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Abstract
Knowledge of the effect of the P remaining in the soil (residual effect) is of great importance for fertilization recommendation. Environmental applications of nanotechnology address the development of solutions to the existing environmental problems, preventive measures for future problems resulting from the interactions of materials with the environment. For this purpose, Fe-Al-Mn ternary mixed oxide nanocomposite adsorbent with 70, 25 and 5 percentage composition of Fe, Al, Mn respectively was synthesized by impregnation method. Its crystal structure was hematite with 20.31 nm size and 69.93 m²/g surface area. The study of phosphate desorption was carried out on different farming practices with four types of treatments: Sole Maize conventional practice (SMCP), Sole Maize conservation agriculture (SMCA), Sole Haricot bean conservation agriculture (SHCA) and Maize-Haricot bean intercropping conservation agriculture (MHCA). The simulation of phosphate desorption from soil to plant was predicted by dialysis membrane tube filled with the ternary mixed nanocomposite (DMT-FeAlMn). DMT-FeAlMn was founded to be the promising adsorbent to simulate the plant–phosphate relation in acidic soil or to estimate the properties of soil for phosphate desorption to the soil solution. The observed desorbed of phosphate was significant in the treatment order of SMCP < SHCA < SMCA < MHCA. The releasing rate of phosphate from soil followed first order kinetics having SMCP (R² = 0.996), SMCA (R² = 0.997), SHCA (R² = 0.993), and MHCA (R² = 0.991) with rate constant 0.0006hr⁻¹.
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1. Introduction
Phosphorus is commonly a limiting nutrient for plant growth in many soils around the world (McDowell and Stewart, 2006). The amount of P removed from a field by crops in general varies from 3-33% of applied P fertilizer (Kamper & Claassens, 2005). Soils receiving successive applications of fertilizer P or manure over a long-term, therefore, can accumulate large amounts of residual P. This represents not only an uneconomic practice, as phosphate ores are expected to be depleted within approximately 50 years, but also the risk of potential for P loss to surface waters via overland or subsurface flow and inter accelerate freshwater eutrophication (McDowell & Sharpley, 2002).

The P availability for plants is usually done using single chemical extraction methods. However, it is accepted that the plant acquires its P from the soil solution that has to be replenished over the growth period. The availability of P to plants therefore depends, among other things, on the rate at which it is released to replenish the soil solution (Raven and Hossner, 1994). Due to P build up with a significant residual effect could be expected and this can lead to an underestimation of the available P if not taken into account.

Plant P availability of residual P in soils can be reliably estimated by successive cropping experiments carried out in field or greenhouse conditions, where P is taken up until P deficiency occurs or a response to added P is measured (Indiatti, 2000). This approach, however, takes many years to realize which makes it very expensive and time consuming. Therefore, instead of attempting to tap the residual P by continually cropping till the plant responds, more rapid soil test methods that can approximate this biological measure have been required. According to these methods, a given soil is subjected to successive P desorption using materials that can act as P sinks (Van der zee et al., 1987). By employing these methods, one can study the P release rate of a given soil and for how long a given soil can supply P. This in turn enables to know for how long it will take for soil P to deplete to a concentration where manure or fertilizer P can again be applied (Freese et al., 1995; Lookman et al., 1995).

In order to assess long-term P desorption kinetics, it is necessary to sufficiently suppress the backward resorption reaction. This can be done by introducing effective P sinks into the system. Van der zee et al. (1987), proposed the use of Fe-oxide impregnated filter paper strips (Fe-oxide strips) as a promising method to study the P release kinetics of soils. Acting as a sink for P, the Fe-oxide strips have a sounder theoretical basis than the chemical extractants in estimating available soil P (Sharply, 1996). However, this method was found to be not well applicable for long-term desorption studies as it may lead to errors due to adhesion of fine P-rich particles to the paper strips and due to the mechanical instability of the paper when used for long term desorption studies...
The study area of experiment was carried out at Bako Agricultural Research Centre (BARC) on best bet conservation farming practices, which are four treatments; these have been going on since 2010 to 2013. The centre is located in the western part of Ethiopia at about 9° 6’N latitude and 37° 9’ E longitudes; it is about distance of 250 km away from Addis Ababa at an altitude of 1650 m above sea level. It has a warm humid climate with annual mean minimum, mean maximum and average temperatures of 14, 28 and 21°C respectively as recorded in 1990-2014. The area receives an annual rain fall of 1237mm from May to October with maximum precipitation in the month of June to August (BARC metrological data record). The soil of the area is characteristically reddish brown with a pH that falls in the range of slightly acidic to very acidic (BARC soil laboratory record).

Synthesis of Fe-Al-Mn mixed nanocomposite and the desorption experiments using the as-synthesized material was carried out at Haramaya University, Chemistry Department research laboratory. XRD was carried out for structural characterization at the Geological survey of Ethiopia. Infrared spectroscopic study was conducted for identification of functional groups at Addis Ababa University, and UV-Vis spectroscopic technique was used at Chemistry Department research laboratory of Haramaya University.

2. Experimental site and procedure
2.1. Description of experimental site
The study area of experiment was carried out at Bako Agricultural Research Centre (BARC) on best bet conservation farming practices, which are four treatments; these have been going on since 2010 to 2013. The centre is located in the western part of Ethiopia at about 9° 6’N latitude and 37° 9’ E longitudes; it is about distance of 250 km away from Addis Ababa at an altitude of 1650 m above sea level. It has a warm humid climate with annual mean minimum, mean maximum and average temperatures of 14, 28 and 21°C respectively as recorded in 1990-2014. The area receives an annual rain fall of 1237mm from May to October with maximum precipitation in the month of June to August (BARC metrological data record). The soil of the area is characteristically reddish brown with a pH that falls in the range of slightly acidic to very acidic (BARC soil laboratory record).

Synthesis of Fe-Al-Mn mixed nanocomposite and the desorption experiments using the as-synthesized material was carried out at Haramaya University, Chemistry Department research laboratory. XRD was carried out for structural characterization at the Geological survey of Ethiopia. Infrared spectroscopic study was conducted for identification of functional groups at Addis Ababa University, and UV-Vis spectroscopic technique was used at Chemistry Department research laboratory of Haramaya University.

2.2. Experimental Procedures
2.2.1. Synthesis of Fe-Al-Mn mixed oxide nanocomposite
The Fe-Al-Mn Ternary mixed oxides nanocomposite was prepared by impregnation method (Aguila et al., 2008). In which 0.1 molar nano sized Fe-Al-Mn ternary mixed oxides was prepared by impregnating MnO2 with aluminum nitrate nona-hydrated (Al(NO3)3·9H2O, 98 %, Hightech Health care, India), ferric nitrate nona-hydrate (Fe(NO3)3·9H2O 98 %, Hightech Health care, India) solution in deionized water with 1:3 ratios. Three samples of the adsorbent having different molar percentages of iron, aluminum and manganese precursors as (90, 8, 2), (80, 10, 10), (70, 25, 5) % or molar ratio 45:4:1, 8:1:1 and 14:5:1, respectively, were prepared for this study. During synthesizing process, three beakers (1L) were needed. The amount of Al and Fe nitrates were dissolved completely in separate beakers. They were mixed together in a third beaker while stirring after complete dissolution. The MnO2 powder was added on the mixed salt solution. The samples were left for 6 hr without disturbance then after dried at 110°C in an oven over night and waited until complete drying and further calcined in mulfurnace at 400°C for 4 hr.

2.2.2. X-Ray Power Diffraction Characterization of the As-Synthesized Adsorbent
X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D8-Advanced, Germany, power 40 kW with 35 mA at 25°C) was used to determine the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the adsorbent from which to determine the phase composition and estimate the crystallite size of the powders. The size of the primary crystallite (Dv) of the solid-phase (Fe2O3-Al2O3-MnO2) was calculated from the XRD diffractometry according to the Dubye Scherrer equation (Laurent et al., 2008):
2.2.2. Data Transferred to Glass Bottles. P in Solution was Determined Calorimetrically with 0.1 M NaOH and Replaced with New DMT-FeAlMn. In Doing So, a Glass Rod Was Used to Remove Any Attached Soil from the Between and Among Treatments Mean Were Made by SAS Software (SAS, 9.3 Version).

The FeAlMn-DMT Were Placed in 200 mL Polyethylene Containers with 1 g of Soil and 80 mL of 2(Sorbent), 0.5 M HCl or NaOH Was Added in Drops to Adjust the Mixture to the pH (KCl) of the Soils (Table 3.4) the Adsorbent Powder, 10.0 g of Sodium Chloride (NaCl 99.5% BDH Chemicals Ltd Poole, England) and 50 mL Area Was Computed from the Equation (2) (Sears, 1956).

2.2.3. Determination of Surface Area

A Desorption Study Was Carried Out Using Dialysis Membrane Tubes (Visking, Size 3) instead of Fe

2.2.4. FTIR Characterization Fe-Al-Mn Ternary Mixed Oxide Nanocomposite

To Determine Bond Structure of Synthesized and Selected Fe-Al-Mn Sample, FTIR Spectrometer (FTIR-65, Perkin-Elmer) Was Used. First, at Room Temperature, the Instrument Was Adjusted with a Resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ Accumulating 100 Scans, Between 400 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm⁻¹ Wave Numbers. Second, 0.001 g of Each Sample Was Mixed with 0.1 g of KBr and Ground to a Fine Powder, Respectively. Then, a Transparent Disc Was Formed Using a Nitrogen Pressure in Moisture Free Atmosphere for 1 h and Absorption Was Recorded (Li et al., 2007).

2.2.5. Elemental Characterization of Fe-Al-Mn Ternary Mixed Oxide Nanocomposite

Percentages of Each Metal in Fe-Al-Mn Were Determined by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FAAS, Model 210/211, Karlsruhe, West Germany). For This Purpose, 0.5 g of the As-Synthesized Powder for Three Replication Were Digested with a Mixture of Concentrated Nitric Acid (7 mL), Concentrated Hydrochloric Acid (4 mL) and Hydrogen Peroxide (2 mL) Using Acid Digestion Tube till Clear Solution Appeared. The Samples Were Transferred to 100 mL Volumetric Flasks and Brought to Volume Using Deionized Water. 1 mL of This Solution Was Diluted Further to 50 mL and the Concentration of Iron Was Read from the Solution in 50 mL Volumetric Flasks. The Results Were Corrected for the Dilution Factor, the Alpha (α) Average Crystallite Size of the

2.2.6. Desorption Study

A Desorption Study Was Carried Out Using Dialysis Membrane Tubes (Visking, Size 3) from Which the Results Were Calculated.

2.2.7. Data Analysis

To Compare the Effects of the Treatments Statistical Analysis of General Linear Model (GLM) Was Carried Out to Determine the Existence of Any Statistical Difference Among the Treatments. Separations of Significant Differences Between and Among Treatments Mean Were Made by SAS Software (SAS, 9.3 Version).

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. XRD Patterns Characterization as-Synthesized Absorbent Nanoparticles

The X-ray Diffraction Study of the As-Synthesized Al-Fe-Mn Mixed Oxide Nanoparticle Powder Was Carried Out with Bruker D8 Advance X-ray Diffractometer (XRD). The XRD Pattern Was Obtained through a Cu Target Kα Radiation (λ = 0.15405 nm and θ = 4 - 64°). As Shown in Table 3.1, the Alpha (α) Average Crystallite Size of the XRD Patterns Was Determined Using Debye Scherrer’s (Equation 1).
As shown in Figure 3.1, the hematite crystals were formed in all samples powder. The 2θ values from each the x-ray diffraction (XRD) Patterns: 90-8-2 (24.6, 33.652, 36, 41.3, 49.8, 54.6, 58 and 62.9)°, 80-10-10 (24.3, 26.7, 33.298, 35.8, 41, 49.5, 54.3, and 62.5)° and 70-25-5 (24.5, 26.9, 33, 43.97, 36, 41.1, 49.5, 54.3, and 62.8)° were observed and of these 33.652°, 33.298° and 33.497° respectively are observed as highest peaks.

Despite that the iron oxides take the magnetite, maghemite and the hematite crystalline phase, the XRD pattern of all the as-synthesized ternary oxide nanoparticles (which were calcinated at 400°C for 4 hrs) typically showed only the hematite phase (Figure 3.1). This contrasted earlier reports that in the dry state, γ-Fe₂O₃ (Maghemite) phase usually transforms to α-Fe₂O₃ (hematite) phase when heated at temperatures ranging from 370-600°C for 2 hr (Martinez et al., 1998). Also for lower initial iron concentration, pure maghemite phase was formed at a calcination temperature of 500°C and the maghemite phase transformed completely into rhombohedral hematite at a calcination temperature of 850°C for two hours (Sahoo et al., 2010) and with increasing of the calcination temperature, the crystal size of the nanosorbent increases (Gabre et al., 2014). There also influences of the calcining temperature and calcining time on the crystallite size, crystallinity, and lattice parameters reported by Zhong et al. (2012) that as calcining time increased, the crystallite size and the crystallinity were increased.

The data does not show any Al and Mn presence because they may entered into Fe oxide lattice as their small ionic radius size. Shannon et al., (1976) and Tesfa Oluma et al., (2014) reported that the ionic radii of Fe³⁺, Al³⁺ & Mn⁴⁺ for coordination number 6 are 59 pm, 54 pm & 53 pm, respectively. In other word, the large amount of Fe loaded into the system during synthesis may cause insignificance of Al and Mn on the XRD data. Furthermore, the diffraction peaks found were the characteristic peaks of Fe₂O₃ (hematite) this may due to small percentage of MnO₂ dominated by Fe₂O₃ and alumina should be amorphous structure. In fact, crystallized alumina such as γ-Al₂O₃ might present under thermal treatment at 800°C and α-Al₂O₃ might present under thermal treatment at 1000°C (Shaheen and Hong, 2002). Mn and Al oxides were hardly detected in the XRD pattern and this may occur due to the presence of Mn and Al oxides in the adsorbent might be insignificant or the formation Al-Fe-Mn solid solution might have forced the Mn and Al species to occupy interstitial holes created by the crystal lattices of α-Fe₂O₃ hematite (Shihabudheen et al., 2006).

Table 3.4. Particle sizes of the as-synthesized of FeAlMn mixed oxide of different % composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>FWHM(β)</th>
<th>β in Rad.</th>
<th>2θ</th>
<th>Cosθ (rad.)</th>
<th>D₂s (nm) size of particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GS_HU_70_25_5</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.006964</td>
<td>33.497</td>
<td>0.957579</td>
<td>20.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GS_HU_80_10_10</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.006405</td>
<td>33.298</td>
<td>0.958078</td>
<td>22.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GS_HU_90_8_2</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.006213</td>
<td>33.652</td>
<td>0.957188</td>
<td>22.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1. XRD patterns of Fe-Al-Mn as-synthesized nanocomposites of different % compositions

3.2. Surface area Characterization as-synthesized Absorbent Nanoparticles

Table (3.2) shows the specific surface area of as-synthesized mixed oxide powders as computed from the results of potentiometric titration. The volume of NaOH required to raise the pH from 4.0 to 9.0 was noted and the specific surface area was calculated by equation (7). The specific surface area was founded to be in the order 70:25:5 > 80:10:5 > 90:8:2. The ternary oxide with the smallest size was found to exhibit the largest specific surface area. Gulshan et al. (2009) and Cava et al. (2007) observed similar trend. This is due to the influence of content of aluminum variation excited in the specific surface areas of the as-synthesized powders (Cava et al., 2007). As it can be seen the sample with (70, 25 and 5) % composition of Fe, Al and Mn shows greater specific surface area and smaller particle size.

85
Nano-materials with high surface activity, high specific surface area and high surface energy, show promising potential in the preparation of high performance adsorption and thus are widely used as adsorbents (Wang et al., 2004, 2006). As result of these specific properties of nanoparticles, the as synthesized sample, GS_HU_70_25_5, was selected for further characterization by FAAS and FTIR. Also because of its high specific surface area and small size, studies involving phosphate desorption from the soil were carried out making use of this sample.

Table 3.5. Specific surface area of as-synthesized powders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Volume(mL) of 0.1 NaOH to raise pH 4-9</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GS_HU_70_25_5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>69.93±1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GS_HU_80_10_10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>29.40±3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GS_HU_90_8_2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>15.53±1.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Elemental analysis of as-synthesized absorbent nanoparticles

Elemental content of the selected adsorbent is GS-HU-70-25-5 was analyzed through FAAS. The obtained result pertaining to the percentages of iron, aluminum and manganese oxides are shown in Table 3.3. The analysis was performed using a standard solution of each analyte prepared at a series of concentrations. The as-synthesized mixed oxide powder was dissolved by acid digestion method, in which 0.5 g of the sample was dissolved in 100 mL volumetric flasks using a mixture of acids with appropriate concentrations volume ratios. The unknown concentrations of metal percentages were calculated using linear equation from its calibration plot prepared from series of concentrations of standard versus the corresponding absorbance reading (Figure 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4). The FAAS result indicated (Table 3.3) that the percentage composition of Fe, Al and Mn in the as-synthesized selected nanosorbent was found to be 68.37, 24.0 and 3.56, respectively and this is not far from the theoretical composition.

![Fe Calibration curve](image1.png)

**Figure 3.2.** Calibration curve for Fe in as synthesized adsorbent (FAAS reading).

![Al Calibration curve](image2.png)

**Figure 3.3.** Calibration curve for Al in as synthesized adsorbent (FAAS reading).

![Mn calibration curve](image3.png)

**Figure 3.4.** Calibration curve for Mn in as synthesized adsorbent (FAAS reading).
### Table 3.6 Actual yield and theoretical composition of selected as-synthesized adsorbent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Theoretical composition (%)</th>
<th>Actual yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS-HU-70-25-5</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68.37±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.01±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.56±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4. Infrared Spectroscopic Characterization of as-synthesized Absorbent Nanoparticles

The FT-IR spectrum of the selected Al-Fe-Mn (GS_HU_70-25-5) mixed oxide sample was carried out in KBr medium and the results obtained as such are depicted on Figure 3.4 with the main absorption peaks located at 3400, 1628, 545 and 474 cm\(^{-1}\). Accordingly, the absorption peaks at 3400 and 1628 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to symmetrical stretching and bending vibrations of the O-H group, respectively (Sujana and Anand, 2010). The bending vibrations of the O-H group may actually be attributed to the presence of physiosorbed water on the surface oxides. The bands at 545 cm\(^{-1}\) and 474 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the symmetrical stretching vibrations of the mixed metal oxides M-O and M-M-O, respectively (Zhang et al., 2009).

![Figure 3.4. FTIR Spectrum of the selected Al-Fe-Mn mixed oxide adsorbent (GS-HU-70-25-5).](image)

### 3.5. Phosphate Desorption Study

The study pertaining to phosphate desorption was carried out using the DMT-FeAlMn mixed oxide nano composite particle on four treatments having different management practices: sole crop, intercropping and tillage practice.

The amount of P desorbed by DMT-FeAlMn was significantly influenced (\(\alpha = 0.05\)) both by the treatments and extraction time (Table 3.5). The cumulative P desorbed was higher in the Maize-Haricot Bean intercropping Conservation Agriculture (MHCA) (1.97–14.26 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and lower in the sole maize conventional practice (SMCP) (1.36 – 9.583 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) at all levels of extraction time (1 – 28 days). In this study, Sole Maize Conservation Agriculture (SMCA) (1.7 – 11.56 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and Sole haricot bean Conservation Agriculture (SHCA) (1.76 – 10.56 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) treatments resulted in a comparable amount of extracted P at all levels of extraction time. Cumulative P released with time followed, in general, the same pattern for all treatments. All the treatments showed an increasing trend for the period studied in this experiment (28 days). This trend is observed in contrast to the previous reports (Freese et al., 1995; de Jager and Claassens, 2005; Taddesse et al., 2008). In the reports, the authors found two distinct pools of soil P within 56 days, one with rapid release kinetics and the other with slower desorption kinetics. The fast P pool presumably represents P bound to reactive surfaces, directly in contact with the aqueous phase. The slow P release rate from the second pool is either the result of slow dissolution and/or diffusion kinetics from interior sites inside oxyhydroxide particles (McDowell and Sharpley, 2003).

Desorption kinetics of soil as determined using the DMT-FeAlMn as the sorbent can be schematically represented as shown equation (3)

\[
SP \xrightarrow{k_4} P_{sol} \xrightarrow{k_2} P_{FeAlMn}
\]

Where SP is the solid phase P, \(P_{sol}\) is P in solution, \(P_{FeAlMn}\) is P adsorbed by FeAlMn, \(k_4\) is the rate constant of P
transport through the membrane, and $k_R$ is the rate constant of P release. The presence of two pools is assumed: the pool with the fast release kinetics is pool A ($SP_A$) and the pool with the slow release kinetics is pool B ($SP_B$). With this assumption, the mass balance equation for the total exchangeable solid phase soil P ($SP_{total}$) at time $t = 0$ is:

$$SP_{total0} = SP_{A0} + SP_{B0}$$

Where $SP_{A0}$ is initial amount of P in pool A and $SP_{B0}$ is initial amount of P in pool B. The mass balance equation at time $t$ will therefore be:

$$SP_{total(t)} = SP_{A(t)} + SP_{B(t)}$$

Assuming the decrease in SPA and SPB follow first order kinetics, the integrated rate laws for the decrease of $SP_A$ and $SP_B$ will be:

$$SP_{A(t)} = SP_{A0} e^{-k_A t} \quad \text{and} \quad SP_{B(t)} = SP_{B0} e^{-k_B t}$$

Where $k_A$ and $k_B$ are conditional first order rate constants ($day^{-1}$) for P desorption from pools A and B respectively.

The total solid phase soil P ($SP_{total(t)}$) remaining at time $t$ will be given by:

$$SP_{total(t)} = SP_{A0} e^{-k_A t} + SP_{B0} e^{-k_B t}$$

The total amount of P released at time $t$ is expressed as:

$$P_{B(t)} = SP_{A0} - SP_{A(t)} + SP_{B0} - SP_{B(t)}$$

$$P_{B(t)} = SP_{A0} - SP_{A0} e^{-k_A t} + SP_{B0} - SP_{B0} e^{-k_B t}$$

$$P_{B(t)} = SP_{A0} (1 - e^{-k_A t}) + SP_{B0} (1 - e^{-k_B t})$$

It was assumed that the rate constant of P release from the soil was equal to the rate constant of P adsorption ($k_A$) by the DMT-FeAlMn. The rate constant of P adsorption ($k_A$) by the DMT-FeAlMn was obtained from a plot of the natural logarithm (ln) of the P adsorbed by the DMT-FeAlMn against time with the slope as $k_A$.

For a long term desorption study (28 days), one might expect the two pools, pool A and pool B to play their role. However, in this study only rapid release of P was observed this shows the soil solid phase releasing of phosphorus to the solution is only from pool A as the release of P still fast. This is probability because we used in our study a strong sorbent (Fe-Al-Mn ternary system) than the previous studies (Freese et al., 1995; de Jager and Claassens, 2005; Taddeesse et al., 2008) where only single system (hydrated ferric oxide-HFO) was employed for similar purpose.

As depicted in (Figure 3.6.), the desorbed of P of the treatments were linearly high significant which have SMCP ($R^2 = 0.996$), SMCA ($R^2 = 0.997$), SHCA ($R^2 = 0.993$), and MHCA ($R^2 = 0.991$). However, the two kinetics, fast and slow releasing P were expected, only fast kinetics occurred is due to the experimental days were not enough to attain both the kinetics. As shown (Figure 3.7.) the p desorption kinetic of soil was found to follow first order model (first order kinetic) which schematically showed at equation (3):

$$SP_{A0} = SP_{A0} e^{-k_A t}$$

Where $k_A$ is the rate of the desorption P was founded 0.0006 hr$^{-1}$ in this studies. Taddeesse et al. (2008) have reported comparable result (0.00034-0.0004 hr$^{-1}$) under the studies of kinetics of residual phosphate desorption from long-term fertilized soils of South Africa. The cumulative desorption of P extracted by DMT-FeAlMn within 28 days was very low as compared to the total P, but it is larger as compared to the availability of P (table 3.3 and 3.4).

### Table 3.4. Selected physical and chemical properties of the soil samples studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SMCP</th>
<th>SMCA</th>
<th>SHCA</th>
<th>MHCA</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>LSD</th>
<th>SL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 1:2.5</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>4.85$^a$</td>
<td>4.76$^a$</td>
<td>4.67$^a$</td>
<td>4.53$^b$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>3.62$^a$</td>
<td>3.56$^a$</td>
<td>3.57$^b$</td>
<td>3.51$^d$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av.P</td>
<td>mg Kg$^{-1}$</td>
<td>9.50$^b$</td>
<td>8.97$^b$</td>
<td>8.39$^d$</td>
<td>35.97$^e$</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1580.3$^a$</td>
<td>1629.9$^a$</td>
<td>1642.4$^d$</td>
<td>1654.7$^a$</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>85.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Av.P = Availability of P, TP = Total phosphorus, NS = non-significant, SL=significant level, CV = Coefficient of Variance, LSD = least significance difference, Significance level, ** (P ≤ 0.01), *(P < 0.5) NS (P > 0.05)
Table 3.5. Desorption of phosphorus from soil (for 28 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>CDP (mgkg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCP</td>
<td>z1.36</td>
<td>y3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCA</td>
<td>z1.70</td>
<td>y3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHCA</td>
<td>z1.76</td>
<td>y3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHCA</td>
<td>z1.97</td>
<td>y3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
<td>0.3138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = non significant, SL = significant level, CV = coefficient of variation, LSD = least significance difference, CDP = cumulative desorption P, Significance level, ** (α ≤ 0.01), *(α < 0.05) NS (α > 0.05), Mean values in rows with different letters v, w, x, y and z are significantly different, Mean values in columns with different letters a, b, c and d are significantly different.

Figure 3.6. Cumulative desorbable P with time extracted using DMT-FeAlMnO for the different treatments over 28 days.

Figure 3.7. Phosphorus desorption rate from soil to solution.

4. Conclusion
The FeAlMn mixed oxide nanocomposite was synthesized using impregnation method and studies of adsorption properties were carried out to mimic the plant to absorb the phosphate from soil having different management with almost the same rate of fertilizer applied. The as-synthesized adsorbent was hematite (Fe₂O₃) structure with 20.31 nm crystalline size and 69.93 m²/g surface area.

According to this study, cumulative P released with time followed the same pattern for all treatment of soils, with rapid release of P with 28 days. Only fast releasing of P was attained during the experimental periods and no desorption stable phase was reached during the entire period of extraction time, indicating that desorption can continue for a longer period than 28 days.

P desorption kinetics were described relatively well by first-order model (R² = 0.996, 0.992, 0.985 and 0.988 of SMCP, SMCA, SHCA and MHCA respectively). The total P extracted varied among treatments
following the order: SMCP< SHCA < SMCA < MHCA. The rates of desorption for all treatments were the same 0.0006 hr⁻¹ for all treatments indicating that chemical and physical properties among the treatments are almost the same.
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