The General Theory Of Einstein Field Equations, Heisenberg's uncertainty Principle, Uncertainty Principle In Time And Energy, Schrodinger's Equation And Planck's Equation- A "Gesellschaft- Gemeinschaft Model"

^{*1}Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, ²Prof B S Kiranagi And ³Prof C S Bagewadi

*1Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Post doctoral researcher, Dr KNP Kumar has three PhD's, one each in Mathematics, Economics and Political science and a D.Litt. in Political Science, Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India <u>Correspondence Mail id</u> : <u>drknpkumar@gmail.com</u>

²Prof B S Kiranagi, UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

³Prof C S Bagewadi, Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu university, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India

Abstract:

A Theory is Universal and it holds good for various systems. Systems have all characteristics based on parameters. There is nothing in this measurement world that is not classified based on parameters, regardless of the generalization of a Theory. Here we give a consummate model for the well knows models in theoretical physics. That all the theories hold good means that they are interlinked with each other. Based on this premise and under the consideration that the theories are also violated and this acts as a detritions on the part of the classificatory theory, we consolidate the Model. Kant and Husserl both vouchsafe for this order and mind-boggling, misnomerliness and antinomy, in nature and systems of corporeal actions and passions. Note that some of the theories have been applied to Quantum dots and Kondo resonances. Systemic properties are analyzed in detail.

Key words Einstein field equations

Introduction:

Following Theories are taken in to account to form a consummated theory:

1. Einstein's Field Equations

The Einstein field equations (EFE) may be written in the form:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci curvature tensor, R the scalar curvature, $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$ the metric tensor, Λ is the cosmological constant, G is Newton's gravitational constant, C the speed of light in vacuum, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$ the stress-energy tensor.

2. Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle

A more formal inequality relating the standard deviation of position σ_x and the standard deviation of momentum σ_p was derived by Kennard later that year (and independently by Weyl in 1928), This essentially implies that the first term namely the momentum is subtracted from the term on RHS with the second term

on LHS in the denominator

$$\sigma_x \sigma_p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2},$$

3. <u>Uncertainty of time and energy</u>

Time is to energy as position is to momentum, so it's natural to hope for a similar uncertainty relation between time and energy. This implies that the first term is dissipated by the inverse of the second term what with the Planck's constant h is involved

$$(\Delta T) (\Delta E) \ge \hbar/2$$

4. Schrödinger's equation:

Time-dependent equation

The form of the Schrödinger equation depends on the physical situation (see below for special cases). The most general form is the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, which gives a description of a system evolving with time:

Time-dependent Schrödinger equation (general)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = \hat{H}\Psi$$

where Ψ is the wave function of the quantum system, *i* is the imaginary unit, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, and \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator, which characterizes the total energy of any given wavefunction and takes different forms depending on the situation.LHS is subtrahend by the RHS .Model finds the prediction value for the term on the LHS with imaginary factor and Planck's constant

(5) Planck's Equations:

Planck's law describes the amount of electromagnetic energy with a certain wavelength radiated by a black body in thermal equilibrium (i.e. the spectral radiance of a black body). The law is named after Max Planck, who originally proposed it in 1900. The law was the first to accurately describe black body radiation, and resolved the ultraviolet catastrophe. It is a pioneer result of modern physics and quantum theory.

In terms of frequency (\mathcal{V}) or wavelength (λ), Planck's law is written:

$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{k_{\rm B}T}} - 1}, \text{ or } B_{\lambda}(T) = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{hc}{\lambda k_{\rm B}T}} - 1}$$

Where *B* is the spectral radiance, *T* is the absolute temperature of the black body, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, *h* is the Planck, and *c* is the speed of light. However these are not the only ways to express the law; expressing it in terms of wave number rather than frequency or wavelength is also common, as are expressions in terms of the number of photons emitted at a certain wavelength, rather than energy emitted. In the limit of low frequencies (i.e. long wavelengths), Planck's law becomes the Rayleigh–Jeans law, while in the limit of high frequencies (i.e. small wavelengths) it tends to the Wien. Again there are constants involved and finding and or predicting the value of RHS and LHS is of great practical importance. We set to out do that in unmistakable terms.



Einstein Field Equations: Module Numbered One

NOTATION :

G₁₃ : Category One Of The First Term

 G_{14} : Category Two Of The First Term

*G*₁₅ : Category Three Of The First Term

 T_{13} : Category One Of The Second Term

 T_{14} : Category Two Of The Second Term

 T_{15} :Category Three Of The Seond Term

Einstein Field Equations(Third And Fourth Terms):Module Numbered Two

 G_{16} : Category One Of The Third Term In Efe

 G_{17} : Category Two Of The Third Term In Efe

 G_{18} : Category Three Of The Third Term In Efe

 T_{16} :Category One Of The Fourth Term In Efe

 T_{17} : Category Two Of The Fourth Term In Efe

 T_{18} : Category Three Of The Fourth Term On Rhs Of Efe

Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle: Module Numbered Three

 G_{20} : Category One Of lhs In The Hup (Note The Position Factor Is Inversely Proportional To The Momentum Factor)

*G*²¹ :Category Two Of Lhs In Hup

 G_{22} : Category Three Of Lhs In Hup

 T_{20} : Category One Of Rhs(Note The Momentum Term Is In The Denominator And Hence Rhs Dissipates Lhs With The Amount Equal To Plack Constant In The Numerator And Twice Of The Momentum Factor)

 T_{21} : Category Two Of Rhs (We Are Talking Of The Different Systems To Which The Model Is Applied And Systems Therefore Are Categories. To Give A Bank Example Or That Of A Closed Economy The Total Shall Remain Constant While The Transactions Take Place In The Subsystems)

 T_{22} : Category Three Ofrhs Of Hup(Same Bank Example Assets Equal To Liabilities But The Transactions Between Accounts Or Systems Take Place And These Are Classified Notwithstanding The Universalistic Law)

Uncertainty Of Time And Energy(Explanation Given In hup And Bank's Example Holds Good Here

Also): Module Numbered Four:

 G_{24} : Category One Of Lhs Of Upte

- *G*²⁵ : Category Two Of Lhs In Upte
- G_{26} : Category Three Of Lhs In Upte
- T_{24} :Category One Of Rhs In Upte
- T_{25} :Category Two Of Rhs In Upte

 T_{26} : Category Three Of Rhs In Upte

Schrodinger's Equations(Lhs And Rhs) Same Explanations And Expatiations And Enucleation Hereinbefore Mentioned Hold Good: Module Numbered Five:

- G_{28} : Category One Of Lhs Of Se
- G_{29} : Category Two Of lhs Of Se
- G_{30} :Category Three Of Lhs Of Se

 T_{28} :Category One Of Rhs Of Se

 T_{29} :Category Two Of Rhs Of Se

 T_{30} :Category Three Of Rhs In Se

Planck's Equation: Module Numbered Six:

 G_{32} : Category One Of Lhs Of Planck's Equation

G₃₃ : Category Two Of Lhs Of Planck's Equation

 G_{34} : Category Three Oflhs Of Planck's Equation

 T_{32} : Category One Of Rhs Of Planck's Equation

 T_{33} : Category Two Of Rhs Of Planck's Equation

T₃₄ : Category Three Of Rhs Of Planck's Equation

 $\begin{aligned} &(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}, (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)} \\ &(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)} \\ &(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}, (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)} \\ &(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)} \\ &, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)} \\ & are Accentuation coefficients \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} &(a_{13}')^{(1)}, (a_{14}')^{(1)}, (a_{15}')^{(1)}, (b_{13}')^{(1)}, (b_{14}')^{(1)}, (b_{15}')^{(1)}, (a_{16}')^{(2)}, (a_{17}')^{(2)}, (a_{18}')^{(2)}, \\ &(b_{16}')^{(2)}, (b_{17}')^{(2)}, (b_{18}')^{(2)}, (a_{20}')^{(3)}, (a_{21}')^{(3)}, (a_{22}')^{(3)}, (b_{20}')^{(3)}, (b_{21}')^{(3)}, (b_{22}')^{(3)} \\ &(a_{24}')^{(4)}, (a_{25}')^{(4)}, (a_{26}')^{(4)}, (b_{24}')^{(4)}, (b_{25}')^{(4)}, (b_{26}')^{(4)}, (b_{28}')^{(5)}, (b_{29}')^{(5)}, (b_{30}')^{(5)} \\ &(a_{28}')^{(5)}, (a_{29}')^{(5)}, (a_{30}')^{(5)}, (a_{32}')^{(6)}, (a_{33}')^{(6)}, (a_{34}')^{(6)}, (b_{33}')^{(6)}, (b_{34}')^{(6)} \end{aligned}$

are Dissipation coefficients

Einstein Field Equations: Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (First Two terms in EFE)

Governing Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG_{13}}{dt} &= (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \right] G_{13} \\ \frac{dG_{14}}{dt} &= (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \right] G_{14} \\ \frac{dG_{15}}{dt} &= (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \right] G_{15} \\ \frac{dT_{13}}{dt} &= (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \right] T_{13} \\ \frac{dT_{14}}{dt} &= (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \right] T_{14} \\ \frac{dT_{15}}{dt} &= (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b_{15}')^{(1)} - (b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \right] T_{15} \\ &+ (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) = \text{First augmentation factor} \\ &- (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G, t) = \text{First detritions factor} \end{aligned}$$

Einstein Field Equations(Third And Fourth Terms):Module Numbered Two

Governing Equations

The differential system of this model is now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG_{16}}{dt} &= (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \right] G_{16} \\ \frac{dG_{17}}{dt} &= (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \right] G_{17} \\ \frac{dG_{18}}{dt} &= (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \right] G_{18} \\ \frac{dT_{16}}{dt} &= (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) \right] T_{16} \\ \frac{dT_{17}}{dt} &= (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) \right] T_{17} \\ \frac{dT_{18}}{dt} &= (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) \right] T_{18} \\ + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) &= \text{First augmentation factor} \\ - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) &= \text{First detritions factor} \end{aligned}$$

Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle: Module Numbered Three

Governing Equations

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$$

 $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - [(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)]G_{21}$ $\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - [(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)]G_{22}$ $\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)]T_{20}$ $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)]T_{21}$ $\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)]T_{22}$ $+ (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = \text{First augmentation factor}$ $- (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = \text{First detritions factor}$

<u>Uncertainty Of Time And Energy(Explanation Given In hup And Bank's Example Holds Good Here</u> <u>Also): Module Numbered Four</u>

Governing Equations::

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - [(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)]G_{24}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - [(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)]G_{25}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - [(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)]G_{26}$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)]T_{24}$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)]T_{25}$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)]T_{26}$$

$$+ (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = \text{First augmentation factor}$$

$$- (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) = \text{First detritions factor}$$

Governing Equations:

<u>Schrodinger's Equations(Lhs And Rhs) Same Explanations And Expatiations And Enucleation</u> <u>Hereinbefore Mentioned Hold Good: Module Numbered Five</u>

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - [(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)]G_{28}$$
$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - [(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)]G_{29}$$
$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - [(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)]G_{30}$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)]T_{28}$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)]T_{29}$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)]T_{30}$$

$$+ (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = \text{First augmentation factor}$$

$$- (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) = \text{First detritions factor}$$

Planck's Equation: Module Numbered Six

Governing Equations::

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - [(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - [(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)]G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - [(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)]G_{34}$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)]T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)]T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)]T_{34}$$

$$+ (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = \text{First augmentation factor}$$

$$- (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = \text{First detritions factor}$$

Holistic Concatenated Sytemal Equations Henceforth Referred To As "Global Equations"

- (1) Einstein Field Equations(First Term and Second Term)
- (2) Einstein Field Equations(Third and Fourth Terms)
- (3) Heisenberg's Principle Of Uncertainty
- (4) Uncertainty of Time and Energy
- (5) Schrodinger's Equations
- (6) Planck's Equation.

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{16}')^{(2,2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{20}')^{(3,3)}(T_{21}, t) \\ + (a_{24}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{28}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a_{32}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33}, t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{17}')^{(2,2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{21}')^{(3,3)}(T_{21}, t) \\ + (a_{25}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{29}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33}, t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{18}')^{(2,2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3)}(T_{21}, t) \\ + (a_{26}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{30}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a_{34}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33}, t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, $(a_{14}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, $(a_{15}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $[+(a_{16}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)], [+(a_{17}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)], [+(a_{18}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)]$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$[+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$$
, $[+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

 $\boxed{+(a_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}_{\text{for category 1, 2 and 3}}, \boxed{+(a_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}_{\text{for category 1, 2 and 3}}, \boxed{+(a_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}_{\text{for category 1, 2 and 3}}, \boxed{+(a_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}_{\text{for category 1, 2 and 3}}$

 $|+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)|$, $|+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)|$, $|+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)|$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

 $[+(a_{32}')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)], [+(a_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)], [+(a_{34}')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)]$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{13}')^{(1)} \boxed{-(b_{13}')^{(1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{16}')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{20}')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{24}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \boxed{-(b_{28}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{32}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{14}')^{(1)} \boxed{-(b_{14}')^{(1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{17}')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{21}')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{22}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \boxed{-(b_{29}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{15}')^{(1)} \boxed{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{18}')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{22}')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{26}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \boxed{-(b_{18}')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{22}')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\left[-(b_{13}^{\prime\prime})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]$, $\left[-(b_{14}^{\prime\prime})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]$, $\left[-(b_{15}^{\prime\prime})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $[-(b_{16}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)]$, $[-(b_{17}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)]$, $[-(b_{18}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)]$ are second detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$[-(b_{20}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)], [-(b_{21}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)], [-(b_{22}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)]$$
 are third detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $\boxed{-(b_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)]$$
, $[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)]$, $[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)]$ are fifth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \text{ are sixth detritions coefficients for }$$

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\begin{split} \frac{dG_{16}}{dt} &= (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{13}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{13}')^{(1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{20}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{24}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{28}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{32}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \\ \\ \frac{dG_{17}}{dt} &= (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{14}')^{(1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{21}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{25}')^{(4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{29}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \\ \\ \frac{dG_{18}}{dt} &= (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{15}')^{(1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{26}')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{30}')^{(5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \\ \\ \\ Where &+ (a_{16}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{17}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{18}')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \\ + (a_{13}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{14}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{15}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \\ + (a_{29}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{21}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{2$$

 $(T_{33},t), +(a_{33}))$ $+(a_{32})^{(c)}$ coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{16}')^{(2)} \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{17}')^{(2)} \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17}$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{18}')^{(2)} \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18}$$
where $\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $[-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)]$, $[-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)]$, $[-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)]$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

 $-(b_{20}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}^{\prime\prime})^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1.2 and 3

$$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$$
 are fourth detritions coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$,	$-(b_{30}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$	are	fifth detritions coefficients
for category 1,2 and 3				

 $\boxed{-(b_{32}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}^{\prime\prime})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detritions coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \\ + (a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \\ + (a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{21}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \\ + (a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{22}$$

 $+(a_{20}')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ $+(a_{21}')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ $+(a_{22}')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $+(a_{16}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $+(a_{13}^{\prime\prime})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}^{\prime\prime})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}^{\prime\prime})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

 $+(a_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$, $+(a_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$, $+(a_{26}^{\prime\prime})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$+(a_{28}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t), +(a_{29}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t), +(a_{30}^{\prime\prime})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$$
 are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$+(a_{32}')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)], +(a_{33}')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)], +(a_{34}')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)]$$
 are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{10})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline T_{20} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \\ \frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{10})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{19})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline T_{21} \\ \frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{19})^{(2,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline T_{22} \\ \hline \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \xrightarrow{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \hline T_{22} \\ \hline \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \xrightarrow{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \hline T_{22} \\ \hline \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{11})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{10})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \\ \hline \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{11})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{11})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-($$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG_{24}}{dt} &= (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{22}')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{28}')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{32}')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ &+ (a_{13}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{16}')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{20}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \\ \\ \frac{dG_{25}}{dt} &= (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{29}')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{33}')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ &+ (a_{14}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{17}')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{21}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \\ \\ \frac{dG_{26}}{dt} &= (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{30}')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{34}')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ &+ (a_{13}')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{13}')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \end{aligned}$$

 $Where \[(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \], (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \], (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \] are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 \\[+ (a_{28}'')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) \], (+ (a_{29}'')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) \], (+ (a_{30}'')^{(5,5)}(T_{29},t) \] are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 \\[+ (a_{32}')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \], (+ (a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \], (+ (a_{34}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \] are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \] are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3 \\[+ (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) \], (+ (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1)}(T_{$

 $\frac{\left[+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]}{\left[+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]} + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \text{ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3}$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{16}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G, t) \\ - (b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19}, t) \\ - (b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23}, t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \\ \frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{25}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{17}')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19}, t) \\ - (b_{11}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19}, t) \\ - (b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23}, t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \\ \frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{11}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19}, t) \\ - (b_{11}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19}, t) \\ - (b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(G_{23}, t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \\ Where \begin{bmatrix} -(b_{24}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{25}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{25}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{25}')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27}, t) \\ - (b_{25}'')^{(5,5)}(G_{31}, t) \\ - (b_{25}'')^{(5,5)}(G_{31}, t) \\ - (b_{25}'')^{(5,5)}(G_{31}, t) \\ - (b_{25}'')^{(5,5)}(G_{31}, t) \\ - (b_{23}'')^{(5,5)}(G_{31}, t) \\ - (b_{23}''$$

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{24}')^{(4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{32}')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28}$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{25}')^{(4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{33}')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29}$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{21}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30}$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Where } \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \text{ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3} \\ & \text{And } \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \text{ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \text{ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \text{ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \text{ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \text{ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \text{ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \text{ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}($

3

 $\left[+(a_{16}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]_{1}$ $\left[+(a_{17}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]_{1}$ $\left[+(a_{18}^{\prime\prime})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]_{1}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3 $|+(a_{20}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)|_{1}$ $|+(a_{21}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)|_{1}$ $|+(a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)|_{1}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} \boxed{-(b_{28}')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{24}')^{(4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b_{32}')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{13}')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) \boxed{-(b_{16}')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{20}')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28}$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} \boxed{-(b_{29}')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{17}')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{23}')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{14}')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) \boxed{-(b_{17}')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{21}')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29}$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} \boxed{-(b_{30}')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{11}')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{34}')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \hline -(b_{11}')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) \boxed{-(b_{18}')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{34}')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30}$$
where $\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{bmatrix} r_{20}$$
and $\boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} }$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$$\boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} }$$
 are furth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$$\boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{23}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} }$$

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{23}')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{24}')^{(4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ + (a_{13}')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{16}')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{20}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{21}')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{13}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{12}'')^{(3,2,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$$\frac{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$$\frac{+(a_{23}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(6,2,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{23}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \hline -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \\ \frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \hline -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \\ \frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{19})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \\ \frac{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23,t})} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \\ \frac{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23,t})} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \\ \frac{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23,t})} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \\ \frac{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31,t})} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{23})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1$$

Where we suppose

(A)
$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0,$$

 $i, j = 13, 14, 15$

(B) The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} \\ &(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

(C)
$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(1)} (T_{14}, t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(1)} (G, t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$, $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where
$$(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}$$
 are positive constants and $i = 13, 14, 15$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

 $|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) \operatorname{and}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) . (T_{14}',t)$ and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient WOULD be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$, $(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} [\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\ \hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1 \\ & \frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} [\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1 \end{split}$$

Where we suppose

(F)
$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

(G) The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \le (p_i)^{(2)} \le (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$$
$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \le (r_i)^{(2)} \le (b_i')^{(2)} \le (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$$

(H) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)} (T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)} ((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$, $(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}$ are positive constants and i = 16,17,18

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| &\leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \\ |(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)| &< (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \end{aligned}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \cdot (T_{17}', t)$ and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$, $(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

(I) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}$, $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$, $(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}$, $(a_i')^{(2)}$, $(b_i)^{(2)}$, $(b_i')^{(2)}$, $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$, i = 16,17,18,

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} [(a_i)^{(2)} + (a'_i)^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} [(b_i)^{(2)} + (b'_i)^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(J)
$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

<u>Definition of</u> $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$
$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

 $lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$

 $\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(3)} (G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

<u>Definition of</u> (\hat{A}_{20})⁽³⁾, (\hat{B}_{20})⁽³⁾ :

Where $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}$ are positive constants and i = 20,21,22

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| &\leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \\ |(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| &< (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \end{aligned}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \cdot (T_{21}',t)$ And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the THIRD augmentation coefficient, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$, $(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$:

(K) $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$$
 , $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants (\hat{P}_{20})⁽³⁾ and (\hat{Q}_{20})⁽³⁾ which together with (\hat{M}_{20})⁽³⁾, (\hat{k}_{20})⁽³⁾, (\hat{A}_{20})⁽³⁾ and (\hat{B}_{20})⁽³⁾ and the constants (a_i)⁽³⁾, (a'_i)⁽³⁾, (b_i)⁽³⁾, (b'_i)⁽³⁾, (p_i)⁽³⁾, (r_i)⁽³⁾, i = 20,21,22, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} [(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} [(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26$$

(M) The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} \\ &(b_i'')^{(4)} \big((G_{27}),t \big) \le (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (N) & lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)} (T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \\ lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)} \left((G_{27}), t \right) = (r_i)^{(4)} \end{array}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$, $(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}$ are positive constants and i = 24,25,26

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| &\leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \\ |(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)| &< (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \end{aligned}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 4$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the FOURTH **augmentation coefficient WOULD** be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$, $(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$:

 $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$, $(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$$
 , $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$:

(O) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a'_i)^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b'_i)^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

 $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a'_i)^{(5)}, (a''_i)^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b'_i)^{(5)}, (b''_i)^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ (Q) The functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}, (b''_i)^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded. **Definition of** $(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$
$$(b_i')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(R) \qquad \lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(5)} (T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \\ \lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(5)} (G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)} \end{cases}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$, $(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$ are positive constants and i = 28, 29, 30

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)| < (k_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(M_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \cdot (T_{29}, t)$ and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 5$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, theFIFTH **augmentation coefficient** attributable would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$, $(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$:

 $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, \text{ are positive constants}$ $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$:

There exists two constants (\hat{P}_{28})⁽⁵⁾ and (\hat{Q}_{28})⁽⁵⁾ which together with (\hat{M}_{28})⁽⁵⁾, (\hat{k}_{28})⁽⁵⁾, (\hat{k}_{28})⁽⁵⁾ and (\hat{B}_{28})⁽⁵⁾ and the constants

$$(a_{i})^{(5)}, (a_{i}')^{(5)}, (b_{i})^{(5)}, (b_{i}')^{(5)}, (p_{i})^{(5)}, (r_{i})^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30, \text{ satisfy the inequalities}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} [(a_{i})^{(5)} + (a_{i}')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} [(b_{i})^{(5)} + (b_{i}')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

 $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a'_i)^{(6)}, (a''_i)^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b'_i)^{(6)}, (b''_i)^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$

(U) The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded. <u>Definition of</u> $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

 $(b_i')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$

 $lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(6)} ((G_{35}), t) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$, $(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where
$$(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$$
 are positive constants and $i = 32, 33, 34$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| &\leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \\ |(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)| &< (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \end{aligned}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T'_{33}, t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \cdot (T'_{33}, t)$ and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 6$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the SIXTH **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$, $(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$:

 $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, \text{ are positive constants}$ $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$, $(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}$, $(a'_i)^{(6)}$, $(b_i)^{(6)}$, $(b'_i)^{(6)}$, $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$, i = 32,33,34, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} [(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} [(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions IN THE FOREGOING above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions

<u>Definition of</u> $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$\begin{split} G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{13}\right)^{(1)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ T_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{13}\right)^{(1)}t} , \quad \overline{T_{i}(0) = \Box_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{16}\right)^{(2)}t} , \quad G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0 \\ T_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{16}\right)^{(2)}t} , \quad T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0} > 0 \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{20}\right)^{(3)}t} , \quad G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0 \\ T_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{20}\right)^{(3)}t} , \quad T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0} > 0 \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline T_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}t} , \quad \overline{T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}t} , \quad \overline{T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(5)}t} , \quad \overline{T_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ G_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{Definition of} \quad G_{i}(0) , T_{i}(0) : \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0} > 0} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} , \quad \overline{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)}t} \\ \hline \mathbf{O}_{i}(t) &\leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{$$

 $T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

<u>Proof:</u> Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(0) &= G_i^0, \ T_i(0) = T_i^0, \ G_i^0 \leq (\ \hat{P}_{13}\)^{(1)}, \ T_i^0 \leq (\ \hat{Q}_{13}\)^{(1)}, \\ 0 &\leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\ \hat{P}_{13}\)^{(1)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{13}\)^{(1)}t} \\ 0 &\leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\ \hat{Q}_{13}\)^{(1)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{13}\)^{(1)}t} \\ \end{aligned}$$
By

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - ((a_{13}')^{(1)} + a_{13}')^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right] G_{13}(s_{(13)}) ds_{(13)}$$
$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - ((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right] G_{14}(s_{(13)}) ds_{(13)}$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}_{15}(t) &= G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)} \\ \bar{T}_{13}(t) &= T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)} \\ \bar{T}_{14}(t) &= T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{14}'')^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)} \\ \bar{T}_{15}(t) &= T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (b_{15}'')^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(0) &= G_i^0, \ T_i(0) = T_i^0, \ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, \ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}, \\ 0 &\le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \\ 0 &\le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \end{aligned}$$
By

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}_{16}(t) &= G_{16}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + a_{16}''^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \\ \bar{G}_{17}(t) &= G_{17}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \\ \bar{G}_{18}(t) &= G_{18}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \\ \bar{T}_{16}(t) &= T_{16}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \\ \bar{T}_{17}(t) &= T_{17}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \\ \bar{T}_{18}(t) &= T_{18}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_{i}(0) = G_{i}^{0}, T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0}, G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \leq G_{i}(t) - G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$0 \leq T_{i}(t) - T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$
By
$$T_{i}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}$$

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + a_{20}'' \right)^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right] G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}_{21}(t) &= G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)} \\ \bar{G}_{22}(t) &= G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}')^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)} \\ \bar{T}_{20}(t) &= T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)} \\ \bar{T}_{21}(t) &= T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{21}')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)} \\ \bar{T}_{22}(t) &= T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (b_{22}')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i}(0) &= G_{i}^{0}, \ T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0}, \ G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, \ T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}, \\ 0 &\leq G_{i}(t) - G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \\ 0 &\leq T_{i}(t) - T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \\ \end{aligned}$$
By

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}_{24}(t) &= G_{24}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + a_{24}''\right)^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \\ \bar{G}_{25}(t) &= G_{25}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}'')^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \\ \bar{G}_{26}(t) &= G_{26}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \\ \bar{T}_{24}(t) &= T_{24}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \\ \bar{T}_{25}(t) &= T_{25}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{25}'')^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \\ \bar{T}_{26}(t) &= T_{26}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}'')^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i}(0) &= G_{i}^{0}, \ T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0}, \ G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, \ T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}, \\ 0 &\leq G_{i}(t) - G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \\ 0 &\leq T_{i}(t) - T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \\ \end{aligned}$$
By

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}_{28}(t) &= G_{28}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + a_{28}'')^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \\ \bar{G}_{29}(t) &= G_{29}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{29}'')^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \\ \bar{G}_{30}(t) &= G_{30}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \\ \bar{T}_{28}(t) &= T_{28}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}'')^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \\ \bar{T}_{29}(t) &= T_{29}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{29}'')^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \\ \bar{T}_{30}(t) &= T_{30}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (b_{30}'')^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i}(0) &= G_{i}^{0}, \ T_{i}(0) = T_{i}^{0}, \ G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, \\ T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}, \\ 0 &\leq G_{i}(t) - G_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \\ 0 &\leq T_{i}(t) - T_{i}^{0} \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \\ By \\ \bar{G}_{32}(t) &= G_{32}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + a_{32}''^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - ((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - ((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - ((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - ((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{33}')^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right] T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - ((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (b_{34}')^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right] T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval (0, t)

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$\begin{split} G_{13}(t) &\leq G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] \, ds_{(13)} = \\ & \left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t \right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right) \end{split}$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

 (G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , G_{15} , T_{13} , T_{14} , T_{15}

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$\begin{split} & G_{16}(t) \leq G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} \right) \right] \, ds_{(16)} = \\ & \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t \right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1 \right) \end{split}$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{17} , G_{18} , T_{16} , T_{17} , T_{18}

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$\begin{split} G_{20}(t) &\leq G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \right) \right] \, ds_{(20)} = \\ & \left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t \right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1 \right) \end{split}$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{21} , G_{22} , T_{20} , T_{21} , T_{22}

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{24}(t) &\leq G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} S_{(24)}} \right) \right] \, dS_{(24)} = \\ & \left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t \right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \right]$$

 (G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \right) \right] \, ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \right]$$

- (G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1
- (d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$\begin{split} G_{32}(t) &\leq G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} \left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} S_{(32)}} \right) \right] \, ds_{(32)} = \\ & \left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t \right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1 \right) \end{split}$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^{0} \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \right]$$

 (G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{25} , G_{26} , T_{24} , T_{25} , T_{26}

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose

(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} and (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + ((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$$
$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_{i} \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

<u>Definition of</u> \tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{13})^{(1)} \left| G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} \, ds_{(13)} \, + \\ \int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{13}')^{(1)} \left| G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} \, + \\ (a_{13}'')^{(1)} (T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}) \right| G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)} \left| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} \, + \\ G_{13}^{(2)} \left| (a_{13}'')^{(1)} (T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}) - (a_{13}'')^{(1)} (T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}) \right| \, e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} S_{(13)}} \, ds_{(13)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\begin{split} & \left| G^{(1)} - G^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \\ & \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \Big((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)} \Big) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; \ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1:</u> The fact that we supposed $(a_{13}^{\prime\prime})^{(1)}$ and $(b_{13}^{\prime\prime})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_+ .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}$, i = 13,14,15 depend only on T_{14} and respectively on *G*(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

 $G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}')^{(1)} - (a_{i}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

 $T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0 \text{ for } t > 0$

 $\underline{\text{Definition of}} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_{\!\!\!\!\!\!1}, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_{\!\!\!2} \textit{ and } \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_{\!\!\!3}:$

<u>Remark 3</u>: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} and G_{15} . indeed if

$$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$$
 it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \le ((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{15} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} or G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

<u>Remark 4</u>: If G_{13} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} and G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$.

<u>Definition of</u> $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \ge (a_{14})^{(1)} (m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$$T_{14} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}\right) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$$
 If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

 $T_{14} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{15}'')^{(1)} (G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose

(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} large to have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \Bigg[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + ((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \Bigg] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \\ & \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \Bigg[((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \Bigg] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \end{split}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) = \sup_{i} \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of
$$\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}} : (\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$$

It results

$$\begin{split} & \left| \tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{l}^{(2)} \right| \leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{16})^{(2)} \left| G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} ds_{(16)} + \\ & \int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{16}')^{(2)} \left| G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} + \\ & (a_{16}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}) \right| G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)} \left| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} + \\ & G_{16}^{(2)} \left| (a_{16}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}) \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} \} ds_{(16)} \end{split}$$
 Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left| (G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)} \right) d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)} \right) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1</u>: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_+ .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$, i = 16,17,18 depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19}) (and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

 $G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}')^{(2)} - (a_{i}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

 $T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \text{ for } t > 0$

<u>Remark 3:</u> if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} \text{ it follows } \frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \le ((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)})_1 - (a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17} \text{ and by integrating}$$
$$G_{17} \le ((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)})_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)})_1 / (a'_{17})^{(2)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{18} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} \right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)} \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} \right)_2 / (a'_{18})^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

<u>**Remark 4**</u>: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$.

<u>Definition of</u> $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \ge (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to

 $T_{17} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\epsilon_2}\right) (1 - e^{-\epsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\epsilon_2 t} \text{ If we take } t \text{ such that } e^{-\epsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ it results}$

 $T_{17} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_2} \text{ By taking now } \varepsilon_2 \text{ sufficiently small one sees that } T_{17} \text{ is unbounded. The same property holds for } T_{18} \text{ if } \lim_{t \to \infty} (b_{18}'')^{(2)} \left((G_{19})(t), t \right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose

($\widehat{P}_{20}\,)^{(3)}$ and ($\widehat{Q}_{20}\,)^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + ((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \right] \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left(\left(\hat{Q}_{20} \right)^{(3)} + T_j^0 \right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0} \right)} + \left(\hat{Q}_{20} \right)^{(3)} \right] \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20} \right)^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right) = \sup_{i} \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of
$$\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} : (\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$$

It results

$$\begin{split} \left| \tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)} \right| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{20})^{(3)} \left| G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \, ds_{(20)} + \\ \int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{20}')^{(3)} \left| G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} + \\ (a_{20}')^{(3)} \left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)} \right) \left| G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} + \\ G_{20}^{(2)} \left| (a_{20}'')^{(3)} \left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)} \right) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)} \left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)} \right) \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\tilde{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\tilde{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\tilde{k}_{20})^{(3)})d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}; (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1</u>: The fact that we supposed $(a_{20}^{\prime\prime})^{(3)}$ and $(b_{20}^{\prime\prime})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis, in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_+ .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}$, i = 20,21,22 depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

 $(G_{23})(and not on t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any *t* where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}^{\prime})^{(3)} - (a_{i}^{\prime\prime})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

 $T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)}t)} > 0 \text{ for } t > 0$

 $\underline{\text{Definition of}} \, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_2 \, and \, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_3:$

<u>Remark 3:</u> if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} and G_{22} . indeed if

$$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \text{ it follows } \frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21} \text{ and by integrating}$$
$$G_{21} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{22} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} \right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} or G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

<u>Remark 4:</u> If G_{20} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} and G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i'')^{(3)}((G_{23})(t),t)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)} \big((G_{23})(t), t \big) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \ge (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to

$$T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right) (1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$$
 If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

 $T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_3} \text{ By taking now } \varepsilon_3 \text{ sufficiently small one sees that } T_{21} \text{ is unbounded.}$ The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{22}'')^{(3)} \left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose

(\widehat{P}_{24}) $^{(4)}$ and (\widehat{Q}_{24}) $^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + ((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \right] \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0 \right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \right] \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying IN to itself The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right) = \sup_{i} \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

 $\underline{\text{Definition of }}(\widetilde{G_{27}}), \widetilde{(T_{27})}: \quad \left(\widetilde{(G_{27})}, \widetilde{(T_{27})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}), (T_{27}))$

It results

$$\begin{split} \left| \tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)} \right| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{24})^{(4)} \left| G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} \, ds_{(24)} + \\ &\int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{24}')^{(4)} \left| G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} + \\ & (a_{24}')^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)} \right) \left| G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} + \\ & G_{24}^{(2)} \left| (a_{24}'')^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)} \right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)} \right) \right| \, e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} ds_{(24)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left| (G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)} \right) d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)}; (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)} \right) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1</u>: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_{+} .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$, i = 24,25,26 depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and not on t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}')^{(4)} - (a_{i}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

 $T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \text{ for } t > 0$

 $\underline{\text{Definition of}} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_2 \textit{ and } \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_3:$

<u>Remark 3:</u> if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} and G_{26} . indeed if

$$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$$
 it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \le ((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{26} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} \right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} or G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

<u>Remark 4</u>: If G_{24} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} and G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$.

Definition of
$$(m)^{(4)}$$
 and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \ge (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to

$$T_{25} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\epsilon_4}\right) (1 - e^{-\epsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\epsilon_4 t}$$
 If we take t such that $e^{-\epsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

 $T_{25} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_4} \text{ By taking now } \varepsilon_4 \text{ sufficiently small one sees that } T_{25} \text{ is unbounded.}$ The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{26}'')^{(4)} \left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ANALOGOUS inequalities hold also for G_{29} , G_{30} , T_{28} , T_{29} , T_{30}

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose

($\widehat{P}_{28}\,)^{(5)}$ and ($\widehat{Q}_{28}\,)^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + ((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \right] \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$$
$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left[((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \right] \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right) = \sup_{i} \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} |T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t)| e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of
$$(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}}) : ((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$$

It results

$$\begin{split} &|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}| \leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{28})^{(5)} |G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} ds_{(28)} + \\ &\int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{28}')^{(5)} |G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} + \\ &(a_{28}')^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}) |G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} + \\ &G_{28}^{(2)} |(a_{28}'')^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}) - (a_{28}'')^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)})| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} ds_{(28)} \\ & \int_{0}^{0} |f_{28}^{(1)} - f_{28}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(1)} - f_{28}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{28}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{28}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} - f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)} |f_{29}^{(2)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left| (G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\tilde{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\tilde{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\tilde{k}_{28})^{(5)} \right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}; (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)} \right) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1</u>: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}'')^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_+ .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$, i = 28,29,30 depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and not on t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS it results

$$G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}')^{(5)} - (a_{i}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_{i}(t) \geq T_{i}^{0} e^{\left(-(b_{i}')^{(5)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left(\left(\widehat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}\right)_1$, $\left(\left(\widehat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}\right)_2$ and $\left(\left(\widehat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)}\right)_3$:

<u>Remark 3:</u> if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} and G_{30} . indeed if

 $G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \le ((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)})_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} \right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)} \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} \right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{30} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} \right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)} \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} \right)_2 / (a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} or G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

<u>Remark 4</u>: If G_{28} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} and G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$.

<u>Definition of</u> $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \ge (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to

$$T_{29} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right) (1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$$
 If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

 $T_{29} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_5} \text{ By taking now } \varepsilon_5 \text{ sufficiently small one sees that } T_{29} \text{ is unbounded.}$ The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{30}'')^{(5)} \left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , G_{34} , T_{32} , T_{33} , T_{34}

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose

($\widehat{P}_{32}\,)^{(6)}$ and ($\widehat{Q}_{32}\,)^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\mathcal{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + ((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)} \right] \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[\left(\left(\hat{Q}_{32} \right)^{(6)} + T_j^0 \right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0} \right)} + \left(\hat{Q}_{32} \right)^{(6)} \right] \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32} \right)^{(6)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_{i} \{ \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \left| G_{i}^{(1)}(t) - G_{i}^{(2)}(t) \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \left| T_{i}^{(1)}(t) - T_{i}^{(2)}(t) \right| e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \}$$

Indeed if we denote

 $\underline{\text{Definition of }}(\widetilde{G_{35}}), \widetilde{(T_{35})}: \quad \left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}((G_{35}), (T_{35}))$

It results

$$\begin{split} \left| \tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)} \right| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} (a_{32})^{(6)} \left| G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \, ds_{(32)} + \\ \int_{0}^{t} \{ (a_{32}')^{(6)} \left| G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} + \\ (a_{32}')^{(6)} (T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}) \left| G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} + \\ G_{32}^{(2)} \left| (a_{32}')^{(6)} (T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}) - (a_{32}')^{(6)} (T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}) \right| \, e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} ds_{(32)} \end{split}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left| (G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)} \right) d \left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)} \right) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i . Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

<u>Remark 1</u>: The fact that we supposed $(a_{32}'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_{32}'')^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of \mathbb{R}_+ .

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$, i = 32,33,34 depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and not on t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

<u>Remark 2</u>: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 69 to 32 it results

 $G_{i}(t) \geq G_{i}^{0} e^{\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \{(a_{i}')^{(6)} - (a_{i}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

 $T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)} > 0 \text{ for } t > 0$

 $\underline{\text{Definition of}} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_2 and \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_3:$

<u>Remark 3:</u> if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} and G_{34} . indeed if

$$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \text{ it follows } \frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33} \text{ and by integrating}$$
$$G_{33} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way, one can obtain

$$G_{34} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} \right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} or G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

<u>Remark 4</u>: If G_{32} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} and G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below.

<u>Remark 5:</u> If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$.

Definition of
$$(m)^{(6)}$$
 and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

 $(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i^{\prime\prime})^{(6)} \big((G_{35})(t), t \big) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \ge (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to

 $T_{33} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right) (1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

 $T_{33} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_6} \text{ By taking now } \varepsilon_6 \text{ sufficiently small one sees that } T_{33} \text{ is unbounded.}$ The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{34}')^{(6)} \left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

<u>Definition of</u> $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) σ_1)⁽¹⁾, $(\sigma_2)^{(1)}$, $(\tau_1)^{(1)}$, $(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} -(\sigma_2)^{(1)} &\leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)} \\ -(\tau_2)^{(1)} &\leq -(b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$:

(b) By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)} (v^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)} v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)} (u^{(1)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)} u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

<u>Definition of</u> $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)} (\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)} \nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)} (u^{(1)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)} u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(1)}$, $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, if (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$$



$$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$
 $(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, if (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_2)^{(1)} &= (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if \ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)} \\ (\mu_2)^{(1)} &= (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, \ if \ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and
$$\underbrace{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}_{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$$
 where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined

$$\begin{split} & -\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} \\ & \left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)} ((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)}\right)} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)} ((S_1)^{(1)} - (a_{15}')^{(1)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t} \right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t}) \\ \hline \\ & \overline{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \\ & \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)} ((R_1)^{(1)} - (b_{15}')^{(1)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t} \right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)} ((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t} \right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t} \end{split}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:-Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$ $(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$ $(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (d) $\sigma_1^{(2)}, (\sigma_2^{(2)}, (\tau_1^{(2)}, (\tau_2^{(2)}))$ four constants satisfying $-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ $-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b_{16}')^{(2)} + (b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)} ((G_{19}), t) - (b_{17}'')^{(2)} ((G_{19}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ **Definition of** $(v_1)^{(2)}, (v_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: By $(v_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots (e) of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)} (\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)} \nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and **Definition of** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)} (\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)} \nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and $(b_{17})^{(2)} (u^{(2)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)} u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ **Definition of** $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:-(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by $(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, if (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ $(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, if(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{$ and $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$ $(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, \text{ if } (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and analogously $(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, if (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$ $(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, if(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$ and $(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}$ $(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, if (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ Then the solution satisfies the inequalities $G_{16}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

 $(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined

$$\begin{split} & -\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \leq G_{17}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} \\ & (\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (p_{2})^{(2)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \leq G_{18}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)} - (a_{18}')^{(2)}} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t} \right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t} \right] \\ & \overline{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \leq T_{16}(t)} \leq T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \leq T_{16}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)} ((R_1)^{(2)} - (b_{18}')^{(2)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t} \right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t} \leq T_{18}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)} ((R_1)^{(2)} - (b_{18}')^{(2)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t} \right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)} ((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t} \right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)} ((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}} \\ & \frac{1}{(R_1)^{(2)}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} (m_2)^{(2)} - (a_{16}')^{(2)} \\ & \frac{(R_1)^{(2)}}{(R_1)^{(2)}} = (b_{16})^{(2)} (\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{16}')^{(2)} \\ & \frac{(R_2)^{(2)}}{(R_2)^{(2)}} = (b_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

www.iiste.org

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of
$$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$$
:
(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying
 $-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$
 $-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}((G_{23}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$:

- (b) By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}(v^{(3)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and $(b_{21})^{(3)}(u^{(3)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}(v^{(3)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and $(b_{21})^{(3)}(u^{(3)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ **Definition of** $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:-
- (c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by



$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$$
$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)},$$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$
$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$$
$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \text{ and } \underbrace{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}_{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}$$
$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, \text{ if } (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, if(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, if (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(0)} = (u_1)^{(0)}, (\mu_1)^{(0)} = (u_0)^{(0)}, \text{ if } (u_1)^{(0)} < (u_0)^{(0)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, \ if \ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(0)} = (u_1)^{(0)}, (\mu_1)^{(0)} = (u_0)^{(0)}, ij (u_1)^{(0)} < (u_0)^{(0)}$$

$$G_{20}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

 $(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)} ((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t} \right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}$$

 $T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_{1})^{(3)}t} \leq T_{20}(t) \leq T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_{1})^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_{1})^{(3)}((R_{1})^{(3)}-(b_{22}')^{(3)})} \Big[e^{(R_{1})^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b_{22}')^{(3)}t} \Big] + T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b_{22}')^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_{2})^{(3)}((R_{1})^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_{2})^{(3)})} \left[e^{((R_{1})^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_{2})^{(3)}t} \right] + T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_{2})^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}$, $(S_2)^{(3)}$, $(R_1)^{(3)}$, $(R_2)^{(3)}$:-

Where
$$(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$$

 $(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$
 $(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$
 $(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$, $(\sigma_2)^{(4)}$, $(\tau_1)^{(4)}$, $(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$, $(\sigma_2)^{(4)}$, $(\tau_1)^{(4)}$, $(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} -(\sigma_2)^{(4)} &\leq -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(4)} \\ -(\tau_2)^{(4)} &\leq -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(4)} \end{aligned}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$:

(e) By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)} (v^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)} (u^{(4)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)} u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}$, $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)} (v^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)} (u^{(4)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)} u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ **Definition of** $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, \text{ if } (v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$$
$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, \text{ if } (v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},$$
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$
$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, \text{ if } (\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \text{ if } (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$
$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \text{ if } (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \text{ and } \boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$$

 $(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, if(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined by 59 and 64 respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

 $G_{24}^{0}e^{\left((S_{1})^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^{0}e^{(S_{1})^{(4)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \\ & \left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)} \left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)} ((S_1)^{(4)} - (a_{26}')^{(4)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a_{26}')^{(4)}t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a_{26}')^{(4)}t} \right] \\ & \overline{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t}} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \\ & \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)} ((R_1)^{(4)} - (b_{26}')^{(4)})} \Big[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)}t} \Big] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)}t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)} ((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}) + (R_2)^{(4)})} \Big[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t} \Big] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t} \end{split}$$

<u>Definition of</u> $(S_1)^{(4)}$, $(S_2)^{(4)}$, $(R_1)^{(4)}$, $(R_2)^{(4)}$:-

Where
$$(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$$

 $(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$
 $(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$
 $(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

<u>Definition of</u> $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$, $(\sigma_2)^{(5)}$, $(\tau_1)^{(5)}$, $(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g)
$$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$$
 four constants satisfying
 $-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$
 $-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)}, (v_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, v^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$:

(h) By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)} (v^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)} v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and $(b_{29})^{(5)} (u^{(5)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)} u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}$, $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)} (v^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)} v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and $(b_{29})^{(5)} (u^{(5)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)} u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ **Definition of** $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(5)}$, $(v_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, if (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$$
$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, if (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$
$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, if (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, if (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$
$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, if (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$
and
$$(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}$$

 $(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, if (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$\begin{split} & G_{28}^{0} e^{\left((S_{1})^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^{0} e^{(S_{1})^{(5)}t} \\ & \text{where } (p_{l})^{(5)} \text{ is defined} \\ & \frac{1}{(m_{5})^{(5)}} G_{28}^{0} e^{((S_{1})^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_{2})^{(5)}} G_{28}^{0} e^{(S_{1})^{(5)}t} \\ & \left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^{0}}{(m_{1})^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)} \left[e^{((S_{1})^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_{2})^{(5)}t} \right] + G_{30}^{0} e^{-(S_{2})^{(5)}t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^{0}}{(m_{2})^{(5)}((S_{1})^{(5)}-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)})} \left[e^{(S_{1})^{(5)}} - e^{-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)}t} \right] + G_{30}^{0} e^{-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)}t} \\ & \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^{0}}{(m_{2})^{(5)}((S_{1})^{(5)}-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)})} \left[e^{(S_{1})^{(5)}} - e^{-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)}t} \right] \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_{1})^{(5)}} T_{28}^{0} e^{(R_{1})^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^{0} e^{((R_{1})^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \\ & \frac{1}{(\mu_{1})^{(5)}} T_{28}^{0} e^{(R_{1})^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_{2})^{(5)}} T_{28}^{0} e^{((R_{1})^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \\ & \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_{1})^{(5)}-(a_{30}^{*})^{(5)}} \left[e^{((R_{1})^{(5)}} - e^{-(B_{30}^{*})^{(5)}t} \right] + T_{30}^{0} e^{-(B_{30}^{*})^{(5)}t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \\ & \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_{2})^{(5)}((R_{1})^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})} \left[e^{((R_{1})^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_{2})^{(5)}t} \right] + T_{30}^{0} e^{-(R_{2})^{(5)}t} \\ & \frac{Definition of}{(S_{1})^{(5)}}, (S_{2})^{(5)}, (R_{1})^{(5)}, (R_{2})^{(5)} \\ & \text{Where } (S_{1})^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_{2})^{(5)} - (a_{28}^{*})^{(5)} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$$
$$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)} (\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$$
$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Definition of}} & (\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)} : \\ (j) & (\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)} & \text{four constants satisfying} \\ & -(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32}')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)} \\ & -(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b_{32}')^{(6)} + (b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b_{33}'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)} \\ & \underline{\text{Definition of}} & (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)} : \end{array}$

(k) By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

where
$$(p_i)^{(6)}$$
 is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)}}\right) \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)} ((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\frac{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(B'_2)^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)} ((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_2)^{(6)} &= (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, if(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \\ \text{and} \boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}} \\ (\mu_2)^{(6)} &= (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, if(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} \\ \text{are defined respectively} \end{aligned}$$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities
$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \end{aligned}$$

and analogously $(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, if (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}, if(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}$
 $(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, if(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

 $(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, if (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)} (v^{(6)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)} v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)} (u^{(6)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)} u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ **Definition of** $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(6)}$, $(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}$, $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_{33})^{(6)} (\nu^{(6)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)} \nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)} (u^{(6)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)} u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and



www.iiste.org IISTE

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}$, $(S_2)^{(6)}$, $(R_1)^{(6)}$, $(R_2)^{(6)}$:-

Where
$$(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$$

 $(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$
 $(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

 $(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$ **Proof :** From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} - (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \right) - (a_{14}')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For
$$0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

 $v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)})t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)})t\right]}}, \quad (C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner, we get

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right) t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right) t\right]}} \quad , \quad \left[(\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}} \right]$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_{1})^{(1)} &\leq \frac{(\nu_{1})^{(1)} + (\mathcal{C})^{(1)}(\nu_{2})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(1)} - (\nu_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathcal{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(1)} - (\nu_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \\ &\frac{(\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} + (\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathcal{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$
(c) If $0 < (\nu_{1})^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} \leq \left[(\nu_{0})^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right], \text{ we obtain} \end{aligned}$

 \leq

$$(\nu_{1})^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} + (\overline{c})^{(1)}(\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{c})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(1)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_{0})^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c), we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \le u^{(1)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}')^{(1)} = (a_{14}')^{(1)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

 $(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)} (\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t}) \right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)} (\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t}) \nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)} \nu^{(2)}$$

$$\underline{\text{Definition of}} \nu^{(2)} := \qquad \nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}$$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, $(\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For
$$0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)} \left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)} \left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \left(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}\right)$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner, we get



$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\overline{C})^{(2)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_17)^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_17)^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \left(\overline{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}\right)$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \le v^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

(e) If
$$0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$
 we find like in the previous case,
 $(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(\alpha_{17})^{(2)}((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)})t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(\alpha_{17})^{(2)}((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)})t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$

$$\frac{(\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(2)} + (\overline{C})^{(2)}(\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(2)}$$

(f) If
$$0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$$
, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\overline{C})^{(2)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_17)^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_17)^{(2)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c), we have

Definition of
$$\nu^{(2)}(t)$$
 :-

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le v^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad v^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}$$

Particular case :

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

 $(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \right) - (a_{21}')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

$$\underline{\text{Definition of}} \nu^{(3)} := \left[\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}} \right]$$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}(\nu^{(3)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}(\nu^{(3)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$



From which one obtains

(a) For
$$0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(C)^{(3)}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}$$

it follows
$$(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$$

In the same manner, we get

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)})t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)})t\right]}}$$

$$(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}$$

 $\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$\begin{split} (\nu_1)^{(3)} &\leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (\mathcal{C})^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathcal{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \\ \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\overline{\mathcal{C}})^{(3)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{\mathcal{C}})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\overline{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \end{split}$$

(c) If
$$0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$$
, we obtain
 $(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)})t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)})t\right]} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of
$$v^{(3)}(t)$$
 :-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \le u^{(3)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

 $(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \right) - (a_{25}')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of
$$v^{(4)} := v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}$$

It follows

 $-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}(\nu^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}(\nu^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$ From which one obtains

 $\frac{-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}$

<u>Definition of</u> $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For
$$0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(4)} \le v^{(4)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} + (\bar{c})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{c})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \left(\bar{C}\right)^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\nu_{0})^{(4)}}{(\nu_{0})^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}}\right)}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(4)} \le v^{(4)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_{1})^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_{1})^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_{2})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(4)} - (\nu_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(4)} - (\nu_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} + (\overline{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)}$$
(f) If $0 < (\nu_{1})^{(4)} \leq (\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} \leq \left[(\nu_{0})^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right]$, we obtain
$$(\nu_{1})^{(4)} \leq \nu_{1}^{(4)}(t) \leq (\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} + (\overline{C})^{(4)}(\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\overline{\nu}_{1})^{(4)} - (\overline{\nu}_{2})^{(4)}\right)t\right]} \leq (\nu_{1})^{(4)}$$

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\overline{c})^{(4)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(4)})t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{c})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(4)})t\right]} \le (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have **Definition of** $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \le u^{(4)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}')^{(4)} = (a_{25}')^{(4)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ for the special case .

Analogously if $(b_{24}^{\prime\prime})^{(4)} = (b_{25}^{\prime\prime})^{(4)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(4)}$. From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of
$$\nu^{(5)}$$
 :- $\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}(\nu^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}(\nu^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)} :-$

(g) For
$$0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

 $\nu^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)})t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)})t\right]}}, \quad (C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner, we get

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \left(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

(h) If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_{1})^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_{1})^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_{2})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\nu_{1})^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_{2})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\nu_{1})^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_{2})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_{1})^{(5)} - (\nu_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} + (\bar{c})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{c})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} &\leq (\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} \end{aligned}$$
(i) If $0 < (v_{1})^{(5)} \leq (\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(v_{0})^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}, \text{ we obtain}$
 $(v_{1})^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} + (\bar{c})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{c})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_{1})^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_{2})^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_{0})^{(5)} \end{aligned}$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have **Definition of** $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \le \nu^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain **Definition of** $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \le u^{(5)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ for the special case.

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \right) - (a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

<u>Definition of</u> $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}(\nu^{(6)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}(\nu^{(6)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Definition of}} \left(\left(\bar{v}_{1} \right)^{(6)}, \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} \right) &:\\ (j) \quad \text{For } 0 < \boxed{\left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}} < \left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} < \left(\bar{v}_{1} \right)^{(6)} \\ & \nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{\left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} + \left(C \right)^{(6)} \left(v_{2} \right)^{(6)} e^{\left[- \left(a_{33} \right)^{(6)} \left(\left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} - \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} \right) t \right]}}{1 + \left(C \right)^{(6)} e^{\left[- \left(a_{33} \right)^{(6)} \left(\left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} - \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} \right) t \right]}} \end{array}, \quad \boxed{\left(C \right)^{(6)} = \frac{\left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} - \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} - \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} \right) t}{1 + \left(C \right)^{(6)} e^{\left[- \left(a_{33} \right)^{(6)} \left(\left(v_{1} \right)^{(6)} - \left(v_{0} \right)^{(6)} \right) t \right]}} \end{array}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner, we get

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(6)})t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}((\bar{\nu}_{1})^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_{2})^{(6)})t\right]}}$$

$$(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_1)^{(6)} &\leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} &\leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \\ \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\overline{C})^{(6)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \\ (l) \quad \text{If } 0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \left[(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^2}{G_{33}^0}\right], \text{ we obtain} \\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\overline{C})^{(6)}(\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\overline{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\overline{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\overline{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)} \end{aligned}$$

$$1+(C)(6)e^{-(C+2)}$$
 ((1) ((2))-j

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have **Definition of** $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

<u>Definition of</u> $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}')^{(6)} = (a_{33}')^{(6)}$, then $(\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ this also defines $(v_0)^{(6)}$ for the special case.

Analogously if $(b_{32}'')^{(6)} = (b_{33}'')^{(6)}$, then $(\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(6)}$. We can prove the following

<u>Theorem 3</u>: If $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t, and the conditions

$$\begin{split} &(a_{13}')^{(1)}(a_{14}')^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)}<0\\ &(a_{13}')^{(1)}(a_{14}')^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)}+(a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)}+(a_{14}')^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)}>0\\ &(b_{13}')^{(1)}(b_{14}')^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)}>0\,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b_{13}^{\prime})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{\prime})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{\prime})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{14}^{\prime})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0 \\ with \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)} as defined, then the system \\ & H \ (a_{1}^{\prime})^{(2)} and \ (b_{1}^{\prime})^{(2)} are independent on t, and the conditions \\ (a_{16}^{\prime})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{\prime})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \\ (b_{16}^{\prime})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{\prime})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{12}^{\prime})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \\ (b_{16}^{\prime})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{\prime})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{\prime})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{\prime})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \\ with \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)} as defined are satisfied, then the system \\ H \ (a_{1}^{\prime})^{(3)} and \ (b_{1}^{\prime\prime})^{(3)} are independent on t, and the conditions \\ (a_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{\prime})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0 \\ (a_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{\prime})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0 \\ (b_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{\prime})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0 \\ (b_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{\prime})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20}^{\prime})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0 \\ with \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)} a defined are satisfied , then the system \\ H \ (a_{1}^{\prime})^{(4)} and \ (b_{1}^{\prime\prime})^{(4)} are independent on t, and the conditions \\ (a_{24}^{\prime})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0 \\ (b_{24}^{\prime})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0 \\ (b_{24}^{\prime})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)}(r_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)} + (r_{24}^{\prime})^{(4)}(r_{25}^{\prime})^{(4)} < 0 \\ with \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)} as defined are satisfied , then the system \\ H \ (a_{1}^{\prime})^{(5)} and \ (b_{1}^{\prime})^{(5)} are independent on t , and the conditions \\ (a_{22}^{\prime})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{(5)}) - (a_{28}^{\prime})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{(5)}) < 0 \\ (b_{24}^{\prime})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{(5)}$$

$$\begin{split} &(a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0 \\ &(b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{33}')^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0 \\ &with \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)} \ \text{as defined are satisfied , then the system} \\ &(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \\ &(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \\ &(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \\ &(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}(G)\right]T_{13} = 0 \\ &(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{14}')^{(1)}(G)\right]T_{14} = 0 \\ &(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b_{15}')^{(1)} - (b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G)\right]T_{15} = 0 \end{split}$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - [(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})]G_{16} = 0$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - [(a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})]G_{17} = 0$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - [(a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})]G_{18} = 0$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})]T_{16} = 0$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})]T_{17} = 0$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})]T_{18} = 0$$

has a unique positive solution, which is an equilibrium solution for

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - [(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})]G_{20} = 0$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - [(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})]G_{21} = 0$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - [(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})]G_{22} = 0$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})]T_{20} = 0$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})]T_{21} = 0$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})]T_{22} = 0$$

has a unique positive solution, which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - [(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})]G_{24} = 0$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - [(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})]G_{25} = 0$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - [(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})]G_{26} = 0$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}))]T_{24} = 0$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{25}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}))]T_{25} = 0$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}))]T_{26} = 0$$

has a unique positive solution, which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - [(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})]G_{28} = 0$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - [(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})]G_{29} = 0$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - [(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})]G_{30} = 0$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - [(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})]G_{32} = 0$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - [(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})]G_{33} = 0$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - [(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})]G_{34} = 0$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$\begin{split} F(T) &= (a_{13}')^{(1)} (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} (a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} (a_{14}')^{(1)} (T_{14}) + (a_{14}')^{(1)} (a_{13}')^{(1)} (T_{14}) + \\ (a_{13}')^{(1)} (T_{14}) (a_{14}')^{(1)} (T_{14}) = 0 \end{split}$$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25$$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

 $F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$\begin{split} F(T_{35}) &= (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(T_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0 \end{split}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^{*}₁₄ :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^{*}₁₇ :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{17}^* for which $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} \mathsf{G}_{17}}{\left[(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(\mathsf{T}_{17}^*)\right]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} \mathsf{G}_{17}}{\left[(a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(\mathsf{T}_{17}^*)\right]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^{*}₂₁ :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{21}^* for which $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^{*}₂₅ :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^{*}₂₉ :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i')^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there

exists a unique T_{29}^* for which $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T₃₃^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value, we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

(e) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{13} , G_{14} if

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15})$, G_{13}, G_{15} must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16} , G_{17} if

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})] + (b'_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

(g) By the same argument, the concatenated equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22})$, G_{20}, G_{22} must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

(h) By the same argument, the equations of modules admit solutions G_{24} , G_{25} if

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})]^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})]^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^* such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{28} , G_{29} if

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)}$$

$$\left[(b_{28}')^{(5)} (b_{29}'')^{(5)} (G_{31}) + (b_{29}')^{(5)} (b_{28}'')^{(5)} (G_{31}) \right] + (b_{28}'')^{(5)} (G_{31}) (b_{29}'')^{(5)} (G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^* such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{32} , G_{33} if

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b_{33}')^{(6)}(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35})\right] + (b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35})(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 94

 G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*)=0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*)=0$ and

$$\begin{split} G_{13}^* &= \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* &= \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \\ T_{13}^* &= \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* &= \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b_{15}')^{(1)} - (b_{15}')^{(1)}(G^*)]} \end{split}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

 G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*)=0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(\mathrm{T}_{17}^*)=0$ and

$$\begin{split} G_{16}^* &= \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* &= \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \\ T_{16}^* &= \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* &= \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \end{split}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

$$G_{21}^*$$
 given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{20}^* &= \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* &= \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \\ T_{20}^* &= \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b_{20}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}')^{(3)}(G_{23}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* &= \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b_{22}')^{(3)} - (b_{22}')^{(3)}(G_{23}^*)]} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

 G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$\begin{split} G_{24}^* &= \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^*}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* &= \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^*}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \\ T_{24}^* &= \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^*}{[(b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* &= \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^*}{[(b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \end{split}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

 G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*)=0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*)=0$ and

$$\begin{split} G_{28}^* &= \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^*}{\left[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)\right]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* &= \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^*}{\left[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)\right]} \\ T_{28}^* &= \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^*}{\left[(b_{28}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)\right]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* &= \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^*}{\left[(b_{30}')^{(5)} - (b_{30}')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)\right]} \end{split}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

 G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{32}^* &= \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^*}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* &= \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^*}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \\ T_{32}^* &= \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^*}{[(b_{32}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* &= \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^*}{[(b_{34}')^{(6)} - (b_{34}')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof:_Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i &, T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \\ \frac{\partial (a_{14}')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}} (T_{14}^*) &= (q_{14})^{(1)} &, \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_i} (G^*) = s_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \end{split}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15} \left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15} \left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{i} &= \mathbf{G}_{i}^{*} + \mathbf{G}_{i} \qquad , \mathbf{T}_{i} = \mathbf{T}_{i}^{*} + \mathbf{T}_{i} \\ \frac{\partial (a_{17}^{\prime\prime})^{(2)}}{\partial \mathbf{T}_{17}} (\mathbf{T}_{17}^{*}) &= (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_{i}^{\prime\prime})^{(2)}}{\partial \mathbf{G}_{j}} ((G_{19})^{*}) = s_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

taking into account equations (global)and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18} \left(s_{(16)(j)}\mathbb{T}_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18} \left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathbb{T}_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18} \left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathbb{T}_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \end{aligned}$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i &, T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \\ \frac{\partial (a_{21}')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}} (T_{21}^*) &= (q_{21})^{(3)} &, \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_i} ((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)})\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)})\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22} (s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j)$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)})\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22} (s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j)$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)})\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22} (s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $\mathcal{C}^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i &, T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \\ \frac{\partial (a_{25}')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}} (T_{25}^*) &= (q_{25})^{(4)} &, \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} &= -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}\mathcal{G}_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} &= -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}\mathcal{G}_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} &= -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}\mathcal{G}_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} &= -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}\mathcal{T}_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} &= -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}\mathcal{T}_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} &= -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}\mathcal{T}_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $\mathcal{C}^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i &, T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \\ \frac{\partial (a_{29}^{\prime\prime})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}} (T_{29}^*) &= (q_{29})^{(5)} &, \frac{\partial (b_i^{\prime\prime})^{(5)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{31})^*) = s_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)})\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)})\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \end{aligned}$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $\mathcal{C}^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of \mathbb{G}_i , \mathbb{T}_i :-

$$\begin{split} G_i &= G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \\ \frac{\partial (a_{33}^{\prime\prime})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}} (T_{33}^*) &= (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \ \frac{\partial (b_i^{\prime\prime})^{(6)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij} \end{split}$$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} &= -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \\ \frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} &= -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_{j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic equation of this system is

$$\begin{split} & \left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)} \right) \left\{ \left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)} \right) \\ & \left[\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} \right) (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)} (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \right) \right] \\ & \left(((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} \right) s_{(14),(14)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(14)} T_{14}^* \right) \\ & + \left(((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)} \right) (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)} (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \right) \\ & \left(((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} \right) s_{(14),(13)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(13)} T_{13}^* \right) \\ & \left(((\lambda)^{(1)})^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)} \right) (\lambda)^{(1)} \right) \\ & \left(((\lambda)^{(1)})^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)} \right) (\lambda)^{(1)} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} \right)^2 + \left((a_{13}'^{(1)} + (a_{14}'^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)} \right) (\lambda)^{(1)} \right) (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15} \\ &+ \left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}'^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} \right) ((a_{15})^{(1)} (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)} (a_{15})^{(1)} (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}'^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} \right)^{(1)} (\lambda)^{(1)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} S_{(13),(15)} T_{13}^* \right) \} = 0 \\ &+ \\ &\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}'^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)} \right) \left\{ ((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}'^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)} \right) \\ &\left[(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})(q_{17})^{(1)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(17)} T_{17}^* \right) \\ &+ \left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(17)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(16)} T_{16}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(16)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(16)} T_{16}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(16)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(16)} T_{16}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}'^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(\lambda)^{(2)} \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} \right)^2 + \left((a_{16}'^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(\lambda)^{(2)} \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} \right)^2 + \left((a_{16}'^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(\lambda)^{(2)} \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(18)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(18)} T_{16}^* \right) \right\} = 0 \\ &+ \\ &\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}'^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(18)} T_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(18)} T_{16}^* \right) \right\} \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}^* + (a_{21})^{(3)} (q_{20})^{(3)} G_{20}^*) \right) \right] \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}'^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}^* + (a_{20})^{(3)} (q_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)} (a_{21})^{(3)} \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})(\lambda)^{(3)} \right) \\ \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{$$

$$\begin{split} & \left(((\lambda)^{(+)} + (b_{24})^{(+)} - (c_{24})^{(+)} + (a_{25}^{\prime})_{(22),(24)}^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{24}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{24}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (c_{24}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{25}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{26}^{\prime})^{(+)} + (b_{$$

$$\begin{split} \left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} \right) s_{(21),(22)} T_{21}^* + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(22)} T_{20}^* \right) \right\} &= 0 \\ + \\ \left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)} \right) \left\{ \left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)} \right) \right. \\ \left[\left(((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} \right) (q_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}^* + (a_{25})^{(4)} (q_{24})^{(4)} G_{24}^* \right) \right] \\ \left(((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)} \right) s_{(25),(25)} T_{25}^* + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(25)} T_{25}^* \right) \\ &+ \left(((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)} \right) (q_{24})^{(4)} G_{24}^* + (a_{24})^{(4)} (q_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)} \right) s_{(25),(24)} T_{25}^* + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(24)} T_{24}^* \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(4)})^2 + ((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)} \right) (\lambda)^{(4)} \right) \\ &\left(((\lambda)^{(4)})^2 + ((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)} + (r_{25})^{(4)} \right) (\lambda)^{(4)} \right) \\ &+ \left(((\lambda)^{(4)})^2 + ((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)} \right) (\lambda)^{(4)} \right) (q_{26})^{(4)} G_{26} \\ &+ ((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} \right) \left((a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}^* + (a_{25})^{(4)} (a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{24})^{(4)} G_{26}^* \right) \\ &+ (\lambda)^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} \right) \left((a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}^* + (a_{25})^{(4)} (a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{24})^{(4)} G_{26}^* \right)$$



+

$$((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}) \\ [(((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)})(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32})] \\ (((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)})s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}) \\ + (((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)})(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}) \\ (((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)})s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}) \\ (((\lambda)^{(6)})^2 + ((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)})(\lambda)^{(6)}) \\ (((\lambda)^{(6)})^2 + ((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)})(\lambda)^{(6)}) \\ + (((\lambda)^{(6)})^2 + ((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)})(\lambda)^{(6)}) (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34} \\ + ((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)})((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}) \\ (((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)})s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32})\} = 0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, Nature 's Letters, Article Abstracts, Research papers, Abstracts Of Research Papers, Stanford Encyclopedia, Web Pages, Ask a Physicist Column, Deliberations with Professors, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, we regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, regret, trepidiation and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

References

- 1. A Haimovici: "On the growth of a two species ecological system divided on age groups". Tensor, Vol 37 (1982),Commemoration volume dedicated to Professor Akitsugu Kawaguchi on his 80th birthday
- 2. Frtjof Capra: "The web of life" Flamingo, Harper Collins See "Dissipative structures" pages 172-188
- Heylighen F. (2001): "The Science of Self-organization and Adaptivity", in L. D. Kiel, (ed). Knowledge Management, Organizational Intelligence and Learning, and Complexity, in: The

Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems ((EOLSS), (Eolss Publishers, Oxford) [http://www.eolss.net

- Matsui, T, H. Masunaga, S. M. Kreidenweis, R. A. Pielke Sr., W.-K. Tao, M. Chin, and Y. J Kaufman (2006), "Satellite-based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric stability, and the diurnal cycle", J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097
- 5. Stevens, B, G. Feingold, W.R. Cotton and R.L. Walko, "Elements of the microphysical structure of numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus" J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 980-1006
- Feingold, G, Koren, I; Wang, HL; Xue, HW; Brewer, WA (2010), "Precipitation-generated oscillations in open cellular cloud fields" *Nature*, 466 (7308) 849-852, doi: 10.1038/nature09314, Published 12-Aug 2010
- 7. R Wood "The rate of loss of cloud droplets by coalescence in warm clouds" J.Geophys. Res., 111, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007553, 2006
- 8. H. Rund, "The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces", Grund. Math. Wiss. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959
- 9. A. Dold, "Lectures on Algebraic Topology", 1972, Springer-Verlag
- 10. S Levin "Some Mathematical questions in Biology vii ,Lectures on Mathematics in life sciences, vol 8" The American Mathematical society, Providence , Rhode island 1976
- 11. Arndt, M. et al., Wave-particle duality of C60 molecules, Nature 401, 680-682 (1999)
- 12. Yacoby, A., Heiblum, M., Mahalu, D., and Shtrikman, H., Coherence and phase sensitive measurements in a quantum dot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4047-4050 (1995).
- 13. Gustavsson, S., Leturcq, R., Studer, M., Ihn, T., and Ensslin, K., Time-Resolved Detection of Single-Electron Interference, Nano Lett. 8, 2547-2550 (2008).
- 14. Cardamone, D. V., Stafford, C. A., and Mazumdar, S. Controlling quantum transport through a single molecule, Nano Lett.6, 2422-2426 (2006).
- 15. Ke, S.-H., Yang, W., and Baranger, U., Quantum-interference-controlled molecular electronics, Nano Lett. 8, 3257-3261(2008).
- 16. Quian, Z., Li, R., Zhao, X., Hou, S., and Sanvito, S., Conceptual molecular quantum phase transistor based on first-principles quantum transport calculations, Phys. Rev. B 78, 113301.1-4 (2008).
- 17. Begemann, G., Darau, D., Donarini, A., and Grifoni, M., Symmetry fingerprints of a benzene singleelectron transistor:
- Interplay between Coulomb interaction and orbital symmetry, Phys. Rev. B 77, 201406(R).1-4 (2008); 78, 089901(E).1(2008).
- 19. Darau, D., Begemann, G., Donarini, A., and Grifoni, M., A benzene interference single-electron transistor, arXiv: 0810.2461.
- 20. (22)Wolf, S. A. et al., Spintronics: A spin-based electronic vision for the future, Science 294, 1488-1495 (2001).
- 21. Awschalom, D. D., and Flatt é, M. E., Challenges for semiconductor spintronics, Nature Phys. 3 153-159 (2007).

First Author: ¹**Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar** has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt. for his work on 'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Corresponding <u>Author:drknpkumar@gmail.com</u>

Second Author: ²**Prof. B.S Kiranagi** is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent

publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: ³**Prof. C.S. Bagewadi** is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

