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#### Abstract

Mathematics brings order and prevents chaos in our lives. Power of reasoning, inventiveness, abstract or spatial thinking, critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and even excellent communication skills are some of the attributes that mathematics fosters. The purpose of this research is to identify the factors that impact students' mathematics performance. Simultaneously, this study tries to predict student's mathematics performance. The secondary information was gathered from https://archive.ics.uci.edu $/ \mathrm{ml} /$ datasets $/$ student + performance\#. This study uses a variety of methodologies such as percentage distribution, association tests, association rule mining, Decision tree, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, Naive Bayes, K-Nearest-Neighbors, Linear Discriminant Analysis, Neural Network, and Logistic Regression. Students whose father's education is higher, mother's education is higher, mothers' occupation services, who rarely go out with friends, and who did not fail the previous class have considerably better mathematics performance. Students whose father has a primary education, who spend a lot of time with friends, drink alcohol during the workday, and live in rural areas have poor mathematics performance. Furthermore, in this case, the best classifier for prediction is a Neural Network. Therefore, the performance of students in mathematics depends on the characteristics of the students as well as the characteristics of their parents and place of residence. The government and other NGOs must step forward to educate the country's people about these critical factors.
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## 1 Background

1.1 Introduction of the Study: Many students perceive mathematics as a difficult subject to learn and master in class (Siaw et al., 2020). The majority of students struggle and eventually fail the subject (Mazana, Montero and Casmir, 2020). Strong mathematics performance is largely dependent on the gender of students, with male students performing much better than female students (Asampana, Kassim Nantomah and Ayagikwaga Tungosiamu, 2017). Students' performance in mathematics was significantly influenced by emotional intelligence, self-esteem, and self-efficacy (Ugwuanyi, Okeke and Asomugha, 2020). Mathematics performance is highly influenced by teacher classroom activities (Arends, Winnaar and Mosimege, 2017). Missing too many lectures and living in a crowded household are the two criteria that have the greatest detrimental impact on student performance (Harb and El-Shaarawi, 2006). Students' mathematics performance is directly influenced by family support, motivation, involvement, and collaboration between students and teachers (Walde, 2019)

Students' performance in class and on the forum is positively associated with the features of the advising network. Almost all students' performance is negatively connected with adversarial characteristics (Yang and Tang, 2003). Academic ability, testability, time management, and test worry were all found to be significant in students' academic performance (Talib and Sansgiry, 2012). Students who had toothaches were nearly four times more likely to have a low GPA (Seirawan, Faust and Mulligan, 2012). From high school on, the mathematics subject area interacts significantly with both student age and English skills - negatively for age and positively for English skills (Ketkaew and Naruetharadhol, 2015).
1.2 Research Objective: The goal of this study is to figure out what factors influence students' mathematics performance. Simultaneously, this research is attempting to predict student mathematics performance.
1.3 Statement of Problem: The majority of students are terrified of mathematics. As a result, students frequently underperform in mathematics. But, mathematics is a crucial subject for students. It will be quite difficult for them to achieve success if they do not have a thorough understanding of mathematics. As a result, determining the factors that contribute to students' low mathematics performance has become essential.
1.4 Scope of Research: Here this data has been collected from the students of Portugal so this study will cover the whole of Portugal.
1.5 The rationale of the Study: No one has used data mining to find out the determinants of students' performance in mathematics, so here is an attempt to do it.
1.6 Significance of the Study: As a result of this study, students in Portugal will be able to know which factors are causing their poor performance in mathematics. Therefore, students of Portugal will be more beneficiaries
through this study.

## 2 Methods

2.1 Data source: The secondary information was gathered from https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/student+performance\#.
2.2 Study Area: These data were taken from secondary students of Gabriel Pereira and Mousinho da Silveira schools in Portugal.
2.3 Outcome variable: In this study, the result in mathematics is the outcome variable. This variable has been created by dividing the final grade variable into two categories. If the value of the final grade is more than 10 , it is considered a high result and if the value of the final grade is less than 10 , it is considered a low result.
2.4 Predictor variables: Here Sex, Romantic relationship, Going out with friends, Workday alcohol consumption, Weekend alcohol consumption, Current health status, School, Free time after school, Past class failures, Number of school absences, attended nursery school, School travel time, Reason to choose this school, wants to take higher education, extracurricular activities, Weekly study time, extra paid classes, Extra educational support, Residence, Family size, parents cohabitation status, Mothers education, Fathers education, Mothers job, Father's job, Students guardian, Family educational Support, Quality of family relationships, Internet access at home variables have been used as independent variables.
2.5 Statistical Analysis: Here, different kinds of methodologies such as percentage distribution, association tests, association rule mining, Decision tree, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, Naive Bayes, K-NearestNeighbors, Linear Discriminant Analysis, Neural Network, and Logistic Regression were used. Optimal accuracy, optimal sensitivity, optimal specificity, the optimal area under the curve, and optimal Brier Score have been extracted using out-of-sample cross-validation for each method. The data was analyzed using SPSS 23, R 3.6.3, and Microsoft Excel.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Percentage Distribution

### 3.1.1 Percentage Distribution of Students Characteristics:

Table-1 illustrates the various characteristics of students. It can be seen that around $53 \%$ of the students are female and $47 \%$ are male. Around $34 \%$ of students are in a romantic relationship, while about $12 \%$ of students have poor health.

Table-1: Percentage Distribution of Students Characteristics

| Characteristics |  | female |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sex | Frequency(\%) |  |
|  | male | $208(52.66 \%)$ |
|  | no | $187(47.34 \%)$ |
|  | yes | $263(66.58 \%)$ |
| Workday alcohol consumption | Very low | $132(33.42 \%)$ |
|  | low | $23(5.82 \%)$ |
|  | Middle | $103(26.08 \%)$ |
|  | High | $130(32.91 \%)$ |
|  | Very High | $86(21.77 \%)$ |
| Weekend alcohol consumption friends | Very low | $53(13.42 \%)$ |
|  | low | $276(69.87 \%)$ |
|  | Middle | $75(18.99 \%)$ |
|  | High | $26(6.58 \%)$ |
|  | Very High | $9(2.28 \%)$ |
| Current health status | Very low | $9(2.28 \%)$ |
|  | low | $151(38.23 \%)$ |
|  | Middle | $85(21.52 \%)$ |
|  | High | $80(20.25 \%)$ |
|  | Very High | $51(12.91 \%)$ |
|  | Very bad | $28(7.09 \%)$ |
|  | bad | $47(11.9 \%)$ |
|  | Middle | $45(11.39 \%)$ |
|  | Good | $91(23.04 \%)$ |
|  | Very Good | $66(16.71 \%)$ |

### 3.1.2 Percentage Distribution of Students Academic Characteristics:

Table-2 highlights the academic characteristics of the students. From Table-2 we can say that about $5 \%$ of the
students have very little free time after school. About $4 \%$ of students have failed three times. Here we also see that about $46 \%$ of the students have bad results.

Table-2: Percentage Distribution of Students Academic Characteristics

| Characteristics |  | Frequency(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Gabriel Pereira | 349(88.35\%) |
|  | Mousinho da Silveira | 46(11.65\%) |
| Free time after school | Very low | 19(4.81\%) |
|  | low | 64(16.2\%) |
|  | Middle | 157(39.75\%) |
|  | High | 115(29.11\%) |
|  | Very High | 40(10.13\%) |
| Past class failures | No failure | 312(78.99\%) |
|  | One time | 50(12.66\%) |
|  | Two times | 17(4.3\%) |
|  | Three times | 16(4.05\%) |
| Number of school absences | 0-5 | 249(63.04\%) |
|  | 6-10 | 80(20.25\%) |
|  | >=11 | 66(16.71\%) |
| attended nursery school | no | 81(20.51\%) |
|  | yes | 314(79.49\%) |
| School travel time | $<15$ min | 257(65.06\%) |
|  | 15 to 30 min | 107(27.09\%) |
|  | 30 min . to 1 hour | 23(5.82\%) |
|  | $>1$ hour | 8(2.03\%) |
| Reason to choose this school | course preference | 145(36.71\%) |
|  | close to home | 109(27.59\%) |
|  | other | 36(9.11\%) |
|  | school reputation | 105(26.58\%) |
| wants to take higher education | no | 20(5.06\%) |
|  | yes | 375(94.94\%) |
| Extracurricular activities | no | 194(49.11\%) |
|  | yes | 201(50.89\%) |
| Weekly study time | $<2$ hours | 105(26.58\%) |
|  | 2 to 5 hours | 198(50.13\%) |
|  | 5 to 10 hours | 65(16.46\%) |
|  | $>10$ hours | 27(6.84\%) |
| extra paid classes | no | 214(54.18\%) |
|  | yes | 181(45.82\%) |
| Extra educational support | no | 344(87.09\%) |
|  | yes | 51(12.91\%) |
| Result | Low | 186(47.09\%) |
|  | High | 209(52.91\%) |

3.1.3 Percentage Distribution of Students Family Characteristics:

Table- $\mathbf{3}$ shows that about $22 \%$ of the students live in rural areas. About $20 \%$ of students' parents have primary education. The guardian of most students is their mothers, and about $16 \%$ of students do not have an internet connection at home.

Table-3: Percentage Distribution of Students Family Characteristics

| Characteristics |  | Frequency (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence | rural | 88(22.28\%) |
|  | urban | 307(77.72\%) |
| Family size | >3 | 281(71.14\%) |
|  | $<=3$ | 114(28.86\%) |
| parents cohabitation status | apart | 41(10.38\%) |
|  | Living together | 354(89.62\%) |
| Mothers education | none | 3(0.76\%) |
|  | primary education | 59(14.94\%) |
|  | 5th to 9th grade | 103(26.08\%) |
|  | secondary education | 99(25.06\%) |
|  | higher education | 131(33.16\%) |
| Fathers education | none | 2(0.51\%) |
|  | primary education | 82(20.76\%) |
|  | 5th to 9th grade | 115(29.11\%) |
|  | secondary education | 100(25.32\%) |
|  | higher education | 96(24.3\%) |
| Mothers job | At home | 59(14.94\%) |
|  | care-related | 34(8.61\%) |
|  | other | 141(35.7\%) |
|  | services | 103(26.08\%) |
|  | teacher | 58(14.68\%) |
| Fathers job | At home | 20(5.06\%) |
|  | care-related | 18(4.56\%) |
|  | other | 217(54.94\%) |
|  | services | 111(28.1\%) |
|  | teacher | 29(7.34\%) |
| Students guardian | father | 90(22.78\%) |
|  | mother | 273(69.11\%) |
|  | other | 32(8.1\%) |
| Family educational support | no | 153(38.73\%) |
|  | yes | 242(61.27\%) |
| Quality of family relationships | Very bad | 8(2.03\%) |
|  | bad | 18(4.56\%) |
|  | Middle | 68(17.22\%) |
|  | Good | 195(49.37\%) |
|  | Very Good | 106(26.84\%) |
| Internet access at home | no | 66(16.71\%) |
|  | yes | 329(83.29\%) |

### 3.2 Association Test

### 3.2.1 Association between Result and Students Characteristics:

Table-4 shows that the variable going out with friends is significant because its p -value is less than 0.05 . The pvalue of workday alcohol consumption and weekend alcohol consumption variables are less than 0.1 , so they are approximately significant. As a result, going out with friends, workday alcohol consumption, and weekend alcohol consumption variables are associated with the student's mathematics result.

Table-4: Association between Result and Students Characteristics

| Characteristics | $\chi^{\mathbf{2}}$ | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | 1.752 | 0.186 |
| Romantic relationship | 0.005 | 0.942 |
| Going out with friends | 12.839 | 0.012 |
| Workday alcohol consumption | 8.029 | 0.091 |
| Weekend alcohol consumption | 8.587 | 0.072 |
| Current health status | 4.856 | 0.302 |

### 3.2.2 Association between Result and Students Academic Characteristics:

Similarly, Table- 5 shows that free time after school, past class failures, school travel time, wants to take higher education, and extra educational support variables are associated with students' mathematics results.

Table-5: Association between Result and Students Academic Characteristics

| Characteristics | $\chi^{\mathbf{2}}$ | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School | 2.313 | 0.128 |
| Free time after school | 11.004 | 0.027 |
| Past class failures | 42.628 | 0.00 |
| Number of school absences | 1.128 | 0.569 |
| attended nursery school | 0.168 | 0.682 |
| School travel time | 7.754 | 0.051 |
| Reason to choose this school | 1.954 | 0.582 |
| wants to take higher education | 7.82 | 0.005 |
| Extracurricular activities | 0.001 | 0.976 |
| Weekly study time | 5.045 | 0.169 |
| extra paid classes | 0.57 | 0.450 |
| Extra educational support | 6.506 | 0.011 |

### 3.2.3 Association between Result and Students Family Characteristics:

In the same way, Table-6 also presents that residence, mothers' education, fathers' education, mothers' job, internet access at home variables are associated with the students' mathematics results.

Table-6: Association between Result and Students Family Characteristics

| Characteristics | $\chi^{2}$ | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residence | 3.814 | 0.051 |
| Family size | 0.501 | 0.479 |
| parents cohabitation status | 0.86 | 0.354 |
| Mothers education | 14.489 | 0.006 |
| Fathers education | 16.729 | 0.002 |
| Mothers job | 15.867 | 0.003 |
| Fathers job | 3.534 | 0.473 |
| Students guardian | 2.119 | 0.347 |
| Family educational support | 0.277 | 0.598 |
| Quality of family relationships | 3.415 | 0.491 |
| Internet access at home | 3.011 | 0.083 |

### 3.3 Variable Extraction for Association Rule Mining and Prediction

From Table-4 to Table-6 it can be seen that going out with friends, workday alcohol consumption, weekend alcohol consumption, free time after school, past class failures, school travel time, wants to take higher education, extra educational support, residence, mothers education, fathers education, mothers job and internet access at home variables are associated with student's mathematics result.
So it can be said that the mathematics result of the students may be related to these variables. For this reason, only these significant variables have been used in association rule mining and prediction algorithms. As a result, the prediction will be better and the result of association rule mining will also be better.

### 3.4 Influence of Different Characteristics

### 3.4.1 Characteristics Influencing Students High Results in Mathematics

According to association rule mining, Figure-1 shows that the color of the rule-1 bubble is very dark. So it can be said that among the students whose free time is low after school, their result in mathematics is much better. Similarly, among the students whose fathers' education is higher, mothers' education is higher, mothers' occupation services, their results in mathematics is also better. At the same time, those who rarely go out with friends did not fail in the previous class, their result in mathematics is also much better.


Figure-1: Characteristics Influencing Students High Results in Mathematics

### 3.4.2 Characteristics Influencing Students Low Results in Mathematics

According to association rule mining, Figure-2 shows that among the students whose father's education is primary, who go around a lot with friends have poor results in mathematics. Besides, those who consume alcohol on a workday and live in rural areas also have poor results in mathematics.


Figure-2: Characteristics Influencing Students Low Results in Mathematics

### 3.5 Prediction Algorithms Characteristics

3.5.1 Optimal Accuracy: The accuracies of the Decision Tree, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, Naive Bays, K-Nearest-Neighbors, Linear Discriminant Analysis, Neural Network, and Logistic Regression classifiers are approximately $59.18 \%, 63.39 \%, 62.33 \%, 65.65 \%, 57.81 \%, 64.74 \%, 73.94 \%$, and $62.56 \%$, respectively, as shown in Figure-3(a). Here, the accuracy of the Neural Network is the highest, hence it is the
best classifier in terms of accuracy value.
3.5.2 Optimal Sensitivity: Sensitivity refers to a test's ability to detect true events as true. The Neural Network has the highest sensitivity, as seen in Figure-3(b). As a result, the neural network is the best classifier in terms of sensitivity value.
3.5.3 Optimal Specificity: Specificity refers to a test's ability to detect false events as false. K-NearestNeighbors specificity is the highest, as seen in Figure-3(c) (about 80.64\%). So, in terms of the value of specificity, K-Nearest-Neighbors is the best classifier.
3.5.4 Optimal Area Under Curve (AUC): Figure-3(d) demonstrates that the Neural Network has the largest area under the curve. The value of AUC is approximately $73.98 \%$. As a result, the Neural Network is the best classifier here as well.
3.5.5 Optimal Brier Score: A brier score is a way to check whether a probability prediction is accurate. A probability prediction is a prediction about an upcoming event. The technique is better if the Brier Score is lower. The neural network has the lowest Brier Score (0.228) in Figure-3(e). So, the Neural Network is the best classifier in this case.

a) Optimal Accuracy

C) Optimal Specificity

b) Optimal Sensitivity

d) Optimal Area Under Curve

e) Optimal Brier Score

Figure-3: Prediction Algorithms Characteristics

### 3.5.6 Comparison of Different Algorithms

In most cases, the value of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and area under the curve of Neural Network is higher than the remaining seven methods, as shown in Table-7. Neural Network has the lowest Brier Score of the other seven approaches. Hence, the Neural Network is the best classifier in this case.

Table-7: Comparison of Different Algorithms

| Algorithms | Optimal <br> Accuracy | Optimal <br> sensitivity | Optimal <br> specificity | Optimal <br> AUC | Optimal <br> Brier <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision tree | $59.37 \%$ | $51.49 \%$ | $66.75 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | 0.276 |
| Random Forest | $63.32 \%$ | $58.55 \%$ | $68.06 \%$ | $68.19 \%$ | 0.229 |
| Support Vector Machine | $62.23 \%$ | $50.34 \%$ | $73.63 \%$ | $50.87 \%$ | 0.27 |
| Naiv Bays | $65.66 \%$ | $58.46 \%$ | $72.39 \%$ | $71.34 \%$ | 0.233 |
| K-Nearest Neighbors | $57.38 \%$ | $32.01 \%$ | $80.64 \%$ | $58.54 \%$ | 0.334 |
| Linear Discriminant Analysis | $64.58 \%$ | $55.64 \%$ | $72.89 \%$ | $70.67 \%$ | 0.229 |
| Neural Network | $73.94 \%$ | $66.03 \%$ | $80.30 \%$ | $73.98 \%$ | 0.228 |
| Logistic Regression | $62.56 \%$ | $55.79 \%$ | $68.93 \%$ | $68.15 \%$ | 0.246 |

## 4 Discussion

The walking distance to school, the child's sex, the parent's or guardian's educational status, dietary levels, late entry and repetition at school, and the language is spoken at home have all been identified as determinants of academic performance (Garikai, 2010). This research is similar to this one. In this study, students' math performance is influenced by their parents' educational levels and the mother's profession, etc.

## 5 Strengths and Limitation

Here, data has been collected from students of only two schools in Portugal. If it is possible to collect data from more schools, the result of this study may be better.

## 6 Conclusion

Students whose father's education is higher, mother's education is higher, mothers' occupation services, who rarely go out with friends, and did not fail in the previous class their mathematics results are much better. On the other hand, for students whose father's education is primary, who spend a lot of time with friends, drink alcohol during the workday, and live in rural areas their mathematics result is poor. Besides, In this case, Neural Network is the best classifier for prediction.

## 7 Recommendation

The government and various NGOs need to come forward to make the people of the country aware of these significant variables. As a result, the fear of mathematics will be reduced among the students.
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