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Abstract

The concept of Absorptive Capacity has been identified as an important tool for firms to sustain, grow and compete in their markets. A few authors have adopted the concept in their study in various dimensions. However, many of the researches emphasized on big firms with complex operating structures and strong research and development background. Not much research has addressed the theory in the perspective of Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms. Construction has been referred to as transaction-oriented business changing from the normal design-bid and builds process, to performance and innovative capacity based business. Due to the urge for Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms to acquire competencies and capabilities required for their sustenance in the face of increased competition by absorbing new skills, knowledge and technology through the recognition of valuable external information, acquiring and translating them through innovation to be able to successfully attain competitive advantage over others. The purpose of this study is to carry out a detailed literature review aimed at understanding the Absorptive Capacity of Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms as presented by earlier previous authors in this area of research. This study adds to existing body of knowledge on Absorptive Capacity by pointing out some important dimensions that are connected to the concept and adoption of new techniques in the perspective of Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms.
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1. Introduction

Firms in the construction industry have been grouped such that Small and Medium Firms (SMFs) were found to be the majority (Ofori, 2009; Abdullah et al., 2012; Thwala et al., 2012). Some of these construction firms were reported to exhibit low commitment to production resulting from their poor performance in both physical and service delivery output. However, majority have the potential of developing into a technically better business, since they have dedicated leadership with great commitment towards better service delivery of product and services, as they have concern for continuous performance improvement in order for them to gain competitive advantage (Bilau, 2011; Ofori, 2009; Ajagbe & Ismail, 2014). As a basis for competitive advantage, small and medium construction firms (SMCFs) require to successfully create a process to drive the development of a continuous flow of innovation through Absorptive Capacity (AC), a means to innovation which is an essential factor for a firm’s development (Wu & Guo, 2008; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). However, the concept of AC is the ability to be able to recognize valuable external information, acquire and translate it to be able to gain competitive advantage. Wibowo (2009) opine that the construction industry being a significant contributor to the development of both developed and developing nations provides necessary public utilities and private physical structures. Ofori (2009) go further and argued that SMCFs play a vital role in the industry accounting for about 80% of the total number of construction firms, its high percentage of employment production and its being a major beneficiary of government contract in the industry. With construction being a transaction-oriented business changing from the normal design-bid and build process to performance and innovative capacity based business (Rodney, 2010). The drive for SMCFs is threatened by changing clients demand and expectations, market conditions (Robinson et al., 2003), the rapid changes in the development of advanced technology, democratization of information, globalization, government pressure (Khairuddin, 2010), and among other factors. Due to the aforementioned, SMCFs need acquire competencies and capabilities required for their sustenance in the face of increased competition (Faniran, 1999). Such competencies could be acquired by absorbing new skills, knowledge and technology (Khairuddin, 2010) through the recognition of valuable external information, acquiring and translating them through innovation, as being implemented in pharmaceuticals and other manufacturing industries (Sharmistha, 2001; Othman, 2009; Ismail et al., 2012). This will enable them to successfully develop and attain competitive edge over others (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990). This particular review
work is aimed at understanding the development of SMCFs using Absorptive Capacity concept. Although many authors have investigated AC in different context, however, this study shall focus on AC in SMCFs with particular emphasis on Nigeria. This research will be arranged in the following manner. The literature review section will focus on critical review of small and medium enterprises in Nigeria, classification of small and medium sized construction firms in Nigeria, contributions of SMCFs in Nigeria, challenges of SMCFs in Nigeria, the concept of absorptive capacity, dimensions of absorptive capacity, and determinants of absorptive capacity. The study concludes with a section which presents the findings from this study, implication for research and recommendations for future research work.

Review of Extant Literature
Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in Nigeria
In the study of Anna (2008), she opine that small and medium sized firms (SMFs) have been a source of economic development through its vast creation of employment, wealth creation and innovation by introducing competitive strategies which set them apart from other firms. These include their ability to re-engineer products and service delivery to meet clients’ demand by putting in place innovative techniques or development of new strategies (Ajagbe et al., 2012; Ismail et al., 2012; Ajagbe & Ismail, 2014). According to Aris (2007), the drive of most economies towards industrial development is dependent on the development of SMFs considering its large numbers and structure which under adequate conditions gives them the flexibility and ability to stand adverse economic situations. As a result, SMFs development and competitiveness affect any economy’s position since it improves the efficiency of local markets (Aris, 2007; Anna, 2008; Ofori, 2009). It also makes adequate and productive use of an economy’s scarce resources thereby facilitating a long-term growth for the economy. Ismail et al. (2012) mentioned that majority have the potential of developing into technically better businesses. Abdullah et al. (2011) argued that they also have dedicated leadership with great commitment towards better service delivery of their products and services. In addition to this, they have concern for continuous performance improvement in order for them to gain competitive advantage. In the views of Ofori (2009), SMCFs as a basis for competitive advantage require to successfully create a process to drive the development of a continuous flow of innovation through Absorptive Capacity. This is considered as a means to innovation which is an essential factor for a firm’s development (Kelly, 2006; Wu & Guo, 2008; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014).

The construction industry being a significant contributor to the development of both developed and developing nations provides necessary public utilities and private physical structures (Wibowo, 2009; Ofori, 2009). In addition, SMCFs play a vital role in the industry accounting for about 80% of the total number of construction enterprise, its high percentage of employment production and its being a major beneficiary of government contract (Ofori, 2009; Bilau, 2011). Small and medium sized firms have been of increasing interest for academics and policy makers in recent years since their role in both developed and developing economies has been established as being major (Ajagbe et al., 2012; Ajagbe & Ismail, 2014). They account for 60-70% of employment in the manufacturing sector and the large majority of employment in the service sectors. They are seen as having the potential to quickly respond to the customers need in a more flexible though less bureaucratic manner than large firms. As a result of their small scale and their particularly ownership-managerial structure. It is important to emphasize the word “potential” to avoid assuming homogeneity among small firms. Christian (2010) concluded that they are mainly family-owned companies where senior managers have personal or family relationships to the firm.

Classification of Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms in Nigeria
Small and medium sized firm’s definition differs from country to country. Ajagbe & Ismail (2014) mentioned that various yardstick have been used to define SMFs such as the value of assets employed and the use of energy. Abdullah et al. (2012) highlighted that over time in Nigeria, the government has used various definitions and criteria in identifying what is referred to as SMFs. At certain point in time, it used investment in machinery and equipment and working capital at another time, the capital cost and turnover were also used (Umar, 2008). The National Association of Small and Medium Scale Enterprise (NASME) defines a small sized firm as an organisation with less than 50 employees and an annual turnover of ₦100 Million. Further definition is that with less than 100 employees and an annual turnover of ₦500 Million. The Central Bank of Nigeria defines SMFs as an enterprise with an asset base of ₦200 Million excluding land and working capital with staff employed by the firm not less than 10 and not more than 300 (Kelly, 2006). Table 1 indicates the SMEDAN classification of firms in Nigeria.
In order to explore the role of firm’s strategies in provision of the ability of an organisation to recognize the value of new, external information, assimilate it, and apply it to related knowledge and critical to the organization’s innovative capabilities. The definition of AC by Cohen & Levinthal (1990) was expanded by Zahra & George (2002) when they defined absorptive capacity as: a set of organizational routines and processes by which organizations acquire, assimilate, transform, and exploit knowledge to produce a dynamic organizational capability. This pertains to knowledge creation and utilization by introducing competitive strategies which set them apart from other firms. These include their ability to re-engineer products and service delivery to meet clients’ demand by putting in place innovative techniques or development of new strategies.

**Table 1: Classification of firms in Nigeria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company size</th>
<th>Number of employees</th>
<th>Annual turnover (₦)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>1 - 49</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>50 – 99</td>
<td>500,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>500,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN), Abuja, 2007

**Contributions of Small and Medium Sized Construction Firms**

Ofori (2009) reported that the importance of SMFs is more than the longer-term economic stability it creates due to their size and structure and other factors which grants them the flexibility and ability to weather adverse economic conditions. The author argued that they are more labor-intensive than larger firms as a result of which they have lower capital costs associated with employment creation. Abdullah et al. (2012) opine that SMCFs play an important role in the construction industry by fostering income stability, growth in the industry and also the provision of infrastructure for the economy. Christian (2010) posit that they have been a source of economic development through their vast employment and wealth creation and innovation by introducing competitive strategies which set them apart from other firms. These include their ability to re-engineer products and service delivery to meet clients’ demand by putting in place innovative techniques or development of new strategies.

**Challenges of SMCFs in Nigeria**

Gushibet (2011) mentioned that an important feature of SMCFs in Nigeria have to do with the organizational structure, owing to its ownership status revolving around a family and as a result of which it is either run as sole enterprise or partnership. Due to this, most of the firm’s organizational structure is pyramidal and as such affects decision making and information dissemination in the firm. This has been a big challenge as decision that affect the growth of the firms are in most cases unilaterally taken by the owners of the firm. Ajagbe et al. (2012) suggested that for rapid growth and development, firms must adopt a functional structure that would encourage the involvement of personnel in decision making for rapid organizational growth. Ismail et al. (2012) added that other challenges facing SMCFs in includes limited access to funds from finance institutions and high interest rate, poor cooperation and/ or linkages between SMCFs. In addition, Abdullah et al. (2011) maintained that larger firms do better and cooperation with research institutions to gather knowledge for innovation, inadequate managerial skill due to inadequate employment of skilled labor. In addition to this, the absence of research and development (R&D), inadequate training and continuous professional development for firm’s personnel, low level educational and technical knowledge, inadequate management of infrastructures among other factors, all constitute to the challenges of SMCFs in Nigeria.

**Concept of Absorptive Capacity**

Adler (1965) opines that the absorptive capacity concept originated from macroeconomics, where it refers to the ability of an economy to utilize and absorb external information and resources. The author added that this macroeconomic concept was adapted to organizations by Cohen & Levinthal (1990) when they described AC as the ability of an organisation to recognize the value of new, external information, assimilate it, and apply it to commercial ends. Absorptive Capacity was argued as largely a function of the organization’s level of prior related knowledge and critical to the organization’s innovative capabilities. The definition of AC by Cohen & Levinthal (1990) was expanded by Zahra & George (2002) when they defined absorptive capacity as: a set of organizational routines and processes by which organizations acquire, assimilate, transform, and exploit knowledge to produce a dynamic organizational capability. This pertains to knowledge creation and utilization that enhances an organization's ability to gain and sustain a competitive advantage. The AC has since been put to use by other industries including manufacturing, information technology, pharmaceuticals industries to mention a few (Sharmistha, 2001; Chinho et al., 2002; Othman, 2009). The “absorptive capacity” concept focus attention on the fact that knowledge outside the boundaries of the firm is not absorbed freely and effortlessly. Because even if the knowledge is in the public domain, rather, effort, expertise, and purposeful action on the part of firms, researchers are required to identify, assimilate, and exploit potentially valuable external knowledge (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). In order to explore the role of firm’s strategies in provision of
benefits of AC, firms must focus on the exploitation of research results as generated by in-house researchers, university scientists, and large firm’s inventions.

**Dimensions of Absorptive Capacity**

Tsai (2001) highlighted that the concept of absorptive capacity emanates from a long process of investment and knowledge accumulation as a result of which the firm obtains their innovativeness in process and product development. The firms’ innovativeness can take the form of quality of the employees of the firm, its knowledge base, the quality of its knowledge management system, or the firm’s organizational culture among other factors (Kumar & Nti, 1998; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). In another view, Carmen (2007) suggested that there are four dimensions of absorptive capacity concept. These include: acquisition, assimilation, transformation and exploitation:

- **Acquisition**: This refers to the firm’s capacity to identify and acquire knowledge that is externally generated. The quality of firm's capacity to acquire is determined by the intensity and speed of the firm's efforts to identify and accumulate knowledge (Zahra & George, 2002; Carmen, 2007).

- **Assimilation**: Knowledge assimilation is defined as the firm's routines and processes for analyzing, processing, interpreting and understanding obtained information from external sources, for the acquired knowledge to be internalized within the firm (Szulanski, 1996; Carmen, 2007).

- **Transformation**: This is the capacity of firms to improve on its routines to facilitate the combination of existing knowledge and the acquired and assimilated knowledge (Zahra & George, 2002; Carmen, 2007).

- **Exploitation**: Cohen & Levinthal (1990) defined exploitation as the capacity of a firm based on its routines. This aids firm’s to improving, expanding and utilization of the firm’s existing competences and innovativeness to create new process and products through the incorporation of acquired knowledge and transformation of its operations in order to increase the firm’s productivity (Carmen, 2007). Figure 1 shows the contribution of AC and innovation to achieve a competitive advantage.

![Figure 1: Contribution of absorptive capacity and innovation to achieve a competitive advantage (Carmen, 2007).](image)

**Determinants of Absorptive Capacity**

**Internal R&D and Absorptive Capacity**

Cohen & Levinthal (1990) stated that organizations must develop absorptive capacity for them to benefit from the flows of external knowledge. It has been pointed out that a firm is far better equipped to absorb the output of external R&D if it is also performing some amount of R&D internally and that a firm’s investment in R&D contributes to organizational AC (Mowery, 1984; Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). In order for a firm to survive in a fast growing economy, it must take cognizance of developing new process to getting things done by designing new products and services. In an industry that is changing fast, firms must continually revise their design process and produce output that could sustain competition. Johansson & Loof (2008) opine that this is critical considering the continuous change in technology and development as well as other competitors and the customer’s continuous changing preference. Without the firm having an internal R&D
program, they therefore must rely on alliances that are strategic, acquisitions of research outputs, and collaboration with networks to tap into the innovations of other larger firms or research institutions.

**Human Capital Development and Absorptive Capacity**

Many authors have reported that education and training has long been identified as a means to increase the stick of knowledge of both individuals and organizations alike because it aids the improvement of firms innovative system as well (Mangematin & Nesta, 1999; Lundvall et al., 2002; Long et al., 2012a; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). They researchers who studied this domain of investigation believe that for effective AC of firms, it is paramount that firms engage the services of highly educated employees and at the same time invest continuously in their training. That is training which would be of more focus and benefit to their organizations specific needs. Long et al. (2012a) argued that provision of adequate training and continuous professional development aimed at achieving high standards provides the basic required tools to facing competition in the industry and to deal with complexity of the present global economy. They added that higher levels of education will ensure managers of firms to draw adequate resources from qualified personnel and may increase the likelihood of transforming process and product innovations, which is a bench of success in the growing international market. Ajagbe & Ismail (2014) found that SMFs have the capacity to take advantage of their firm-specific resources and capabilities, such as distinctive knowledge and contract networks of their founders to grow beyond the challenges facing them. Long et al. (2012a) concluded that the development of human resources is an important medium which drives the process between training and learning.

**Co-operation, Collaboration and Absorptive Capacity**

Becker and Dietz (2004) opine that the collaboration of R&D increases organizations’ innovation because ample evidence exists to prove that research collaborations are common when organizations develop higher level innovations (Tether, 2002; Belderbos et al., 2004; Becker & Dietz, 2004). Furthermore, an organizations development of close external relationships increases the organizations potential effect of transferring information as well as tacit knowledge. Vinding (2006) posit that organizations that develop closer relationships to related actors and other knowledge institutions do significantly better on innovative performance. This is in comparison to those that have only developed a closer relationship to one of the actors, and they do much better as compared to those that have not developed closer relationships at all. Lim (2006) argue that an organization’s collaboration with university scientists is associated with more exploitation of published scientific research, as a result, higher absorptive capacity and shorter lag times between existing knowledge and new organization’s inventions to exploit the knowledge. Fabrizio (2006) conclude that it should be known that absorptive capacity is primarily a function of connectedness with research institutions.

**Attitude towards Change and Absorptive Capacity**

Cohen & Levinthal (1990) found that organizational variables such as organizational structure, culture and communication also influence AC. They highlighted that these factors are interrelated and as a result influence each other. Kanter (1985) mentioned that organizational culture has an important influence on an organization's innovativeness as a result it is of specific importance whether tradition or continuous change is a value in the organization. The author argued further that people try to adjust to a certain culture and if changes are desired, the individuals will be much more motivated to search information about possible changes and improvements. However, strong cultures tend to hinder the process of change and foster inbreeding (Kotter, 1996; Kelly, 2001; Kelly, 2006). Cultures of this nature are not favorable to organizational innovation, especially if the ideas for innovation come from outside the organization.oden (1997) opine that since organizational culture also influences the employees’ perception of the external environment, they become reluctant to assimilate and use external information because they cannot recognize their value, though they might be aware of them. Therefore, these kinds of cultures leave little room for the absorption of the external sources of knowledge, especially if they contradict the existing beliefs (Bosch et al., 1999; Bilau, 2011).

**Firms Capabilities and Absorptive Capacity**

Recently, the absorptive capacity of firms has been a source of continuous investigation. This entails that it enables firms to identify, assimilate, and utilize external knowledge required for the development of the organization (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Kelly, 2006). Developing this ability requires the firm’s investment of time and resources and this may take time. Existing literatures categorically show the importance of the firm’s research activities, its cooperative and collaborative research activities with outside researchers. This is geared towards providing the ability to identify, capture and utilize valuable external knowledge for the competitive advantage of the organisation. Kira (2004) established the positive link between absorptive capacity and the innovative performance of firms. Ample studies revealed that universities are an important source of research results (Ismail et al., 2012; Ajagbe et al., 2012; Ajagbe & Ismail, 2014) for firms utilization cutting across many
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industries, this importance until today keeps growing (Narin et al., 1997; Kira, 2004). Research and development as performed in universities and government established research institutes are of particular importance considering their input to innovation of processes and products development in the biotechnology, pharmaceutical and the manufacturing industries. Firms in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries rely on basic developments of science in biology, chemistry, biochemistry. In addition to new drugs and delivery systems found to have their origins in discoveries in universities, government research institutes and laboratories (Ismail et al., 2012; Kamal & Flanagan, 2014). The authors suggest that if these feet can be achieved for the said industries, then it could also aid development of SMCFs in order to improve on their processes with the aim to satisfy clients increasing demands for product improvements.

Management of Knowledge and Firms Development
Huggins & Izushi (2007) refer knowledge to be the primary means to wealth creation for a rapidly growing number of individuals, firms and economies. However, due to increasing global competition among employers of labor, managers must realize the importance of competitive advantage, and how to sustain the benefit derived. Conventionally sustaining competitive advantage has been achieved through focusing on financial, strategic and technological capabilities. However, Ulrich & Lake (1991) suggested “organizational capability” as the forth important aspect of knowledge management. Hence, sustainable competitive advantage is achieved by focusing on how to manage people. Furthermore, Inkpen (1998) argues that firms have to reconsider how new knowledge should be acquired into the organisation to react to the increased global competition. Wong & Aspinwall (2004) argued that the management and creation of new knowledge are just as important for small firms as it is for large corporations. However, most research on knowledge management focus on large organizations. Hence, there is relatively little information available on knowledge management within small and medium sized construction firms particularly in the context of Nigeria.

Many recent investigation on this domain of study has exposed that knowledge management can be seen as the primary tool for sustaining competitive advantage and a means to distinguish the organisation from their competitors (Omerzel & Antonicic, 2008). According to Inkpen (1998), the value of knowledge is dependent on the organization. The author argued further that for knowledge to be useful for the organisation it has to be acquired, transferred and integrated into everyday work. Smaller firms are well known to provide less formal training compared to the larger firms. De Kok (2002) argued that there has been an increase in training provided by smaller firms over the last decade. The choice of method to acquire knowledge and what type of knowledge to acquire is dependent on the company. Pate et al. (2000) concluded that it is important to understand how knowledge can be used in a practical sense in the daily business prior to when it is acquired. Hence, the rate with which the new knowledge is incorporated into the company depends on the AC of the firm (Inkpen, 1998). Figure 2 shows the processes of knowledge conversion in an organisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socialization</th>
<th>Externalisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share experiences: Training on the job, brainstorming informal gathering.</td>
<td>Communicante intuitive, subjective expériences : Metaphors, analogies, physical models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result: Sympathized knowledge</td>
<td>Result : Conceptual knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internalization</th>
<th>Combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoid re-inventing wheel: Handbooks, diagrams, learning by doing, stories, studies</td>
<td>Share, integrate knowledge: text/ image/ audio/ video-document, discussion, formal training and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results: Operational knowledge</td>
<td>Result: System knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Processes of knowledge conversion (Nonaka/Takeuchi, 1995 in Bilau, 2011)

Organizational Knowledge Management
Knowledge Management (KM) is a formal and direct process used in determining the knowledge a company has and how it can benefit the personnel of the company. It also involves finding out important ways by which such knowledge could be made available for others within the organisation (Liss, 1999 cited in Smith, 2007). Knowledge management according to Wong and Aspinwall (2004) is an essential tool to develop sustainable competitive advantage of a firm. Winkler & Mandl (2007) highlighted that KM encompasses both planned and systematic handling of knowledge and also determines the use of knowledge in an organisation. Although KM must simultaneously address factors like: individual, organization, and technology in order to be recognized as a firm’s long-term strategy. Knowledge management is a firm’s strategic effort put in place to be attained in order to gain competitive advantage. This is achieved through the identification and utilization of both employees’ and customers’ intellectual asset. Netzley & Kirkwood (2006) believe that acquiring, storing, and distribution of
knowledge is an approach towards helping employees work smarter and reduce work duplication. As a result it produces more innovative processes, products and services to satisfy customers’ needs, hence, create good value for the organisation.

Managing the Knowledge Absorbed

The wealth of knowledge absorbed by an organisation is embedded in people’s head, work practices and systems. The challenge for every organisation is the processes and procedures to be put in place in order to capture that knowledge and how such is leveraged by the organization. Spender (2002) argued that knowledge being intangible in nature makes it harder to identify and manage, and as a result, cannot be treated in a manner as other organizational assets. Abdullah et al. (2012) reported that SMFs in developing countries are faced with the many challenges of the adoption of KM because many owner and managers of SMCFs lack the fundamental concepts of managing knowledge. Furthermore, they not aware of the underlying benefits of knowledge management.

Long et al. (2012a) found that effective sharing of knowledge has been affected by cultural barrier factors such as distrust, retrenchment concerns, lack of recognition and effective communication. Spender (2002) added that such mindsets that knowledge is power, and so on are the barriers to effective sharing and utilization of knowledge. In addition, in order to successfully integrate identified and absorbed knowledge into a firms system, an effective organizational culture must be established in order to play a critical role in adoption and successful implementation of KM in SMCFs. Kamal & Flanagan (2014) reported that SMCFs must develop a high organizational care culture which is a key element to the development of KM so as to help the firm’s personnel to share ideas, information and absorbed knowledge. Von Krogh (1998) concluded that developing knowledge management competencies and visionary leadership is also challenging for small and medium sized firms in the construction industry.

Conclusion for the Study:

The main objective of this study is to understand through a detailed literature review the level of awareness of the absorptive capacity concept by small and medium sized construction firms in Nigeria. In order to achieve this objective, intensive literature review was conducted to identify the level of awareness of SMCFs in Nigeria, by identifying the absorptive capacity measurement indices for SMCFs. The study found that firm's capacity to identify and acquire knowledge that is externally generated depends on the level of absorptive capacity of the implementation of the management team of the organisation. The quality of firm's capacity to acquire is determined by the intensity and speed of the firm's efforts to identify and accumulate knowledge. In addition to this, the capacity of a firm based on its routines helps them to improve, expand and utilize existing competences and innovativeness to create new process and products through the incorporation of acquired knowledge and transformation of its operations in order to increase its productivity.

In addition to the above findings, this study also found other challenges facing SMCFs in Nigeria to include limited access to funds from finance institutions and high interest rate, poor cooperation and/ or linkages between SMCFs. Additionally, larger firms doing better and cooperation with research institutions to gather knowledge for innovation, inadequate managerial skill due to inadequate employment of skilled professionals. Furthermore, absence of R&D, inadequate training and continuous professional development for firms personnel, low level educational and technical knowledge, and insufficient management of infrastructures among other factors.

Contribution of the Study:

This particular review work is aimed at understanding the development of SMCFs in using absorptive capacity. Although many authors have investigated AC in different context, however, this study focused on AC in SMCFs with particular emphasis on Nigeria. Having carried out an in-depth review of past empirical literature in this domain of investigation as reported by earlier researchers, the findings of this research contributes to the existing body of knowledge of absorptive capacity by highlighting on issues related to absorptive capacity and moves further by considering the relevance of the concept to the small and medium sized construction firms in Nigeria. This research has contributed to the many archive of literature in the field of absorptive capacity in small and medium sized construction firms as most of the previous research focuses on absorptive capacity in the context of large organizations.

Recommendation for Future Researchers:

The authors recommend that future researchers should conduct a qualitative study to elicit directly from the participants the factors affecting the implementation of absorptive capacity concept by small and medium sized construction firms in Nigeria. The suggested research approach should be adopted because research has shown that qualitative research approach is the best method to extract firsthand information from the participants in the
context of research areas that is yet to be fully explored. Hence, in the context of Nigeria where the study of absorptive capacity in small and medium sized construction firms has not been fully investigated, this research approach is most suitable.
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