00638 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:775.0 00 ASSET:49.3812	MPS:96.902 ROE:23.74% EPS:5.456328 DPS:1.1181 DPR:0.205 PE_RATIO:17.7 60 ASSET:397.8945 2	MPS:52.66365 ROE:27.40% EPS:3.290313 DPS:0.74568 DPR:0.227 PE_RATIO:16. 006 ASSET:2805.06 174	MPS:51.218895 ROE:16.20% EPS:3.709758 DPS:2.041299 DPR:0.550 PE_RATIO:13.8 07 ASSET:107139.9 549	MPS:1864.236 ROE:36.70% EPS:2.27766 DPS:1.03356 DPR:0.454 PE_RATIO:818.4 87 ASSET:22846.158 59
2011	MPS:19.94202 ROE:21.50% EPS:0.02584 DPS:0.00646 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:771.7 50 ASSET:53.1658	MPS:92.67071 ROE:19.47% EPS:5.278907 DPS:1.352575 DPR:0.256 PE_RATIO:17.5 55 ASSET:454.9289 4	MPS:51.658495 ROE:21.80% EPS:3.252746 DPS:0.84609 DPR:0.260 PE_RATIO:15. 882 ASSET:2930.76 175	MPS:50.48337 ROE:14.10% EPS:3.38436 DPS:2.105824 DPR:0.622 PE_RATIO:14.9 17 ASSET:103841.5 47	MPS:1921.85 ROE:42.70% EPS:3.0362 DPS:1.1628 DPR:0.383 PE_RATIO:632.9 79 ASSET:22046.804 28
2012	MPS:19.6215 ROE:18.10% EPS:0.02472 DPS:0.00618 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:793.7 50 ASSET:62.1708	MPS:113.661225 ROE:20.24% EPS:5.999735 DPS:1.44115 DPR:0.240 PE_RATIO:18.9 44 ASSET:627.8104 5	MPS:48.58319 ROE:21.00% EPS:3.608252 DPS:1.00738 DPR:0.279 PE_RATIO:13. 464 ASSET:2886.96 792	MPS:52.61271 ROE:13.70% EPS:3.2053 DPS:2.10634 DPR:0.657 PE_RATIO:16.4 14 ASSET:104157.6 979	MPS:1798.071 ROE:26.60% EPS:1.8849 DPS:1.7922 DPR:0.951 PE_RATIO:953.9 34 ASSET:20445.919 42
2013	MPS:24.5025 ROE:16.55% EPS:0.0242 DPS:0.00605 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:1012. 500 ASSET:64.6745	MPS:112.79439 ROE:17.13% EPS:5.214834 DPS:1.37997 DPR:0.265 PE_RATIO:21.6 30 ASSET:650.9100 6	MPS:80.02078 ROE:21.10% EPS:4.072327 DPS:1.24754 DPR:0.306 PE_RATIO:19. 650 ASSET:2735.14 234	MPS:63.44632 ROE:12.80% EPS:2.985185 DPS:2.183195 DPR:0.731 PE_RATIO:21.2 54 ASSET:100253.1 431	MPS:2162.5725 ROE:10.90% EPS:0.74415 DPS:1.02245 DPR:1.374 PE_RATIO:2906. 098 ASSET:20460.431 48
2014	MPS:23.3965 ROE:12.35% EPS:0.01923 DPS:0.00641 DPR:0.333 PE_RATIO:1216. 667 ASSET:69.1639	MPS:126.45465 ROE:16.06% EPS:5.47027 DPS:1.37845 DPR:0.252 PE_RATIO:23.1 17 ASSET:961.8679	MPS:78.34464 ROE:17.68% EPS:4.342588 DPS:1.4838 DPR:0.342 PE_RATIO:18. 041 ASSET:3173.65 036	MPS: 91.35262 ROE:14.07% EPS:4.115072 DPS:2.562028 DPR:0.623 PE_RATIO:22.2 00 ASSET:122693.2 655	MPS:2911.7425 ROE:5.80% EPS:0.402548 DPS:1.14739 DPR:2.850 PE_RATIO:7233. 280 ASSET:24075.572



2015	MPS:29.025 ROE:10.85% EPS:0.03375 DPS:0.00675 DPR:0.200 PE_RATIO:860.0 00 ASSET:190.755	MPS:201.79838 ROE:2.16% EPS:1.028272 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:196. 250 ASSET:1313.433 86	MPS:156.40838 ROE:16.80% EPS:4.620705 DPS:1.78866 DPR:0.387 PE_RATIO:33. 84 ASSET:3617.06 8	MPS:86.25316 ROE:24.06% EPS:7.303695 DPS:2.663116 DPR:0.365 PE_RATIO:11.8 10 ASSET:129903.6 08	MPS:3116.1375 ROE:14.80% EPS:1.0125 DPS:1.27575 DPR:1.260 PE_RATIO:3077. 667 ASSET:27363.015
2016	MPS:38.51181 ROE:10.60% EPS:0.03252 DPS:0.00813 DPR:0.250 PE_RATIO:1184. 250 ASSET:260.7291	MPS:158.3384 ROE:7.58% EPS:3.89217 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:40.6 81 ASSET:1342.088 4	MPS:116.94522 ROE:11.68% EPS:3.725148 DPS:2.0356 DPR:0.546 PE_RATIO:31. 393 ASSET:5523.70 238	MPS:75.41898 ROE:8.80% EPS:2.890552 DPS:2.788772 DPR:0.965 PE_RATIO:26.0 92 ASSET:134691.6 826	MPS:3607.6875 ROE:21.00% EPS:1.82925 DPS:1.78047 DPR:0.973 PE_RATIO:1972. 222 ASSET:41327.747
2017	MPS:49.6688 ROE:15.10% EPS:0.0444 DPS:0.0074 DPR:0.167 PE_RATIO:1118. 667 ASSET:249.01	MPS:103.0237 ROE:-0.57% EPS:-0.258602 DPS:0 DPR:0.000 PE_RATIO:- 398.387 ASSET:1065.523 66	MPS:121.83995 ROE:44.20% EPS:17.26635 DPS:1.951 DPR:0.113 PE_RATIO:7.0 56 ASSET:4024.81 545	MPS:80.3812 ROE:10.33% EPS:3.111845 DPS:2.721645 DPR:0.875 PE_RATIO:25.8 31 ASSET:126638.0 053	MPS:3789.54 ROE:15.99% EPS:1.295 DPS:1.4948 DPR:1.154 PE_RATIO:2926. 286 ASSET:34692.650 4

GDP Growth	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rate										
(Year)										
India	3.9%	8.5%	10.3%	6.6%	5.5 %	6.4%	7.4%	8.2%	7.1%	6.7%
USA	-	-	2.5%	1.6%	2.2%	1.7%	2.6%	2.9%	1.5%	2.3%
	0.3%	2.8%								
UK	-	-	1.7%	1.5%	1.5%	2.1%	3.1%	2.3%	1.9%	1.8%
	0.5%	4.2%								
Germany	0.8%	-	3.9%	3.7%	0.7%	0.6%	1.9%	1.5%	1.9%	2.5%
-		5.6%								
France	0.2%	-	2.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%	1.8%
		2.9%								
Switzerland	2.1%	-	2.9%	1.8%	1.00%	1.9%	2.5%	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
		2.2%								

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (GDP)



Inflation	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
(Year)										
India	9.1%	11.0%	9.5%	9.5%	10.0%	9.4%	5.8%	4.9%	4.5%	3.6%
USA	3.8%	-0.3%	1.6%	3.1%	2.1%	1.5%	1.6%	0.1%	1.3%	2.1%
UK	3.6%	2.2%	3.3%	4.5%	2.8%	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	2.7%
Germany	2.7%	0.2%	1.2%	2.5%	2.1%	1.6%	0.8%	0.1%	0.4%	1.7%
France	3.2%	0.1%	1.7%	2.3%	2.2%	1.0%	0.6%	0.1%	0.3%	1.2%
Switzerland	2.4%	-0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	-0.7%	-0.2%	0.0%	-1.1%	-0.4%	0.5%

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (Inflation)

Interest Rate	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
(Year)										
India	7.33	5.25%	5.62	7.53%	8.00%	7.55%	8.00%	7.31%	6.37%	6.00
	%		%							%
USA	1.79	0.25%	0.25	0.25%	0.25%	0.25%	0.25%	0.375	0.625	1.12
	%		%					%	%	%
UK	3.95	1.00%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.37%	0.50
	%									%
Germany	3.16	1.43%	1.00	1.25%	0.875	0.375	0.10%	0.05%	0.025	0.00
	%		%		%	%			%	%
France	3.16	1.43%	1.00	1.25%	0.87%	0.5%	0.15%	0.05%	0.025	0.00
	%		%						%	%
Switzerlan	1.56	0.375	0.25	0.125	0.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-
d	%	%	%	%			0.125	0.75%	0.75%	0.75
							%			%

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (Interest Rate)

Corporate	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Tax										
(Year)										
India	33.99	33.99	33.99	32.44	32.45	33.99	33.99	34.61	34.61	34.61
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
USA	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%
UK	30%	28%	28%	26%	24%	23%	21%	20%	20%	19%
Germany	29.5%	29.4%	29.4%	29.4%	29.5%	29.6%	29.6%	29.65	29.72	29.79
								%	%	%
France	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Switzerlan	19.20	18.96	18.75	18.31	18.06	18.01	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.77
d	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (Corporate Tax)

Country	Year (April-March)										
	Indian Rupee exchange rate against one \$ USD										
	2007-	2008-	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	2015-	2016-	
INDIA	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
	39.94	45.90	47.35	45.53	47.90	54.38	60.45	61.13	65.17	67.03	

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (Exchange Rate) https://www.poundsterlinglive.com

Country	Year (January –December) Exchange rate against one \$ USD											
	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017											
USA	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
United Kingdom	0.695	0.619	0.638	0.646	0.618	0.605	0.641	0.675	0.813	0.74		
Germany	0.7194	0.697	0.7454	0.7729	0.7585	0.7263	0.7255	0.9181	0.947	0.8342		
France	0.7194	0.697	0.7454	0.7729	0.7585	0.7263	0.7255	0.9181	0.947	0.8342		
Switzerland	1.0641	1.0336	0.9321	0.9401	0.9158	0.8911	0.9892	0.9937	1.0178	0.9755		

Key Macroeconomic Data of Selected Countries (Exchange Rate) https://www.poundsterlinglive.com