## The role of TQM and BPR in executing quality improvement: a

## comparative study

Zain Azhar (Corresponding author) Apcoms, University of Taxila House No. 273, street 23, sector 1, Gulshan abad, Rawalpindi Tel: 92-331-5928962 E-mail: zainy\_azhar@hotmail.com

Anila Naz

Apcoms, University of Taxila Tel: 92-334-9504289 E-mail: anilanaz14@gmail.com

> Asiya Gul Apcoms, University of Taxila <u>asiyagul21@yahoo.com</u>

> Maryam Nawaz Apcoms, University of Taxila mn\_1887@yahoo.com

### Abstract

In order to sustain a competitive edge in this global manufacturing era, enterprises need to adopt appropriate improvement schemes. This article examines a detailed study of quality improvement tools mostly used in the organizations. As this is the conceptual paper, the paper focuses on two important quality improvement techniques, BPR (Business Process Reengineering) and TQM (Total quality management). Both the approaches clinch the same thoughts and objectives for organizational enhancement but the difference lies in terms of means used by each technique, the risk, time frame and the magnitude of change expected from each of these programs. In order to attain this comparison, the article first defines and explains each of the approaches for the in depth understanding of the difference as well as similarities between these two. The articles (related to the comparison of these two improvement tools) of almost last 15 years are gathered and studied in detail and then conclusion is drawn based on the findings of different previous articles. The methodology used primarily is literature review as well as the case study method also helps in gathering the data. The study concludes that even though both the approaches intend to enhance organizational competence, they are relatively different both theoretically as well as practically and each of the approach is suitable for different situation depending upon the needs and requirements of the organization. **Keywords:** Competitive edge, TQM, BPR

### 1. Introduction:

Businesses are experiencing a modification from manufacturing oriented beliefs to the consumer/ customer orient philosophy. Organizations use different strategies to increase the worth (value) of their products/ services and to gain the competitive edge in the global market. The business approaches are used to satisfy customers, retain them and to attract new customers. Because of competition the consumers also gain the awareness that quality is the most important aspect in service as well as in manufacturing companies.

Progressively more firms are becoming familiar with the strategic significance of excellence and quality management. Many businesses have arrived at the result that efficient quality management can augment their competitive talent and offer tactical benefits in the market (John & Roger; 1999). This principle is pursued by many firms, both big and small, service and manufacturing, profit and non profit to figure out and develop their approaches towards quality management.

The importance of quality is mounted because of two main factors

- Tough competition
- Educated customers

Quality means right specification, right time and right price. The product / service is valued when it is achieved as

IISTE

per requirements of the customer and hence customer satisfaction is the greatest achievement of any organization which ultimately leads towards high profitability. Therefore quality control should be given focus rather than inspection which increases the cost and wastes the time. Quality control emphasize on:

- Starts before & along with production
- Ensures that bad things will not happen
- Every body's responsibility

Poor quality causes many losses in production (tangible) as well as in service sector (intangible). It can be in the form of increased rejection, less production, high complaints from customers, loss of time and high rework and in service sector it can be conflicts between different departments, loss of goodwill or loss of morale. Quality can be in the form of design, process, product, system or services. As quality and productivity are complementary for each other so productivity increases when there is good quality.

Quality means creativity and in order to be considered creative, the product, service or idea should be different from that which was before and it must also be suitable to the goal at hand, correct and valuable (Amabile, 1996)

When all the game is about improving the quality, organizations are using different tools for quality improvement **TQM (total quality management) and BPR (Business process reengineering) are two such tools.** 

**TQM** is defined as an incorporated approach which is proposed in order to develop the quality at all the levels of organization and quality should be developed according to the standards of consumers. TQM is a belief for supervising the firm in such a method, which assist it to fulfill the demands of the stakeholders and prospect effectively and efficiently without negotiating the moral standards (ISO 8402, 1994).

TQM is considered to be one of a very successful management approaches which deals with the quality of services and gaining long term objectives by this. A study is analyzed related to TQM that whether it is considered a vital theory with respect to management or it has turned into fad products/ now and the conclusion was drawn out that still many renown and large organizations implement this theory and it becomes the reason for their success as well as its diffusion is on the increase globally (Benjamin and Elizabeth, 1967) but it should be adapted in such a way that employees affairs, culture, leadership styles, rewards, incentives and all such policies should be kept in mind for implementing TQM theory (Laza and Wheaton; 2012)

In the TQM process, Quality Circles are used in the organizations where the group of people is there to increase the quality of the work and to discuss the problems or any issues related to the tasks. This is considered very useful and a risk free approach if employees are to be involved in decision making and a participative culture of the organization is required (Harv Bus Rev, 1985). By increase in the quality, customers get satisfied and the cost of the organizations is also reduced (Shortell, Bennette and Byck, 1998).

**BPR**, on the other hand, is the rapid and radical redesign of the organization to optimize the workflow and productivity in an organization and achieve the dramatic performance (Guha, Kettinger, Teng, 2007). The changes that take place during BPR are fast and drastic. The goal here is to demolish old processes to clear the way for new ones.

The increased pressure from the competitive environment has directed the firms in changing the focus of management from the understanding of responsibilities towards the determining of business processes and rationalizing towards market and customer direction. The mounting individualization of consumer needs require a raise in elasticity and quickness of the concerned firms (Clegg, Wall & Pepper; 2002). Flourishing parties are generating fresh, innovative and different affiliation outlines and they operate consequently, on the other side, they are struggling to discover achievements for original and latest thoughts and theories. (Bullinger and Zinser, 1996)

Firms have been constantly conducting experiments with either single or both of these models for struggling with the matter of executing quality, managing change, improvement in productivity, accomplishing success and for gaining the competitive edge.

### 2. Literature Review:

Organizations comprising high level of innovation and advancement also illustrate high intensity of TQM practice execution i.e. innovation is considered to be a major aspect of TQM execution program and similarly in the global world and the market place of this era, quality is considered to be the most important factor in gaining the success and the competitive edge (Dean and Evans 1994) and this is achieved by acquiring such manager's tool kit in which the major portion is of TQM practices (Dow, Swanson and Ford, 1999). A TQM model is developed in order to

IISTE

explore the impact of TQM practices on the firm's quality performance. They specify that quality performances can be characterized into 9 proportions: employee's dedication, shared visualization, consumer focus, using the groups, training of employees, benchmarking, supportive associations with suppliers, superior manufacturing policies and usage of JIT philosophy (Dow et al; 1999)

The TQM strategy refers to the fact that the troubles do not initiate with workforce, however from the requirement of accepting and understanding the work procedures. The objective of TQM is to examine methods and practices to classify the obstacles to quality, satisfying the beneficiary of the firm, retaining the customers and generating an environment of permanent improvement (James S. Bowman; 1999)

In case of implementation of TQM, there are certain techniques which make this strategy successful. TQM is not just considered to improve the operations, rather it should be embedded in all the stages of the organization's culture and for such a task specific HR related practices are needed and amongst such practices, the most important ones are employee involvement, participative culture, suitable dimensions and proper rewards for achievement, protected and sound functioning environment and above all is the appropriate TQM training programs. In fact there is not any limited list of TQM practices (Partlow; 1999).

A research explored with respective to the implementation of TQM and comparing it with other such management programs which are used to enhance the individual performance in the organization and thus no such evidence is gathered that TQM is difficult to implement as compared to other strategies and the consequences are clear from those organizations where it is executed (Carlos, Candido & Sergio; 2011).

Efforts are done to increase the performance and to make it valuable for the organization, many management philosophies and programs are used for this purpose (Barbara, Sadao & Roger; 2007). The research spotlights both the core excellence and quality management performances as well as on the system / environment which generate the situations encouraging the use of such practices. And these situations mostly include support of the top management and the management of workforce whose performance is properly managed. Performance management focus on the fact that firms express in identifying, determining, and encouraging performance of the employees and making it the quality performance with the eventual objective of gaining the quality performance of the whole organization. (Deanne, Den, Paul, Jaap; 2004)

The effect of TQM practices is shown on employment linked outlook and ultimately on the performance. Education, training, empowerment and collaboration which are the important aspects of TQM appreciably augment job participation, job satisfaction, and management dedication (Karia, Hasmi & Asaari; 1994).

BPR was mostly used as well as embracing and appreciating management technique till around 1990 but as time passes now it is becoming unpopular because of people's mistrust on IT without noticing the fact that IT is one agent of BPR not its driver (Diakins; 1996)

In BPR, risk is considered to be present in excess but there are certain conditions for every success and thus in the study there were given some pre conditions for the prevention of failures and making the implementation of BPR successful (Barbara, Meishen and Markus; 2007).

The risky aspect of TQM are also present and especially in case of public utility which is functioning in monopoly, the usage of TQM approach gives some pitfalls which leads to competitive disadvantage rather than competitive advantage. So it depends on the fact that how to use this approach (Laza and Wheaton; April 2012).

Business Process Reengineering (BPR) has been touted as necessary for dramatic improvements in organizational competitiveness. In practice, there have been successful and unsuccessful cases; thus, there is a need for systematic and rigorous assessment of the factors deemed important to project success In this study, success is defined in three ways: goals and objectives accomplished by the project, benefits derived from the project, and the project's impact on company performance (Guimaraes; Sep 1999). Some of the large firms of U.S.A are analyzed in order to study the impact of BPR on the performance of the firms and specifically on ROA (return on assets), ROE (return on equity) and labor productivity and it was concluded that the performance of organizations boost after the BPR is totally implemented whereas during the execution phase, it does not affect the performance. Other than this the functionally focused BPR systems affect in a better way as compared to cross-functional. This indicates that impending disappointment of BPR projects may boost if it goes above a certain scope level (Ozkelik; April 2009)

TQM is positively and significantly related to differentiation strategy, and it only partially mediates the relationship between differentiation strategy and three performance measures (product quality, product innovation, and process innovation). The implication is that TQM needs to be complemented by other resources to more effectively realize the strategy in achieving a high level of performance, particularly innovation (Daniel, Prajogo and Sohail;2006)

Most BPR methodologies share common segment and characteristic, but at the same time they differ in the means they come up for reengineering (Sungsoo & Pyo; 2008). Their major distinction are, detail representation and investigation of existing conditions; whether the existing situation sustain dramatic or fundamental modification to business processes and the study of flourishing firms before boarding a BPR project (Manganelli & Klein; 2001). The significance of modeling and investigation of BPR is considered as a core part of reengineering (Razvi & Nevin; 2001). An interactive BPMSR (business process modeling simulation reengineering) methodology is used which is very flexible on the origin that analysis of performance in a step wise manner reduces the reengineering collapse threat by determining before time modeling and imitation troubles. The preliminary expenses, which can be in the form of financial, time or human resources in order to apply the projected BPMSR to any business process will be turned back in the form of improvements in efficiency (Selladurai; 2010)

#### 3. Methodology:

The method selected for this review is totally literary study. Articles of different authors published in various reputed journals within the time span of last 15 years are selected and in depth overview is done. Other than this, different case studies related to quality management approaches are also analyzed and the impact of using TQM or BPR on organizational effectiveness towards achieving goals is studied in detail.

The study of different articles related to the comparison between TQM and BPR is shown in tabular form where the authors as well as conclusion drawn from their studies are also illustrated.

Title of Study	Author	Journal	Year	Conclusion
				IT-induced business process reengineering (BPR)
Productivity gains				offers promise of dramatic performance
of BPR achieving success	Maryam	Information		improvements in productivity and quality and
somewhere others have	Alavi & Youngjin	Systems		can form a vital component of an ongoing total
failed	Yoo	Management	1995	quality management (TQM) program
		International		
An Integrative Approach		Journal of		TQM and BPR are integrated in order to
for Selecting a TQM/BPR		Quality		commence changes and both are helpful in
Implementation Plan	Salegna	Science	1997	gaining competitive edge.
Need Radical Innovation				
and Continuous				
improvement: Integrate				Integrating TQM and BPR to address different
Process Reengineering and	Thomas H.	Strategy and		organizational problems and initiate changes of
TQM.	Davenport	Leadership	1993	different magnitudes.
				Success rate of BPR projects is disappointing but
				at the same time incremental process
				improvements are the more viable option for
TQM and BPR - Can you	Rob Valentine, David	Personnel		organizations seeking to improve quality and
spot the difference?	Knights	Review	1998	performance
		The		
		international		
		journal of		The case organizations that had earlier embarked
TQM and BPR: lesson for		management		on TQM and BPR did not find them to be
maintenance management	I.B.Hipkin,C.DeCock	science	1999	successful
		Business		This article defines aspects of improving
Revisiting BPR: A holistic		Process		business with BPR and the impacts of
review of practice and	Majed al- Mashari &	Management		IT-enabled change on organizations are also
development	M. Zairi	Joutnal	2000	identified



				Both the methods are convincing but only if they
				are applied together. Both have similar focus of
				customer satisfaction, streamlined process and
		Enterprise	2000	committed to improve performance. TQM
BPR and TQM	Pankaj, R Sinha	Engineering	2000	provides the support to enable BPR.
				Many organizations undertake BPR projects in
				A the super this supersult is significant
				Annough this approach ca result in significant
Business Process		Cognition		associated with radical changes of business
Re-engineering (BPR). The	V Hlupic I	technology &		processes and the failure rate of BPR projects is
REBUS Approach	Cahuadhary, N. Patel	work	2000	reported to be as high as 70%
	<u></u>	International	2000	The empirical research comprised six in-depth
The roles of TOM and BPR		journal of		case studies. At the case organisations, TOM and
in organizational change		quaity and		BPR were regarded as complementary
strategies: a case study	Frances M. Hill, Lee	reliability		approaches to organisational change rather than
investigation	K. Collins	management	2000	being mutually exclusive
	Raymond L.			BPR supporters argue that clean slate approach
Should you start from	Manganelli and Mark	Management		(BPR) is a myth and is not practical in most of the
scratch	M. Klein	Review	2001	organizations.
Leadership and HR Focus				improvements may not be attained by
in TQM Research in				implementing BPR alone, they may need to be
Australia: An assessment	Shama ur Dahman	ITC	2002	supplemented by other improvement techniques
and agenda	Shams-ui Kanman	115	2002	Such as IQM, IPM and Kaizen
				nressure for change arising from technology
				increased competition and demands for improved
				customer service so Re-engineering of key
BPR in financial services:		Long Range		business processes is becoming a central element
Factors for success	Stepehen Drew	planning	2002	of corporate and business strategies.
		Human		
		Factors and		
An international survey of		Economics in		It depends on the extent use of the practice and
the use and effectiveness of		Manufacturing		nature of the organization that whether TQM,
modern manufacturing	C. Clegg, T. Wall	& Service		BPR or any other management tool suits it the
practices	and K. Pepper	industries	2002	best
		Internatinal		
increasing the odds for	Jones Hansson	Journal of		round is considered to promote organizational
successful implementation	Fradrick Backlund	reliability		adge and long term productivity. It also increases
of TOM TPM or RCM	Liselott Lycke	management	2003	management and employee commitment
Prioritizing barriers to	Elselott Eyeke	management	2005	To enable sound BPR efforts and increase
successful business process				success chances, thorough investigation of
re-engineering (BPR)	N Abdul-Hadi, A	Construction,		barriers is essential and there are about 30 such
efforts in Saudi Arabian	Al-Sudairi and S Al	management		barriers related to IT, commitment, culture, lack
consindustry	Qahtani	and economics	2003	of knowledge and other
				a short-term, radical change achieved through
		International		BPR programs should be followed by TQM's
An integrative approach for		Journal of		long-term continuous improvements. BPR could
Selecting a TQM/BPR	Gary Salegna and	Quality		later be used when another dramatic change is
Implementation Plan	Farzaneh Fazel	Science	2006	required





i otari guanty	
From quality to excellence & Business TOM offers a sound way of m	naging
in the 21st century John Oakland Excellence 2007 organizations in the 21st cent	ulagilig
There are some organizational cond	itions which
Preconditions Barbara I Bashein Information set the stage for BPR and organization	ons should be
for BPR success and how to M Lynne Markus & Systems assessed before against such neg	ative and
nrevent failures Patricia Riley Management 2007 nositive preconditions	
Reengineering	
methodologies and tools a Information This article concludes that approac	hes to BPR
prescription for Systems can lead the organizations toward	s the odds
enhancing success Mark M. Klein Management 2007 of success	o uno o uno
Journal of	
quality	
asurance in A firm understanding of the purpose	and the way
Hospitality & to use the tools is the prerequisite for	r proper use
Choosing Quality Tools Sungsoo, Pyo Tourism 2008 of the tools	1 1
Birds of Different Feather	
Flock Together?	
Rhetorical Competition and Korean	
the Convergence of Journal of TQM is used as a normative control	ol discourse
Management Discourses Dong-Il-Jung Sociology 2008 and BPR is used as a techno-structu	ral discourse
An organizational	
profitability, productivity	
performance (PPP)	
model: Going beyond TQM Total Quality An integrated, synergistic model is	very useful
and BPR R. Selladurai Management 2010 that integrates the two models of TO	M and BPR
Total Quality     In Japan, innovation and customer	satisfaction,
Comparative study of Juan Magaña - Management were more closely related to TQM	than to BPR
western & Japanese Campos & Elaine & Business value attributed to BPR was lower the	nan for TQM
improvement system Aspinwall Excellence 2010 in all the regions	
Production The ultimate objective of TQM can	be achieved
Planning & With more vigour if BPR concepts a	re integrated
TOM. Best present and Selladaria & Management	of these two
future transfer and Selfadural & Management concepts are going to off er ennand	
Inture trends Baladnandayutnam of Operations 2010 levels with higher product	/ity.
Allicali B Ohara M Kinlima – Journal of	
Pusiness process for Vantage Stanhan and Pusiness and The Wriglay Company managed	a achiava
competitive advantage Godwi Management 2010 competitive advantage by implem	enting BPR
American	nung DFK
TOM and BPR $\&$	
integrating them for Scientific TOM and BPR share a cross-fu	nctional
organizations improvement F Gouranourimi Research 2011 relationship	netional
International	
The relationship between Journal of	
total quality management Business.	
and quality performance in Faisal Talib, Zillur- Management The greater the extent to which TO	M practices
the service industry: a Rehman, M. N. and Social will be high, the quality performa	nce of the
theoretical model Qureshi Sciences 2010 organizations will be high	er
Critical success factors Ayoob A. Wali, S. G. Production 2010 The concept of critical success f	actors for





of TQM: A select study of Indian organizations	Deshmukh & A. D. Gupta	Planning & Control: The Management of Operations		successful TQM) was given and their use in supporting planning efforts is examined and this is possible with the development and implementation of management information systems
OD,TQM and BPRa comparative approach	S Harvey & B Millett	African Journal of Business and Management	2011	BPR is clearly the most divergent as compare to OD and TQM. BPR is the most suitable approach and used by org having widespread problems or are close to bankruptcy as it creates innovation for improvement rather than survivor.
Approach for Selecting a TQM/BPR Implementation Plan	Tor Guimaraes	International Journal of Quality Science	2011	The best organizational change programs are those that integrate quality and reengineering initiatives

### 4. Conclusion and Recommendation

The study has analyzed issues related to quality improvement in the organization. The two major approaches towards quality improvement are analyzed in detail. Similarities and differences of both these approaches are analyzed in detail. The literature review related to their comparison is illustrated and it is concluded that although the approaches all aim to increase organizational efficiencies, they are quite different both conceptually and in the way they are practiced. TQM advocates believe that TQM supports a building block approach to improvement projects. On the other hand, BPR supporters say that BPR produces the most organizational benefits. And still there are many authors who say that integration is the best technique or it depends upon the situation and the changes required in the organization that which approach suits best.

#### References

<u>Bashein</u>, B. J., <u>Markus</u>, M.L., & <u>Riley</u>, P. (2007). Preconditions for BPR success and How to Prevent Failures. *Information System Management. Volume 11, issue 2.* 

Champy. J. (1995). Reengineering Management, the Mandate for New Leadership. HarperCollins, London.

Manganelli, R.L., & and Klein, M.M. (n.d.). Should You Start From Scratch? Management Review, July 1994, pp. 45-47.

<u>Partlow</u>, C.G. (1996). Human-Resources Practices of TQM Hotel. School of Hotel, Restaurant and Tourism Administration at the University of South Carolina.

Childe, S., Maull R., & Mills, B. (1996). UK experiences in business process reengineering.

Daniel I., Prajoke and Sohail (2006). The relationship between organization strategy, total quality management (TQM) and BPR. *European Journal of Operational Research* (vol. 168, p. 35-50)

Davenport, T.H. (1993). Need radical innovation and continuous improvement? Integrate process reengineering and TQM. *Strategy & Leadership Vol. 21 Issue 3, pp.6 - 12*.

Deakins, E., Makgill, H.H. (1996). What killed BPR; some evidence from the literature. *Information Systems* Conference of New Zealand, 1996 Proceedings.

Dow, D., Samson, D., & Ford, S. (1999). Exploding the myth: Do all quality management practises contribute to superior quality performance. *Production and Operations Management, vol.8, issue 1, p. 1-27* Fazeel, F, (2002). TQM Vs BPR. *Quality Management Systems*.

Talib, F., Zillur Rahman, Qureshi, M.N. (2010). The relationship between total quality management and quality

performance in the service industry: a theoretical model. International Journal of Business, Management and Social Sciences (Vol. 1, No. 1, 2010, pp. 113-128)

Lawler, E. E., & Mohrman, S.A. (1985). Quality circles after the fad. International journal of Management Sciences. Anderson, J. C., Rungtusanatham, M., & Schroeder, R.G. (1999). A theory of quality management underlying the Deming management method. Academy of Management Review (Vol 15, No 3, 472-565)
[1] Oakland, J. (2007). From quality to excellence in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence Volume 16, Issue 8-9, 2005

[2] Campos, J.M., & Aspinwall, E. (2010). Comparative study of Western and Japanese improvement systems. *Total quality management and business excellence <u>Volume 14</u>, <u>Issue 4</u>, 2003* 

Laza, R.W., & Wheaton, P.L. (2012). Recognizing the pitfalls of total quality management. *Public Utilities Fortnightly Journal Volume 125, issue 8* 

Ranjan, M. (2005). Total Quality Management as the basis for organizational transformation of Indian Railways – A Study in Action Research. *Research and scholarly publications of Southern Cross University* 

Midwest League. (2003). Pitching, individual records. Retrieved October 1, 2003, from <a href="http://www.midwestleague.com/indivpitching.html">http://www.midwestleague.com/indivpitching.html</a>

Doomun, R., & Jungum, N.V. (2008). Business process modelling, simulation and reengineering: call centres. Business Process Management Journal, Vol. 14 Iss: 6, pp.838 - 848

Fazel, F., & Salegna, G. (1996). An integrative approach for selecting a TQM/BPR implementation plan. *International Journal of Quality Science, Vol. 1 Iss: 3, pp.6 - 23* 

[3] R. Selladurai (2010). An organizational profitability, productivity, performance (PPP) model: Going beyond TQM and BPR. *Total Quality management <u>Volume 13</u>, <u>Issue 5</u>, 2002* 

Simon, A. (2000). Comparative study of TQM and BPR. European journal of Operational Research.

Strunk, W., & White, E. B. (1979). Business process reengineering. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition New York. Shortell, S.M.,Bennett, C. L., & Byck, G.R. (1998). Assessing the Impact of Continuous Quality Improvement on Clinical Practice: What It Will Take to Accelerate Progress. A multidisciplinary journal of population health and health policy. Volume 76, issue 4,Pges 593 – 624S

Guha, S., William, K., & Teng, J. (2007). BPR, Building a comprehensive methodology. Information System Management (Vol. 10)

Guimaraes, T. (1999). Field testing of the proposed predictors of BPR success in manufacturing firms. *Journal of manufacturing Systems (Volume 18, issue , pages 53-65)* 

Pyo, S. (2008). Choosing Quality Tools. Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism.

Senthil, V., Devadasan, S.R., Selladurai, V., & Baladhandayutham, R. (2010). Integration of BPR and TQM: Past, present and future trends. *Production Planning & Control: The Management of Operations*. Ozkelik, Y. (2009). Do business process reengineering projects payoff? Evidence from the United States. *International journal of project management*.

Sinha, P.R. (2000). BPR and TQM. Retrieved from: webs.twsu.edu/enteng/paper/sinha1.pdf

<u>Hlupic</u>, V., <u>Choudrie</u>, J., & Patel, N. (2000). <u>Business Process Re-engineering (BPR): The REBUS Approach</u>. <u>Cognition, technology & work</u> (Vol. 2).

Jarrar, Y. F., & <u>Aspinwall</u>, E.M. (2009). Integrating total quality management and business process re-engineering: Is it enough? *Total Quality Management (Vol 10.issue 4)*.

Abdul-Hadi, N., Al-Sudairi, A., & Al-Qahtani, S. (2003). Prioritizing barriers to successful business re-engineering (BPR) efforts in Saudi Arabian construction industry. *Construction, management and economics (Volume 23, Issue 3, 2005)* 

Valentine, R., Knights, D. (1998). TQM and BPR - Can you spot the difference? *Personnel Review (Vol. 27, p.78–85)*. <u>Klein</u>, M.M. (2007). Reengineering methodologies and tools: A prescription for enhancing success. *Information Systems Management (Vol. 11, p.30-35)*.

Drew, S. (2002). BPR in financial services: Factors for success. Long Range planning (Volume 27, issue 5)

<u>Alavi</u>, M., & <u>Yoo</u>, Y. (1995). Productivity gains of BPR achieving success where others have Failed. *Information Systems Management*.

Majed al- Mashari, Zairi, M. (2000). Revisiting BPR: A holistic review of practise and development. Business Process Management journal (Vol. 6, p 10-42)

Clegg, C., Wall, T., & Pepper, K. (2002). An international survey of the use and effectiveness of modern manufacturing practices. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries* (*Vol. 12, Issue 2, p. 171–191*)

Hill, F.M., Collins, L.K. (2000). The roles of TQM and BPR in organizational change strategies: a case study investigation. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management(Vol. 17 Iss: 6, p.614 – 635)*.

Hansson, J., Backlund, F., & Lycke, L. (2003). Managing commitment: increasing the odds for successful implementation of TQM, TPM or RCM. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management (Vol. 20, p.993 – 1008)*.

Larsen, M. A., & Myers, M. D. (2000). When success turns into failure: a package- driven business process re-engineering project in the financial service industry. The journal of strategic information system (Volume 8, issue 4)

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

### CALL FOR PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

### **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

