Advances in Physics Theories and Applications
ISSN 2224-719X (Paper) ISSN 2225-0638 (Online)
Vol 14, 2013

Consciousness, Yang Mills Theory, Space–Time Covariance Functions, Quantum Chaos And Topics Of Interest; A Tapan Ta Rhei Kai Oude Mengi Models

[1]Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, [2]Prof B S Kiranagi, [3]Asst Prof Gnanendra Prabhu

[1]Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Post doctoral researcher, Dr KNP Kumar has three PhD's, one each in Mathematics, Economics and Political science and a D.Litt. in Political Science, Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Correspondence Mail id : drknpkumar@gmail.com

[2]Prof B S Kiranagi, UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

[3]Asst Prof Gnanendra Prabhu, Dept. of PG Studies & Research in Mathematics, & Computer Science, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India

Keywords: Consciousness, Unconsciousness, Energy, Gratification, Deprivation, Space, Time, Self, Non-self , Emptiness, Altered Perception, Working Memory, Cognitive Development, transitory information, Central Executive, Articulatory Loop , Lateral Prefrontal Cortex, Quantum Memory , Computation Of Minimum Energy, Quantum Gate, Graphical Syntax, Entangled States, Quantum Teleportation, Quantum Computation Networks, Quantum Entanglement

Introduction

Organization of the paper is as follows. Analysis of the concatenation equations, introduction of functional forms and analysis of the dual motions are to be consummated in the forthcoming sections.

Section 1: Consciousness, Unconsciousness, Thought, Energy: A Selbaz-Domaz-Buplam Einzelsprachlich Model

Section 2: Gratification, Deprivation, Space Time, Association And Other Topics Of Consonance and Conformality: A Sprachwirklich-Bremsstrahlung Model

Section 3: Gratification, Prakruti Siddhhi, Prakruti Kshobha, Deprivation, Nonself And Other Topics: A Das Ganze Ist Mehr Als Die Summe Seiner Teile Model

Section 4: Self, Senses, Form, Emptiness, Altered Perception, Augmented Reality as commonly understood, Etal. Gesellschaft-Gemeinschaft Vergangenheitsbewältigung Model

Section 5: Attention And Working Memory, Associations, Prakruti Kshobha, Prakruti Siddhhi Etal. : A Sollbruchstelle- Heiligenschein Model

Section 6: Verbal Working Memory , Dissociable Phenomena, Phonological Processing, Neuronal Activity, Transpersonal And Bimodal Concepts Et Al.: A Einzelsprachlich-Entscheidungsproblem

Section 7: Working memory, Cognitive Development, transitory information, verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension et al : A Sidere Mens Eadem Mutato-Sic Parvis magna Model

Section 8: Central Executive, Episodic Buffer, Articulatory Loop Et Al.: A Astra Non Mentiuntur Sed Astrologi Bene Mentiuntur De Astris

Section 9: Functional Organization Of The Lateral Prefrontal Cortex, Verbal Working Memory, Phonological Processing Et Al.: A Scire Quod Sciendum Seculo Rum Model

Section 10: Transpersonal Processes, Karma (Individual Or Natures General Ledger), Dharma (Rules And Regulations Generally Specified By The Society:Assumed Who Ever Perpetrates Action In Transgression And Prevarication Of The Defined Regulations): A Qualitative Gradient Of Structural Differentiation And Diffuse Solidarity Abstraction Model

Section 11: Quantum Entanglement, Non Locality, Computation Of Minimum Energy, Relation Of The Systems Et Al.A Model With Primary Focus And Locus On The Essence ,Sense And Perception

Section 12: Quantum Gate, Scalable Optically Coupled Quantum Information System, Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation Et Al. : A Model Si Tacuisses, Philosophus Mansisses -Model

Section 13: Quantum Computation, Measurement-Based Quantum Computation, Fermionic Measurement: A Sapient A Et Veritas Eloquent A Model

Section 14: Graphical Syntax, Structure Of The Entangled States, Quantum Programs, Et Al, Manifestation Of Histories And Determinate And Differential Aposteori Model

Section 15: Principle Of Locality And Quantum Theory, Bell's Inequalities, Atomic Cascades Et Al. : A Scire Quod Sciendum Seculo Rum-Semper Anticus –Semper Ardens Model

Section 16: Experimental Quantum Teleportation, Quantum Computation Networks, Quantum Memory Etal.: A Usus Est Magister Optimus -Ut Infra- Veritas Omnia Vincit Model

Acknowledgements:

It is to be stated in unmistakable, unambiguous, unequivocal terms that the contential constitutions, presciential dynamics, predicational anteriority, character constitution, ontological consonance, primordial exactitude, Acolytish representation, propositional subsistence, substantive corporeality, apocryphal aneurism, atrophied asseverations, functional topology, manifestation of written histories, perceptual field, form-background, theme-potentialities, predicational pronouncements, profiles and unity of perspectives. principal frontier of diurnal dynamics, corporeal depth and sonorous continuum, locus and focus of essence, sense and expression, hypostatized and hyper dynamic confederation, of the Introduction, has been taken from various sources, such as: Google Search, Encyclopedia, Stanford Encyclopedia, Deliberations with the Professors, Authors Home Page, various Columns like Ask a Physicist, Reports of Scientific American, and Newspapers reports. Follow up notes of ATLAS, TOTEM, ALICE, and various photographs from NASA or Wikipedia. This is purely done spending my own terminal benefits and without any sense of profit motivation.

I have put all concerted efforts, sustained struggle, and protracted endeavor, to put on recordable evidence the sources to the widest commonalty spread. In the eventuality of the fact that there had been any act of omission is attributable to the fact that it has happened inadvertently, in deliberately, and despite eminent and erudite people helping me to piggy ride on their backs, I probably might not have come to their expectations and produced an average work. Singlehandedly, I have browsed millions of pages, and there are possibilities of repetition, ascribable to the forgetfulness of what has been done before, for I never looked back, with diligence and enterprise. Emphasis also shifted sometimes from attention to the problem solving to style. Despite all these kinks in my amour, if I have been able to put forth any worthy piece of work, credit goes to all the people and not to me at all. I beg pardon on that count. References are only illustrative and not exhaustive. I once again thank all the people who have contributed for this work, and frankly, there is no question of sense of belonging what with a feeling of whole wide ocean waiting to be explored, one tends only impatient, and astutely truculent, and serenadingly pushy to consummate, consolidate, concretize, corporate, consubstantiate whatever one has done . Introduction so prepared has helped me in the continuation of the work and I humbly hope it does serve the purpose of the others, in the similar realm of progression as it has served mine. Predicational

anteriorities, ontological consonance, primordial exactitude, analytical treatment of concatenated equations, Studies of alternative methodologies, possibility of wave motion, Lee paradigm and optimization problems and stochastic treatment shall be done in the subsequent papers.

Quotes:

Our normal waking consciousness is but one special type of consciousness while all about it parted from it by the filmiest of screens there lie potential forms of consciousness entirely different. We may go through life with apprehensions of their existence but apply the requisite stimulus and at they are there in all their completeness…….
No account of universe in its totality can be final which leaves these forms of consciousness quite disregarded. How to regard them is the question…… At any rate they forbid our premature closing of accounts with reality…….

William James

Quantum theory no longer speaks of the state of the universe, but our knowledge of the state of the universe

Heisenberg

From the unreal lead me to the real!
From darkness lead me to light!
From death lead me to immortality!

Brihadarnkayaka Upanishad

That which is heart, this mind---that is,
Consciousness, perception, discernment, intelligence,
Wisdom, insight, persistence, thought, thoughtfulness,
Impulse, memory, conception, purpose, life, desire, will
Are all names of intelligence?

Aitareya Upanishad

Because our entire universe is made up of consciousness, we never really experience the universe directly we just experience our consciousness of the universe, our perception of it, so right, our only universe is perception.

Alan Moore

In the consciousness of the truth he has perceived, man now sees everywhere only the awfulness or the absurdity of existence and loathing seizes him.

Friedrich Nietzsche

Thus we cannot escape the fact that that the world we know is constructed in order to see itself. But in order to do so evidently, it must cut itself up in to atleast one state which sees and one other state which is seen

G.Spencer Brown

Consciousness in its pristine and pure form is in its original nature, quiet, pure and above the dualism of subject and the object. But there appears to be a principle of particularization and with the rise of this wind of action the waves are agitated over the tranquil surface of mind .It is differentiated and evolved in eight layers

D.T.Suzuki

There is thus an incessant multiplication of the inexhaustible One and the unification of indefinitely many. Such are the beginnings and endings of the worlds of the individual beings, expanded from point without position or dimensions and a now without date or duration**.**

Ananada K.Coomaraswamy
(As quoted in Kin Wilber's Spectrum of Consciousness)

Section 1:

Consciousness, Unconsciousness, Thought, Energy: A Selbaz-Domaz-Buplam Einzelsprachlich Model

Introduction—Variables Used

1. Consciousness (individual: Total storage: Information field capacity and ASCII characters for quantification) and cosmic consciousness (nature's general ledger: **HYPOTHETICAL PARTCLE WHICH CONTAINS ALL THE ACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS OF ANIMATE AND INANIMATE OBJECTS.)**
2. B=AB (Gratification producing agency = Deprivation producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced")
3. G=D
4. Ego=Unconsciousness:
5. Unconsciousness and individual consciousness(Sundry Deposit account Example)
6. Mind=ST
7. Self (Address of body and mind; senses function; self sees not eye) **produces(eb)** Consciousness
8. Thought (storage of information acted by mind anagrammatically. Note that mind is anagrammatic agency. And Thought is the result of the operation carried over on it) = Energy

NOTATION

Module One

Consciousness (individual: Total storage: Information field capacity and ASCII characters for quantification) and cosmic consciousness (nature's general ledger: HYPOTHETICAL PARTCLE WHICH CONTAINS ALL THE ACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS OF ANIMATE AND INANIMATE OBJECTS.)

G_{13} : Category one of Consciousness (individual: Total storage: Information field capacity and ASCII characters for quantification)

G_{14} : Category two of Consciousness (individual: Total storage: Information field capacity and ASCII characters for quantification)

G_{15} : Category three of Consciousness (individual: Total storage: Information field capacity and ASCII characters for quantification)

T_{13} : Category one of cosmic consciousness (nature's general ledger: HYPOTHETICAL PARTCLE WHICH CONTAINS ALL THE ACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS OF ANIMATE AND INANIMATE OBJECTS.)

T_{14} : Category two of cosmic consciousness (nature's general ledger: HYPOTHETICAL PARTCLE WHICH CONTAINS ALL THE ACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS OF ANIMATE AND INANIMATE OBJECTS.)

T_{15} : Category three of cosmic consciousness (nature's general ledger: HYPOTHETICAL PARTCLE WHICH CONTAINS ALL THE ACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS OF ANIMATE AND

INANIMATE OBJECTS.)

Module Two

B=AB (Gratification producing agency = Deprivation producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters.)

G_{16} : Category one of Brahman(Gratification producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters .Gratification increases by AP)

G_{17} : Category two of Brahman(Gratification producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters.)

G_{18} : Category three of Brahman(Gratification producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters.)

T_{16} : Category one of Anti-Brahman(Deprivation producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters. Deprivation increases by GP)

T_{17} : Category two of Anti-Brahman(Deprivation producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters. Deprivation increases by GP. More you think about something you are deprived of, more you get angrier. This also includes pathological cases, overwriting, getting struck like a tape, etc.,)

T_{18} : Category three of Anti-Brahman(Deprivation producing agency; Holistically conservative; Individualistically non conservative that is why we always see no one happy completely or "balanced "Storage information, number of times thinking and the concomitant replication and ASCII characters. Deprivation increases by GP. More you think about something you are deprived of, more you get angrier. This also includes pathological cases, overwriting, getting struck like a tape, Repetitive information delineation ,Schizophrenia cal tendencies etc.,)

Module three

G=D

G_{20} : Category one of Gratification quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASXCII characters used for quantification. Gratification is assumed to increase in AP.

G_{21} : Category two of Gratification quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASXCII characters used for quantification. Gratification is assumed to increase in AP.

G_{22} : Category three of Gratification quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASCII characters used for quantification. Gratification is assumed to increase in AP.

T_{20} : Category one of Deprivation quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASCII characters used for quantification. Deprivation is assumed to increase in GP.

T_{21} : Category two of Deprivation quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASCII characters

used for quantification. Deprivation is assumed to increase in GP.

T_{22} : Category three of Deprivation quantified by ASCII characters based on the stored information and mind acting anagrammatically over it and the resultant information dynamics with ASCII characters used for quantification. Deprivation is assumed to increase in GP.

Module four

Ego=Unconsciousness

Ego

The knowledge of this is my body and this is my mind is ego. The ego acts according to the reality principle; i.e. it seeks to please the id's drive in realistic ways that will benefit in the long term rather than bring grief. At the same time, Freud concedes that as the ego "attempts to mediate between id and reality, it is often obliged to cloak the Ucs. [Unconscious] commands of the id with its own Pcs. [Preconscious] rationalizations, to conceal the id's conflicts with reality, to profess ... to be taking notice of reality even when the id has remained rigid and unyielding."

The ego comprises the organized part of the personality structure that includes defensive, perceptual, intellectual-cognitive, and executive functions. Conscious awareness resides in the ego, although not all of the operations of the ego are conscious. Originally, Freud used the word ego to mean a sense of self, but later revised it to mean a set of psychic functions such as judgment, tolerance, reality testing, control, planning, defense, synthesis of information, intellectual functioning, and memory. The ego separates out what is real. It helps us to organize our thoughts and make sense of them and the world around us. "The ego is that part of the id which has been modified by the direct influence of the external world.... The ego represents what may be called reason and common sense, in contrast to the id, which contains the passions ... in its relation to the id it is like a man on horseback, who has to hold in check the superior strength of the horse; with this difference, that the rider tries to do so with his own strength, while the ego uses borrowed forces." Still worse, "it serves three severe masters ... the external world, the super-ego and the id." Its task is to find a balance between primitive drives and reality while satisfying the id and super-ego. Its main concern is with the individual's safety and allows some of the id's desires to be expressed, but only when consequences of these actions are marginal. "Thus the ego, driven by the id, confined by the super-ego, repulsed by reality, struggles ... [in] bringing about harmony among the forces and influences working in and upon it," and readily "breaks out in anxiety — realistic anxiety regarding the external world, moral anxiety regarding the super-ego, and neurotic anxiety regarding the strength of the passions in the id." It has to do its best to suit all three, thus is constantly feeling hemmed by the danger of causing discontent on two other sides. It is said, however, that the ego seems to be more loyal to the id, preferring to gloss over the finer details of reality to minimize conflicts while pretending to have a regard for reality. But the super-ego is constantly watching every one of the ego's moves and punishes it with feelings of guilt, anxiety, and inferiority.

To overcome this ego employs defense mechanisms. The defense mechanisms are not done so directly or consciously. They lessen the tension by covering up our impulses that are threatening. Ego defense mechanisms are often used by the ego when id behavior conflicts with reality and either society's morals, norms, and taboos or the individual's expectations as a result of the internalization of these morals, norms, and their taboos.

Denial, displacement, intellectualisation, fantasy, compensation, projection, rationalization, reaction formation, regression, repression, and sublimation were the defense mechanisms Freud identified. However, his daughter Anna Freud clarified and identified the concepts of undoing, suppression, dissociation, idealization, identification, introjection, inversion, somatisation, splitting, and substitution.

"The ego is not sharply separated from the id; its lower portion merges into it.... But the repressed merges into the id as well, and is merely a part of it. The repressed is only cut off sharply from the ego

by the resistances of repression; it can communicate with the ego through the id." (Sigmund Freud, 1923)

In a diagram of the Structural and Topographical Models of Mind, the ego is depicted to be half in the consciousness, while a quarter is in the preconscious and the other quarter lies in the unconscious.

In modern English, ego has many meanings. It could mean one's self-esteem; an inflated sense of self-worth; the conscious-thinking self or in philosophical terms, one's self. Ego development is known as the development of multiple processes, cognitive function, defenses, and interpersonal skills or to early adolescence when ego processes are emerged.

Super-ego

Freud developed his concept of the super-ego from an earlier combination of the ego ideal and the "special psychical agency which performs the task of seeing that narcissistic satisfaction from the ego ideal is ensured ... what we call our 'conscience'." For him "the installation of the super-ego can be described as a successful instance of identification with the parental agency," while as development proceeds "the super-ego also takes on the influence of those who have stepped into the place of parents — educators, teachers, people chosen as ideal models."

The super-ego aims for perfection. It comprises that organized part of the personality structure, mainly but not entirely unconscious, that includes the individual's ego ideals, spiritual goals, and the psychic agency (commonly called "conscience") that criticizes and prohibits his or her drives, fantasies, feelings, and actions. "The Super-ego can be thought of as a type of conscience that punishes misbehavior with feelings of guilt. For example, for having extra-marital affairs." Taken in this sense, the super-ego is the precedent for the conceptualization of the inner critic as it appears in contemporary therapies such as IFS and Voice Dialogue.

The super-ego works in contradiction to the id. The super-ego strives to act in a socially appropriate manner, whereas the id just wants instant self-gratification. The super-ego controls our sense of right and wrong and guilt. It helps us fit into society by getting us to act in socially acceptable ways.

The super-ego's demands often oppose the ids, so the ego sometimes has a hard time in reconciling the two.

Freud's theory implies that the super-ego is a symbolic internalisation of the father figure and cultural regulations. The super-ego tends to stand in opposition to the desires of the id because of their conflicting objectives, and its aggressiveness towards the ego. The super-ego acts as the conscience, maintaining our sense of morality and proscription from taboos. The super-ego and the ego are the product of two key factors: the state of helplessness of the child and the Oedipus complex. Its formation takes place during the dissolution of the Oedipus complex and is formed by an identification with and internalisation of the father figure after the little boy cannot successfully hold the mother as a love-object out of fear of castration.

"The super-ego retains the character of the father, while the more powerful the Oedipus complex was and the more rapidly it succumbed to repression (under the influence of authority, religious teaching, schooling and reading), the stricter will be the domination of the super-ego over the ego later on—in the form of conscience or perhaps of an unconscious sense of guilt."

—Freud, The Ego and the Id (1923)

The concept of super-ego and the Oedipus complex is subject to criticism for its perceived sexism. Women, who are considered to be already castrated, do not identify with the father, and therefore, for Freud, "their super-ego is never so inexorable, so impersonal, so independent of its emotional origins as we require it to be in men ... they are often more influenced in their judgements by feelings of affection

or hostility." However, Freud went on to modify his position to the effect "that the majority of men are also far behind the masculine ideal and that all human individuals, as a result of their bisexual disposition and of cross-inheritance, combine in themselves both masculine and feminine characteristics."

In Sigmund Freud's work Civilization and Its Discontents (1930), he also discusses the concept of a "cultural super-ego". Freud suggested that the demands of the super-ego "coincide with the precepts of the prevailing cultural super-ego. At this point the two processes that of the cultural development of the group and that of the cultural development of the individual, are, as it were, always interlocked." Ethics are a central element in the demands of the cultural super-ego, but Freud (as analytic moralist) protested against what he called "the unpsychological proceedings of the cultural super-ego ... the ethical demands of the cultural super-ego. It does not trouble itself enough about the facts of the mental constitution of human beings."

Advantages of the structural model

Freud's earlier, topographical model of the mind had divided the mind into the three elements of conscious, preconscious, and unconscious. The conscious contains events that we are aware of, preconscious is events that are in the process of becoming conscious, and unconscious include events that we are not aware of. At its heart was "the dialectic of unconscious traumatic memory versus consciousness ... which soon became a conflict between System Ucs versus System Cs."[30] With what Freud called the "disagreeable discovery that on the one hand (super-)ego and conscious and on the other hand repressed and unconscious are far from coinciding," Freud took the step in the structural model to "no longer use the term 'unconscious' in the systematic sense," and to rename "the mental region that is foreign to the ego ... [and] in future call it the 'id'." The partition of the psyche defined in the structural model is thus one that cuts across the topographical model's partition of "conscious vs. unconscious".

"The new terminology which he introduced has a highly clarifying effect and so made further clinical advances possible." Its value lies in the increased degree of precision and diversification made possible: Although the id is unconscious by definition, the ego and the super-ego are both partly conscious and partly unconscious. What is more, with this new model Freud achieved a more systematic classification of mental disorder than had been available previously:

"Transference neuroses correspond to a conflict between the ego and the id; narcissistic neuroses, to a conflict between the ego and the superego; and psychoses, to one between the ego and the external world."

—Freud, Neurosis and Psychosis (1923)

It is important to realise however, that "the three newly presented entities, the id, the ego and the superego, all had lengthy past histories (two of them under other names)" — the id as the systematic unconscious, the super-ego as conscience/ego ideal. Equally, Freud never abandoned the topographical division of conscious, preconscious, and unconscious, though as he noted ruefully "the three qualities of consciousness and the three provinces of the mental apparatus do not fall together into three peaceful couples ... we had no right to expect any such smooth arrangement."

The iceberg metaphor is a commonly used visual when attempting to relate the ego, id and superego with the conscious and unconscious mind. In the iceberg metaphor the entire id and part of both the superego and the ego would be submerged in the underwater portion representing the unconscious mind. The remaining portions of the ego and superego would be displayed above water in the conscious mind area.

Unconscious:

Storage that is used but not conscious is unconscious. Example is the Sundry deposit account in the Bank, the account being accredited with the amount after it is found, but not immediately due to

mistaken mention of account details or some other reason. This is how the unconscious comes to the fore of the conscious. Unconsciousness is the condition of being not conscious—in a mental state that involves complete or near-complete lack of responsiveness to people and other environmental stimuli. Being in a comatose state or coma is a type of unconsciousness. Fainting due to a drop in blood pressure and a decrease of the oxygen supply to the brain is a temporary loss of consciousness. Loss of consciousness must not be confused with altered states of consciousness, such as delirium (when the person is confused and only partially responsive to the environment), normal sleep, hypnosis, and other altered states in which the person responds to stimuli.

Loss of consciousness should not be confused with the notion of the psychoanalytic unconscious or cognitive processes (e.g., implicit cognition) that take place outside of awareness.

Loss of consciousness may occur as the result of traumatic brain injury, brain hypoxia (e.g., due to a brain infarction or cardiac arrest), severe poisoning with drugs that depress the activity of the central nervous system (e.g., alcohol and other hypnotic or sedative drugs), severe fatigue, and other causes.

There is a theory that unconsciousness occurs when different regions of the brain inhibit one another

In jurisprudence, unconsciousness may entitle the criminal defendant to the defense of automatism, i.e a state uncontrollably of one's own actions, an excusing condition that allows a defendant to argue that they should not be held criminally liable for their actions or omissions. In most countries, courts must consider whether unconsciousness in a situation can be accepted as a defense; it can vary from case to case. Hence epileptic seizures, neurological dysfunctions and sleepwalking may be considered acceptable excusing conditions because the loss of control is not foreseeable, but falling asleep (especially while driving or during any other safety-critical activity), may not be because natural sleep rarely overcomes an ordinary person without warning.

In many countries, it is presumed that someone who is less than fully conscious cannot give consent to anything. This can be relevant in cases of sexual behavior, euthanasia or patients giving informed consent with regard to starting or stopping a treatment.

Laws in some countries require that first responders, EMT, or paramedics obtain consent from an injured person who is conscious before they initiate patient care. In most situations where the injured person is deemed unconscious, consent is implied and the emergency service provider is free to provide care.

G_{24} : Category one of Ego (Identification of the person with body and mind. Again ASCII characters are used. It is like his address. Parson's uses pattern variables towards the quantification of value orientation. This is mentioned in the passing)

G_{25} : Category two of Ego (Identification of the person with body and mind. Again ASCII characters are used. It is like his address)

G_{26} : Category three of Ego (Identification of the person with body and mind. Again ASCII characters are used. It is like his address)

T_{24} : Category one of Unconsciousness (Like storage in sundry deposit account without knowledge. When the knowledge of the account is known the amount is credited to the account. There is realisation of the knowledge again ASCII characters are used for the storage and delineation of the correct find of the account)

T_{25} : Category two of Unconsciousness (Like storage in sundry deposit account without knowledge. When the knowledge of the account is known the amount is credited to the account. There is realisation)

T_{26} : Category three of Unconsciousness (Like storage in sundry deposit account without knowledge.

When the knowledge of the account is known the amount is credited to the account. There is realisation)

Module five

Unconsciousness and individual consciousness(Sundry Deposit account Example)

G_{28} : Category one of Unconsciousness (Sundry Deposit account Example. See above module for explanation)

G_{29} : Category two of Unconsciousness (Sundry Deposit account Example. See above module for explanation)

G_{30} : Category three of Unconsciousness (Sundry Deposit account Example. See above module for explanation)

T_{28} : Category one of individual consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

T_{29} : Category two of individual consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

T_{30} : Category three of individual consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

Module six

Mind(the anagrammatic agency that acts on the storage and creates newer products of knowledge even surrealism and fantasy come under this head) and ST(It is our thesis that space and time is the product of mind itself like other states of sleeping and dream states)

G_{32} : Category one of Mind(the anagrammatic agency that acts on the storage and creates newer products of knowledge even surrealism and fantasy come under this head)

G_{33} : Category two of Mind(the anagrammatic agency that acts on the storage and creates newer products of knowledge even surrealism and fantasy come under this head)

G_{34} : Category three of Mind(the anagrammatic agency that acts on the storage and creates newer products of knowledge even surrealism and fantasy come under this head)

T_{32} : Category one of ST(It is our thesis that space and time is the product of mind itself like other states of sleeping and dream states)

T_{33} : Category two of ST(It is our thesis that space and time is the product of mind itself like other states of sleeping and dream states)

T_{34} : Category three of ST(It is our thesis that space and time is the product of mind itself like other states of sleeping and dream states)

Module seven

Self (Address of body and mind; senses function; self sees not eye. Total information derivation attributable and ascribable and assignable to sense organs and ASCII characters for quantification and so also the visual representation.) **produces(eb)** Consciousness

G_{36} : Category one of Self (Address of body and mind; senses function; self sees not eye. Total information derivation attributable and ascribable and assignable to sense organs and ASCII characters

for quantification and so also the visual representation.)

G_{37} : Category two of Self (Address of body and mind; senses function; self sees not eye. Total information derivation attributable and ascribable and assignable to sense organs and ASCII characters for quantification and so also the visual representation.)

G_{38} : Category three of Self (Address of body and mind; senses function; self sees not eye. Total information derivation attributable and ascribable and assignable to sense organs and ASCII characters for quantification and so also the visual representation.)

T_{36} : Category one of Consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

T_{37} : Category two of Consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

T_{38} : Category three of Consciousness(storage:ASCII characters; visual representations; information field capacity; total quantisation with mind anagrammatically acting on it)

Module eight

Thought (storage of information acted by mind anagrammatically. Note that mind is anagrammatic agency. And Thought is the result of the operation carried over on it) = Energy (As far as the assumption of Thought is a form energy see Jung, Freud and Gilles Deleuze and last but not the least Einstein.)

G_{40} : Category one of Thought (storage of information acted by mind anagrammatically. Note that mind is anagrammatic agency. And Thought is the result of the operation carried over on it)

G_{41} : Category two of Thought (storage of information acted by mind anagrammatically. Note that mind is anagrammatic agency. And Thought is the result of the operation carried over on it)

G_{42} : Category three of Thought (storage of information acted by mind anagrammatically. Note that mind is anagrammatic agency. And Thought is the result of the operation carried over on it)

T_{40} : Category one of Energy (As far as the assumption of Thought is a form energy see Jung, Freud and Gilles Deleuze and last but not the least Einstein.)

T_{41} : Category two of Energy (As far as the assumption of Thought is form energy see Jung, Freud and Gilles Deleuze and last but not the least Einstein.)

T_{42} : Category three of Energy (As far as the assumption of Thought is form energy see Jung, Freud and Gilles Deleuze and last but not the least Einstein.)

Module Nine

Nonself(Unconsciousness of identity of body and mind of one's self . exactly opposite of self. This state can be thought of as pathological by psychologists and a state of liberation by savants and seers and Zen Buddhism) = Nirvikalpa samadhi(state when G=D)

G_{44} : Category one of Nonself (Unconsciousness of identity of body and mind of one's self . exactly opposite of self. This state can be thought of as pathological by psychologists and a state of liberation by savants and seers and Zen Buddhism)

G_{45} : Category two of Nonself (Unconsciousness of identity of body and mind of one's self . exactly opposite of self. This state can be thought of as pathological by psychologists and a state of liberation by

savants and seers and Zen Buddhism)

G_{46} : Category three of Nonself (Unconsciousness of identity of body and mind of one's self . exactly opposite of self. This state can be thought of as pathological by psychologists and a state of liberation by savants and seers and Zen Buddhism)

T_{44} : Category one of Nirvikalpa samadhi(state when G=D)

T_{45} : Category two of Nirvikalpa samadhi(state when G=D)

T_{46} : Category three of Nirvikalpa samadhi(state when G=D)

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{16}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;\;+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;\;+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\;+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\;+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\;+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\;+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{17}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;\;+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;\;+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\;+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\;+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\;+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\;+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{18}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;\;+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;\;+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\;+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\;+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\;+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\;+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad 67$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{20}')^{(3)} & -(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{21}')^{(3)} & -(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \tag{72}$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{22}')^{(3)} & -(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ & \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ & \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ & \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ & \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \quad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \quad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)} & +(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)} & +(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)} & +(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{l} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{l} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}\,(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17}-T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t)-(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19})-(G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}},\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)},i=16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0,\quad i,j=20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)},\ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le(p_i)^{(3)}\le(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(3)}\le(b_i')^{(3)}\le(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)=\ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)},i=24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}>0,\quad i,j=28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le(p_i)^{(5)}\le(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(5)}\le(b_i')^{(5)}\le(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)=(p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)=\ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29}-T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t)-(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31})-(G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \le \ (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\,(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + \ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\,(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{38}(t) = T_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \,,\; T_i(0) = T_i^0 \,,\; G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \,, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , $G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} \left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\right)\right] ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{15})^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)=(b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{191}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{192}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 199

$(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{208}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{209}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \tag{214}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad (223)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself (224)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (225)

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions $G_i\,, T_i$ satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \qquad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\,(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \qquad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32}<(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1-(a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36{,}37{,}38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36}, G_{38} and G_{36}, G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\, ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\} ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+\ (a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right) d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a'_{41})^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a'_{42})^{(8)}$$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$

$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$
$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a_{44}'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_{44}'')^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a_{45}')^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a_{46}')^{(9)}$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45}\to\infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}-(b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t)>(m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt}\geq(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}-\varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_9 t})+T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\leq-(a_{13}')^{(1)}+(a_{14}')^{(1)}-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)}\leq-(b_{13}')^{(1)}+(b_{14}')^{(1)}-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)},(\nu_2)^{(1)},(u_1)^{(1)},(u_2)^{(1)},\nu^{(1)},u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)}, (v_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(2)} < (v_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G^0_{16}e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G^0_{16}e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G^0_{16}e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G^0_{16}e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\Big(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^0_{16}}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\Big] + G^0_{18}e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le$ 312

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^0_{16}}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}] + G^0_{18}e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\Big)$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

and analogously 322

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \quad \text{and } \boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \qquad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \qquad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \qquad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \qquad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \qquad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously

331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^0_{24}}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G^0_{26}e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+G_{34}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)},(S_2)^{(7)},(R_1)^{(7)},(R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)}=(a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)}-(a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)}=(a_{38})^{(7)}-(p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)}=(b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)}-(b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)}=(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\le-(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)}\le-(b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)-(b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)},(\nu_2)^{(8)},(u_1)^{(8)},(u_2)^{(8)},\nu^{(8)},u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)}>0,(u_2)^{(8)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)},(\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_0)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)}-(S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\leq G_{46}(t)\leq\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\leq T_{46}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)},(S_2)^{(9)},(R_1)^{(9)},(R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)}=(a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)}-(a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)}=(a_{46})^{(9)}-(p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)}=(b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)}-(b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)}=(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}=(a_{13})^{(1)}-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}-(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right)-(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)}-(a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \leq (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, (v_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (v_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(v_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}}{(v_0)^{(4)}-(v_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)v^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}v^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$

$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{17}$ given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 513

$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^* = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42}^* = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

$$T_{40}^* = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{42}^* = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{31})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{43})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586
A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\text{B})$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\text{C})$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\text{D})$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\text{E})$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\text{F})$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\text{G})$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 2:

Gratification, Deprivation, Space Time, Association And Other Topics Of Consonance and Conformality: A Sprachwirklich-Bremsstrahlung Model

Introduction—Variables Used

1. Self = Maya (Schrõdinger)
2. ST = Maya (Illusion: For us illusion is one that which contains dualities: subject-object, mass-energy, space time; how do we quantify that? The way space and time and mass and energy are.)
3. Self = Unconsciousness (Anjaneya Swamy example: Legend has it that Lord hanuman was a highly naughty and recalcitrant kid. Conscious of his extraordinary powers, he used to tease savants and seers playfully. One serenadingly whimsical sage cursed him that he forgets that he in possession of these powers. Suddenly the sprightly mischievous kid turned silent and morose. This hurt sentiments of sages who revoked the curse and said he would remember, when some one reminds it. Thus the revocation of unconsciousness and creation of consciousness. Total information storage that is forgotten. Like Sundry Deposit account in a bank to which is credited amounts in respect of

accounts due to their ambiguity and equivocality in mention or any other glitch)

4. Time = Parallelogram (This is quoted in Physics of consciousness by Walker. Please refer consciousness equations. However we donot agree with the consciousness equations there.)
5. ST (divided into regions like danger zone, adult zone etc.,) =Rajas, Tamas, Reactionary Potentialities(Quantified the same way we quantify the resultant orientationality of dynamism and inertia)
6. ST **Produces(eb)** Association
7. Association **produces(eb)** consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups
8. Association **Produces(eb)** G or D
9. G or D **produces(eb)** Positive actions and negative actions

NOTATION

Module One

Self = Maya (Schrõdinger)

G_{13} : Category one of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body and mind is self)

G_{14} : Category two of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body –physical-and mind-stored information- is self)

G_{15} : Category three of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body –physical-and mind-stored information- is self)

T_{13} : Category one of Maya (Schrõdinger)

T_{14} : Category two of Maya (Schrõdinger)

T_{15} : Category three of Maya (Schrõdinger)

Module Two

ST = Maya (Illusion: For us illusion is one that which contains dualities: subject-object, mass-energy, space time; how do we quantify that? The way space and time and mass and energy are.)

G_{16} : Category one of ST

G_{17} : Category two of ST

G_{18} : Category three of ST

T_{16} : Category one of Maya (Illusion: For us illusion is one that which contains dualities: subject-object, mass-energy, space time; how do we quantify that? The way space and time and mass and energy are.)

T_{17} : Category two of Maya (Illusion: For us illusion is one that which contains dualities: subject-object, mass-energy, space time; how do we quantify that? The way space and time and mass and energy are.)

T_{18} : Category three of Maya (Illusion: For us illusion is one that which contains dualities: subject-object, mass-energy, space time; how do we quantify that? The way space and time and mass and energy are.)

Module three

Self = Unconsciousness (Anjaneya Swamy example: Legend has it that Lord hanuman was a highly naughty and recalcitrant kid. Conscious of his extraordinary powers, he used to tease savants and seers playfully. One serenadingly whimsical sage cursed him that he forgets that he in possession of these powers. Suddenly the sprightly mischievous kid turned silent and morose. This hurt sentiments of sages

who revoked the curse and said he would remember, when some one reminds it. Thus the revocation of unconsciousness and creation of consciousness. Total information storage that is forgotten. Like Sundry Deposit account in a bank to which is credited amounts in respect of accounts due to their ambiguity and equivocality in mention or any other glitch)

G_{20} : Category one of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body –physical-and mind-stored information- is self)

G_{21} : Category two of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body –physical-and mind-stored information- is self)

G_{22} : Category three of Self (See explanation above. Identification of body –physical-and mind-stored information- is self)

T_{20} : Category one of Unconsciousness (Anjaneya Swamy example: Legend has it that Lord hanuman was a highly naughty and recalcitrant kid. Conscious of his extraordinary powers, he used to tease savants and seers playfully. One serenadingly whimsical sage cursed him that he forgets that he in possession of these powers. Suddenly the sprightly mischievous kid turned silent and morose. This hurt sentiments of sages who revoked the curse and said he would remember, when some one reminds it. Thus the revocation of unconsciousness and creation of consciousness. Total information storage that is forgotten. Like Sundry Deposit account in a bank to which is credited amounts in respect of accounts due to their ambiguity and equivocality in mention or any other glitch)

T_{21} : Category two of Unconsciousness (Anjaneya Swamy example: Legend has it that Lord hanuman was a highly naughty and recalcitrant kid. Conscious of his extraordinary powers, he used to tease savants and seers playfully. One serenadingly whimsical sage cursed him that he forgets that he in possession of these powers. Suddenly the sprightly mischievous kid turned silent and morose. This hurt sentiments of sages who revoked the curse and said he would remember, when some one reminds it. Thus the revocation of unconsciousness and creation of consciousness. Total information storage that is forgotten. Like Sundry Deposit account in a bank to which is credited amounts in respect of accounts due to their ambiguity and equivocality in mention or any other glitch)

T_{22} : Category three of Unconsciousness (Anjaneya Swamy example: Legend has it that Lord hanuman was a highly naughty and recalcitrant kid. Conscious of his extraordinary powers, he used to tease savants and seers playfully. One serenadingly whimsical sage cursed him that he forgets that he in possession of these powers. Suddenly the sprightly mischievous kid turned silent and morose. This hurt sentiments of sages who revoked the curse and said he would remember, when some one reminds it. Thus the revocation of unconsciousness and creation of consciousness. Total information storage that is forgotten. Like Sundry Deposit account in a bank to which is credited amounts in respect of accounts due to their ambiguity and equivocality in mention or any other glitch)

Module four

Time = Parallelogram (This is quoted in Physics of consciousness by Walker. Please refer consciousness equations. However we donot agree with the consciousness equations there.

G_{24} : Category one of Time

G_{25} : Category two of Time

G_{26} : Category three of Time

T_{24} : Category one of concomitant, corresponding and congruent part of Parallelogram (This is quoted in Physics of consciousness by Walker. Please refer consciousness equations. However we donot agree with the consciousness equations there)

T_{25} : Category two of concomitant, corresponding and congruent part of Parallelogram (This is quoted in Physics of consciousness by Walker. Please refer consciousness equations. However we donot agree

with the consciousness equations there)

T_{26} : Category three of concomitant, corresponding and congruent part of Parallelogram (This is quoted in Physics of consciousness by Walker. Please refer consciousness equations. However we donot agree with the consciousness equations there)

Module Five

ST (divided into regions like danger zone, adult zone etc.,) =Rajas, Tamas, Reactionary Potentialities(Quantified the same way we quantify the resultant orientationality of dynamism and inertia)

G_{28} : Category one of ST (divided into regions like danger zone, adult zone etc.,)

G_{29} : Category two of ST (divided into regions like danger zone, adult zone etc.,)

G_{30} : Category three of ST (divided into regions like danger zone, adult zone etc.,)

T_{28} : Category one of Rajas, Tamas, Reactionary Potentialities(Quantified the same way we quantify the resultant orientationality of dynamism and inertia)

T_{29} : Category two of Rajas, Tamas, Reactionary Potentialities(Quantified the same way we quantify the resultant orientationality of dynamism and inertia)

T_{30} : Category three of Rajas, Tamas, Reactionary Potentialities(Quantified the same way we quantify the resultant orientationality of dynamism and inertia)

Module six

ST **Produces(eb)** Association

G_{32} : Category one of ST

G_{33} : Category two of ST

G_{34} : Category three of ST

T_{32} : Category one of Association(**The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time: Andrea Musacchio1 & Edward D. Salmon2 About the authors**

In eukaryotes, the spindle-assembly checkpoint (SAC) is a ubiquitous safety device that ensures the fidelity of chromosome segregation in mitosis. The SAC prevents chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploidy, and its dysfunction is implicated in tumorigenesis. Recent molecular analyses have begun to shed light on the complex interaction of the checkpoint proteins with kinetochores — structures that mediate the binding of spindle microtubules to chromosomes in mitosis. These studies are finally starting to reveal the mechanisms of checkpoint activation and silencing during mitotic progression)

T_{33} : Category two of Association

T_{34} : Category three of Association

Module seven

Association **produces(eb)** consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups

Conscious Events as Orchestrated Space-Time Selections

Stuart Hameroff and Roger Penrose

ABSTRACT

What is consciousness? Some philosophers have contended that "qualia," or an experiential medium from which consciousness is derived, exists as a fundamental component of reality. Whitehead, for example, described the universe as being comprised of "occasions of experience." To examine this possibility scientifically, the very nature of physical reality must be re-examined. We must come to terms with the physics of space-time--as is described by Einstein's general theory of relativity--and its relation to the fundamental theory of matter--as described by quantum theory. This leads us to employ a new physics of objective reduction: " OR" which appeals to a form of quantum gravity to provide a useful description of fundamental processes at the quantum/classical borderline (Penrose, 1994; 1996). Within the OR scheme, we consider that consciousness occurs if an appropriately organized system is able to develop and maintain quantum coherent superposition until a specific "objective" criterion (a threshold related to quantum gravity) is reached; the coherent system then self-reduces (objective reduction: OR). We contend that this type of objective self-collapse introduces non-computability, an essential feature of consciousness. OR is taken as an instantaneous event--the climax of a self-organizing process in fundamental space-time--and a candidate for a conscious Whitehead "occasion" of experience. How could an OR process occur in the brain, be coupled to neural activities, and account for other features of consciousness? We nominate an OR process with the requisite characteristics to be occurring in cytoskeletal microtubules within the brain's neurons (Penrose and Hameroff, 1995; Hameroff and Penrose, 1995; 1996).

In this model, quantum-superposed states develop in microtubule subunit proteins ("tubulins"), remain coherent and recruit more superposed tubulins until a mass-time-energy threshold (related to quantum gravity) is reached. At that point, self-collapse, or objective reduction (OR) abruptly occurs. We equate the pre-reduction, coherent superposition ("quantum computing") phase with pre-conscious processes, and each instantaneous (and non-computable) OR, or self-collapse, with a discrete conscious event. Sequences of OR events give rise to a "stream" of consciousness. Microtubule-associated-proteins can "tune" the quantum oscillations of the coherent superposed states; the OR is thus self-organized, or "orchestrated" ("Orch OR"). Each Orch OR event selects (non-computably) microtubule subunit states which regulate synaptic/neural functions using classical signaling.

The quantum gravity threshold for self-collapse is relevant to consciousness, according to our arguments, because macroscopic superposed quantum states each have their own space-time geometries (Penrose, 1994; 1996). These geometries are also superposed, and in some way "separated," but when sufficiently separated, the superposition of space-time geometries becomes significantly unstable, and reduce to a single universe state. Quantum gravity determines the limits of the instability; we contend that the actual choice of state made by Nature is non-computable. Thus each Orch OR event is a self-selection of space-time geometry, coupled to the brain through microtubules and other biomolecules.

If conscious experience is intimately connected with the very physics underlying space-time structure, then Orch OR in microtubules indeed provides us with a completely new and uniquely promising perspective on the hard problem of consciousness.

Introduction: Self-Selection in an Experiential Medium?

The "hard problem" of incorporating the phenomenon of consciousness into a scientific world-view involves finding scientific explanations of qualia, or the subjective experience of mental states (Chalmers, 1995; 1996). On this, reductionist science is still at sea. Why do we have an inner life, and what exactly is it?

One set of philosophical positions, addressing the hard problem, views consciousness as a fundamental component of physical reality. For example an extreme view - "panpsychism" - is that consciousness is a quality of all matter: atoms and their subatomic components having elements of consciousness (e.g. Spinoza, 1677; Rensch, 1960). "Mentalists" such as Leibniz and Whitehead (e.g. 1929) contended that systems ordinarily considered to be physical are constructed in some sense from mental entities. Bertrand Russell (1954) described "neutral monism" in which a common underlying entity, neither physical nor mental, gave rise to both. Recently Stubenberg (1996) has claimed that qualia are that common entity. In monistic idealism, matter and mind arise from consciousness - the fundamental constituent of reality (e.g. Goswami, 1993). Wheeler (1990) has suggested that information is fundamental to the physics of the universe. From this, Chalmers (1995;1996) proposes a double-aspect theory in which information has both physical and experiential aspects.

Among these positions, the philosophy of Alfred North Whitehead (1929; 1933) may be most directly applicable. Whitehead describes the ultimate concrete entities in the cosmos as being actual "occasions of experience," each bearing a quality akin to "feeling." Whitehead construes "experience" broadly - in a manner consistent with panpsychism - so that even "temporal events in the career of an electron have a kind of 'protomentality'." Whitehead's view may be considered to differ from panpsychism, however, in that his discrete 'occasions of experience' can be taken to be related to "quantum events" (Shimony, 1993). In the standard descriptions of quantum mechanics, randomness occurs in the events described as quantum state reductions--these being events which appear to take place when a quantum-level process gets magnified to a macroscopic scale. Quantum state reduction (here denoted by the letter R; cf. Penrose, 1989, 1994) is the random procedure that is adopted by physicists in their descriptions of the quantum measurement process. It is still a highly controversial matter whether R is to be taken as a "real" physical process, or whether it is some kind of illusion and not to be regarded as a fundamental ingredient of the behavior of Nature. Our position is to take R to be indeed real--or, rather to regard it as a close approximation to an objectively real process OR (objective reduction), which is to be a non-computable process instead of merely a random one (see Penrose 1989; 1994). In almost all physical situations, OR would come about in situations in which the random effects of the environment dominate, so OR would be virtually indistinguishable from the random R procedure that is normally adopted by quantum theorists. However, when the quantum system under consideration remains coherent and well isolated from its environment, then it becomes possible for its state to collapse spontaneously, in accordance with the OR scheme we adopt, and to behave in non-computable rather than random ways. Moreover, this OR scheme intimately involves the geometry of the physical universe at its deepest levels. Our viewpoint is to regard experiential phenomena as also inseparable from the physical universe, and in fact to be deeply connected with the very laws which govern the physical universe. The connection is so deep, however, that we perceive only glimmerings of it in our present day physics. One of these glimmerings, we contend, is a necessary non-computability in conscious thought processes; and we argue that this non-computability must also be inherent in the phenomenon of quantum state self-reduction--the "objective reduction" (OR) referred to above. This is the main thread of argument in Shadows of the Mind (Penrose, 1994). The argument that conscious thought, whatever other attributes it may also have, is non-computable (as follows most powerfully from certain deductions from Gödel's incompleteness theorem) grabs hold of one tiny but extremely valuable point. This means that at least some conscious states cannot be derived from previous states by an algorithmic process - a property which distinguishes human and other animal minds from computers. Non-computability per se does not directly address the 'hard problem' of the nature of experience, but it is a clue to the kind of physical activity that lies behind it. This points to OR, an underlying physical action of a completely different character from that which seems to underlie non-conscious activity. Following this clue with sensitivity and patience should ultimately lead to real progress towards understanding mental phenomena in their inward manifestations as well as outward.

In the OR description, consciousness occurs if an organized quantum system is able to isolate and sustain coherent superposition until its quantum gravity threshold for space-time separation is met; it then self-reduces (non-computably). For consciousness to occur, self-reduction is essential, as opposed

to reduction being triggered by the system's random environment. (In the latter case, the reduction would itself be effectively random and would lack useful non-computability, being unsuitable for direct involvement in consciousness.) We take the self-reduction to be an instantaneous event -- the climax of a self-organizing process fundamental to the structure of space-time - and apparently consistent with a Whitehead "occasion of experience."

As OR could, in principle, occur ubiquitously within many types of inanimate media, it may seem to imply a form of 'panpsychism' (in which individual electrons, for example, possess an experiential quality). However according to the principles of OR (as expounded in Penrose 1994; 1996), a single superposed electron would spontaneously reduce its state (assuming it could maintain isolation) only once in a period much longer than the present age of the universe. Only large collections of particles acting coherently in a single macroscopic quantum state could possibly sustain isolation and support coherent superposition in a time frame brief enough to be relevant to our consciousness. Thus only very special circumstances could support consciousness:

High degree of coherence of a quantum state - a collective mass of particles in superposition for a time period long enough to reach threshold, and brief enough to be useful in thought processes.

Ability for the OR process to be at least transiently isolated from a 'noisy' environment until the spontaneous state reduction takes place. This isolation is required so that reduction is not simply random. Mass movement in the environment which entangles with the quantum state would effect a random (not non-computable) reduction.

Cascades of ORs to give a "stream" of consciousness, and huge numbers of OR events taking place during the course of a lifetime.

By reaching quantum gravity threshold, each OR event has a fundamental bearing on space-time geometry. One could say that a cascade of OR events charts an actual course of physical space-time geometry selections.

It may seem surprising that quantum gravity effects could plausibly have relevance at the physical scales relevant to brain processes. For quantum gravity is normally viewed as having only absurdly tiny influences at ordinary dimensions. However, we shall show later that this is not the case, and the scales determined by basic quantum gravity principles are indeed those that are relevant for conscious brain processes. We must ask how such an OR process could actually occur in the brain. How could it be coupled to neural activities at a high rate of information exchange; how could it account for preconscious to conscious transitions, have spatial and temporal binding, and both simultaneity and time flow? We here nominate an OR process with the requisite characteristics occurring in cytoskeletal microtubules within the brain's neurons. In our model, microtubule-associated proteins "tune" the quantum oscillations leading to OR; we thus term the process "orchestrated objective reduction" (Orch OR).

Space-Time: Quantum Theory and Einstein's Gravity

Quantum theory describes the extraordinary behavior of the matter and energy which comprise our universe at a fundamental level. At the root of quantum theory is the wave/particle duality of atoms, molecules and their constituent particles. A quantum system such as an atom or sub-atomic particle which remains isolated from its environment behaves as a "wave of possibilities" and exists in a coherent complex-number valued "superposition" of many possible states. The behavior of such wave-like, quantum-level objects can be satisfactorily described in terms of a state vector which evolves deterministically according to the Schrödinger equation (unitary evolution), denoted by U.

Somehow, quantum microlevel superpositions lead to unsuperposed stable structures in our macro-world. In a transition known as wave function collapse, or reduction (R), the quantum wave to alternative possibilities reduces to a single macroscopic reality, an "eigenstate" of some appropriate

operator. (This would be just one out of many possible alternative eigenstates relevant to the quantum operator.) This process is invokes in the description of a macroscopic measurement, when effects are magnified from the small, quantum scale to the large, classical scale. According to conventional quantum theory (as part of the standard "Copenhagen interpretation"), each choice of eigenstate is entirely random, weighted according to a probability value that can be calculated from the previous state according to the precise procedures of quantum formalism. This probabilistic ingredient was a feature with which Einstein, among others, expressed displeasure: "You believe in a God who plays dice and I in complete law and order"(from a letter to Max Born). Penrose (1989; 1994) has contended that, at a deeper level of description, the choices may more accurately arise as a result of some presently unknown "non-computational" mathematical/physical (i.e., "Platonic realm") theory, that is they cannot be deduced algorithmically. Penrose argues that such non-computability is essential to consciousness, because (at least some) conscious mental activity is unattainable by computers. It can be argued that present-day physics has no clear explanation for the cause and occurrence of wave function collapse R. Experimental and theoretical evidence through the 1930's led quantum physicists (such as Schrödinger, Heisenberg, Dirac, von Neumann and others) to postulate that quantum-coherent superpositions persist indefinitely in time, and would, in principle be maintained from the micro to macro levels. Or perhaps they would persist until conscious observation collapses, or reduces, the wave function (subjective reduction, or "SR"). Accordingly, even macroscopic objects, if unobserved, could remain superposed. To illustrate the apparent absurdity of this notion, Erwin Schrödinger (e.g. 1935) described his now-famous "cat in a box" being simultaneously both dead and alive until the box was opened and the cat observed. As a counter to this unsettling prospect, various new physical schemes for collapse according to objective criteria (objective reduction - "OR") have recently been proposed. According to such a scheme, the growth and persistence of superposed states could reach a critical threshold, at which collapse, or OR rapidly occurs (e.g. Pearle, 1989; Ghirardi et al, 1986). Some such schemes are based specifically on gravitational effects mediating OR (e.g.Károlyházy, 1986; Diósi, 1989; Ghirardi et al., 1990; Penrose, 1989;1994; Pearle and Squires, 1994; Percival, 1995).

Table 1 categorizes types of reduction.

Context Cause of Collapse (Reduction) Description Acronym

Quantum coherent superposition No collapse Evolution of the wave function (Schrödinger equation)U

Conventional quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) Environmental entanglement, Measurement, Conscious observation Reduction; Subjective reduction R

SR

New physics (Penrose, 1994) Self-collapse -quantum gravity induced (Penrose, Diósi, etc) Objective reduction OR

Consciousness (present paper) Self-collapse, quantum gravity threshold in microtubules orchestrated by MAPs etc Orchestrated objective reduction Orch OR

Table 1 Descriptions of wave function collapse.

The physical phenomenon of gravity, described to a high degree of accuracy by Isaac Newton's mathematics in 1687, has played a key role in scientific understanding. However, in 1915, Einstein created a major revolution in our scientific world-view. According to Einstein's theory, gravity plays a unique role in physics for several reasons (cf. Penrose, 1994). Most particularly, these are:

Gravity is the only physical quality which influences causal relationships between space-time events.

Gravitational force has no local reality, as it can be eliminated by a change in space-time coordinates;

instead, gravitational tidal effects provide a curvature for the very space-time in which all other particles and forces are contained.

It follows from this that gravity cannot be regarded as some kind of "emergent phenomenon," secondary to other physical effects, but is a "fundamental component" of physical reality.

There are strong arguments (e.g. Penrose, 1987; 1995) to suggest that the appropriate union of general relativity (Einstein's theory of gravity) with quantum mechanics - a union often referred to as "quantum gravity" - will lead to a significant change in both quantum theory and general relativity, and, when the correct theory is found, will yield a profoundly new understanding of physical reality. And although gravitational forces between objects are exceedingly weak (feebler than, for example, electrical forces by some 40 orders of magnitude), there are significant reasons for believing that gravity has a fundamental influence on the behavior of quantum systems as they evolve from the micro to the macro levels. The appropriate union of quantum gravity with biology, or at least with advanced biological nervous systems, may yield a profoundly new understanding of consciousness.

Curved Space-Time Superpositions and Objective Reduction ("OR")

According to modern accepted physical pictures, reality is rooted in 3-dimensional space and a 1-dimensional time, combined together into a 4-dimensional space-time. This space-time is slightly curved, in accordance with Einstein's general theory of relativity, in a way which encodes the gravitational fields of all distributions of mass density. Each mass density effects a space-time curvature, albeit tiny. This is the standard picture according to classical physics. On the other hand, when quantum systems have been considered by physicists, this mass-induced tiny curvature in the structure of space-time has been almost invariably ignored, gravitational effects having been assumed to be totally insignificant for normal problems in which quantum theory is important. Surprising as it may seem, however, such tiny differences in space-time structure can have large effects, for they entail subtle but fundamental influences on the very rules of quantum mechanics. Superposed quantum states for which the respective mass distributions differ significantly from one another will have space-time geometries which correspondingly differ. Thus, according to standard quantum theory, the superposed state would have to involve a quantum superposition of these differing space-times. In the absence of a coherent theory of quantum gravity there is no accepted way of handling such a superposition. Indeed the basic principles of Einstein's general relativity begin to come into profound conflict with those of quantum mechanics (cf. Penrose, 1996). Nevertheless, various tentative procedures have been put forward in attempts to describe such a superposition. Of particular relevance to our present proposals are the suggestions of certain authors (i.e., Karolyhazy, 1996; 1974; Karolyhazy et al., 1986; Kibble, 1991, Diósi, 1989; Ghirardi et al, 1990; Pearle and Squires, 1995; Percival, 1995; Penrose, 1993; 1994; 1996) that it is at this point that an objective quantum state reduction (OR) ought to occur, and the rate or timescale of this process can be calculated from basic quantum gravity considerations. These particular proposals differ in certain detailed respects, and for definiteness we shall follow the specific suggestions made in Penrose (1194; 1996). Accordingly, the quantum superposition of significantly differing space-times is unstable, with a lifetime given by that timescale. Such a superposed state will decay - or "reduce" - into a single universe state, which is one or the other of the space-time geometries involved in that superposition. Whereas such an OR action is not a generally recognized part of the normal quantum-mechanical procedures, there is no plausible or clear-cut alternative that standard quantum theory has to offer. This OR procedure avoids the need for "multiple universes" (cf. Everett, 1957; Wheeler, 1957, for example). There is no agreement, among quantum gravity experts, about how else to address this problem. For the purposes of the present article, it will be assumed that a gravitationally induced OR action is indeed the correct resolution of this fundamental conundrum. Figure 1. Quantum coherent superposition represented as a separation of space-time. In the lowest of the three diagrams, a bifurcating space-time is depicted as the union ("glued together version") of the two alternative space-time histories that are depicted at the top of the Figure. The bifurcating space-time diagram illustrates two alternative mass distributions actually in quantum superposition, whereas the top two diagrams illustrate the two

individual alternatives which take part in the superposition (adapted from Penrose, 1994 - p. 338).Figure 1 (adapted from Penrose, 1994, p. 338) schematically illustrates the way in which space-time structure can be affected when two macroscopically different mass distributions take part in a quantum superposition. Each mass distribution gives rise to a separate space-time, the two differing slightly in their curvatures. So long as the two distributions remain in quantum superposition, we must consider that the two space-times remain in superposition. Since, according to the principles of general relativity, there is no natural way to identify the points of one space-time with corresponding points of the other, we have to consider the two as separated from one another in some sense, resulting in a kind of "blister" where the space-time bifurcates.

A bifurcating space-time is depicted in the lowest of the three diagrams, this being the union ("glued together version") of the two alternative space-time histories that are depicted at the top of Figure 1. The initial part of each space-time is at the lower end of each individual space-time diagram. The bottom space-time diagram (the bifurcating one) illustrates two alternative mass distributions actually in quantum superposition, whereas the top two illustrate the two individual alternatives which take part in the superposition. The combined space-time describes a superposition in which the alternative locations of a mass move gradually away from each other as we proceed in the upward direction in the diagram. Quantum- mechanically (so long as OR has not taken place), we must think of the "physical reality" of this situation as being illustrated as an actual superposition of these two slightly differing space-time manifolds, as indicated in the bottom diagram. As soon as OR has occurred, one of the two individual space-times takes over, as depicted as one of the two sheets of the bifurcation. For clarity only, the bifurcating parts of these two sheets are illustrated as being one convex and the other concave. Of course there is additional artistic license involved in drawing the space-time sheets as 2-dimensional, whereas the actual space-time constituents are 4-dimensional. Moreover, there is no significance to be attached to the imagined "3-dimensional space" within which the space-time sheets seem to be residing. There is no "actual" higher dimensional space there, the "intrinsic geometry" of the bifurcating space-time being all that has physical significance. When the "separation" of the two space-time sheets reaches a critical amount, one of the two sheets "dies" - in accordance with the OR criterion - the other being the one that persists in physical reality. The quantum state thus reduces (OR), by choosing between either the "concave" or "convex" space-time of Figure 1.

It should be made clear that this measure of separation is only very schematically illustrated as the "distance" between the two sheets in the lower diagram in Figure 1. As remarked above, there is no physically existing "ambient higher dimensional space" inside which the two sheets reside. The degree of separation between the space-time sheets is a more abstract mathematical thing; it would be more appropriately described in terms of a symplectic measure on the space of 4-dimensional metrics (cf. Penrose, 1993) - but the details (and difficulties) of this will not be important for us here. It may be noted, however, that this separation is a space-time separation, not just a spatial one. Thus the time of separation contributes as well as the spatial displacement. Roughly speaking, it is the product of the temporal separation T with the spatial separation S that measures the overall degree of separation, and OR takes place when this overall separation reaches the critical amount. [This critical amount would be of the order of unity, in absolute units, for which the Planck-Dirac constant h (actually "hbar": Planck's constant over 2pi), the gravitational constant G, and the velocity of light c, all take the value unity, cf. Penrose, 1994 - pp. 337-339.] Thus for small S, the lifetime T of the superposed state will be large; on the other hand, if S is large, then T will be small. To calculate S, we compute (in the Newtonian limit of weak gravitational fields) the gravitational self-energy E of the difference between the mass distributions of the two superposed states. (That is, one mass distribution counts positively and the other, negatively; see Penrose, 1994; 1995.) The quantity S is then given by:

$S = E$

Thus

E = h / T

Schematically, since S represents three dimensions of displacement rather than the one dimension involved in T, we can imagine that this displacement is shared equally between each of these three dimensions of space - and this is what has been depicted in Figure 3 (below). However, it should be emphasized that this is for pictorial purposes only, the appropriate rule being the one given above. These 2 equations relate the mass distribution, time of coherence, and space-time separation for a given OR event. If, as some philosophers contend, experience is contained in space-time, OR events are self-organizing processes in that experiential medium, and a candidate for consciousness.

But where in the brain, and how, could coherent superposition and OR occur? A number of sites and various types of quantum interactions have been proposed. We strongly favor microtubules as an important ingredient, however various organelles and biomolecular structures including clathrins, myelin (glial cells), pre-synaptic vesicular grids (Beck and Eccles, 1992) and neural membrane proteins (Marshall, 1989) might also participate.

Microtubules

Properties of brain structures suitable for quantum coherent superposition, OR and relevant to consciousness might include: 1) high prevalence; 2) functional importance (for example regulating neural connectivity and synaptic function); 3) periodic, crystal-like lattice dipole structure with long range order; 4) ability to be transiently isolated from external interaction/observation; 5) functionally coupled to quantum-level events; 6) hollow, cylindrical (possible wave guide); and 7) suitable for information processing. Membranes, membrane proteins, synapses, DNA and other types of structures have some, but not all, of these characteristics. Cytoskeletal microtubules appear to qualify in all respect.. Figure 2. Schematic of central region of neuron (distal axon and dendrites not shown), showing parallel arrayed microtubules interconnected by MAPs. Microtubules in axons are lengthy and continuous, whereas in dendrites they are interrupted and of mixed polarity. Linking proteins connect microtubules to membrane proteins including receptors on dendritic spines. Interiors of living cells, including the brain's neurons, are spatially and dynamically organized by self-assembling protein networks: the cytoskeleton. Within neurons, the cytoskeleton establishes neuronal form, and maintains and regulates synaptic connections. Its major components are microtubules, hollow cylindrical polymers of individual proteins known as tubulin. Microtubules ("MTs") are interconnected by linking proteins (microtubule-associated proteins: "MAPs") to other microtubules and cell structures to form cytoskeletal lattice networks (Figure 2). Figure 3. Microtubule structure: a hollow tube of 25 nanometers diameter, consisting of 13 columns of tubulin dimers. Each tubulin molecule is capable of (at least) two conformations. (Reprinted with permission from Penrose, 1994, p. 359.)Figure 4. Top: Two states of tubulin in which a single quantum event (electron localization) within a central hydrophobic pocket is coupled to a global protein conformation. Switching between the two states can occur on the order of nanoseconds to picoseconds. Bottom: Tubulin in quantum coherent superposition of both states. MTs are hollow cylinders 25 nanometers (nm) in diameter whose lengths vary and may be quite long within some nerve axons. MT cylinder walls are comprised of 13 longitudinal protofilaments which are each a series of subunit proteins known as tubulin (Figure 3). Each tubulin subunit is a polar, 8 nm dimer which consists of two slightly different 4 nm monomers (alpha and beta tubulin - Figure 4). Tubulin dimers are dipoles, with surplus negative charges localized toward monomers (DeBrabander, 1982), and within MTs are arranged in a hexagonal lattice which is slightly twisted, resulting in helical pathways which repeat every 3, 5, 8 and other numbers of rows. Traditionally viewed as the cell's "bone-like" scaffolding, microtubules and other cytoskeletal structures also appear to fill communicative and information processing roles. Numerous types of studies link the cytoskeleton to cognitive processes (for review, cf. Hameroff and Penrose, 1996). Theoretical models and simulations suggest how conformational states of tubulins within microtubule lattices can interact with neighboring tubulins to represent, propagate and process information as in molecular-level "cellular automata," or "spin glass" type computing systems (Figure 5; e.g. Hameroff and Watt, 1982; Rasmussen et al, 1990; Tuszynski et

al, 1995).

FOR FIGURES KINDLY SEE ORGINAL REFERENCE

Figure 5. Microtubule automaton simulation (from Rasmussen et al, 1990). Black and white tubulins correspond to states shown in Figure 2. Eight nanosecond time steps of a segment of one microtubule are shown in "classical computing" mode in which patterns move, evolve, interact and lead to emergence of new patterns. In Hameroff and Penrose (1996; and in summary form, Penrose and Hameroff, 1995), we present a model linking microtubules to consciousness, using quantum theory as viewed in the particular "realistic" way that is described in Shadows of the Mind (Penrose, 1994). Figure 6. Microtubule automaton sequence simulation in which classical computing (step 1) leads to emergence of quantum coherent superposition (steps 2-6) in certain (gray) tubulins due to pattern resonance. Step 6 (in coherence with other microtubule tubulins) meets critical threshold related to quantum gravity for self-collapse (Orch OR). Consciousness (Orch OR) occurs in the step 6 to 7 transition. Step 7 represents the eigenstate of mass distribution of the collapse which evolves by classical computing automata to regulate neural function. Quantum coherence begins to re-emerge in step 8. Figure 7(Please see original text for figures). Schematic graph of proposed quantum coherence (number of tubulins) emerging vs time in microtubules. 500 milliseconds is time for pre-conscious processing (e.g. Libet, 1979). Area under curve connects mass-energy differences with collapse time in accordance with gravitational OR. This degree of coherent superposition of differing space-time geometries leads to abrupt quantum classical reduction ("self-collapse" or "orchestrated objective reduction: Orch OR").Figure 8. Quantum coherence in microtubules schematically graphed on longer time scale for 5 different states related to consciousness. Area under each curve equivalent in all cases. A. Normal experience: as in Figure 8. B. Anesthesia: anesthetics bind in hydrophobic pockets and prevent quantum delocalizability and coherent superposition (e.g. Louria and Hameroff, 1996). C. Heightened Experience: increased sensory experience input (for example) increases rate of emergence of quantum coherent superposition. Orch Or threshold is reached faster (e.g. 250 msec) and Orch Or frequency is doubled. D. Altered State: even greater rate of emergence of quantum coherence due to sensory input and other factors promoting quantum state (e.g. meditation, psychedelic drug etc.). Predisposition to quantum state results in baseline shift and only partial collapse so that conscious experience merges with normally sub-conscious quantum computing mode. E. Dreaming: prolonged quantum coherence time.

Figure 9. Quantum coherence in microtubules. Having emerged from resonance in classical automaton patterns, quantum coherence non-locally links superpositioned tubulins (gray) within and among microtubules. Upper microtubule: cutaway view shows coherent photons generated by quantum ordering of water on tubulin surfaces, propagating in microtubule waveguide. MAP (microtubule-associated-protein) attachments breach isolation and prevent quantum coherence; MAP attachment sites thus act as "nodes" which tune and orchestrate quantum oscillations and set possibilities and probabilities for collapse outcomes ("orchestrated objective reduction: Orch OR").

In our model, quantum coherence emerges, and is isolated, in brain microtubules until the differences in mass-energy distribution among superposed tubulin states reach the threshold of instability described above, related to quantum gravity (Figure 6). The resultant self-collapse (OR), considered to be a time-irreversible process, creates an instantaneous "now" event. Sequences of such events create a flow of time, and consciousness (Figures 7 and 8). We envisage that attachments of MAPs on microtubules "tune" quantum oscillations, and "orchestrate" possible collapse outcomes (Figure 9). Thus we term the particular self-organizing OR occurring in MAP-connected microtubules, and relevant to consciousness, orchestrated objective reduction ("Orch OR"). Orch OR events are thus self-selecting processes in fundamental space-time geometry. If experience is truly a component of fundamental space-time, Orch OR may begin to explain the "hard problem" of consciousness.

Summary of the Orch OR Model for Consciousness

The full details of this model are given in Hameroff and Penrose (1996). The picture we are putting forth

involves the following ingredients:

Aspects of quantum theory (e.g. quantum coherence) and of the suggested physical phenomenon of quantum wave function "self-collapse" (objective reduction: OR - Penrose, 1994; 1996) are essential for consciousness, and occur in cytoskeletal microtubules (MTs) and other structures within each of the brain's neurons.

Conformational states of MT subunits (tubulins) are coupled to internal quantum events, and cooperatively interact with other tubulins in both classical and quantum computation (Hameroff et al, 1992; Rasmussen et al, 1990 - Figures 4, 5 and 6).

Quantum coherence occurs among tubulins in MTs, pumped by thermal and biochemical energies (perhaps in the manner proposed by Frohlich, 1968; 1970; 1975). Evidence for coherent excitations in proteins has recently been reported by Vos et al (1993).

It is also considered that water at MT surfaces is "ordered," dynamically coupled to the protein surface. Water ordering within the hollow MT core (acting like a quantum wave guide) may result in quantum coherent photons (as suggested by the phenomena of "super-radiance" and "self-induced transparency" - Jibu et al, 1994; 1995). We require that coherence be sustained (protected from environmental interaction) for up to hundreds of milliseconds by isolation a) within hollow MT cores; b) within tubulin hydrophobic pockets; c) by coherently ordered water; d) sol-gel layering (Hameroff and Penrose, 1996). Feasibility of quantum coherence in the seemingly noisy, chaotic cell environment is supported by the observation that quantum spins from biochemical radical pairs which become separated retain their correlation in cytoplasm (Walleczek, 1995).

During pre-conscious processing, quantum coherent superposition/computation occurs in MT tubulins and continues until the mass-distribution difference among the separated states of tubulins reaches a threshold related to quantum gravity. Self-collapse (OR) then occurs (Figures 6 & 7).

The OR self-collapse process results in classical "outcome states" of MT tubulins which then implement neurophysiological functions. According to certain ideas for OR (Penrose, 1994), the outcome states are "non-computable"; that is, they cannot be determined algorithmically from the tubulin states at the beginning of the quantum computation.

Possibilities and probabilities for post-OR tubulin states are influenced by factors including initial tubulin states, and attachments of microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) acting as "nodes" which tune and "orchestrate" the quantum oscillations (Figure 9). We thus term the self-tuning OR process in microtubules "orchestrated objective reduction - Orch OR").

According to the arguments for OR put forth in Penrose (1994), superposed states each have their own space-time geometries. When the degree of coherent mass-energy difference leads to sufficient separation of space-time geometry, the system must choose and decay (reduce, collapse) to a single universe state. Thus Orch OR involves self-selections in fundamental space-time geometry (Figures 10 & 11).

Figure 10. Schematic space-time separation illustration of three superposed tubulins. The space-time differences are very tiny in ordinary terms (10-40 nm), but relatively large mass movements (e.g. hundreds of tubulin conformations, each moving from 10-6 nm to 0.2 nm) indeed have precisely such very tiny effects on the space-time curvature. Figure 11. Center: Three superposed tubulins (e.g. Figure 4) with corresponding schematic space-time separation illustrations (Figures 1 and 10). Surrounding the superposed tubulins are the eight possible post-reduction "eigenstates" for tubulin conformation, and corresponding space-time geometry.

To quantify the Orch OR process, in the case of a pair of roughly equally superposed states, each of which has a reasonably well-defined mass distribution, we calculate the gravitational self-energy E of

the difference between these two mass distributions, and then obtain the approximate lifetime T for the superposition to decay into one state or the other by the formula T=h/E. Here h is Planck's constant over 2pi. We call T the coherence time for the superposition (how long coherence is sustained). If we assume a coherence time T= 500 msec (shown by Libet, 1979, and others to be a relevant time for pre-conscious processing), we calculate E, and determine the number of MT tubulins whose coherent superposition for 500 msec will elicit Orch OR. This turns out to be about 109 tubulins.

A typical brain neuron has roughly 107 tubulins (Yu and Baas, 1994). If, say, 10 percent of tubulins within each neuron are involved in the quantum coherent state, then roughly 103 (one thousand) neurons would be required to sustain coherence for 500 msec, at which time the quantum gravity threshold is reached and occurs.

Orch OR then 10. We consider each self-organized Orch OR as a single conscious event; cascades of such events would constitute a "stream" of consciousness. If we assume some form of excitatory input (e.g. you are threatened or enchanted) in which quantum coherence emerges faster, then, for example, 1010coherent tubulins could Orch OR after 50 msec (e.g. Figure 8c). Turning to see a bengal tiger in your face might perhaps elicit 1011 in 5 msec, or more tubulins, faster. A slow emergence of coherence (your forgotten phone bill) may require longer times. A single electron would require more than the age of the universe.

Quantum states are non-local (because of quantum entanglement--or "Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen" (EPR) effects), so that the entire non-localized state reduces all at once. This can happen if the mass movement that induces collapse takes place in a small region encompassed by the state, or if it takes place uniformly over a large region. Thus, each instantaneous Orch OR could "bind" various superpositions which may have evolved in separated spatial distributions and over different time scales, but whose net displacement self-energy reaches threshold at a particular moment. Information is bound into an instantaneous event (a "conscious now"). Cascades of Orch ORs could then represent our familiar "stream of consciousness," and create a "forward" flow of time (Aharonov and Vaidman, 1990; Elitzur, 1996; Tollaksen, 1996).

It may be interesting to compare our considerations with subjective viewpoints that have been expressed with regard to the nature of the progression of conscious experience. For example, support for consciousness consisting of sequences of individual, discrete events is found in Buddhism; trained meditators describe distinct "flickerings" in their experience of reality (Tart, 1995). Buddhist texts portray consciousness as "momentary collections of mental phenomena", and as "distinct, unconnected and impermanent moments which perish as soon as they arise." Each conscious moment successively becomes, exists, and disappears - its existence is instantaneous, with no duration in time, as a point has no length. Our normal perceptions, of course, are seemingly continuous, presumably as we perceive "movies" as continuous despite their actual makeup being a series of frames. Some Buddhist writings even quantify the frequency of conscious moments. For example the Sarvaastivaadins (von Rospatt, 1995) described 6,480,000 "moments" in 24 hours (an average of one "moment" per 13.3 msec), and some Chinese Buddhism as one "thought" per 20 msec. These accounts, including variations in frequency, are consistent with our proposed Orch OR events. For example a 13.3 msec pre-conscious interval would correspond with an Orch OR involving 4 x 1010coherent tubulins, a 0.13 msec interval would correspond with 4 x 1012 coherent tubulins, and a 20 msec interval with 2.5 x 1010 coherent tubulins. Thus Buddhist "moments of experience," Whitehead "occasions of experience," and our proposed Orch OR events seem to correspond tolerably well with one another..

The Orch OR model thus appears to accommodate some important features of consciousness:

control/regulation of neural action

pre-conscious to conscious transition

non-computability

causality

binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now"

a "flow" of time

a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience may be based.

Conclusion: What is it like to be a worm?

The Orch OR model has the implication that an organism able to sustain quantum coherence among, for example, 109 tubulins for 500 msec might be capable of having a conscious experience. More tubulins coherent for a briefer period, or fewer for a longer period (E =h/T) will also have conscious events. Human brains appear capable of, for example, 1011 tubulin, 5 msec "bengal tiger experiences," but what about simpler organisms?

From an evolutionary standpoint, introduction of a dynamically functional cytoskeleton (perhaps symbiotically from spirochetes, e.g. Margulis, 1975) greatly enhanced eukaryotic cells by providing cell movement, internal organization, separation of chromosomes and numerous other functions. As cells became more specialized with extensions like axopods and eventually neural processes, increasingly larger cytoskeletal arrays providing transport and motility may have developed quantum coherence via the Fröhlich mechanism as a by-product of their functional coordination.

Another possible scenario for emergence of quantum coherence leading to Orch OR and conscious events is "cellular vision." Albrecht-Buehler (1992) has observed that single cells utilize their cytoskeletons in "cellular vision" - detection, orientation and directional response to beams of red/infra-red light. Jibu et al (1995) argue that this process requires quantum coherence in microtubules and ordered water, and Hagan (1995) suggests the quantum effects/cellular vision provided an evolutionary advantage for cytoskeletal arrays capable of quantum coherence. For whatever reason quantum coherence emerged, one could then suppose that, one day, an organism achieved sufficient microtubule quantum coherence to elicit Orch OR, and had a "conscious" experience.

At what level of evolutionary development might this primitive consciousness have emerged? A single cell organism like Paramecium is extremely clever, and utilizes its cytoskeleton extensively. Could a paramecium be conscious? Assuming a single paramecium contains, like each neuronal cell, 107 tubulins, then for a paramecium to elicit Orch OR, 100% of its tubulins would need to remain in quantum coherent superposition for nearly a minute. This seems unlikely.

Consider the nematode worm C elegans. Its 302 neuron nervous system is completely mapped. Could C elegans support Orch OR? With 3 x 109 tubulins, C elegans would require one third of its tubulins to sustain quantum coherent superposition for 500 msec. This seems unlikely, but not altogether impossible. If not C elegans, then perhaps Aplysia with a thousand neurons, or some higher organism. Orch OR provides a theoretical framework to entertain such possibilities.

Would a primitive Orch OR experience be anything like ours? If C elegans were able to self-collapse, what would it be like to be a worm? (Nagel, 1974) A single, 109 tubulin, 500 msec Orch OR in C elegans should be equal in gravitational self-energy (and thus perhaps, experiential intensity) to one of our "everyday experiences." A major difference is that we would have many Orch OR events sequentially (up to, say, 109 per second) whereas C elegans could generate, at most, 2 per second. C elegans would also presumably lack extensive memory and associations, and have poor sensory data, but nonetheless, by our criteria a 109 tubulin, 500 msec Orch OR in C elegans would be a conscious experience: a mere smudge of known reality, the next space-time move.

Consciousness has an important place in the universe. Orch OR in microtubules is a model depicting consciousness as sequences of non-computable self-selections in fundamental space-time geometry. If experience is a quality of space-time, then Orch OR indeed begins to address the "hard problem" of consciousness in a serious way. Reprinted from Journal of Consciousness Studies (2)1:36-53, 1996 special issue on the "hard problem" of conscious experience Acknowledgments: Thanks to Dave Cantrell for artwork and to Carol Ebbecke for technical support.

Consciousness and the New Physics

Space-Time According to Einstein

The special theory of relativity, formulated by Albert Einstein in 1905, is based on the experimentally confirmed idea that the velocity of light is the same universal constant, c= 3x1010 cm./sec., for all observers who move uniformly in straight lines relative to each other. Consequently, Einstein's genius deduced that events which are simultaneous to one observer are not simultaneous to a second observer.

Furthermore, moving clocks run slow. Moving measuring sticks contract in length along the direction of motion. Energy is equivalent to mass -- i.e. E = mc2. And the mass of a particle increases to infinity as the velocity approaches that of light. Einstein's results have been confirmed many times in physics laboratories. Like all scientific facts, these results presuppose that the observers are in a common state of consciousness whose legitimacy is determined by their agreement or social contract. The legitimacy accorded any scientific theory is a sociological matter. In fact, one interpretation of quantum physics is that physical reality does not objectively exist independent of the participating observers. Physicists use a simple geometric picture of the flat spacetime of special relativity called a "Minkowski diagram." Relativity unites space and time into a unified "four dimensional space-time continuum" in which time appears in the distance formula with a sign different from the sign of space. Events are conceived of as points on the Minkowski diagram. The history of a sequence of events is described by a curve or path on the Minkowski diagram called a world line. Each event is the origin of a future light cone and a past light cone. World lines that are everywhere inside the light cones are called time-like and describe the history of particles moving at velocities less than the velocity of light. World lines that are everywhere on the light cones are called light-like and describe the histories of real photons, neutrinos and gravitons that move at exactly the velocity of light. World lines that are everywhere outside the light cones are called space-like and would correspond to tachyonic processes happening faster than the velocity of light. Space-like processes, if they exist, could be in two or more widely separated places at the same time. Furthermore, these space-like processes allow the effect to precede the cause for some observers and not for others. They are not allowed in classical physics but are acceptable in quantum physics according to some interpretations. Quantum transitions or "quantum jumps" may be thought of as space-like processes.

Folded Space

Some psi researchers have attempted to use the concept of curved spacetime to eliminate some of the apparent paradoxes involved in psi phenomena. Psychologist Gertrude Schmeidler has suggested that the universe may contain an extra dimension that permits "topological folding" to occur so that two regions which are widely separated in an Einsteinian universe might be in immediate contact, much as two points on a towel which are normally quite a distance apart may be adjacent when the towel is folded. Thus, apparent instances of ESP across great distances might be explained by postulating that the persons involved are somehow in close proximity in the "folded" space. Physicist John Archibald Wheeler (a man with pronounced antipathy toward psi research) has theorized that, at a microscopic level, quantum effects might tear the fabric of spacetime, producing a structure involving wormholes. He speculated that such wormholes could connect pairs of oppositely charged particles such as electrons and positrons. Wheeler's hypothetical structure is sometimes called the "quantum foam." Such wormholes may exist on a macroscopic scale and, in some cases, rotating black holes may give rise to a "tunnel" or shortcut to another region of spacetime. Physicist Fred Alan Wolf has implicitly suggested (in a

cartooned text called Space, Time and Beyond) that such wormholes may provide the connections needed to explain psi phenomena over long distances or temporal intervals.

Fred Alan Wolf

Wolf, himself, has become one of the most prolific and articulate writers interpreting the complexities of theoretical physics to a general audience -- particularly those interested in psi and consciousness. His book, Parallel Universes, is probably the best popular explanation of Everett and Wheeler's "many worlds" interpretation of quantum mechanics.

Multidimensional Spacetime

Multi-dimensional models of spacetime have been proposed by physicist/psi researchers Russell Targ, Harold Puthoff and Edwin May. They proposed that ordinary four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime may be the "real" part of an eight-dimensional complex spacetime. An eight-dimensional models of spacetime to account for psi have also been proposed by physicist Elizabeth Rauscher. She suggests that soliton waves in a complex multidimensional space might serve as possible psi signals, as they would be able to propagate over large "distances" with little attenuation. She asserts that signals that appear to be superluminal in four-dimensional spacetime may be subluminal in eight-dimensional spacetime. She also contends that the problem of causal loops arising from backward causal chains need not arise in eight-dimensional spacetime. Rauscher suggests that any space-time dependence that exists for psi effects may be accounted for in terms of signal propagation velocities in complex spacetime. However, it is not clear that Rauscher's theory can be tested by this method unless some means of measuring the complex coordinates are provided; otherwise, they simply constitute free parameters that may be adjusted at will, rendering the theory incapable of falsification. A more comprehensive and sophisticated hyperspace model, developed by Saul-Paul Sirag, is summarized in this section under the heading of "unified field theories" and developed further in the Appendix.

The EPR Effect and Bell's Theorem

Recent theoretical developments in quantum theory known as the EPR effect (named Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen's 1935 paper on the quantum connection between spatially separated systems), now formulated in a theorem by John S. Bell (called Bell's Theorem), allow for the an instantaneous effect between any two places in the physical universe.,, There is no violation of Einstein's theory of relativity because the effect does not require the propagation of energetic signals. The confirmation of this principle of nonlocality suggests that psi phenomena, if they exist, need not be in conflict with the established laws of science. The prejudice of classical causality says that an event can only be influenced by other events that are in its past light cone. Events in the future light cone and outside the light cone in the "absolute elsewhere" are said not to influence the event of interest. Classical causality does work on the statistical level in which we average our observations over sets of events. Almost all of the measurements of atomic physics are adequately described by the statistical limit of the quantum principle. However, both general relativity and quantum theory in the form of Bell's theorem show that classical causality is not correct in principle on the level of individual events., Recent experiments by John Clauser at U.C., Berkeley, and Alain Aspect at the University of Paris, show that classical causality is violated for individual atomic events. (Local causes operate within the velocity of light.) These experiments measure the simultaneous arrival of two photons at spatially separated detectors., The two photons originate from the same atom. Bell's theorem enables one to calculate what the r`0d of simultaneous arrival should be if the statistical predictions of quantum theory are correct. It also enables one to calculate the rate of simultaneous arrival if physical reality is objective and locally causal for the individual photons. The experiments of Clauser and Aspect contradict the rate of photon coincidences predicted on the basis of an objective and locally causal reality. The measured rate agrees with the prediction of ordinary quantum theory. This means that physical reality either is not subject to the principle of local causation or does not objectively exist independent of the observers who participate in its creation. Bell's Theorem and the related experiments may have importance for the understanding of

personal human experience. The human brain stores and processes its information at the level of single organic molecules and is a single macroscopic quantum system. Acts of consciousness may be vie ed as incorporating quantum events. The illusion of the classical scientific paradigm that is shattered by the quantum principle is the assumption that there is an immutable objective reality "out there" that is totally independent of what happens in consciousness "in here." Quantum theory forces a new kind of logic in science that is still mathematical and disciplined. The Nobel prize physicist Eugene Wigner of Princeton has repeatedly written that consciousness is at the root of the quantum measurement problem. All classical measurements, including classical measurements of quantum processes of the type considered by Heisenberg in his "microscope" that leads to the uncertainty principle, involve the actual flow of energy and momentum in order to convey information. For example, Heisenberg reasons that the position of an electron must be measured by means of a second particle, e.g. a photon, that must collide with the electron in order to get the information on the electron's position. The fact that action is quantized in units of Planck's constant, h 10-27 erg-sec., implies uncontrollable minimal energy and momentum transfers between photon and electron in the collision. The result of Heisenberg's thought experiment is that it is impossible to predict the simultaneous values of both the position and the momentum of the electron with complete certainty. The only way to gain knowledge of the uncertainties is to repeat the experiment many times under "identically prepared" conditions. These kinds of classical measurements of quantum processes are fundamentally statistical. Josephson proposes that there may be another level of measurement that transcends the limitations of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. He says that this limitation is perhaps only a "reflection of the kinds of observation we can make," and that "the physical description of the world would change radically if we could observe more things." Einstein was also firmly convinced that there was another way to knowledge, but his refusal to accept the "telepathic" implications that he saw so clearly in his EPR effect prevented him, like Moses, from seeing the promised land. Thus, Einstein's Autobiographical Notes contain this remark about the EPR effect: There is to be a system which at the time t of our observation consists of two partial systems S1, and S2, which at this time are spatially separated....If I make a complete measurement of S1, I get from the results...an entirely definite Y-function Y2 of the system S2. The character of Y2 then depends upon what kind of measurement I undertake on S1....One can escape from this conclusion only by either assuming that the measurement of S1 (telepathically) changes the real situation of S2 or by denying independent real situations as such to things which are spatially separated from eath other. Both alternatives appear to me entirely unacceptable.

It is very interesting to note here that the Y function referred to by Einstein is the standard quantum probability function, referring to the mathematical probabilities which underlie the subatomic interactions of the physical world (i.e., Schrodinger's Wave Function). At least one physicist has commented on the possible synchronicity that this physical term may be very relevant in the psi effect of consciousness researchers.

Physicists have actually developed a number of possible conceptual strategies for integrating the EPR effect and Bell's Theorem. Physicist Nick Herbert, in his book Quantum Reality, describes eight possible interpretations: there is no underlying reality; reality is created by observation; reality is an undivided wholeness; there are actually many-worlds; the world obeys a non-human kind of reasoning; the world is made of ordinary objects; consciousness creates reality; unmeasured quantum reality exists only in potential. Each of these interpretations poses its own paradoxes. Given Bell's Theorem and the EPR effect, all of them must allow for non-local (or superluminal) interactions.

Nick Herbert

(courtesy Thinking Allowed Productions)

The Implicate Order

The nonlocal nature of the state vector collapse, as described above, suggests that particles of matter are not accurately describable as separate, localized entities. Rather seemingly isolated or separate particles

may be intimately connected with one another and must be seen as parts of a higher unity. Physicist David Bohm has referred to the universe as a "holomovement," invoking an analogy to a hologram (a three-dimensional photograph in which the entire picture is contained in each part). Bohm has termed the world of manifest appearances the "explicate order" and the hidden (nonlocal) reality underlying it the "implicate order." He also proposes a new mode of speaking, which he calls the rheomode, in which "thing" expressions would be replaced by "event" expressions. In contrast with theories such as Evan Harris Walker's and Saul-Paul Sirag's, the implicate order theory lacks a specific mathematical formulation from which testable predictions may be derived. On the other hand, the implicate order theory is consistent with and provides a good philosophical underpinning for the testable observational theories, such as those of Mattuck and Walker.

Observational Theories

Physicist Evan Harris Walker has put forth an observational theory that equates the conscious mind with the "hidden variables" of quantum theory.

Evan Harris Walker

Walker notes that, due to the necessarily nonlocal nature of such hidden variables, quantum state collapse by the observer should be independent of space and time; hence, psi phenomena such as telepathy should be independent of space-time separation. Noting that the conventional view in physics is to deny that the paradoxes of quantum mechanics have implications beyond the mathematical formalisms, Walker defines his theory: The measurement problem in Quantum Mechanics has existed virtually from the inception of quantum theory. It has engendered a thousand scientific papers in fruitless efforts to resolve the problem. One of the central features of the controversy has been the argument that characteristics of QM imply that an observer's thoughts can affect an objective apparatus directly, which in turn implies the reality not only of consciousness but of psi phenomena. I have written several papers saying that such a feature of QM is not a fault, but rather represents a solution to problems that go beyond the usual perview of physics. Thus, I have developed a theory of consciousness and psi phenomena that arises directly from these bizarre findings in QM, findings now supported by specific tests of the principles of objective reality and/or Einstein locality. Walker specifies channel capacities for various "regions" of mental activity. He calculates the rate for "data processing of the brain as a whole at a subconscious level" (S) to be equal to 2.4 x 1012 bits/sec. The data rate for conscious activity (C) is equal to 7.5 x 108 bits/sec, and the channel capacity of the "will" (W) is equal to 6 x 104 bits/sec. Walker's derivation of the above rates is based on the assumption that electron tunneling across synapses is the basis for the transmission of impulses across synapses and that the large-scale integration of brain activity is also mediated by electron tunneling. Copenhagen physicist Richard Mattuck has proposed an observational theory which builds on the work of both Helmut Schmidt and Evan Harris Walker. He asserts that PK results from the restructuring of thermal noise through the action of mind, involving a decrease in entropy. His hypothesis is "not of the 'Maxwell demon' type" as "it does nK&#0; operate by selection of states of individual molecules, but rather by the selection of macroscopic pure states." Using the example of a moving ball, Mattuck notes that, as its velocity is distributed about its current mean due to thermal noise, an observer can select increasingly higher velocity states. This selection may be made in steps, resulting in possible incremental increase in velocity by the ball.

Unified Field Theory and Consciousness

A hyperspace model of consciousness has been developed by interdisciplinary scholar Saul-Paul Sirag, at the Institute for the Study of Consciousness in Berkeley and San Francisco's Parapsychology Research Group.

Saul-Paul Sirag

Further details of Sirag's work-in-progress are presented in the Appendix. In my estimation, this work

(while incomplete) represents the most advanced model available linking consciousness at a deep level with physical reality. I have been closely associated with Sirag since before he began this work in 1974, when he was a research associate at the Institute for the Study of Consciousness (ISC) in Berkeley. Frankly, after years of detailed discussions with him, I still find it very difficult to comprehend his model. I have included it as an Appendix to the revised edition because I believe that Sirag may well be speaking the language of the future in consciousness research. Here is the story of the development of Sirag's approach: Arthur Young, the founder of the Institute (whose own "reflexive universe" model is presented next this section), asked Sirag to work out the algebraic group structure of the rotations of the tetrahedron. Young also encouraged Sirag to study the works of Sir Arthur Eddington, the physicist who was famous for producing a nearly incomprehensible unified field theory, which purportedly unified gravity and electromagnetism as well as general relativity and quantum mechanics.

Arthur M. Young

The key to this unification was also group theory. Sirag was impressed by the fact that, although Eddington's work had been neglected for decades, the central importance of group theory for unified field theory had become established by recent physics.Eddington's unification was based upon the 4-element group called the Klein group K4. Eddington thought of this group as describing the structure of the most elemental measurement: seeing whether or not two rigid rods are the same length. He regarded group theory as the solution to the mind-matter duality problem. His solution can be stated in this way: insofar as as the mind can know matter, it has a group structure isomorphic to that of matter.Eddington's "structuralist" approach found support from an unexpected quarter for Sirag when he came upon Piaget's work on the structure of the acquisition of knowledge by children. Eddington had declared K4 to be the primary group structure of the acquisition of physical knowledge by professional physicists because of his use of K4 to describe the fundamental structure of measurement. Piaget found, by testing children in precisely contrived situations, that K4 was also the basic structure of children's acquisition of physical knowledge. Piaget's names for the four elements of K4 are well known: identify, negation, collaterality and reciprocity. The problem, for Sirag, was that K4 as a mathematical group structure did not offer sufficient complexity to capture the richness of theoretical physics since the time of Eddington. He assumed that there had to be a much larger group structure. He was intrigued with the possibility that a larger, finite group structure called S4 (with subgroup K4) was the right path to unification of mind and matter. This idea took many years to mature. In 1977, Sirag published a short piece in the prestigious British science magazine, Nature that was both a criticism of and a tribute to Eddington's mass ratio derivation. Sirag was very impressed by Eddington's use of epistemological principles as a clue to unify gravity and electromagnetism, and his attempt to account for the fundamental pure numbers in physics by purely epistemological reasoning. Eddington's program was too ambitious to be carried out directly, Sirag thought, so as a kind of half-way measure, he tried to reduce the number of pure numbers to be accounted for by judicious combinatorial reasoning. This kind of reasoning led to a rather extensive paper, "Physical Constants as Cosmological Constraints" published in 1983.

In this paper Sirag showed that the physical constants determine the large-scale structure of the universe in such a way that the present-day scale factor -- the "radius" can be calculated, as well as the age and the density, and various other cosmological properties. Sirag hypothesized the age of the universe to be 32 billion years. This differs markedly from the usual statements of 10-20 billion yars. These numbers are really based on the measurement of Hubble's constant which Sirag has calculated as 15 kilometers per second per megaparsec (which implies a closed universe), while the usual "measurement" is 50-100 in the same units, implying an open universe. Presumably the Hubble telescope (due to be lofted in 1990) will settle the issue. (Should Sirag's predictions prove correct, he could be considered a possible Nobel Prize candidate.)Additionally Sirag presented a finite-group-algebra unification model in January 1982 at the American Physical Society meeting in San Francisco under the title, "Why There are Three Fermion Families." This work is particularly significant as physicists have recently confirmed that there are indeed exactly three families of sub-atomic matter particles, as Sirag had predicted. An Associated Press article on the discovery quotes Nobel Laureate physicist Burton Richter, Director of the Stanford

Linear Accelerator Center, as saying that the major mystery remaining is "why God chose three families instead of one or nine or 47." Burton had apparently not read Sirag's paper, as this precisely the issue Sirag has addressed. In his various published works, Sirag claims to have developed new solutions for some of the most fundamental problems in all of science: the age and size of the universe and the number of basic subatomic building blocks. The predictions which he has made in these areas stand to be either confirmed or refuted in the coming decades. It is from this theoretical work that his mathematical theory of consciousness has emerged. While models of consciousness are far more difficult to verify or falsify than models of the physical universe, the logic of developing a model of consciousness from advanced views of physical reality is quite compelling. Whether or not Sirag's particular models are confirmed, it seems possible that a successful physical-mathematical solution to the mind-matter problem may eventually develop from the type of ambitious program which Sirag has developed. Sirag's model of consciousness, as presented in the Appendix, could be called a Pythagorean approach to consciousness, since Sirag's strategy is to look to mathematics for an appropriate structure to describe the relationship between consciousness and the physical world. He finds that unified field theories of the physical forces depend fundamentally on mathematical structures called reflection spaces, which are heierarchically organized in such a way that an infinite spectrum of realities is naturally suggested.

This situation is natural because mathematicians have discovered that the hierarchical organization of reflection spaces also corresponds to the organization of many other mathematical objects -- e.g. catastrophies, singularities, wave fronts, and contact structures, error correcting codes, sphere packing lattices, and, perhaps most surprisingly, certain regular geometric figures including the Platonic solids.It is generally believed by physicists working on unified field theory that space-time is hyperdimensional, with all but four of the dimensions being invisible. The reason for this invisibility is a major subject of reseach. Beside space-time dimensions, there are also other internal (or invisible) dimensions called gauge dimensions. The reality of these gauge dimensions is also a topic of controversy and research. In Sirag's view both the extra space-time dimensions and the gauge dimensions are real. This provides scope for considering ordinary reality a substructure within a hyperdimensional reality. This idea has, of course, been suggested before -- e.g. it is implicit in the Cave Parable of Plato. The difference in Sirag's approach is that the structure of the hyperspace is defined directly by the properties of physical forces.A further innovation in Sirag's approach is that his version of unified field theory embeds both spacetime and gauge space in an algebra whose basis is a finite group. This group, which directly models certain symmetries of particle physics, is a symmetry group of one of the Platonic solids -- the octahedron. Thus it is a mathematical entity contained in the reflection space hierarchy. In fact the reflection space corresponding to the octahedron is seven-dimensional and is also a superstring-type reflection space, so that a link with the most popular version of unified field theory is provided.

The central postulate of Sirag's paper is that this seven-dimensional reflection space is a universal consciousness, and that individual consciousnesses tap into this universal consciousness. This implies that the high level of consciousness enjoyed by humans is due to the complex network of connections to the underlying reflection space afforded by a highly evolved brain. Moreover, the hierarchy of reflection spaces suggests a hierarchy of realms (or states) of consciousness. Each realm would correspond to a different unified field theory with different sets of forces. In fact, the seven-dimensional reflection space is contained in an eight-dimensional reflection space, and contains a six-dimensional reflection space, so that there would be a realm of consciousness directly "above" ordinary reality, and a realm of consciousness directly "below" ordinary reality. In principle the relationship between the different forces in these different realms could be worked out in detail, so that precise predictions could be made. Sirag believes that this hierarchy of realms of consciousness is analogous to the spectrum of light discovered in 1864 by James Clerk Maxwell in his electromagnetic theory of light, which unified the forces of electricity and magnetism. Maxwell had no way of directly testing his theory, which proposed the reality of frequencies of light both higher and lower than that of ordinary light. He boldly proposed the existence of invisible light, simply because his equations contained the higher and lower frequencies. Similarly, in the unification of all the forces, we can expect something new to be described, which could be the analog of light. Sirag proposes that this new thing be consciousness, and that since the

mathematics of the unification gives reflection space a central role, the hierarchy of reflection spaces suggests a hierarchy of realms of consciousness.

Evaluating Implications of the New Physics

One of the most fundamental developments in the past two decades has been the experimental confirmations of the principle of nonlocality in quantum mechanics and the realization of the importance of that principle for a theory of psi phenomena. If nothing else, this breakthrough strongly suggests that psi phenomena, if they exist, need not be in conflict with established laws of science. At present, theories regarding psi are somewhat premature for two reasons. We still lack a reliable data base and repeatable psi effects upon which a theory might be constructed and refined. We also lack a comprehensive theory of consciousness itself, upon which a theory of psi must, inevitably, be built. Thus many of the theories discussed represent mere presentations of "theoretical environments" in which more testable theories might be constructed. Sirag's "work in progress" as presented in the Appendix represents the beginnings of a venture which, if successful, will run a course of many generations. A note of caution may be appropriate at this point. While I have been focusing on the relationship between physics and consciousness, this is only a short step from the issue of physics and mysticism. It is in this realm that many physicists themselves, as well as scholars of mysticism, feel that physics can have little to say. Ken Wilbur, for example, firmly maintains that the attempt to prove the reality of mystical experience by resorting to scientific arguments does a great injustice to genuine mysticism which is self-supporting and timeless. Whereas scientific theories are in constant flux. This is an important point, however, it is also premature to assume that physics will never develop permanent and complete answers. After all, physics is based upon mathematics, and that field does seem to have developed some permanent solutions.

Problem with Quantum Mind Theory

Intro by Lonnie Brown

For those who are quantum mind aficionados, check out the following article from Science Magazine. The title of the article is "Cold Numbers Unmake the Quantum Mind," by Charles Seife. The basic argument is that temperatures in the brain are too high for atoms, photons, or electrons to remain in a super position of states for longer than 10**-13 (12 zeros after the decimal) seconds. Since neural processes operate on the order of milliseconds there is no way (the argument goes) for the brain to take advantage of quantum effects. Stuart Hameroff makes a vague, informal suggestion that the brain might have a way around this problem. Also, in light of Libet's experimental results, it is difficult to rule out quantum processes in explaining features of perception which seem to require backward causality in time--something that only takes place in the quantum realm.

Here is the full text of the article:

Sir Roger Penrose is incoherent, and Max Tegmark says he can prove it. According to Tegmark's calculations, the neurons in Penrose's brain are too warm to be performing quantum computations--a key requirement for Penrose's favorite theory of consciousness. Penrose, the Oxford mathematician famous for his work on tiling the plane with various shapes, is one of a handful of scientists who believe that the ephemeral nature of consciousness suggests a quantum process. In the realm of the extremely small, an object with a property such as polarization or spin may exist in any of a number of quantum states. Or, bizarrely, it may inhabit several quantum states at once, a property called superposition. A quantum superposition is extremely fragile. If an atom in such a state interacts with its environment--by being bumped or prodded by nearby atoms, for instance--its waveform can "collapse," ending the superposition by forcing the atom to commit to one of its possible states. To some investigators, this process of coherence and collapse seems strikingly similar to what goes on in the mind. Multiple ideas flit around below the threshold of awareness, then somehow solidify and wind up at the front of our consciousness. Quantum consciousness aficionados suspect that the analogy might be more than a coincidence. Eleven years ago, Penrose publicly joined their number, speculating in a popular book called The Emperor's

New Mind that the brain might be acting like a quantum computer. "Between the preconscious and conscious transition, there's no obvious threshold," says Penrose's sometime collaborator Stuart Hameroff, an anesthesiologist at the University of Arizona in Tucson. Ideas start out in superposition in the preconscious and then wind up in the conscious mind as the superposition ends and the waveform collapses. "The collapse is where consciousness comes in," says Hameroff. But what exactly is collapsing? From his studies of neurophysiology, Hameroff knew of a possible seat for the quantum nature: "microtubules," tiny tubes constructed out of a protein called tubulin that make up the skeletons of our cells, including neurons. Tubulin proteins can take at least two different shapes--extended and contracted--so, in theory, they might be able to take both states at once. If so, then an individual tubulin protein might affect its neighbors' quantum states, which in turn affect their neighbors'--and so forth, throughout the brain. In the 1990s, Penrose and Hameroff showed how such a tubulin-based quantum messaging system could act like a huge quantum computer that might be the seat of our conscious experience. The idea attracted a few physicists, some consciousness researchers, and a large number of mystics. Quantum physicists, however, largely ignored it as too speculative to be worth testing with numerical calculations. Now Tegmark, a physicist at the University of Pennsylvania, has done the numbers. In the February issue of Physical Review E, Tegmark presents calculations showing just what a terrible environment the brain is for quantum computation. Combining data about the brain's temperature, the sizes of various proposed quantum objects, and disturbances caused by such things as nearby ions, Tegmark calculated how long microtubules and other possible quantum computers within the brain might remain in superposition before they decohere. His answer: The superpositions disappear in 10**-13 to 10**-20 seconds. Because the fastest neurons tend to operate on a time scale of 10**-3 seconds or so, Tegmark concludes that whatever the brain's quantum nature is, it decoheres far too rapidly for the neurons to take advantage of it.

"If our neurons have anything at all to do with our thinking, if all these electrical firings correspond in any way to our thought patterns, we are not quantum computers," says Tegmark. The problem is that the matter inside our skulls is warm and ever-changing on an atomic scale, an environment that dooms any nascent quantum computation before it can affect our thought patterns. For quantum effects to become important, the brain would have to be a tiny fraction of a degree above absolute zero. Hameroff is unconvinced. "It's obvious that thermal decoherence is going to be a problem, but I think biology has ways around it," he says. Water molecules in the brain tissue, for instance, might keep tubulin coherent by shielding the microtubules from their environment. "In back-of-the-envelope calculations, I made up those 13 orders of magnitude pretty easily." Some members of the quantum-consciousness community, however, concede that Tegmark has landed a body blow on Penrose-Hameroff-type views of the brain. "Those models are severely impacted by these results," says physicist Henry Stapp of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory in California. (Stapp's own theory of quantum consciousness, he says, is unaffected by Tegmark's arguments.) Physicists outside the fray, such as IBM's John Smolin, say the calculations confirm what they had suspected all along. "We're not working with a brain that's near absolute zero. It's reasonably unlikely that the brain evolved quantum behavior," he says. Smolin adds: "I'm conscientiously staying away" from the debate.

Association **produces(eb)** consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups

G_{36} : Category one of Association (RED IS FOR DANGER; THIS IS SPACE; THIS IS TIME; EVERYTHING IS TAUGHT AND LEARNT THIS IS ASSOCIATION. THAT IS ALSO ONE OF THE REASONS AS TO WHY SOME SCIENTISTS CLUTCH TO THEIR THEORIES TO THE END)

G_{37} : Category two of Association (RED IS FOR DANGER; THIS IS SPACE; THIS IS TIME; EVERYTHING IS TAUGHT AND LEARNT THIS IS ASSOCIATION. THAT IS ALSO ONE OF THE REASONS AS TO WHY SOME SCIENTISTS CLUTCH TO THEIR THEORIES TO THE END)

G_{38} : Category three of (RED IS FOR DANGER; THIS IS SPACE; THIS IS TIME ; EVERYTHING IS

TAUGHT AND LEARNT THIS IS ASSOCIATION. THAT IS ALSO ONE OF THE REASONS AS TO WHY SOME SCIENTISTS CLUTCH TO THEIR THEORIES TO THE END)

T_{36} : Category one of consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups

T_{37} : Category two of consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups

T_{38} : Category three of consciousness and conscious thought depending on the theories taught by peer or reference groups

Module eight

E = h / T

G_{40} : Category one of LOGARITHMOF LHS TERM

G_{41} : Category two of LOGARITHM OF LHS TERM

G_{42} : Category three of LOGARITHM OF LHS TERM

T_{40} : Category one of LOGARITHM OF RHS NUMERATOR TERM

T_{41} : Category two of LOGARITHMOF RHS NUMERATOR TERM

T_{42} : Category three of LOGARITHMOF RHS NUMERATOR TERM

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of RESULT OF THE MODULE EIGHT

G_{45} : Category two of RESULT OF THE MODULE EIGHT

G_{46} : Category three of RESULT OF THE MODULE EIGHT

T_{44} : Category one of LOGARITHM OF THE TERM ON THE TERM IN THE DENOMINATOR

T_{45} : Category two of LOGARITHM OF THE TERM ON THE TERM IN THE DENOMINATOR

T_{46} : Category three of LOGARITHM OF THE TERM ON THE TERM IN THE DENOMINATOR

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}, (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt}=(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt}=(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt}=(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & +(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad (70)$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad (71)$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad (72)$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \qquad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & +(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & +(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & +(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$

are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)}+(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)} & +(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} & +(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & +(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & +(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \tag{97}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \tag{98}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (99)

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: (100)

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together

with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \qquad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \qquad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \qquad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \qquad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38 \tag{131}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \tag{132}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (133)

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: (134)

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: (135)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}\ (T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\ \big((G_{43}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - \ T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{25} , $G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_1, ((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_1/(a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq ((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)})_2/(a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + ((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If $G_{24}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t),t))=(b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to\infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}')^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) \quad (237)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}'')^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i(t)\geq T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. (241)

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t))=(b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29}\to\infty$. (242)

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t>t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}-(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)<\varepsilon_5,T_{28}(t)>(m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. (252)

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. (253)

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_i)^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to (254)

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}), ((G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered 260

as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)}G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If $G_{36}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right)=(b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{267}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{268}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \tag{269}$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \tag{273}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\,((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t\ > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}\,(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279

A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})} : (\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}), (T_{47}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and

respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G^0_{13}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G^0_{15} e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le\right.$ 286

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)},(v_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$ 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0, (v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0, (u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^0_{20}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\Big] + G^0_{22}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \quad 324$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)},(v_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},v^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)}=(v_0)^{(4)},(m_1)^{(4)}=(v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)}<(v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$$ 336

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)}, (v_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, v^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+G_{30}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)}\le-(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)}\le-(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)},(v_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},v^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)}>0,(v_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0,(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)}<(v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\le G_{42}(t)\le\right. \quad 377$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\le T_{42}(t)\le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\le-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\le-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)},(\nu_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},\nu^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(3)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t)=\frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)}\leq u^{(3)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{u^{(3)}(t)=\frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t)=\frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t)=\frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)v^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}v^{(6)}$$

Definition of $v^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (v_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

$$v^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

418

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

419

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)\le\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},(\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t)\ge\frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(8)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)}\le\nu^{(8)}(t)\le(\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)}\le\nu^{(8)}(t)\le(\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)}\le\frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le\nu^{(8)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(8)}\le(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}\le\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)}\le\nu^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)}\le\nu^{(8)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)}\le u^{(8)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(b) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$, $G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$, $G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, \mathrm{T}^*_{17}$ given by $f(\mathrm{T}^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$\mathrm{G}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{16})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{G}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{18})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{18})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad 513$$

$$\mathrm{T}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{16})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{18})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{21}$ given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0\,, T^*_{25}$ given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*}) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (536)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (537)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (538)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (539)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (541)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (542)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (543)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (544)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (545)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (546)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- (548)

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\big(\,(G_{39})^{**}\,\big) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)})\{((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 3:

Gratification, Prakruti Siddhi, Prakruti Kshobha, Deprivation, Nonself And Other Topics: A Das Ganze Ist Mehr Als Die Summe Seiner Teile Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

1. G or D **produces(eb)** Positive actions and negative actions
2. Self =senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)
3. Form = Emptiness
4. Conscious actions **produces(eb)** sense perceptions
5. Sense perceptions **produce(eb) Associations**
6. **Associations produce(eb) G or D**
7. **G or D produces(eb) Prakruti Kshobha or Prakruti Siddhi (production of positive actions or negative actions)**

NOTATION

Module One

Parson's theory of social action is based on his concept of the society. Parsons is known in the field of sociology mostly for his theory of social action. Action is a process in the actor-situation system which has motivational significance to the individual actor or in the case of collectively, its component individuals. On the basis of this definition it may be said that the processes of action are related to and influenced by the attainment of the gratification or the avoidance of deprivations of the correlative actor, whatever they concretely be in the light of the relative personal structures that there may be. All social actions proceed from mechanism which is their ultimate source. It does not mean that these actions are solely connected with organism. They are also connected with actor's relations with other persons' social situations and culture.

Systems of social action

Social actions are guided by the following three systems which may also be called as three aspects of the systems of social action Personality system: This aspect of the system of social action is responsible for

the needs for fulfillment of which the man makes effort and performs certain actions. But once man makes efforts he has to meet certain conditions. These situations have definite meaning and they are distinguished by various symbols and symptoms. Various elements of the situation come to have several meanings for ego as signs or symbols which become relevant to the organization of his expectation system. Cultural system: Once the process of the social action develops the symbols and the signs acquire general meaning. They also develop as a result of systematized system and ultimately when different actors under a particular cultural system perform various social interactions, special situation develops. Social System: A social system consists in a plurity of individual actor's interacting with each other in a situation which has at least a physical or environmental aspect actors are motivated in terms of tendency to the optimization of gratification and whose relations to the situation including each other is defined and motivated in terms of system of culturally structured and shaped symbols.

In Parson's view each of the three main type of social action systems-culture, personality and social systems has a distinctive coordinative role in the action process and therefore has some degree of causal autonomy. Thus personalities organize the total set of learned needs, demands and action choices of individual actors, no two of whom are alike. Every social system is confronted with 4 functional problems. These problems are those of **pattern maintenance, integration, goal attainment and adaptation**. Pattern maintenance refers to the need to maintain and reinforce the basic values of the social system and to resolve tensions that emerge from continuous commitment to these values. Integration refers to the allocation of rights and obligations, rewards and facilities to ensure the harmony of relations between members of the social system. Goal attainment involves the necessity of mobilizing actors and resources in organized ways for the attainment of specific goals. Adaptation refers to the need for the production or acquisition of generalized facilities or resources that can be employed in the attainment of various specific goals. Social systems tend to differentiate these problems so as to increase the functional capabilities of the system. Such differentiation whether through the temporal specialization of a structurally undifferentiated unit or through the emergence of two or more structurally distinct units from one undifferentiated unit is held to constitute a major verification of the fourfold functionalist schema? It also provides the framework within which are examined the plural interchanges that occur between structurally differentiated units to provide them with the inputs they require in the performance of their functions and to enable them to dispose of the outputs they produce.

Pattern Variables

Affectivity vs affectivity neutrality: The pattern is affective when an organized action system emphasizes gratification that is when an actor tries to avoid pain and to maximize pleasure; the pattern is affectively neutral when it imposes discipline and renouncement or deferment of some gratifications in favour of other interests. Self-orientation vs collectivity orientation: This dichotomy depends on social norms or shared expectations which define as legitimate the pursuit of the actor's private interests or obligate him to act in the interests of the group. Particularism vs universalism: The former refers to standards determined by an actor's particular relations with particular relations with a particular object; the latter refers to value standards that are highly generalized. Quality vs performance: The choice between modalities of the social object. This is the dilemma of according primary treatment to an object on the basis of what it is in itself an inborn quality or what it does and quality of its performance. The former involves defining people on the basis of certain attributes such as age, sex, color, nationality etc; the latter defines people on the basis of their abilities. Diffusion vs specificity: This is the dilemma of defining the relations borne by object to actor as indefinitely wide in scope, infinitely broad in involvement morally obligating and significant in pluralistic situations or specifically limited in scope and involvement. G or D **produces(eb)** Positive actions and negative actions

G_{13} : Category one of gratification

G_{14} : Category two of gratification

G_{15} : Category three of gratification

T_{13} : Category one of Positive actions (that benefit the widest commonalty spread)

T_{14} : Category two of Positive actions (that benefit the widest commonalty spread)

T_{15} : Category three of Positive actions (that benefit the widest commonalty spread)

Module Two

PARSONS' THEORY TO APPLIED/CLINICAL SETTINGS: THE EXAMPLE OF U.S. MEDICAL PRACTICE -- PARSONS' ANALYSIS OF THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP by Mary C. Sengstock, Ph.D., C.C.S. Unpublished manuscript, Rev., 2004 All rights reserved.

Some social scientists have held that individuals will "spontaneously" fulfill the demands of the social system; Parsons (1951: 31) is among those who take the stand that social systems must have some mechanisms for encouraging the participation, that is, the social system develops certain "modes of organization of the motivational systems of personalities." Actually these two views do not seem to be too far apart. In this analysis, I will discuss Parsons' views of the interrelation between the individual's motivational system and the expectations of the social structure, with special emphasis on the Doctor-Patient role relationship, as Parsons discusses it. Individual Motivation and Social Structural Needs: Parsons would admit, I believe, that this motivation does not proceed directly from the social system to the actor at the precise moment in which his/her role performance is desired; while an element of what we might call "instantaneous motivation" exists, the greater part of individual motivation proceeds from the social system to the personality structure of the actor through socialization and is internalized so that it is within the personality structure of the actor at the time of the required action and s/he, therefore, conforms "spontaneously," as the other school would hold. Unfortunately, Parsons does not specify who the "other school" is, other than to say it has a psychological orientation, so we cannot compare further the two views. Parsons also considers various aspects of human nature which affect the efforts of the social system to motivate actors. One of these, the "conformity-alienation" dimension of the individual personality, refers to the fact that the cathectic (gratification) needs of the actor may at times dispose him to conform to the expectations of others, at other times to be alienated from them; i.e., everybody finds it to his/her advantage to conform sometimes, to deviate at others. Parsons thinks this characteristic of the human personality can be used to advantage by the social system at the juncture of these two action system levels; he discusses this at another point. Two other characteristics of human nature are its plasticity (there is no biologically determined course of action), and its sensitivity (men can be influenced by other men, a process commonly known as socialization).A similar problem of meshing the social and cultural systems is next considered. Here too there is a reciprocal relationship, with each system making certain requirements of the other. The social system must provide a minimum of order so that a culture, including the language, empirical knowledge, and symbolic and value patterns, all necessary for the smooth running of the social system, can be produced, maintained, developed, and transmitted from one member to another. Essential to the proper functioning of the action system is incorporation of cultural elements into the personality system of actors, who in turn contribute to the development of the social system. The cultural system cannot be merely "situational," a term which is not explicitly defined by the author but simply used as an antonym for "internalized." ("Culture is not merely 'situational' relative to action but becomes directly constitutive of personalities as such through what personality psychologists now tend to call 'internalization'" [Parsons, 1951: 34]). In turn, the cultural system must provide adequate resources and organization for the maintenance of the social system; but it cannot impose too great a strain on either the social or personality systems. (For example, it cannot provide a value structure to which it is difficult for the personality system to conform; nor can it develop a personality system, an anti-authoritarian type perhaps, which is disruptive to the social system.) The reciprocality here is obvious: the social system must be able to keep a culture going in order to continue to exist itself, while the cultural system must be compatible with the social system in

order to avoid becoming extinct. Neither can exist alone. Their close interrelation has a necessary corollary: disruption in one threatens disruption in the other. The preceding discussion stresses the interrelation, interpenetration, and interdependence of the three systems; we might recall that, much as Parsons may stress these ideas, he does not carry interpenetration to the extent of mutual reducibility. He does, however, draw the obvious conclusion from the relationship which exists between the three levels of the action system: any system as closely interrelated as this one must necessarily be integrated in order to continue in existence. That is, the motivational elements and the cultural elements must be brought together into an ordered system by the social system, which is in equilibrium and in which change occurs in an orderly fashion. One gets the impression, not from any specific statement but from his entire system of thought that an ordered process of change in Parsons' social system would take a very long time, originating as single elements in one system, occasioning single changes in the other two, back to the first, and so on. Parsons terms this ordering of the three levels the "functional integration of action elements" (Parsons, 1951: 36). This integration, due to the characteristic of "expectation," or goal-orientation, inherent in the individual personality, must be of the normative nature, that is, it must also be directed toward some standard. Normative integration must be achieved in two spheres. In the first place, the normative elements (cultural symbols) must be ordered among themselves; we assume that this problem must be solved in the realm of the cultural system. Integration at this level is not sufficient, however. It is imperative that the social system achieve a system of order between the motivational orientation of actors and the normative expectations of the cultural system. The author then goes on to describe the basic condition for this stabilization of the social system.This I consider to be one of the more interesting aspects of Parsons' theory, not that no one has thought of it before, but here it is expressed so well. Basically is it the whole question of internalization of value standards as opposed to simple imposition of sanctions for deviations from the norm, a topic which was considered by Durkheim (one performs his duty because it gives him pleasure to do so [Durkheim, 1953: 44-46]), by Mead (the standards of the group become part of the self - the ME" [Mead, 1934]), by Charles H. Cooley (the "looking-glass self"), and by Jean Piaget (in his observations on child psychology).All have alluded to the idea that an actor will conform to societal expectations most readily when it is to his advantage to do so, when the standard is so much a part of himself that to conform is to receive a gratifying feeling of self-approval and to deviate is to receive self-abnegation and deprivation. Parsons calls this the coincidence of the conformity-deviation dimension with the gratification-deprivation dimension of the individual personality. But his concept does not include only internalization of values; self-conformity is only one aspect of the Parsonian idea. Because of the reciprocal nature of role relationships, a conforming ego also receives altar’s approval, a gratification which he has also come to need; expression of the approval of alter known as sanction, may have a positive or negative character and need not be consciously intended by alter. When a value standard has both elements (i.e., internalization of role expectations by ego and a system of sanctions by alter) we say that this is an institutionalized standard. Parsons reminds us that, this being a reciprocal relationship, what are role expectations to ego are sanctions to alter, and vice versa.

Role Expectations of Doctor and Patient:

Perhaps we might go back to our example to clarify this point. The role expectations of the patient are to be frank with the doctor, respect his authority, follow his advice, and so on; the doctor is expected to use his knowledge and skill as best he can, to give the proper treatment, and to assume responsibility for the patient's welfare. What is a duty for one is a sanction for the other: the doctor's duty to care for the patient acts as a sanction in the sense that the patient will be rewarded with proper care (positive sanction) if he is honest in reporting his symptoms and follows doctor's orders; he will be deprived of health-giving care if he refuses to perform his role expectations. Similarly the doctor will be rewarded with cooperation from the patient and the patient's reports of his professional competence if he fulfills his role expectations; he will be deprived of this cooperation, upon which his professional reputation may depend, if he does not perform his role properly. Such institutionalization is what Parsons (1951: 59) terms structured complementarity." And the degree to which exists is clearly dependent upon the degree to which ego and alter share the value orientation patterns and the degree of commitment of each

to their fulfillment. Where there is a great deal of "common sentiment," or moral and gratificational attachment to the common values, nearly complete institutionalization exists; its polar opposite on the continuum is anomie.The whole problem of the integration of the common value structure (cultural system) with the need-disposition structure of the individual personality system is considered by Parsons to be the core of social dynamics. We must never expect to find a system in which the two "fit" perfectly, however; this is not possible because of the breadth of the individual personality, the large number of role assignments to each individual, and the imperfect distribution of roles to actors in a collectivity. (Here Parsons clarifies the confusion which arose earlier over his use of the term, "collectivity." He asks the reader to make a clear distinction between institution and collectivity, which are often confused. An institution is a complex of interdependent role patterns, e.g., the patterns, per se, as distinguished from a group of actors who participate in a complex of interdependent role patterns, called a collectivity.)

Institutional Patterns: the Status-Role Network:

This completes the discussion of the status-role relation itself; we now take up the status-role network, or institution, for analysis. Institutional patterns can be classified into types on the basis of the basic types of their two components, the cultural pattern and the motivational orientation pattern of the individual personality. It might be well to recapitulate: the social system must take into account three elements of the Motivational Orientation: cognitive, cathectic, and evaluative; and its relation to three types of objects, physical, social, and cultural (which may be viewed as objects or internalized in the individual personality).Any given pattern of integration will include elements which correspond to all of the sub-types of motivational orientation, but one will have primacy. Cognitive interests may have primacy, in which case one's gratification comes from knowing; if cathectic interests have primacy, the problem is of adjustment to the object so as to obtain emotional gratification; evaluative interests have primacy if gratification is secured from the solution of a conflict between cognitive and cathectic factors. Since symbolic patterns are required to articulate these interests there must also be three types of symbolic patterns: "1) systems of cognitive beliefs; 2) systems of adjustive patterns or expressive symbols; and 3) systems of integrative patterns or value-orientation standards (Parsons, 1951: 48). (We have already noted that there are three types of value-standards (commonly known as MORES): cognitive, appreciative, and moral.)If we recall that Parsons speaks also of the time dimension we will realize that a further distinction is necessary when the element of goal-orientation is considered. Because of the cognitive nature of goal-orientation it could be considered as a special case of evaluative integration pattern in which cognitive considerations are given primacy; again, Parsons makes three divisions on the basis of goal: solution of a cognitive problem (investigative type), creation of new symbolic patterns (creative), or use of knowledge to attain any other goal (applied).If this cognitive sub-type of evaluative primacy can be described, it follows that expressive or moral considerations might also be given primacy, a fact which the author discusses briefly. The most important consideration for our purposes is the breakdown of the moral sub-type into two divisions, ego-integrative, relating to the personality system, and collectivity-integrative, relating to the social system; this second type Parsons considers to be the concern of sociology (Parsons, 1951: 59). These collectivity- integrative patterns, commonly called institutions, are of three different types. The most basic type is the Relational Institution; these are actually constructive of the status-role structure and are internalized within the personality system of the individual. Relational institutions must mesh with each other; that they do so is a major condition for the stabilization of the social system. Outside of the interactive patterns which are obligatory, there are some "private" interests which the individual may pursue as long as he does not disrupt the social system. The conditions for the pursuit of private interests are set by the Regulative Institutions, which define acceptable private goals and means in the instrumental, expressive, and ego-integrative areas. It is difficult to draw a firm line between these institutional types, as they merge together and may even exist as different aspects of the same institution. Together they constitute the moral level of the social system which demands commitment of action. Simple acceptance is all that is required by Cultural Institutions, which include belief systems, appreciative standards, and "private" standards of morality. The preceding summary of the types of institutional patterns is more than a little involved, the main reason being that

the original treatment is rather complex. An attempt to clarify the various types of value patterns is included in the outline in the appendix to this paper. Parsons' belief is that Relational Institutions, in defining the status-role relationships, must take account of the polar types of relationships which actors may develop with each other. These he calls the "pattern alternatives of value orientation." Because of his view that collectivity-integrative elements are the core of sociology and that relational institutions are the type of collectivity-integrative elements which are directly constitutive of the interactive pattern (or social system), it follows, therefore, that these "pattern-alternatives" on which the relational institutions are built are of unparalleled importance in the Parsonian study of the social system.

Pattern-Alternatives:

There are five pattern alternatives, the first three concerned with ego as actor, the last two with his position as social object. We shall now try to outline the topic as briefly as possible. First, concerned with ego as actor, he may express or discipline his gratification needs. He may seek gratification immediately or postpone it; this gives us Pattern Variable I: The Gratification- Discipline Dilemma, Affectivity vs. Affective Neutrality. Furthermore, the gratification sought may focus upon private or group interest; this gives us Pattern Variable II: The Private vs. Collective Interest Dilemma,

Self-Orientation vs. Collectivity -Orientation.

In addition to the gratification dimension we must consider the type of value orientation of ego, whether it gives primacy to cognitive or appreciative standards; on this level we have Pattern Variable III: The Choice Between Types of Value-Orientation Standard, **Universalism vs. Particularism**. Parsons defines the two poles; "In the former case the standard is derived from the ... generality of a normative rule, in the latter from the particularity of an object ...." (Parsons, 1951: 62). From his definition it seems as though universalism is synonymous with deductive (e.g., primacy of cognitive principles), particularism with inductive (primacy of appreciative standards).Turning to a consideration of the actor as social object we can focus upon the characteristics of a social object; alter's view of ego may be performance-oriented or attribute-oriented, which points to Pattern Variable IV: The Choice Between Modalities of the Social Object, Achievement vs. Ascription. The second possible focus concerns the range of alter's interest in ego, whether it centers on a specific interest or a plurality of interests in a single object; thus Pattern Variable V is: The Definition of Scope of Interest in the Object, Specificity vs. Diffuseness. (The Pattern Variables are also included in the outline of the appendix to this paper. The outline which appears there is a modified form of the outline which appears on pp. 57-58, 67 of The Social System.)Parsons terms these pattern variables "polar alternatives of possible orientation selection" (Parsons, 1951: 59); the terminology selected gives the reader the impression that each pattern variable is composed of two discreet categories (e.g., a role calls for either affectivity or affective neutrality); perhaps it might be more useful to treat each variable as a continuum, recognizing the fact that a role may call for varying degrees of affectivity, from complete optimization of gratification to complete neutrality in this area. Then the sociologist could study a reciprocal role relationship in terms of the degree to which it approaches the ideal polar type. Parsons gives the reader the impression that he believes the "perfect" or most desirable type of interaction pattern is one in which ego's focus on a given variable is matched by its polar opposite in alter (e.g., if **ego is affective, alter is affectively neutral**).

If this is a true statement of Parsons' position it would seem to deserve extensive critique. Two examples come to mind of relationships in which "perfect" role-fulfillment would call for the same rather than opposite orientations: marriage and the friendship relationship. These relationships require both ego and alter to be affective, to pursue the interest of the collectivity, in this case a dyad, to have particularistic orientation (e.g., ego's interest in alter stems from his particular status as "friend to me," not his membership in a general category); to choose the other on an ascribed basis (what he is not what he can achieve), and to extend his interest in the other to the whole of the social object, not to a single aspect. So in friendship role-relationships we can see that complete contrast of interest is not desirable. Perhaps Parsons' view on the subject stems from the fact that the greater part of his study has centered around

professional roles, in which this type of reciprocality may be likely to occur. Let us now resume our discussion of his example of an institutionally patterned interactive relationship to see whether this is true in the case of modern medical practice.

Analysis of Role Relationships in the Medical Setting:

Here we have a role, the patient-role, which is built around a position of deviance (inability to conform to normal role expectations), suffering, helplessness, and technical incompetence. Complementing it is one which has technical ability to relieve suffering, the obligation to use this ability and to restore the deviant to his normal social role in so far as this is possible, as well as to accept the responsibility for his welfare. Parsons proceeds to analyze this situation in terms of the pattern variables (which are, by definition, the aspects around which an interactive relationship is organized.)

Parsons' discussion of the topic shows not a little confusion over the actual patterning, a fact which may reveal a basic weakness in the system, namely, that it does not prove itself an effective tool with which to analyze actual role relationships. Since the role of the doctor is clearer we shall consider it first. The first aspect of the role, concerned with the immediacy of gratification, is its affective neutrality; the doctor is required to renounce gratification rather than seek it is his relationship with the patient. This is obviously true of society's expectation of a doctor in the area of physical gratification (society does not want him to seek sensual pleasure from a medical examination, for example), but confusion enters when we recognize that other types of gratification exist. For example, the doctor may obtain gratification from the use of his professional skill, from the joy of restoring the sick to health, and so on; these are perfectly legitimate gratifications and the role actually expects him to seek them. Hence if different types of gratification are considered, even the doctor's role is not affectively neutral. The position of the role with relation to the other variables is a bit more clear-cut. The focus of interest of the physician is obviously the collectivity; he aims to restore a deviant member to the group, not to further his own interest. He is guided by universalistic values, his obligation to aid all those who belong to a general class, the sick, rather than by particularistic values, such as a personal interest in a given patient (at times individual doctors may be guided by particularistic values, but the point is that the role-expectation requires universalistic values). His position is based upon achievement, his technical ability, rather than ascribed characteristics. And finally, the role is organized around the specific area of his competence, the diagnosis and treatment of illness, not around a matrix of characteristics existing in an individual. The role has a high degree of institutionalization, because gratification, in the form of professional achievement and stature, accrues to the physician who conforms to the expectations. The patient's position is a bit more complex; it is not as easy to determine the requirements of his role as it is with the physician. This can be inferred from the fact that Parsons' discussion of the role-expectation entails a great many more qualifications. The author is constantly pointing out the expectations of the role, and then adding a phrase which seems to suggest that society realizes that the patient will probably not quite fulfill the expectations (Parsons, 1951: 438). With regard to the first aspect, the patient's role requires affective neutrality; he must be willing to renounce immediate gratification in order to endure sometimes considerable pain required to reach the future object, getting well. However, Parsons (1951: 438) points out that he is also very much affectively oriented in the sense that he wants that he wants to be relived of pain and restored to health. Apparently then, the role calls for an affective neutrality, which the patient, at least to a degree, cannot be expected to attain. Similarly, the role calls for collectivity orientation, since the patient must be willing to cooperate with the doctor in their common interest, his cure and return to normal social participation; but the patient cannot be expected to be entirely without self-interest in the situation (Parsons, 1951: 438).The role is defined by universalistic principles (i.e., a universal criterion determines whether "X" is or is not ill). Yet his own value orientation is likely to be a particularistic one; witness to this is the tendency, mentioned by Parsons, of patients to choose doctors, not on the basis of a universal criterion of technical competence but on the basis of a particular quality (e.g., "He's so nice," or "Mr. Y. liked him so much."). When we come to the question of the patient's characteristics as a social object we find that the role is an ascribed one in the sense that alter (the doctor) focuses on an attribute or quality of the patient as a social object: helplessness and indisposition. On the

other hand, Parsons (1951: 438) rightly describes it as a "negatively achieved" role, since it is a transitory one which is accepted (or "achieved") rather by default. Finally, the role is supposed to be a specific one, based only upon the patient's characteristic of illness and the doctor's ability to help him; however, in actual practice one would suspect that, while the doctor's interest in the patient may be specific, the patient's interest in the doctor, and the interest he would like the doctor to have in him, is likely to be more diffuse. For an example we might point to the patient who wants to

tell his troubles to the doctor, to become completely dependent upon him, and to have him solve all his problems, not just his medical ones. In fact, this aspect of the patient's needs is to a degree institutionalized as part of the doctor's role, in the form of the "bedside manner," a sort of informal psychotherapy, in which the doctor takes a more diffuse interest in his patient. Hence we cannot say the patient-role is entirely specific. This analysis of the patient's role emphasizes the fact, mentioned earlier, that a role is not likely to require the polar type of a given variable; rather the expectation should be somewhere in between. Furthermore, the particular role seems to require, for example, not simply a degree of either affectivity or affective neutrality, but a combination. Or perhaps we should say an alternation of both affectivity and affective neutrality (at one time he must "cathectic" the relief of pain and at another endure temporary pain in the interest of a cure).The dilemma might be partially solved by pointing out that the patient-role is not really a simple role, but a position, which is actually made up of two different roles: the role of sick person is affective, self-oriented, and particularistic, should be viewed as a social object with an ascribed characteristic of helplessness and indisposition, and in whom the doctor ought to take a diffuse ("bed-side manner-type") interest. Later, after the doctor, as representative of the collectivity, has enlisted in cooperation of the sick person in their common effort to bring him back to health, he is able to assume the role of patient, with its affective neutrality, collectivity orientation, and universalistic values orientation; as patient he is viewed as a social object with an achieved characteristic of "patient-ness" and in whom the doctor has a specific interest involving only the sphere of physical health. If the patient conforms to the expectations of the "patient"-role, he is (hopefully) rewarded with the desired gratification; that is, if he follows doctor's orders he will supposedly regain his health. This, then, is a well-institutionalized role, in that conformity means gratification and deviation leads to deprivation. This latter analysis clarifies the situation to some extent by showing that part of the confusion with regard to the patient-role stems from the fact that it is a combination of roles; hence the pattern variables may be useful only in dealing with single roles. If time and space permitted we could analyze one or two other roles to determine whether this idea applies to other areas. Unfortunately, this is not possible at this point. An element of confusion still remains, however, when we recall the fact that, even with the doctor's role, it was difficult to describe it in terms of either one polar type or the other on a given variable. Thus it is legitimate to question the usefulness of the pattern variables as a unit of analysis of social interaction. One thing, at least, we can say of the tool: it provides a scheme for determining the crucial aspects of an interaction system for intensive analysis. But the analyst might search for another tool for use in both sociological and psychological fields.

interrelation between the individual's motivational system and the expectations of the psychological structure which is based on social structure,

G_{16} : Category one of motivational system

G_{17} : Category two of motivational system

G_{18} : Category three of motivational system

T_{16} : Category one of expectations of the psychological structure which is based on social structure,

T_{17} : Category two of expectations of the psychological structure which is based on social structure,

T_{18} : Category three of expectations of the psychological structure which is based on social structure,

ego is affective, alter is affectively neutral

Module three

G_{20} : Category one of **ego is affective**

G_{21} : Category two of **ego is affective**

G_{22} : Category three of **ego is affective**

T_{20} : Category one of **alter is affectively neutral**

T_{21} : Category two of **alter is affectively neutral**

T_{22} : Category three of **alter is affectively neutral**

Module four

Self-Orientation vs. Collectivity -Orientation

G_{24} : Category one of **Self-Orientation**

G_{25} : Category two of **Self-Orientation**

G_{26} : Category three of **Self-Orientation**

T_{24} : Category one of **Collectivity -Orientation**

T_{25} : Category two of **Collectivity -Orientation**

T_{26} : Category three of **Collectivity -Orientation**

Module five

Projection (a defensive mechanism. What it does is to shift the symbolic meaning of the alter and his attitudes to redefine the symbolism) and differentiated system of expressly oriented actions

G_{28} : Category one of **Projection (a defensive mechanism. What it does is to shift the symbolic meaning of the alter and his attitudes to redefine the symbolism)**

G_{29} : Category two of **Projection (a defensive mechanism. What it does is to shift the symbolic meaning of the alter and his attitudes to redefine the symbolism)**

G_{30} : Category three of **Projection (a defensive mechanism. What it does is to shift the symbolic meaning of the alter and his attitudes to redefine the symbolism)**

T_{28} : Category one of **differentiated system of expressly oriented actions**

T_{29} : Category two of **differentiated system of expressly oriented actions**

T_{30} : Category three of **differentiated system of expressly oriented actions**

Module six

New physics (Penrose, 1994):Self-collapse -quantum gravity induced (Penrose, Diósi, etc) Objective reduction: OR

Consciousness (present paper):Self-collapse, quantum gravity threshold in microtubules orchestrated by

MAPs etc: Orchestrated objective reduction Orch OR

G_{32} : Category one of New physics (Penrose, 1994):Self-collapse -quantum gravity induced (Penrose, Diósi, etc) Objective reduction: OR

G_{33} : Category two of New physics (Penrose, 1994):Self-collapse -quantum gravity induced (Penrose, Diósi, etc) Objective reduction: OR

G_{34} : Category three of New physics (Penrose, 1994):Self-collapse -quantum gravity induced (Penrose, Diósi, etc) Objective reduction: OR

T_{32} : Category one of Consciousness (present paper):Self-collapse, quantum gravity threshold in microtubules orchestrated by MAPs etc: Orchestrated objective reduction Orch OR

T_{33} : Category two of Consciousness (present paper):Self-collapse, quantum gravity threshold in microtubules orchestrated by MAPs etc: Orchestrated objective reduction Orch OR

T_{34} : Category three of Consciousness (present paper):Self-collapse, quantum gravity threshold in microtubules orchestrated by MAPs etc: Orchestrated objective reduction Orch OR

Module seven

Quantum coherent superposition No collapse Evolution of the wave function (Schrödinger equation)U

Conventional quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) Environmental entanglement, Measurement, Conscious observation Reduction; Subjective reduction R

G_{36} : Category one of Quantum coherent superposition: No collapse "Evolution of the wave function (Schrödinger equation)U

G_{37} : Category two of Quantum coherent superposition No collapse Evolution of the wave function (Schrödinger equation)U

G_{38} : Category three of Quantum coherent superposition No collapse Evolution of the wave function (Schrödinger equation)U

T_{36} : Category one of Conventional quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) Environmental entanglement, Measurement, Conscious observation Reduction; Subjective reduction R

T_{37} : Category two of Conventional quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) Environmental entanglement, Measurement, Conscious observation Reduction; Subjective reduction R

T_{38} : Category three of Conventional quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) Environmental entanglement, Measurement, Conscious observation Reduction; Subjective reduction R

Module eight

pattern maintenance, integration,

G_{40} : Category one of **pattern maintenance**

G_{41} : Category two of **pattern maintenance**

G_{42} : Category three of **pattern maintenance**

T_{40} : Category one of **integration**

T_{41} : Category two of **integration**

T_{42} : Category three of **integration**

Module Nine

goal attainment and adaptation

G_{44} : Category one of **goal attainment**

G_{45} : Category two of **goal attainment**

G_{46} : Category three of **goal attainment**

T_{44} : Category one of **adaptation**

T_{45} : Category two of **adaptation**

T_{46} : Category three of **adaptation**

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ , \ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad (67)$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \quad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \quad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \quad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2$ 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} & +(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le \ (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is 205
unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)} - {G_{23}}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad (269)$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: (276)

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})})=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}),(T_{47}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}|(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)})d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};(G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{T}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{T}^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}(\nu^{(8)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}(u^{(8)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\le u^{(1)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 453

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 454

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(c) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{19})^*\right) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^{*}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 4

Self, Senses, Form, Emptiness, Altered Perception, Augmented Reality as commonly understood, Etal. Gesellschaft-Gemeinschaft

Vergangenheitsbewältigung Model

Introduction—Variables Used

1. Self =senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)
2. Form = Emptiness
3. Conscious actions **produces(eb)** sense perceptions
4. Sense perceptions **produce(eb) Associations**
5. **Associations produce(eb) G or D**
6. **G or D produces(eb) Prakruti Kshobha or Prakruti Siddhhi (production of positive actions or negative actions)**
7. The Orch OR model thus appears to accommodate some important features of consciousness:
    - A. control/regulation of neural action
    - B. pre-conscious to conscious transition
    - C. non-computability
    - D. causality
    - E. binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now"a "flow" of time
    - F. a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience may be based

THE EFFECTS OF MARIJUANA ON CONSCIOUSNESS ANONYMOUS From: Altered States of Consciousness edited by Charles T. Tart, Doubleday & Co., 1972, Chapter 22

Marijuana high usually lasts two or three hours, during which a wide range of effects may occur, varying both in intensity and quality. The usual, most noticeable effect is intensification of sensation and increased clarity of perception. Visually, colors are brighter, scenes have more depth, patterns are more evident and figure-ground relations both more distinct and more easily reversible. Other sense modalities do not have the variety of visual stimuli, but all seem to be intensified. Sounds become more distinct, with the user aware of sounds he otherwise might not have noticed. Music recorded and live, is heard with increased fidelity and dimension, as though there were less distance between the source and the listener. Taste and smell are also enhanced under marijuana. The spice rack is a treasure of sensation, and food develops a rich variety of tastes. Skin receptors are also affected. Heat, cold, and pressure receptors become more sensitive. Pain produces paradoxical effects. If attention is not on the area of pain, there is a reduced sensitivity to the hurt. But awareness of pain from a lesion, such as a burn or cut, will often persist for a longer period than usual, even allowing for the changed perception of time under marijuana. Awareness of proprioceptive responses is enhanced. The person using marijuana may become aware of usually automatic, non-conscious, muscle tensions, small movements, feedback and control processes, and feelings of physical comfort and discomfort. These can be perceived with great clarity and distinctness. Such effects vary with the individual and the situation. Sometimes one modality will predominate; sometimes a sequence of effects will occur; sometimes nothing will seem to happen. The direction or modality of effect can be **often manipulated (e&eb)by the** individual if he deliberately exposes himself to the stimulus, such as music, or paintings. However, such setting may not affect the perception if the person is not otherwise ready to respond in that way. Effects more often call attention to themselves; the user observes what he is experiencing in the situation and realizes it is not how he usually experiences the stimuli. On the other hand, some sense modalities may function in a straight pedestrian manner, neither being enhanced nor diminished.

The person himself is the most important determinant of how the enhancement will appear. Some persons orient primarily to visual stimuli and visual thinking, others to sound, others to tactile impressions. Visual orientation seems to predominate among persons in our culture; audile and tactile thinking is less common. It seems likely that sensory enhancement of a marijuana high would be most noticed in the predominate sense modality of the user; it certainly should have a differential response in relation to less used ways of perceiving. Another factor which affects the response is that persons

unfamiliar with the marijuana state frequently must "learn" that they are perceiving experience in a different way. That is, someone makes them aware of changed perception by showing them objects, playing music, and calling their attention to the difference in sights and sounds. Then they become consciously aware of the perceptual changes. This initiation procedure has led sociologist H. S. Becker (Becker, I963; partially reproduced in Solomon, I966) to suggest that most of the effects of marijuana are learned, not spontaneous. He says (accurately, I am sure) that the user must learn to notice the effects, categorize them, and connect them to the total experience of using the drug. What is learned in most cases is not a new way of perceiving, but the awareness of a change in perception. Few persons observe what they are doing in the sense of observing their seeing, and it is not surprising that many should have to learn how to become aware of them experiencing by checking current perception against memory and expectations. The user's internal psychological needs will also influence his response. A fear of being overwhelmed by too much input will often reduce any changes to only those which the user can cope with or to changes only in certain modes. A fear of losing control over the perception of experience may suppress most of the effects and even shut down responses to below normal. On the other hand, emotional involvement with some part of the environment may enhance its perception. Internal physical needs also affect the response, e.g., hunger may be intensified so the person finds himself ravenous on getting high.

For a person using marijuana for the first few times sensory changes occur sequentially, rather than all at once. First he may notice increased brightness and clarity of colors, then sounds, then visual structures, such as paintings or designs. (Two dimensional photographs and motion pictures may be seen in three dimensions in the marijuana high, a perception which can be transferred to the normal state under certain conditions.) Then proprioceptive sensations may present themselves. Any order of the effects may occur during one high state or several. Often effects will develop to particular levels and then stabilize without further elaboration. I know some individuals who listen to music during a high, and this is their major use and apparently their only enhancement. There are two states of awareness which relate to these sensory effects. The basic one can be called pure awareness. In this state the person is completely and vividly aware of his experience, but there are no processes of thinking, manipulating, or interpreting going on. The sensations fill the person's attention, which is passive but absorbed in what is occurring, which is usually experienced as intense and immediate. Pure awareness is experiencing without associations to what is there.

The other state of awareness is one which can be termed conscious awareness, in which the sensory experience is connected to meanings, plans, functions, decisions, and possible actions. This is our normal way of perceiving and how we usually go about our daily lives. We do not sense the world directly, but with the incorporation of our memories, meanings, and uses. In the state of pure awareness objects are experienced as sensory qualities, without the intrusion of interpretation. There are examples of this in normal life. The sensation of sexual orgasm may be (and hopefully is) experienced with pure awareness. Natural beauty, such as flowers, mountains, oceans, and sunsets, is sometimes experienced from a point of awareness without adding conscious thinking. These two processes of awareness have been described by Charles Solley and Gardner Murphy (1960, Chapter 14) as non-reflective consciousness and reflective consciousness. Alan Watts compares the awareness state to a floodlight of attention, which shows a broad area and lights up anything that is there. Consciousness awareness he compares to a spotlight, which is focused and can be directed, though on a narrower area. This is a good analogy in pointing out that no deliberate directing is done in the awareness state, although it is sometimes the case that the area perceived in awareness may be a small one seen in great detail. The awareness state can be called "choiceless" because choice is a part of consciousness functions. Decisions made outside of consciousness are not called "by choice" since choice implies conscious action. In a state of direct awareness there are no choices made and no decisions or actions occur. The stream of sensation flows and the person is aware of what is happening; if he acts he does so without consciously deciding to move. (That is, action is handled by some process other than the consciousness monitoring the awareness experience.) When complicated action becomes necessary conscious attention is activated

and the sensation is used as stimuli, criteria, or information for the choices, plans, or action.

The awareness is not always experienced purely under marijuana, but often is mixed with some, though reduced, conscious attention. Consciousness, conscious awareness, or conscious attention involves a connecting function which observes experience in relation to past experience, memory images, memory recording, expectancies, plans, goals, etc. This type of consciousness may intrude on the awareness state at a low level. However, when awareness fills the attention there is a "becoming lost" in the experience, in which there is often not even a memory of what occurred. This seems to be a state in which consciousness functions are not present, and all experience is at the level of awareness. Consciousness, attention, and memory recording are apparently not active. (It is possible that attention was present and either was not remembered or the memory is not accessible to consciousness.) Such a state of pure awareness is at one end of a continuum of varying degrees of conscious activity, with the other end at a state in which the contents of awareness are used for decisions, plans, inferences, etc., and are not experienced for their primary sensory qualities; they are information rather than experiences. This analysis suggests a reason for sensory enhancement under marijuana, a movement of attention from consciousness processes to awareness processes. We usually think of attention as synonymous with consciousness, but it is an uneasy synonymy. Consciousness seems to be more than attention, but we cannot describe a consciousness without attention. Perhaps it is possible for attention energy to move into sensory processes and operate less in the decisional, deliberative processes of consciousness. If this happens it would provide much more energy for attending to sense data, and we could expect the sensory experience to be more vivid and more detailed. Intensity of sensory experience seems related to the total proportion or amount of attention which is involved in the process. If attention is used in conscious or unconscious processes in making decisions, remembering, evaluating, etc., then this much is removed from the awareness of the sense experience. Thus it may be that one of the causes of sensory enhancement under marijuana is that attention energy moves from consciousness processes into awareness processes, which amplifies the experience.

TIME DISTORTION

Besides sensory enhancement, the other most immediate effect of marijuana is a change in the perception of time: events take longer to occur. Bach's first Brandenburg Concerto lasts hours. An hour seems to have passed, but the dock records 25 minutes. The person's internal fantasies are long and involved, but only a few minutes have passed in government time. In this state the fantasies and music do not move at a faster pace---they move at their own usual rate, though often more fluently and more dearly. The impression is that external time must have slowed down, while the internal experience continues at the same rate. There is not the impression of speed or rapidity, but that the time available to the user is magnified. There are similar effects in normal experience. Time spent at a boring talk seems to pass more slowly, and one thinks in dismay, "What, only five minutes have passed since I looked at my watch?"

A method used by Linn Cooper (1956) to induce time distortion under hypnosis is useful to note here. A metronome set at one beat per second is used. The hypnotized subject is told that the metronome is slowing down to one beat every two seconds, every five seconds, once a minute. Verbally or conceptually we can now say that the subject's internal rate has remained the same, but external time relative to the subject has slowed down. Has the subject's own pace actually speeded up? I do not know, and I can think of no reliable criteria for determining this. Brain wave research shows that the basic alpha rhythm can be speeded up by a flickering light (called photic driving), but not very much, and not even to twice its normal rhythm. Cooper's subjects report that they do mentally imagine the amount of thoughts appropriate to the expanded time available, including counting imagined objects. This may be a convenient hallucination or it may be an accurate description of what they do. (Even calculation of real problems would not be a valid test because calculating geniuses can answer complex mathematical problems almost instantaneously, and this ability may be available under hypnosis, though it has never been reported to my knowledge under marijuana or hypnosis.) In this procedure under hypnosis and also

in marijuana the subjective experience of time is disconnected from the marking of social or government time. The effect under marijuana is analogous to effects in visual and sound modalities. Visual scenes often have more depth, sounds are heard with more dimension; so too with time-there is an expansion of the fabric of time so there is a feeling of depth instead of the usual two dimensional flow.

The explanation of this sometimes given by marijuana users is that more is happening: they are thinking faster or more thoughts are occurring in the same time period. This could cause external time to be relatively slower. Although it need not be the case that internal processes change at a faster rate it is possible that a person is aware of more perceptions in a given amount of time as a result of the enhancement of sensory data. With visual enhancement more details of the movements of the self and others are attended to. This means that more information is perceived in the same amount of time. This is also true of proprioceptive and tactile responses. Time is somewhat conditioned to a normal rate of information input in particular contexts. One has a "standard rate of intake" and if the amount of information is increased for a unit of time, then one of the responses may be that time is going slower. To be conscious of any change in experience there must be a comparison with previous similar situations. Thus if the time experience while high is compared with a similar normal experience, or with a time pace constructed from normal experience, it may be perceived as slower. A more important cause for time distortion under marijuana can be found by noting how persons normally judge the passage of time, then investigating the changes in these criteria caused by marijuana. This is rather difficult because no one knows how we judge time. Nevertheless there are some relevant observations which can be made.

Notice the situations in which time seems to alter for many persons in everyday experience and out-of-the-ordinary experience. These are situations in which the experience itself is the focus of attention, they are not means to extrinsic goals. Persons totally involved in making love seem to have no awareness of how much time may pass. Persons in a state of anger do not become aware of time lapse until the emotion subsides or ego controls are invoked. Psychotherapy hours in which emotional material is covered seem to be out of time awareness. Mystics become unaware of the passage of time during meditation, as do persons having peak experiences (Maslow, I964). In dreams, daydreams, fantasies, ecstasy, and strong emotional states, the sense of time is absent or changed. And in the state of pure awareness, as I have used the term, there is no perception of the rate of time. These are all personal experiences in which conscious attention is not dominant, and immediate experience, rather than goals, expectancies, plans, and decisions, is predominant. Time perception is a socially reinforced response. The experiences and states I have described are not states which are socially conscious; they are not internally subordinated to social time or schedules. Anger cannot be paced with conscious control, nor can ecstasy. Feelings, fantasies, dreams, and awareness do not incorporate the sense of time which is built up by and maintained in the consciousness. Thus when one is experiencing such content there is no marking of the passage of time, and to the extent this material is the content of awareness, the less social time is noted. Immediate experience is always timeless; time is perceived in relation to the uses of experience in controlling or predicting the future or interpreting the past, the present being perceived in relation to past or future. This is one of the major functions of consciousness. In a normal conscious state when the internal or external input is to be changed or manipulated the time required is automatically projected, based on past experience. This imposes the knowledge of time on the consciousness. One of the effects of marijuana is to reduce the strength of expectancies and goals which are socially reinforced. Thus non-time experiences are increased in relative strength and time oriented associations are decreased, which creates the sense that time is expanded.

Some indication that this is what occurs may be seen in reports of marijuana users that time passes instantaneously. One girl reported that when high she suddenly discovered 45 minutes had passed without her realization of this. And there are reports of listening to music when the individual realizes the music had stopped, without his remembering hearing the selection as it was playing. What happens in these cases is that most of the person's attention is in non-time processes, so that time passage is not noted until the social consciousness returns. Then it seems that no time has passed, since there was no

process noting its passage. Just as in sleep, amnesic hypnosis, or anesthesia, there is no consciousness of the duration of the state, and the conscious time flow seems unbroken from the moment of falling asleep to the moment of waking. When observing sensory stimuli, listening to music, fantasying, etc., there is the feeling of expanded time because the outside experiences are overwhelmed by the mental, internal experience which is not marking time and there is no way to gauge their pace. The quantity of the time change varies. If the user is almost totally involved with the awareness processes, with little conscious attention, then there will be little sense of duration, and long periods of clock time will go by quickly. Events themselves are timeless, in that they are always in the present---they do not echo their past nor presage their future states; we alone do that to them, for ourselves. And we ourselves do not experience the past or the future; we experience memories or expectancies, which may be realistic or fantasies. So our experience of the passage of time is based on our comparison of present experience with our remembrance of the past, usually the immediate past, or our anticipation of the future and how we get there.

Marijuana decreases the strength of the automatic memory, expectancy, and anticipation processes; thus the perception of an experience is not surrounded by the usual multitude of past encounters, future possibilities, and potential uses. In contexts requiring action on the basis of expectations and plans, such as driving an automobile, they are available and often with more focused attention. Given a situation not requiring activity or decisions, the penumbra of response patterns, functions, and potentials surrounding experience decreases, and the immediate experience per se is perceived, rather than its position in a pattern of change. This decreases comparison of the present with the past, and again reduces the feeling of duration or passing of time. ("Passing of time" is a curious phrase, because time passing cannot be empirically observed. One may conclude the passage of time by observing changes in experience, but it is not really an inference either. What seems to be described is the mental reviewing of the preceding changes which led up to the present point. Re-running the succession in memory from some point up to the present gives the sensation of passing time. We are aware of events which are different from the ones we now experience but that are connected by physical changes in which we have participated (directly or through observation). This awareness may be "awareness of the passage of time." In summary, under marijuana, the sense of time is distorted. First, because mental contents and awareness processes which are not connected to time needs or markers are strengthened. These include daydreams, fantasies, event memories, peak experiences, emotions, and the pure awareness state. Second, because goals, anticipations, and expectancies are decreased in prominence, reducing attention given to possible changes in the environment, which decreases awareness of future states. Third, memory of immediate past experience is decreased in strength, which reduces knowledge of change and moves attention to the present. If consciousness is completely passive, and non-time elements fill attention, then the experience seems timeless. If some consciousness processes and associations are maintained time will seem to have slowed, as attention moves among the various contents.

EXPECTANCIES

Both the intensification of sensory experience and the expansion of time are part of an increased attentiveness to immediate experience in contrast to memories of the past or plans for the future. Memories and plans are experienced but only as they arise out of the immediate content and needs of the person's internal and external experience; they do not automatically operate as in normal consciousness. Every action and potential action, in the normal state, is evaluated according to its consequences: what results will follow. Mental processes imagine as many consequences as they have experience to do so, both immediate and long range, testing these consequences against criteria or goals of valued states. The consequences which are most valued control the action. For example, if a person feels angry toward another he may want to insult him verbally. He mentally anticipates the possible consequences of this action, which may include the release and satisfaction of the anger, feelings of masculinity, enhanced self concept of strength, etc., on the positive side, and the anger or disapproval of the other person, loss of self control, fear of his own impulses, what his mother would think of the action, etc. on the negative side. Depending on the person's past experience, his needs and strengths of various values, the action

will be taken, modified, or inhibited. Every action a person engages in is surrounded and extended mentally (consciously and unconsciously) by such expectancies, and every situation experienced by a person is responded to by anticipating its potential consequences and relating them to desirable and undesirable conditions. (Of course, the opposite of such action---its inhibition---is also subject to the same processes.) Some of this process is conscious, especially when the situation is new, unfamiliar, very important, or ambiguous, but most of the expectancy and anticipation process is done preconsciously. Normally persons are not aware of the activity which occurs to determine an action; expectancies have become incorporated into automatic responses.

The mind is efficient in making its activities automatic. First an action is consciously made in response to a need or situation. If it is successful (reinforced) it becomes habitual, and is taken automatically without the need of conscious attention, much as driving a car, sewing, tying your shoes, and smoking a cigarette are all composed of large blocks of now automatic actions which once had to be done with conscious attention at every point. Later only the major elements must be controlled with conscious attention, such as changing lanes when driving, searching for an ashtray, etc. How can an action be released without conscious attention? What must happen is that criteria for action and the particular action are connected by the conscious mind; then the process can be made automatically. When the criteria are fulfilled, then the action is made.(2) This suggests that there is some process or energy which releases action but which does not need conscious attention. Similarly, most of the expectancies around experiences are not conscious---only the more important ones or ones which are so complex as not to be automatically used. Such expectancies and anticipations function to keep behavior consistent, goal directed, and reasonably integrated. They help avoid conflicts within the personality and with the environment, including other persons. They have obvious survival value and undoubtedly are reinforced by our society and our own needs. The function of reinforcement is clear: The reinforcement value of the projected consequences of an action come to affect our decision to take or not to take the action. These expectancies are responses to possible futures, and orient our actions to the future} not the present.

One of the major effects of marijuana is to decrease the strength of these expectancies and anticipations, on both conscious and preconscious levels. Thus in the high state the expectancy processes decrease their influence on behavior. Since these are always oriented to future states, they take attention away from perception of immediate experience and turn it to following imagined states. Thus when attention given to imagined states is reduced, the perception of the present experience will increase in strength or intensity, either because more energy is available for such awareness or because there are fewer processes to attend to, and present experience becomes relatively more predominant in the mental field. This enhancement of immediate experience is reflected in the effects of marijuana on sense data and time perception. Indeed, the decrease in expectancies, which are connected to goals, may be one of the reasons for the change in the awareness of time, since time is perceived in terms of changes, including changes in relation to a potential state of affairs. If the knowledge provided by expectancies is reduced, then the immediate experience will not be seen as a point in time with a future, but more as an event, per se. The reduction in the strength of expectancies also contributes to the increase in intensity of sensory experience. Objects as well as situations and actions are surrounded by our potential responses to them, such as our past experiences with them, how we might use them, other forms they have taken, how they are made, their qualities in other sense modalities, etc. When we perceive an object, whether a fire in a fireplace, a photograph of a fire, a fire engine, or a fiery speaker, not only are we aware of the object, but also we have incorporated in our awareness these other elements which give structure and meaning to the sense data. Thus we know that the object is a bird cage or a rib cage, and we know its qualities, functions, and potentials. Usually these are keyed to our verbal response, our classification, but they are known non-verbally as well (e.g., we can have emotional responses or motor responses without verbal responses).

FUNCTIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

Particularly important to us is the function of objects.(3) One sees this in a child's definition: a hole is to

dig. A bridge is to walk over to get to the other side. Someone said that home is "A place where when you go there, they have to take you in." There is an essential effect of these operational definitions: they force classifications rather than specificity. Any hole is to dig and how hole X differs from hole Y is not important so long as each can be dug. My home is not different from your home, since they will both take us in. Such definitions attempt to capture some particular criteria of whatever they define. The criteria of definition are the only characteristics which need to be observed in perceiving the object, and we are trained to perceive in this way. We learn as children to see the function of objects and to see the similarities of objects, rather than experience them in all possible ways. The advantage of this is obvious: we survive because we can use the environment, we can generalize, we can cooperate within a socially constructed reality. The disadvantages are obvious: we may not see reality except in terms of functions, which shuts out an enormous amount of reality (some of which would be functional in various contexts). And often persons see objects only in terms of their own functional needs, which narrow their perspective considerably. (Psychologists might see persons as experimental subjects; an insurance salesman might see one as a prospect, etc.) This leads, incidentally, to failures of discrimination in perception, illustrated by the classical occidental observation that all Chinese look alike, and no doubt Chinese observe the same about occidentals. One of my friends took an astronomy course and discovered that stars were not all the same color, as he had previously perceived, but were red, blue, yellow, and white. This led him to realize that all trees looked alike to him. Of course he would not have said that they were identical, but I doubt if he could have told the differences between an elm and an oak, even standing in front of them, because a tree is with leaves and to be shaded by. And after all, which of us could easily describe essential differences between two holes dug by a child in the sand at the beach? Though the child probably could.

Under marijuana the functional associations of objects are decreased in strength. In addition to this specific association, other associations such as verbal labeling and memory constructs of such objects are decreased in strength. Normally all these elements are imposed on the conscious experience of the object some incorporated into the perception (such as seeing the object as a teapot) and some claiming attention on the periphery of consciousness (such as knowing it is hot and not touching it). When these associations are decreased there are fewer mental impositions on the sensory perception of the object. Aspects which would normally be shut out (such as a blemish on the teapot or the shape of the handle) are given equal attention, and hence are seen instead of being ignored. When attention is directed by goals, as it usually is in the normal state, it is simply not given to non-functional stimuli, i.e., elements that do not have anything to do with what the person wants at the moment. The person under marijuana is not seeing this object as "flowers" with a mental image of flowers and his memories of flowers being confirmed by these flowers, which are to look at and smell and if one can look at and smell these one has confirmed that they are flowers and that settles that part of reality, and so on to another. Rather he has a great deal of time and it is not urgent what uses these have or what consequences could result and much more of his awareness is filled by these flowers.. And there are textures, colors, shades, shapes, feels, crevices, shadows, smells; all things that are there; experienced rather than used. An important principle is that you can experience something only if you do not think in terms of its function. You can know what it is only if you do not impose what it will be or could be or ought to be. This often becomes quite evident under marijuana.

This suggests a principle regarding processes of attention. When attention is reduced for some elements in perception, the amount given to other elements increases, as though attention is a mobile amount of energy, and when a quantity is not needed at one point it moves to another point. This is consistent with Freudian theory, which holds that as energy is released from conflict points it becomes available to the general system. With marijuana, when association processes reduce their demands on attention, it flows to whatever else is in the consciousness. (I am using a fluid metaphor, but other models will do equally well. Electrically one can speak in terms of activation. Cybernetic ally we could refer to homeostatic balancing of elements. Or we could talk of homunculi leaving the study and going to the patio.)

ASSOCIATIONS

This reduction of associations is an important basic effect of marijuana. It contributes to the expansion of time, to sensory enhancement, and to the increase in attention which is given to the focus of consciousness. However, not all association patterns are decreased in strength in the high state. Well known are the flights of fantasy and dreaming stimulated by hashish and cannabis.(4) And in non-fantasy high states users have reported that they can perceive connections and associations of ideas that were not accessible to them in the non-high state (usually called "straight" by users). This seems inconsistent with what I have just said about the decrease in association strength. However, while associations, particularly those based on social learning, are reduced in strength, any association may gain in strength if it becomes the focus of the detailed attention possible under marijuana. Thus fantasies and creative thinking may have increased associations and may be more fully developed than in the normal state of consciousness. The associations which are reduced in strength seem to be those which are learned through social reinforcement: meanings and behaviors which are taught by society. Functions of objects are socially taught. Patterns of communication are social. Language and verbal knowledge (Columbus discovered America in 1492) is social in origin. Inhibitions and controls on behavior are socially reinforced, and are often incorporated into the verbal system through "should" and "should not" statements. When social norms are the same as personal needs, desires, and meanings, there is consistency in the response to a situation or object. When conflict between social and personal directions occurs it must be resolved, and usually it is resolved in favor of social meanings, functions, and approved behavior (usually called rational). Thus the social perception of a situation may exclude many of the potential meanings, behaviors, and emotions. Under marijuana this excluding function of socially learned associations is reduced in strength. The excluding function has certain survival value. It keeps our consciousness from being dogged up with unnecessary and distracting contents. Thus a scholar looks at a book and notices the title but not the binding; for a book binder the opposite is the case. Associations which contribute to the goals of society are learned by persons through social reinforcement, and one effect of these associations is to inhibit other associations. Marijuana decreases this inhibition and lowers the reinforcement value of the association. In effect this makes all associations more equal, and the network of associations is less guided or channeled in socially reinforced directions. A person who is high may be aware that an object is a pencil, but he may successively also see it as a shape, a phallic metaphor, a geometrical solid, the printing on it, etc. He has more associations once they are away from the strict control of social perception.

INHIBITIONS

The same pattern can be seen in expectancies and anticipations. Socially oriented behavior makes great use of expectancies to control behavior in an effort to maximize approval and minimize or avoid disapproval, which are social reinforcers.(5) Such behavior thus involves a large amount of inhibition. By inhibition I mean any kind of control to prevent activity from reaching a certain level. The activity can be thoughts, action, fantasies, or emotions. Inhibitions in Freudian terms are controlled by anti-cathexes, in learning theory by aversive stimuli, and in terms used here, by expectations of aversive stimuli. Inhibitions need not be on anti-social acts, but are often to direct behavior into patterns normal in our society. Persons maintain appropriate social distances (which are arbitrary), you do not tug at the beard of someone you have just met (though the thought may cross your mind), and a man does not cry in public. These may seem minor but they are controlled with great power by social reinforcement, as can be seen by the strong rejection of the behavior if it occurs. Of course, inhibitions are also placed on behavior which would be dangerous to society, such as aggressive or destructive acts. When behavior is inhibited, the psychological tendency is to inhibit any mental activity which might lead to such behavior. Feelings, impulses, images, fantasies, etc., may be inhibited and decreased in strength, or even prevented from entering consciousness. Such inhibited feelings and impulses may appear in consciousness in the high state, often without effort of the person. This may cause anxiety and the person may use ego defense measures to block their appearance or diminish their threat. On the other hand, he can also use methods of analysis and self-therapy in the high state to deal with conflict material, and may free himself

from neurotic responses.

The effects of the reduction of social inhibitions can be seen in various ways. At marijuana social gatherings persons may not feel pressure to participate in conversational games, play behavior increases, physical activity may increase. The decrease of socially reinforced inhibitions also accounts for the actions of users which claim public attention: jumping over fireplugs and parking meters, uninhibited dancing (erotic and non-erotic), and playful behavior (which is subtly taboo in our society). Here the person is expressing impulses in behavior which would usually be inhibited by expectancy of negative social reinforcement (frowns, rejection, blame, punishment and other expressions of disapproval). However, this reduction in strength of social inhibitions does not usually result in anti-social acts (unless jumping fireplugs is considered anti-social). This is curious because social inhibitions are usually considered the bulwark against committing crimes, aggressing against others, raping women, etc. But we do not have cases of contemporary users of marijuana burglarizing or attacking others, though such effects are evident in the use of alcohol, where users are much more likely to express violent and aggressive behavior. Why then if inhibitions are reduced in strength do not users become violent and aggressive? One reason may be that aggression is usually specific to situations and expectancies about situations, and the use of marijuana today rarely is in a conflict situation. With reduced pressure from memories and expectancies one would also expect less non-relevant feeling. Beyond this, one of the psychological effects of marijuana is euphoria. Thus anger and aggressive impulses are less strong and do not draw much support from the rest of the personality. This effect may also be related to the decrease in the strength of social rein forcers, since chronic anger is often the result of conflicts between social requirements and personal desires.

ATTENTION

The process of attention is clearly affected by marijuana. The most obvious effect is to narrow the amount of diverse contents in the focus of attention. The person under marijuana usually perceives fewer objects of attention, which may mean physical objects, actions, social elements, emotions, etc. We have already noted this effect: a person who is high may become absorbed in an object, event, or process to the exclusion of everything else. A train of fantasy may occupy all of a person's attention. This is a psychological analogy of tunnel vision, with the contents of the tunnel expanded. In the normal, straight state, conscious and non-conscious processes give continual attentiveness to many internal and external stimuli, with responses such as awareness, memories, expectancies, and the many associations we have already noted. Many of these are conscious, especially those on which decisions are necessary. Others, and probably the bulk of the responses, autonomously operate without being consciously attended to, and come to consciousness only when necessary. These are in a preconscious state, but nevertheless involve perception, associations, memories, and expectancies. Such processes often **regulate(e&eb)** behavior when consciousness does not intervene (as in driving a car automatically). But whenever novel stimuli appear or more than routine decisions must be made, the contents become part of the conscious state.

In the consciousness processes connections can be made among several types of information, and in different contexts of meaning---making connections with the many factors relevant to a decision or the resolution of conflict.. Conscious attention moves easily from one interpretation to another interpretation of information, with the various memories and expectancies which go with each interpretation, thus obtaining more information for the decision. These interpretations and associations are drawn from the preconscious processes, which, alone, cannot make interconnections among themselves as

fluidly as can

consciousness. Connections of ideas are made in preconscious states, but these seem based

on almost

any relation, from contiguity to puns. Consciousness can select the significant or realistic connections and systematize their use. We can regard the conscious system as the system which does just that: selects and interprets information in relation to a goal or purpose. It does this when it is activated by attention energy. It may be that attention is the activating energy of awareness. Attention usually is in the conscious system, which consists of processes which select information to make decisions. It can also be activated in preconscious contents, which contain information, emotional values, and random associations. Most of the time awareness is of the conscious processes. Under marijuana attention-awareness energy may move into the preconscious system and be less in consciousness processes. Since there are fewer elements in attention the person is more strongly aware of any individual element of meaning, memory, emotion, etc., and less of its relation to other elements which would be relevant in the conscious system. Whatever is in the center of attention occupies all of awareness: this may be sensory data, such as visual stimuli, or imagery, such as fantasies. The effect can be termed a unity of attention, in that all attention is focused on one subject. In normal conscious states, several channels can be used at once, e.g., reading a book while listening to music. Attention may alternate, but even so keeps all channels of input on the edge of attention. This does not occur with marijuana, which so far as awareness goes, fills the attention with one thing at a time. If one is recalling an experience from the memory, then almost all attention is on the event, and almost none on the external environment, expectancies or plans. Processes in normal states which seem to parallel this would be extreme concentration on a book or television, exciting conversations, and the state of romantic love. It is not the case that there is less attention, for the quantity seems at least the same. Analogically it is as though a portion of a photograph were blown up to the size of the original picture, thus maintaining the size of the print but increasing the magnification of a smaller relative section.

Some of the processes which contribute to this effect are obvious. The reduction in expectancies and associations reduces peripheral contents of consciousness. Many of these elements are maintained continuously at a low level, appropriate to the environment and needs of the person. Some items are continuously monitored, much as a hostess may habitually check how each of her guests is getting along. Such monitoring takes some attention away from any central content, just as the hostess may not concentrate fully on her own conversation if she is attending to the state of her party. However, without the need for these side glances, attention flows to the central subject. This means that the plans, anticipations, etc., are not automatically attended to, although if such an element enters the central position it receives the intense central attention and is attended to in great detail. Peripheral attention and its contents are reduced in the high state; central attention receives the energy which would otherwise be used in peripheral attention. This could be because each type of attention is different, and thus differentially affected, or because the peripheral contents are reduced in strength because of the reduction in the strength of associations. The latter seems to be true; the former may be also.

MEMORY

One of the processes important in perception is the comparing of current input with similar past experience. When we see a friend, a memory image of his face is presented to our consciousness along with the sensation of his actual present appearance. This memory image (which can be called a schema) blends with the current sensation, so that the perception is a combination of the two. The relative strengths of each source of information probably vary from person to person. Some primarily perceive the memory image, with the sensory input serving as confirmation of the identification. For others, the memory image may be so weak that reorientation and identification is continually necessary. Though the construction and recall of this image is not clearly understood, it must be partially constructed from previous experience of the stimulus (including verbal knowledge) up to a point where the person knows all he needs to know for purposes of his response. After this, encounters with the stimulus do not add to the memory image appreciably; further discrimination is unnecessary and the image stabilizes. (Of course, the person may continue to make discriminations. One of the valued behaviors in science is to make perceptual discriminations for which we have no functional need, assuming that such information is valuable per se or may be valuable later.) Most persons rely principally on memory images in

perception unless there are evident differences in the immediate situation, and their responses are keyed to the memory image. Consequently we do not respond only to immediate experience. We identify current experience according to past experience and then respond on the basis of past experience, modified by whatever differences we perceive to be significant in the current information. Our actions originate from past experience, they are connected to the structures built up in our memories, and these memories are elicited by the immediate stimulus. In unfamiliar settings, no memory image is available. Then we must deliberately and consciously act, randomly act, follow instructions or models, or act according to the most similar memory image. Any situation is a combination of elements, and may call for complex combinations of memories and response patterns, some new, some familiar.

Marijuana has two effects on this process. The first is to reduce the general automatic availability of memory images; the second is to increase the strength of memories when they are relevant to central needs. We have already discussed the general reduction in strength of memories in response to current experience, which is principally in the automatic recall of memory schema. The strength or visibility of the mental image is reduced, with a resulting increase in the brightness of the data themselves (there being nothing else to look at). This explains also why experiences seem new: they are observed without the feeling of familiarity caused by memory images. For most persons in straight consciousness it is likely that sensation is checked against a memory image (at a preconscious level) and what is seen from the current stimulus is what is necessary to fulfill the criteria for identification, based on the memory schema. The relevant elements, the criteria, are affected by the goals or functions which are important to the person. We do not perceive dust on a typewriter when we look for something to type on. When we look for a friend in a crowd we do not look at his face, we identify it. Similarly, in conversation and daily life we generally know what we are seeing, so perception is more identification than observation. However, when fewer memory images are available, as when high, one must respond to the sense data as unfamiliar material. This may cause anxiety, depending on the individual and the environment, or it may result in pleasure at the enhancement or challenge of current perception. It may also increase the potential responses, since there is less pressure for a learned habitual response, which would normally inhibit other responses. (This may, incidentally, suggest an explanation for the jamais vu sensation, in which there is the feeling that a normally familiar situation is totally unfamiliar. Several experiences of jamais vu reported to me seem to have occurred when there are unfamiliar emotional elements present, as though the usual memory schema and their associated responses were not available.) At the same time, some memory images may be strengthened if they are emotionally salient. A person having paranoid fears, for example, may find his imagery increased in strength. One person reports that once when high he observed a friend sitting to one side of him staring directly at him. He turned to face his friend and found him looking in another direction, his face turned partially away from him. Apparently a fear activated the image of a full staring face, which was superimposed on the profile of the other person. It may be that psychotic or schizophrenic perceptual projections are partially caused by increased internal imagery. Under marijuana, at least, emotional force may activate internal imagery which is used to search for, identify, or interpret incoming stimuli.

So also if the person deliberately attempts to recall past experiences there will often be increased recall, either of events in great detail or Hashes of experiences. This is particularly true if there is salient emotional content. Recall which appears to be eidetic may occur under marijuana, and images which are like playbacks of the original perceptual experience may become accessible at will to conscious attention. If the conscious attention is allowed to unfocus, so that only monitoring is going on, almost instantaneous images can be obtained of visual and sometimes auditory or tactile stimuli. The image must be seen when it occurs; if there is an attempt to hold it in consciousness a mental composite image takes its place. It is possible that what occurs is an activation of the actual memory record, selected from the stream of consciousness which is recorded in the brain.(7) Only one flash comes at a time. This ability, incidentally, has been transferred to straight, non-high control after it has been observed under marijuana. The essential feature seems to be in allowing the conscious attention not to try to hold on to the image when it appears. One must learn to see rather than look. Another type of memory which is strengthened is that for emotion-laden events. Strong emotional responses, such as grief, fear, guilt, etc.,

often arise under marijuana. These are responses to remembered events, responses which might normally be suppressed. Usually the person's sense of identity is functioning, so he can either accept the emotion and be a part of it, which is usually therapeutic, or reject it, which may produce dissonance and anxiety. "Bad trips" are sometimes caused by emotions or pressures which threaten the person's self concept or his sense of control. While suppression processes are usually not too effective as defenses, distraction is, because of the mobile flow of attention under marijuana. For this reason, movement such as dancing, running, exercise, showers, etc., will usually change the emotional tone.

One other effect on memory should be noted. Normally we have a short-term recall process which holds memories in access for about 20 minutes, and then a long-term storage, which is permanent. In the high state, short-term memory becomes shorter, and in very high states the sequence of thoughts is not remembered past one or two transitions. The sequence can sometimes be recalled with an effort, or reconstructed, but there is no automatic remembering as there is normally. On the other hand, after the high, events within it can be remembered, indicating that at least some of the experiences are being filed in long-term memory. Partly due to the reduction in strength of memory there is less intellectual control over the stream of consciousness. Memory seems to be needed to maintain concepts or goals under which to manipulate thoughts. Another cause of the reduced control is the lowered inhibition processes, which are used in thinking to filter irrelevant material and keep it from cluttering the conscious attention. Logical ideas and connections may also be enforced by such inhibitions; these would be loosened by the decrease in association strength.

VARIABLES

In general for marijuana to have effects the user must cooperate with it and facilitate the effects. He must learn to allow himself to respond. There are some persons whose response to marijuana is almost unnoticeable; their consciousness seems not to change. These may be persons who have fears about and strong defenses against losing control and elements of their feeling, thoughts, or action which threaten their control are strongly rejected. Such personality systems are endangered by marijuana effects and often maintain their structure against these effects. Sometimes they will respond, but what effects are occurring will be blocked from their conscious awareness. The most noticeable effect is often time distortion, indicated by long silences and broken often by a comment that nothing is happening. The effect of the physical and interpersonal setting on the response to marijuana is strong and usually controls the tone of the experience. The basic fact is that the individual creates the reaction, not the drug. If the person feels under pressure, then the drug will enhance his feeling of stress, and the effect will depend on how the person can deal with the stimulus. If he feels energetic, the drug will enhance his willingness to be active. Some persons become less self- conscious, others more self-conscious. Some move physically, others sit quietly. Some talk, others are silent. Users of marijuana are as individual as they are. For this reason, one must expect different effects to occur from different times and varying physical and interpersonal surroundings. For some the effect is quite different when smoked alone than with other persons, probably because social situations elicit different personality elements and present various pressures. These variable factors should be noted in considering research and investigation of the effects of marijuana. The plant probably does everything anybody has claimed for it, but only in a situation which enables it to do whatever is claimed for it. One highly respectable philosopher and author, who has explored a variety of chemicals, says that marijuana will take a person as far as LSD. To which I would add, especially if you can go as far as LSD on it. This is not tautologous, for it cannot be said of coffee or orange juice; even if you are ready, coffee will not take you there.

There are further effects of marijuana which relate to complex structures of association, learning, values, intra-personality communication, interpersonal perception, and consciousness. It is difficult to separate the awareness of these effects from the effects of the awareness. It seems best to stop at this point, having discussed what seems verbalizable at present. Given facilitating conditions, the effects I have described will develop. Sensations are enhanced and clarified: sight, hearing, taste, touch. Time perception changes. Attention becomes more unified, and moves more into preconscious material and

the state of pure awareness. The many broad processes of association, such as social meanings, memory images, expectancies, and plans are reduced in number and relevance. Inhibitions and suppressions relax, allowing emotions, thoughts, fantasies, and memories to How more freely. The development and strength of these effects will depend on the individual, the times he has used marijuana, how he has used marijuana, and the environment.

NOTATION

Module One

The philosophy of self defines the essential qualities that make one person distinct from all others. There have been numerous approaches to defining these qualities. The self is the idea of a unified being which is the source of consciousness. Moreover, this self is the agent responsible for the thoughts and actions of an individual to which they are ascribed. It is a substance, which therefore endures through time; thus, the thoughts and actions at different moments may pertain to the same self. As the notion of subject, the self had been harshly criticized by Nietzsche at the end of the 19th century, on behalf of what Gilles Deleuze would call a "becoming-other"

Definitions of the self

Most philosophical definitions of self—per Descartes, Locke, Hume, and William James are expressed in the first person. A third person definition does not refer to specific mental qualia but instead strives for objectivity and operationalism.

To another person, the self of one individual is exhibited in the conduct and discourse of that individual. Therefore, the intentions of another individual can only be inferred from something that emanates from that individual. The particular characteristics of the self determine its identity.

Concepts of self

Self as an illusion

In spirituality, and especially nondual, mystical and eastern meditative traditions, the human being is often conceived as being in the illusion of individual existence, and separateness from other aspects of creation. This "sense of doer ship" or sense of individual existence is that part, which believes it is the human being, and believes it must fight for itself in the world, is ultimately unaware and unconscious of its own true nature. The ego is often associated with mind and the sense of time, which compulsively thinks in order to be assured of its future existence, rather than simply knowing its own self and the present.

The spiritual goal of many traditions involves the dissolving of the ego, allowing self-knowledge of one's own true nature to become experienced and enacted in the world. This is variously known as enlightenment, nirvana, presence, and the "here and now".

Self-knowledge

For Socrates, the goal of philosophy was to "Know Thyself" [MAXIM]

Lao Tzu, in his Tao Te Ching, says "Knowing others is wisdom. Knowing the self is enlightenment. Mastering others requires force. Mastering the self requires strength." Adi Shankaracharya, in his commentary on Bhagavad Gita says "Self-knowledge alone eradicates misery". "Self-knowledge alone is **the means to the highest bliss."."Absolute perfection is the consummation of Self-knowledge."**

Self as an activity

Aristotle, following Plato, defined the soul as the core essence of a being, but argued against its having a separate existence. For instance, if a knife had a soul, the act of cutting would be that soul, because 'cutting' is the essence of what it is to be a knife. Unlike Plato and the religious traditions, Aristotle did not consider the soul as some kind of separate, ghostly occupant of the body (just as we cannot separate the activity of cutting from the knife). As the soul, in Aristotle's view, is an activity of the body, it cannot be immortal (when a knife is destroyed, the cutting stops). More precisely, the soul is the "first activity" of a living body. This is a state, or a potential for actual, or 'second', activity. "The axe has an edge for cutting" was, for Aristotle, analogous to "humans have bodies for rational activity," and the potential for rational activity thus constituted the essence of a human soul. Aristotle used his concept of the soul in many of his works; the De Anima (On the Soul) provides a good place to start to gain more understanding of his views.

Aristotle also believed that there were four sections of the soul. The four sections are calculative part, the scientific part on the rational side used for making decisions and the desiderative part and the vegetative part on the irrational side responsible for identifying our needs.

Self independent of the senses

While he was imprisoned in a castle, Avicenna wrote his famous "Floating Man" thought experiment to demonstrate human self-awareness and the substantiality of the soul. His "Floating Man" thought experiment tells its readers to imagine themselves suspended in the air, isolated from all sensations, which includes no sensory contact with even their own bodies. He argues that, in this scenario, one would still have self-consciousness. He thus concludes that the idea of the self is not logically dependent on any physical thing, and that the soul should not be seen in relative terms, but as a primary given, a substance. This argument was later refined and simplified by René Descartes in epistemic terms when he stated: "I can abstract from the supposition of all external things, but not from the supposition of my own consciousness."

Bundle theory of self

David Hume pointed out that we tend to think that we are the same person we were five years ago. Though we have changed in many respects, the same person appears present as was present then. We might start thinking about which features can be changed without changing the underlying self. Hume, however, denies that there is a distinction between the various features of a person and the mysterious self that supposedly bears those features. When we start introspecting, "we are never intimately conscious of anything but a particular perception; man is a bundle or collection of different perceptions which succeed one another with an inconceivable rapidity and are in perpetual flux and movement".

It is plain, that in the course of our thinking, and in the constant revolution of our ideas, our imagination runs easily from one idea to any other that resembles it, and that this quality alone is to the fancy a sufficient bond and association. It is likewise evident that as the senses, in changing their objects, are necessitated to change them regularly, and take them as they lie contiguous to each other, the imagination must by long custom acquire the same method of thinking, and run along the parts of space and time in conceiving its objects."

On Hume's view, these perceptions do not belong to anything. Rather, Hume compares the soul to a commonwealth, which retains its identity not by virtue of some enduring core substance, but by being composed of many different, related, and yet constantly changing elements. The question of personal identity then becomes a matter of characterizing the loose cohesion of one's personal experience. (Note that in the Appendix to the Treatise, Hume said mysteriously that he was dissatisfied with his account of the self, yet he never returned to the issue.) Hume’s position is very similar to Indian Buddhists’

conception of the self.

The paradox of the Ship of Theseus can be used as an analogy of the self as a bundle of parts in flux.

Self-enquiry and self-surrender

Main article: Self-enquiry

Ramana Maharishi's primary teachings documented in the book Nan Yar (Who am I) state:

Enquire into the source of the "I" Consciousness by asking "Who am I". The source or seat of "I" consciousness is the true self.

Self it is the world; Self itself is 'I'; Self itself is God; all is the Supreme Self (siva swarupa m)

Although his primary teaching was self-enquiry, he was also known to have advised the use of self-surrender (to one's Deity or Guru) as an alternative means, which would ultimately converge in to the path of Self-Enquiry.

Self as a narrative center of gravity

Daniel Dennett has a deflationary theory of the self. Selves are not physically detectable. Instead, they are a kind of convenient fiction, like a center of gravity, which are convenient as a way of solving physics problems, although they need not correspond to anything tangible — the center of gravity of a hoop is a point in thin air. People constantly tell themselves stories to make sense of their world, and they feature in the stories as a character, and that convenient but fictional character is the self

Self Identification of body and mind physical and mental is the total information storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically) =senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)

G_{13} : Category one of Self Identification of body and mind physical and mental is the total information storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically)

G_{14} : Category two of Self Identification of body and mind physical and mental is the total information storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically)

G_{15} : Category three of Self Identification of body and mind physical and mental is the total information storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically)

T_{13} : Category one of senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)

T_{14} : Category two of senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)

T_{15} : Category three of senses (data recovered therein: data inflow: quantified by ASCII characters. Visuals in Information field capacity pixels'. Nothing more to it)

Module Two

The Orch OR model thus appears to accommodate some important features of consciousness:

G. control/regulation of neural action of consciousness
H. pre-conscious to conscious transition of consciousness
I. computability of consciousness(we assume this as we have already computed the consciousness as the total storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically. This is

the paramount important assumption that we make which stands apart from the Orch OR model)

J. causality of consciousness

K. binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now "a "flow" of time of consciousness

L. a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience of consciousness

G_{16} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{17} : Category two of Orch OR model

G_{18} : Category three of Orch OR model

T_{16} : Category one of control/regulation of neural action of consciousness

T_{17} : Category two of control/regulation of neural action of consciousness

T_{18} : Category three of control/regulation of neural action of consciousness

Module three

G_{20} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{21} : Category two of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{22} : Category three of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

T_{20} : Category one of pre-conscious to conscious transition of consciousness

T_{21} : Category two of pre-conscious to conscious transition of consciousness

T_{22} : Category three of pre-conscious to conscious transition of consciousness

Module four

G_{24} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{25} : Category two of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{26} : Category three of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

T_{24} : Category one of computability of consciousness (we assume this as we have already computed the consciousness as the total storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically. This is the paramount important assumption that we make which stands apart from the Orch OR model. This is also the improvisation we do on the model.)

T_{25} : Category two of computability of consciousness (we assume this as we have already computed the consciousness as the total storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically. This is the paramount important assumption that we make which stands apart from the Orch OR model)

T_{26} : Category three of computability of consciousness (we assume this as we have already computed

the consciousness as the total storage on which the mind acts anagrammatically. This is the paramount important assumption that we make which stands apart from the Orch OR model)

Module five

G_{28} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{29} : Category two of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{30} : Category three of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

T_{28} : Category one of causality of consciousness

T_{29} : Category two of causality of consciousness

T_{30} : Category three of causality of consciousness

Module six

G_{32} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{33} : Category two of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{34} : Category three of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

T_{32} : Category one of binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now "a "flow" of time of consciousness

T_{33} : Category two of binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now "a "flow" of time of consciousness

T_{34} : Category three of binding of various (time scale and spatial) superpositions into instantaneous "now "a "flow" of time of consciousness

Module seven

G_{36} : Category one of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{37} : Category two of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

G_{38} : Category three of Orch OR model (systemic classification: characterstics of the systems which form the bastion, pillar and post of the classification)

T_{36} : Category one of a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience of consciousness

T_{37} : Category two of a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience of

consciousness

T_{38} : Category three of a connection to fundamental space-time geometry in which experience of consciousness

Module eight

According to Buddhist scholars, the dialogue between Avalokiteshvara and Sariputra is inspired by the Buddha. This is to say it occurs spontaneously without the speaker's intention. The content of the conversation is determined entirely by the power of the Buddha's concentration. The bodhisattva Avalokiteshvara represents the idea of perfect universal wisdom, while Sariputra is regarded as one of the Buddha's closest and brightest disciples. The dialogue takes place at the Vulture Peak near the ancient city of Rajgaya where the Buddha and his community of monks stayed. Sariputra requests Avalokiteshvara to instruct him on the practice of the perfection of wisdom, which means prajnaparamita in Sanskrit. The perfection of wisdom refers to the wisdom that directly and intuitively understands the ultimate nature of phenomena. Sariputra answers with the profound words, "Emptiness is form; form is emptiness," and proceeds to state the emptiness of the five aggregates (skandhas), the emptiness of the teachings (dharmas), and the emptiness of all phenomena. The sutra ends with the celebrated mantra "gate gate paragate parasamgate bodhi svaha" which can be translated with "Homage to the awakened mind which has gone over to the other shore." The one who has gone over means: the enlightened one, who has done away with views, ideas, and perceptions and who looks upon reality without any obstructions of mind.

What is emptiness?

The Buddhist notion of emptiness is often misunderstood as nihilism. Unfortunately, 19th century Western philosophy has contributed much to this misconstruction. Meanwhile Western scholars have acquired enough knowledge about Buddhism to realise that this view is far from accurate. The only thing that nihilism and the teaching of emptiness can be said to have in common is a sceptical outset. While nihilism concludes that reality is unknowable, that nothing exists, that nothing meaningful can be communicated about the world, the Buddhist notion of emptiness arrives at just the opposite, namely that ultimate reality is knowable, that there is a clear-cut ontological basis for phenomena, and that we can communicate and derive useful knowledge from it about the world. Emptiness (sunyata) must not be confused with nothingness. Emptiness is not non-existence and it is not non-reality. What is emptiness then? To understand the philosophical meaning of this term, let's look at a simple solid object, such as a cup. How is a cup empty? We usually say that a cup is empty if it does not contain any liquid or solid. This is the ordinary meaning of emptiness. But, is the cup really empty? A cup empty of liquids or solids is still full of air. To be precise, we must therefore state what the cup is empty of. Can a cup be empty of all substance? A cup in a vacuum does not contain any air, but it still contains space, light, radiation, as well as its own substance. Hence, from a physical point of view, the cup is always full of something. Yet, from the Buddhist point of view, the cup is always empty. The Buddhist understanding of emptiness is different from the physical meaning. The cup being empty means that it is devoid of inherent existence.

What is meant with non-inherent existence? Is this to say that the cup does not ultimately exist? - Not quite. - The cup exists, but like everything in this world, its existence depends on other phenomena. There is nothing in a cup that is inherent to that specific cup or to cups in general. Properties such as being hollow, spherical, cylindrical, or leak-proof are not intrinsic to cups. Other objects which are not cups have similar properties, as for example vases and glasses. The cup's properties and components are neither cups themselves nor do they imply cupness on their own. The material is not the cup. The shape is not the cup. The function is not the cup. Only all these aspects together make up the cup. Hence, we can say that for an object to be a cup we require a collection of specific conditions to exist. It depends on the combination of function, use, shape, base material, and the cup's other aspects. Only if all these conditions exist simultaneously does the mind impute cupness to the object. If one condition ceases to

exist, for instance, if the cup's shape is altered by breaking it, the cup forfeits some or all of its cupness, because the object's function, its shape, as well as the imputation of cupness through perception is disrupted. The cup's existence thus depends on external circumstances. Its physical essence remains elusive.

Those readers who are familiar with the theory of ideas of the Greek philosopher Plato will notice that this is pretty much the antithesis to Plato's idealism. Plato holds that there is an ideal essence of everything, e.g. cups, tables, houses, humans, and so on. Perhaps we can give Plato some credit by assuming that the essence of cups ultimately exists in the realm of mind. After all, it is the mind that perceives properties of an object and imputes cupness onto one object and tableness onto another. It is the mind that thinks "cup" and "table". Does it follow that the mind is responsible for the existence of these objects? - Apparently, the mind does not perceive cups and tables if there is no visual and tactile sensation. And, there cannot be visual and tactile sensation if there is no physical object. The perception thus depends on the presence of sensations, which in turn relies on the presence of the physical object. This is to say that the cup's essence is not in the mind. It is neither to be found in the physical object. Obviously, its essence is neither physical nor mental. It cannot be found in the world, not in the mind, and certainly not in any heavenly realm, as Plato imagined. We must conclude that the objects of perception have therefore no inherent existence. If this is the case for a simple object, such as a cup, then it must also apply to compound things, such as cars, houses, machines, etc. A car, for example, needs a motor, wheels, axles, gears, and many other things to work. Perhaps we should consider the difference between man-made objects, such as cups, and natural phenomena, such as earth, plants, animals, and human beings. One may argue that lack of inherent existence of objects does not imply the same for natural phenomena and beings. In case of a human being, there is a body, a mind, a character, a history of actions, habits, behaviour, and other things we can draw upon to describe a person. We can even divide these characteristics further into more fundamental properties. For example, we can analyse the mind and see that there are sensations, cognition, feelings, ideas. Or, we can analyse the brain and find that there are neurons, axons, synapses, and neurotransmitters. However, none of these constituents describe the essence of the person, the mind, or the brain. Again, the essence remains elusive.

Emptiness of the five skandhas.

The Heart Sutra expresses the same idea by stating the emptiness of the five skandhas, i.e. the emptiness of the body, sensations, perceptions, mental formations, and consciousness. The five skandhas are commonly translated into English as the five aggregates. According to the Buddha, these aggregates are what constitute a person. As adumbrated above, it is possible to deconstruct the five skandhas in the same manner as objects. However, this method of deconstruction assumes a third person perspective. It analyses phenomena perceived as external to the observer. When we talk about the essence of a person, the situation is slightly different, because we talk indirectly about ourselves. It may therefore be more intuitive to look at things from a first person perspective. The first person perspective allows us to make statements about the internal state of the observer thereby producing self-reference. What is observed is the observer. Perhaps this will lead to new insights into the essence of mind and body.

First, let's look at experience. What exactly is experience? - Obviously, we experience objects and phenomena through the senses. This is one form of experience. We also experience feelings, moods, thoughts, and emotions. The former can be called sensory experiences and the latter mental experiences. Upon contemplating the distinction we may find that there is no clear boundary between sensory and mental experience. As soon as we perceive a physical object, for example an apple, the corresponding mental experiences are immediately triggered. First, we think "apple". This is identification. Following this thought, a number of things we associate with apples may come to mind, for example "sweet, edible, green, red, healthy, delicious, juicy," and so on. These associations may be followed by the build-up of a desire to touch or to taste the apple. Once the desire is strong enough, our thoughts may be occupied with consuming the apple and we start weighing the merits and demerits of consuming the apple now or later. All these mental experiences are caused by, yet independent of the original object. If the apple is

withdrawn, the memory of it may be able to sustain the chain of thoughts for a short time, yet it will eventually cease. We can infer that mental experience requires sensory experience, or respectively memory of sensory experience. Sensory experience in turn requires the body. If we carried through a thought experiment and examined whether each of the skandhas is able to exist without the other four, we would find that this is not possible. The latter four aggregates all depend on the body. Without the brain and the nervous system there is no consciousness, no sensation, no perception, and no mental formations. On the other hand, we cannot imagine the body to function without the mind. The body and the mind depend on each other; the five skandhas depend on each other. We must conclude that none of the skandhas is fundamental. Body, sensations, perceptions, mental formations, and consciousness are interrelated. Experiences emerge from the interaction of all five skandhas. Just as objects, experiences are conditioned by the interplay of multiple phenomena. Experience has no inherent existence either.

Our brain is advanced enough to reflect on its experiences. By means of self-reference we can direct mental activity onto itself. For example, we can think about thought. From this arises a division between subject, percept, and object. The percept is the mental impression, the subject is the owner of it, the thinker, and the object is that which causes the mental impression. This threefold division seems so natural to us that it is reflected in the grammar of most human languages. We perceive the separation of subject, percept, and object as real, because mind attributes an owner to experience and thought. This owner is the "self", the subject, the centre of consciousness, the supposed psychological entity. Surprisingly, this entity remains completely undetectable. Body, feeling, perception, and mental formations are not the self. Consciousness is not the self either, otherwise it would follow that the self temporarily ceases to exist during unconscious states, for example during deep sleep. We might ask how "self" can be independent of a surrounding world. Is it possible for the self to exist in a mental vacuum, a world devoid of sense impressions, thought, and mental images? Would the self not literally run out of fuel if it lacked thoughts and contents to identify itself with or to set itself apart from? It seems there is no basis an independent entity. It seems more that the self is an emergent phenomenon arising from the application of complex interpretative schemes to perception. In particular, it arises from the conceptual division between subject, object, and percept. Through introspection it is possible to realise that the "self" is not fundamental. It is created by the mind through identification and discernment. The "self" is itself a mental formation - a product of mind. It is therefore empty of inherent existence.

The emptiness of matter.

The ancient Greeks believed that matter is composed of indivisible small elements with certain characteristics, such as the characteristics of earth, water, air, and fire. They called these elements atoms and they held that atoms were solid and fundamental, like microscopic billiard balls. Ernest Rutherford invalidated the billiard ball theory by conducting an experiment, which suggested that atoms have an internal structure. He established that atoms have a nucleus containing most of its mass and that electrons orbit the nucleus. Moreover, he established that the nucleus of an atom is only about one ten-thousandth of the diameter of the atom itself, which means that 99.99% of the atom's volume consists of empty space. This is the first manifestation of emptiness at the subtle level of matter. Not long after Rutherford's discovery, physicists found out that the nucleus of an atom likewise has an internal structure and that the protons and neutrons making up the nucleus are composed of even smaller particles, which they named quarks after a poem of James Joyce. Interestingly, quarks are hypothesized as geometrical points in space, which implies that atoms are essentially empty. This is the second manifestation of emptiness at the subtle level of matter.

The terms "quarks" and "points in space" still suggest something solid, since they can be imagined as irreducible mass particles. Yet, quantum field theory does away even with this finer concept of solidity by explaining particles in the terms of field properties. Quantum electrodynamics (QED) has produced an amazingly successful theory of matter by combining quantum theory, classical field theory, and relativity. No discrepancies between the predictions of QED and experimental observation have ever been found. According to QED, subatomic particles are indistinguishable from fields, whereas fields are

basically properties of space. **In this view, a particle is a temporary local densification of a field, which is conditioned by the properties of the surrounding space**. Ergo, matter is not different from space. This is the third manifestation of emptiness at the subtle level of matter. An important class of phenomena in the subatomic world is defined by the various interactions between particles. In fact, there is no clear distinction between the notions of phenomena, particles, and interactions, although interactions can be described clearly in mathematical terms. For example, there are interactions between free electrons by means of photons that result in an observed repelling force. There are also interactions between the quarks of a nucleon by means of mesons, interactions between the neighbouring neutrons or protons, interactions between nucleus and electrons, and interactions between the atoms of molecules. The phenomena themselves -the nucleon, the nucleus, the atom, the molecule- are sufficiently described by these interactions, meaning by the respective equations, which implies that **interactions and phenomena are interchangeable terms.** Interestingly, the interrelations of quantum physics do not describe actual existence. Instead they predict the potential for existence. A manifest particle, such as an electron, cannot be described in terms of classical mechanics. It exists as a multitude of superposed "scenarios", of which one or another manifests only when it is observed, i.e. upon measurement. Therefore, matter does not inherently exist. It exists only as interrelations of "empty" phenomena whose properties are determined by observation. This is the fourth manifestation of emptiness at the subtle level of matter.

Emptiness in mathematics.

In mathematics the notion of emptiness finds expression in the number zero, as well as in contemporary set theory. The concept of zero was discovered in India prior to the sixth century A.D. The "Arabic" number system we use today is neither Arabic nor Greek in origin. In fact, the digits 0123456789 go back to India where they were first created. The ancient Indian number system distinguished itself from other positional systems by virtue of allowing the use of zero as a legitimate number. Interestingly, the number zero did not exist in Greek mathematics, because the Greeks were essentially geometricians and had no use for the mathematical concept of a non-entity; neither did it exist in Egyptian mathematics. The Arabs, who encountered the Indian number system during their early conquests in India, found it superior to their own traditional system which used letters, and thus adapted it to develop Islamic mathematics. The Arabic word for zero is "sifr", meaning "empty." In the 12th century, the Italian mathematician Leonardo Pisano Fibonacci studied Arabian algebra and introduced the Hindu-Arabic numerals to Europe. The word "sifr" thus became "zephirum" in Latin and "zero" in English.

In the ancient Indian context, the number zero did not originally refer to nothingness or nullity. The Sanskrit word for zero is shunya, which means "puffed up, hollow, empty." The zero stands for emptiness suggestive of potentiality. The discovery of the mathematical zero concurred with the emptiness of prajna-intuition in India around 200 BC. Both signify polar opposition between being and nonbeing. Zero is that which contains all possible polarised pairs such as (+1, -1), (+2, -2), etc. It is the collection of all mutually cancelling pairs of forward and backward movements. Put it another way, zero is fundamental to all existence. Because of it, everything is possible. Zero is the additive identity, the focal point of all numbers; without it, numbers cannot be created. India alone, among the great civilizations of antiquity, was able to fathom the depth of emptiness and willing to accept its consequences in mathematics. Following the introduction of the Hindu-Arabic numerals into Western culture, zero became a number that was used in calculations like any other number. Consequently, it lost some part of its original meaning, namely the part that suggests potentiality. Today, most mathematicians do not associate the notion of emptiness with zero, but with the empty set, which is a construct of set theory. A set is a collection of objects or numbers. For example, the set { 1, 2, 3, 5, 8 } is a set of numbers containing five elements; it is therefore said to have the "cardinality" of 5. The empty set { } is a collection that contains nothing and has the cardinality 0. The mathematician John von Neumann (1923) invented a method, known as von Neumann hierarchy, which can be employed to generate the natural numbers from the empty set as follows:

Step 0: { } (empty set)

Step 1: { { } } (set containing the empty set)

Step 2: { { }, { { } } } (set containing previous two sets)

Step 3: { { }, { { } } , { { }, { { } } } } (set containing previous three sets)

Step 4: { { }, { { } } , { { }, { { } } }, { { }, { { } } , { { }, { { } } } } } (etc.)

This sequence is obtained by iterating a functor that creates a new set from the union of the preceding two sets, thus generating sets with the cardinalities 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ad infinitum. In less mathematical terms, the principle can be described as follows: Beginning with emptiness (step 0), we observe emptiness. Through the act of observing we create an entity containing emptiness (step 1). Now we perceive emptiness, as well as an entity. From the combination of the former two we create another entity by observation, which is different from the first entity (step 2). This process is repeated again and again. Interestingly, if we define suitable operations on the obtained sets based on union and intersection, the cardinalities of the resulting sets behave just like natural numbers being added and subtracted. The sequence is therefore isomorphic to the natural numbers - **a stunningly beautiful example of something from nothing.**

If Buddha was telling this quote to non-enlightened people so that they could become enlightened, then he meant that what we (non-enlightened people) perceive as emptiness is actually form, and what we perceive as form is actually emptiness. In other words, we should see emptiness (space) as matter & what we THINK is matter as emptiness (space). If Buddha was teaching this quote as a truth, he meant that emptiness is form, thus our consciousness (mind) which is itself empty is actually our form, and this form is empty. In other words, we are emptiness, and our emptiness is empty of emptiness (WE ARE NOTHING). Of course, Buddha could have meant something different that I may not have thought of. My question is what did Buddha actually mean by this quote, and technically does understanding this quote bring enlightenment? I ask if understanding it would bring enlightenment because it seems like the non-enlightened person must obviously be seeing an optical illusion in reality rather than seeing reality as it actually is.(See authors Neti Neti and Model for Hidden variables and stellar evolution etal,)

Emptiness of emptiness.

In The Art of Living (2001) the 14th Dalai Lama says, "As your insight into the ultimate nature of reality is deepened and enhanced, you will develop a perception of reality from which you will perceive phenomena and events as sort of illusory, illusion-like, and this mode of perceiving reality will permeate all your interactions with reality. [...] Even emptiness itself, which is seen as the ultimate nature of reality, is not absolute, nor does it exist independently. We cannot conceive of emptiness as independent of a basis of phenomena, because when we examine the nature of reality, we find that it is empty of inherent existence. Then if we are to take that emptiness itself is an object and look for its essence, again we will find that it is empty of inherent existence. Therefore the Buddha taught the emptiness of emptiness."

Form = Emptiness

G_{40} : Category one of Form (Any type of form can be quantised and all known types have been quantised)

G_{41} : Category two of Form (Any type of form can be quantised and all known types have been quantised)

G_{42} : Category three of Form (Any type of form can be quantised and all known types have been

quantised)

T_{40} : Category one of concomitant Emptiness

T_{41} : Category two of concomitant Emptiness

T_{42} : Category three of concomitant Emptiness

Module Nine

Following exposition has been consummated and consolidated based on inferences and references from Wikipedia and other sources.

Samkhya, also Sankhya, Sāṃkhya, or Sāṅkhya is one of the six orthodox (astika) schools of Hindu philosophy and classical Indian philosophy. Sage Kapila is traditionally credited as a founder of the Samkhya school. It is regarded as one of the oldest philosophical systems in India.

The major text of this Vedic school is the extant Samkhya Karika circa 200 CE. This text (in karika 70) identifies Sāmkhya as a Tantra[2] and its philosophy was one of the main influences both on the rise of the Tantras as a body of literature, as well as Tantra sadhana.[3] The Samkhya school is dualistic and atheistic.

Sāmkhya is an enumerationist philosophy that is strongly dualist Sāṃkhya denies the final cause of Ishvara (God). Sāmkhya philosophy regards the universe as consisting of two realities; Puruṣa (consciousness) and prakriti (phenomenal realm of matter). Jiva is that state in which puruṣa is bonded to prakriti through the glue of desire, and the end of this bondage is moksha. Samkhya does not describe what happens after moksha and does not mention anything about Ishwara or God, because after liberation there is no essential distinction of individual and universal puruṣa.

There are differences between Samkhya and Western forms of dualism. In the West, the fundamental distinction is between mind and body which are both inanimate or jaDa in Sāmkhya. In Samkhya, however, the dualism is between the real self (as puruṣa) and matter (prakriti). There are three possible states of existence of puruṣa: the liberated state when puruṣa has no connection with prakriti, the bonded state without life when puruṣa is bonded to 13 karanas but does not have a body, and the physical state as a living being or jiva when this jiva gets attached to a body. Therefore, the theory of rebirth or transmigration of the soul is inherent in Samkhya.

Samkhya thought evolved into a cohesive philosophical system in early centuries CE. As such, there is little evidence of existence of the Samkhya school before this time. However, the ideas that were developed and assimilated into the classical Samkhya text, Samkhyakarika, are visible in earlier Hindu scriptures such as Vedas, Upanishads and Bhagavad Gita.[9][10] Earliest mention of dualism in the Rig Veda, a Hindu text that was compiled in second millennium BCE,[11] is in the Indra–Vritra myth. In this myth, Indra, leader of the gods, slays Vritra, a serpent demon, to unleash the creative forces held captive by him. Gerald James Larson, a scholar of religions and philosophies of India, believes that this myth contains twofold dualism. He writes "On one hand there is dualism of order and chaos. On the other hand, there is dualism of Indra's power over against both the chaos and the order."[12] The emphasis of duality between existence (sat) and non-existence (asat) in the Nasadiya sukta of the Rig Veda is similar to the vyakta–avyakta (manifest–unmanifest) polarity in Samkhya. The hymn of Purusha sukta may also have influenced Samkhya. It contains the earliest conception of Purusha, a cosmic being from whom the manifestation arises Purusha also finds numerous mentions in the hymns of the Atharvaveda.[14] The Samkhya notion of buddhi or mahat is similar to the notion of hiranyagarbha which appears in both the

Rig Veda and the Shvetashvatara Upanishad

In the beginning this (world) was only the self, in the shape of a person. Looking around he saw nothing else than the self. He first said, 'I am' (aham asmi).

—Brihadaranyaka Upanishad 1.4.1

Oldest of the major Upanishads (c. 900–600 BCE) also contain speculations along the lines of classical Samkhya philosophy. The concept of ahamkara in Samkhya can be traced back to the notion of ahamkara in Brihadaranyaka Upanishad and Chhandogya Upanishad. Satkaryavada, the theory of causation in Samkhya, can be traced to the verses in sixth chapter which emphasize the primacy of sat (being) and describe creation from it. The idea that the three gunas or attributes influence creation is found in both Chhandogya and Svetashvatara Upanishads. Upanishadic sages Yajnavalkya and Uddalaka Aruni developed the idea that pure consciousness was the innermost essence of a human being. The purusha of Samkhya could have evolved from this idea. The enumeration of tattvas in Samkhya is also found in Taittiriya Upanishad, Aitareya Upanishad and Yajnavalkya–Maitri dialogue in the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad

Buddhism and Jainism had developed in Northeastern India by the 5th century BCE. It is probable that these schools of thought and the earliest schools of Samkhya influenced each other. A prominent similarity between Buddhism and Samkhya is the emphasis on suffering (dukkha). However, suffering is not as central to Samkhya as it is to Buddhism. Therefore, it is likely that Samkhya imbibed this idea from Buddhism. Likewise, the Jain doctrine of plurality of individual souls (jiva) could have influenced the concept of multiple purushas in Samkhya. However Hermann Jacobi, an Indologist, thinks that there is little reason to assume that Samkhya notion of Purushas was solely dependent on the notion of jiva in Jainism. It is more likely, that Samkhya was moulded by many ancient theories of soul in various Vedic and non-Vedic schools.

This declared to you is the Yoga of the wisdom of Samkhya. Hear, now, of the integrated wisdom with which, Partha, you will cast off the bonds of karma.

—Bhagavad Gita 2.39[20]

Between 5th and 2nd century BCE Samkhya thought from various sources started coalescing into a distinct philosophy. Philosophical texts from this era such as the Katha Upanishad, Shvetashvatara Upanishad and Bhagavad Gita have clear references to Samkhyan terminology and concepts. Katha Upanishad conceives the purusha as an individual soul which Ātman (Self) inhabits. Other verses of the Upanishad consider purusha to be smaller than the thumb. Samkhya and Yoga are mentioned together for first time in the Shvetashvatra Upanishad. Bhagavad Gita identifies Samkhya with understanding or knowledge The three gunas are also mentioned in the Gita, though they are not used in the same sense as in classical Samkhya. The Gita integrates Samkhya thought with the devotion (bhakti) of theistic schools and the impersonal Brahman of Vedanta.

The earliest surviving authoritative text on classical Samkhya philosophy is the Samkhyakarika (c. 350–450 CE) of Iśvarakṛṣṇa There were probably other texts in early centuries CE, however none of them are available today. Iśvarakṛṣṇa in his Kārikā describes a succession of the disciples from Kapila, through Āsuri and Pañcaśikha to himself. The text also refers to an earlier work of Samkhya philosophy called Ṣaṣṭitantra (science of sixty topics) which is now lost. The most popular commentary on the Samkhyakarikia was the Gauḍapāda Bhāṣya attributed to Gauḍapāda, the proponent of Advaita Vedanta school of philosophy. Richard King, Professor of Religious Studies, thinks it is unlikely that Gauḍapāda could have authored both texts, given the differences between the two philosophies. Other important commentaries on the karika were Yuktidīpīka (c. 6th century CE) and Vācaspati's Sāṁkhyatattvakaumudī (c. 10th century CE). Sāṁkhyapravacana Sūtra (c. 14th century CE) renewed interest in Samkhya in the medieval era. It is considered the second most important work of Samkhya

after the karika. Commentaries on this text were written by Anirruddha (Sāṁkhyasūtravṛtti, c. 15th century CE), Vijñānabhikṣu (Sāṁkhyapravacanabhāṣya, c. 16th century CE), Mahādeva (vṛttisāra, c. 17th century CE) and Nāgeśa (Laghusāṁkhyasūtravṛtti) According Surendranath Dasgupta, scholar of Indian philosophy, Charaka Samhita, an ancient Indian medical treatise, also contains thoughts from an early Samkhya school. Broadly, the Samkhya system classifies all objects as falling into one of the two categories: Purusha and Prakriti. While the Prakriti is a single entity, the Samkhya admits a plurality of the Puruṣas in this world. Unintelligent, unmanifest, uncaused, ever-active, imperceptible and eternal Prakriti is alone the final source of the world of objects which is implicitly and potentially contained in its bosom. The Puruṣa is considered as the conscious principle, a passive enjoyer (bhokta) and the Prakriti is the enjoyed (bhogya). Samkhya believes that the Puruṣa cannot be regarded as the source of inanimate world, because an intelligent principle cannot transform itself into the unconscious world. It is a pluralistic spiritualism, atheistic realism and uncompromising dualism.

Puruṣa

Puruṣa is the transcendental self or pure consciousness. It is absolute, independent, free, imperceptible, and unknowable through other agencies, above any experience by mind or senses and beyond any words or explanations. It remains pure, "nonattributive consciousness". Puruṣa is neither produced nor does it produce. It is held that unlike Advaita Vedanta and like Purva-Mimamsa, Samkhya believes in plurality of the Puruṣas.

Prakriti

Prakriti is the first cause of the manifest material universe — of everything except the Puruṣa. Prakriti is accounts for whatever is physical, both mind and matter-cum-energy or force. Since it is the first principle (tattva) of the universe, it is called the Pradhāna, but, as it is the unconscious and unintelligent principle, it is also called the jaDa. It is composed of three essential characteristics (trigunas). These are:

Sattva – poise, fineness, lightness, illumination, and joy;

Rajas – dynamism, activity, excitation, and pain;

Tamas – inertia, coarseness, heavyness, obstruction, and sloth

All physical events are considered to be manifestations of the evolution of Prakriti, or primal nature (from which all physical bodies are derived). Each sentient being or Jiva is a fusion of Puruṣa and Prakriti, whose soul/Puruṣa is limitless and unrestricted by its physical body. Samsāra or bondage arises when the Puruṣa does not have the discriminate knowledge and so is misled as to its own identity, confusing itself with the Ego/ahamkāra, which is actually an attribute of Prakriti. The spirit is liberated when the discriminate knowledge of the difference between conscious Puruṣa and unconscious Prakriti is realized by the Puruṣa.

Evolution in Samkhya.

The idea of evolution in Samkhya revolves around the interaction of Prakriti and Purusha. Prakriti remains unmanifested as long as the three gunas are in equilibrium. This equilibrium of the gunas is disturbed when Prakriti comes into proximity with consciousness or Purusha. The disequilibrium of the gunas triggers an evolution that leads to the manifestation of the world from an unmanifested Prakriti. The metaphor of movement of iron in the proximity of a magnet is used to describe this process

Some evolutes of Prakriti can cause further evolution and are labelled evolvents. For example, intellect while itself created out of Prakriti causes the evolution of ego-sense or ahamkara and is therefore an evolvent. While, other evolutes like the five elements do not cause further evolution. It is important to note that an evolvent is defined as a principle which behaves as the material cause for the evolution of

another principle. So, in definition, while the five elements are the material cause of all living beings, they cannot be called evolvents because living beings are not separate from the five elements in essence.

The intellect is the first evolute of prakriti and is called mahat or the great one. It causes the evolution of ego-sense or self-consciousness. Evolution from self-consciousness is affected by the dominance of gunas. So dominance of sattva causes the evolution of the five organs of perception, five organs of action and the mind. Dominance of tamas triggers the evolution of five subtle elements– sound, touch, sight, taste, and smell from self-consciousness. These five subtle elements are themselves evolvents and cause the creation of the five gross elements space, air, fire, water and earth. Rajas is cause of action in the evolutes. Purusha is pure consciousness absoulte, eternal and subject to no change. It is neither a product of evolution, nor the cause of any evolute.

Evolution in Samkhya is thought to be purposeful. The two primary purposes of evolution of Prakriti are the enjoyment and the liberation of Purusha.[40] The 23 evolutes of prakriti are categorized as follows:

Primordial matter Prakriti Root evolvent

Internal instruments Intellect (Buddhi or Mahat), Ego-sense (Ahamkāra), Mind (Mana) Evolvent

External instruments Five Sense organs (Jnānendriyas), Five Organs of action (Karmendriyas) Evolute

Subtle elements Sound (Shabda), Touch (Sparsha), Form (Rupa), Taste (Rasa), Smell (Gandha) Evolvent

Gross elements Sky (Ākāsh), Air (Vāyu), Fire (Agni), Water (Jala), Earth (Prithvi) Evolute

Liberation or mokṣa

The Supreme Good is mokṣa which consists in the permanent impossibility of the incidence of pain... in the realisation of the Self as Self pure and simple.

—Samkhyakarika I.3

Like many other major schools of Indian philosophy, Samkhya regards human existence as seat of intense suffering. Ignorance (avidyā) is regarded as the root cause of this suffering and bondage (Samsara). Samkhya offers a way out of this suffering by means of discriminative knowledge (viveka). Such knowledge, that leads to mokṣa (liberation), involves the discrimination between Prakriti (avyakta-vyakta) and Puruṣa (jña)

Puruṣa, the eternal pure consciousness, due to ignorance, identifies itself with products of Prakriti such as intellect (buddhi) and ego (ahamkara). This results in endless transmigration and suffering. However, once the realization arises that Puruṣa is distinct from Prakriti, the Self is no longer subject to transmigration and absolute freedom (kaivalya) arises Other forms of Samkhya teach that Mokṣa is attained by one's own development of the higher faculties of discrimination achieved by meditation and other yogic practices as prescribed through the Hindu Vedas.

Arguments for atheism

Arguments against God's existence Argument from free will Argument from inconsistent revelations Argument from nonbelief Argument from poor design Atheist's Wager Fate of the unlearned God of the gaps Incompatible-properties argument Oblivion Omnipotence paradox Problem of evil Problem of Hell Russell's teapot Theological noncognitivism Ultimate Boeing 747 gambit

The Sāṁkhyapravacana Sūtra in verse no. 1.92 directly states that existence of "God is unproved". Hence there is no philosophical place for a creationist God in this system. It is also argued by commentators of this text that the existence of Ishvara cannot be proved and hence cannot be admitted to exist. Classical Samkhya argues against the existence of God on metaphysical grounds. Samkhya theorists argue that an unchanging God cannot be the source of an ever changing world and that God was only a necessary metaphysical assumption demanded by circumstances.[46] The Sutras of Samkhya have no explicit role for a separate God distinct from the Puruṣa. Such a distinct God is inconceivable and self-contradictory and some commentaries speak plainly on this subject. The Sankhya-tattva-kaumudi commenting on Karika 57 argues that a perfect God can have no need to create a world (for Himself) and if God's motive is kindness (for others), Samkhya questions whether it is reasonable to call into existence beings who while non-existent had no suffering. These commentaries of Samkhya postulate that a benevolent deity ought to create only happy creatures, not a mixed world like the real world. A majority of modern academic scholars are of view that the concept of Ishvara was incorporated into the nirishvara (atheistic) Samkhya viewpoint only after it became associated with the Yoga, the Pasupata and the Bhagavata schools of philosophy. This theistic Samkhya philosophy is described in the Mahabharata, the Puranas and the Bhagavad Gita

Arguments against God's existence

Samkhya accepts the notion of higher selves or perfected beings but rejects the notion of God. The following arguments were given by the Samkhya philosophers against the idea of an eternal, self-caused, creator God:

If the existence of karma is assumed, the proposition of God as a moral governor of the universe is unnecessary. For, if God enforces the consequences of actions then he can do so without karma. If however, he is assumed to be within the law of karma, then karma itself would be the giver of consequences and there would be no need of a God.

Even if karma is denied, God still cannot be the enforcer of consequences. Because the motives of an enforcer God would be either egoistic or altruistic. Now, God's motives cannot be assumed to be altruistic because an altruistic God would not create a world so full of suffering. If his motives are assumed to be egoistic, then God must be thought to have desire, as agency or authority cannot be established in the absence of desire. However, assuming that God has desire would contradict God's eternal freedom which necessitates no compulsion in actions. Moreover, desire, according to Samkhya, is an attribute of prakriti and cannot be thought to grow in God. The testimony of the Vedas, according to Samkhya, also confirms this notion.

Despite arguments to the contrary, if God is still assumed to contain unfulfilled desires, this would cause him to suffer pain and other similar human experiences. Such a worldly God would be no better than Samkhya's notion of higher self.

Furthermore, there is no proof of the existence of God. He is not the object of perception, there exists no general proposition that can prove him by inference and the testimony of the Vedas speak of prakriti as the origin of the world, not God.

Therefore, Samkhya maintained that the various cosmological, ontological and teleological arguments could not prove God.

Epistemology

Samkhya considered Pratyakṣa or Dṛṣṭam (direct sense perception), Anumāna (inference), and Śabda or Āptavacana (verbal testimony of the sages or shāstras) to be the only valid sources of knowledge or pramana

Dualism

While Western philosophical traditions, as exemplified by Descartes, equate mind with the conscious self and theorize on consciousness on the basis of mind/body dualism; Samkhya provides an alternate viewpoint, intimately related to substance dualism, by drawing a metaphysical line between consciousness and matter — where matter includes both body and mind.

Samkhya espouses dualism between consciousness and matter by postulating two "irreducible, innate and independent realities 1) consciousness itself (Purusha) 2) primordial materiality (Prakriti)". Since, the unconscious primordial materiality, Prakriti, contains 23 components including intellect (buddhi, mahat), ego (ahamkara) and mind (manas); the intellect, mind and ego are all seen as forms of unconscious matter] Thought processes and mental events are conscious only to the extent they receive illumination from Purusha. In Samkhya, consciousness is compared to light which illuminates the material configurations or 'shapes' assumed by the mind. So intellect, after receiving cognitive structures form the mind and illumination from pure consciousness, creates thought structures that appear to be conscious. Ahamkara, the ego or the phenomenal self, appropriates all mental experiences to itself and thus, personalizes the objective activities of mind and intellect by assuming possession of them. But consciousness is itself independent of the thought structures it illuminates.

By including mind in the realm of matter, Samkhya avoids one of the most serious pitfalls of Cartesian dualism, the violation of physical conservation laws. Because mind is an evolute of matter, mental events are granted causal efficacy and are therefore able to initiate bodily motions

Causality

This section does not cite any references or sources. Please help improve this section by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. (July 2012)

The Samkhya system is based on Sat-kārya-vāda or the theory of causation. According to Satkāryavāda, the effect is pre-existent in the cause. There is only an apparent or illusory change in the makeup of the cause and not a material one, when it becomes effect. Since, effects cannot come from nothing, the original cause or ground of everything is seen as Prakriti.

More specifically, Samkhya system follows the Prakriti-Parināma Vāda. Parināma denotes that the effect is a real transformation of the cause. The cause under consideration here is Prakriti or more precisely Moola-Prakriti (Primordial Matter). The Samkhya system is therefore an exponent of an evolutionary theory of matter beginning with primordial matter. In evolution, Prakriti is transformed and differentiated into multiplicity of objects. Evolution is followed by dissolution. In dissolution the physical existence, all the worldly objects mingle back into Prakriti, which now remains as the undifferentiated, primordial substance. This is how the cycles of evolution and dissolution follow each other. But this theory is very different from the modern theories of science in the sense that Prakriti evolves for each Jeeva separately, giving individual bodies and minds to each and after liberation these elements of Prakriti merges into the Moola Prakriti. Another uniqueness of Samkhya is that not only physical entities but even mind, ego and intelligence are regarded as forms of Unconsciousness, quite distinct from pure consciousness.

Samkhya theorizes that Prakriti is the source of the perceived world of becoming. It is pure potentiality that evolves itself successively into twenty four tattvas or principles. The evolution itself is possible because Prakriti is always in a state of tension among its constituent strands or gunas – Sattva, Rajas and Tamas. In a state of equilibrium of three gunas, when the three together are one, "unmanifest" Prakriti which is unknowable. A guna is an entity that can change, either increase or decrease, therefore, pure consciousness is called Nirguna or without any modification.

The evolution obeys causality relationships, with primal Nature itself being the material cause of all

physical creation. The cause and effect theory of Samkhya is called Satkārya-vāda (theory of existent causes), and holds that nothing can really be created from or destroyed into nothingness – all evolution is simply the transformation of primal Nature from one form to another.

Samkhya cosmology describes how life emerges in the universe; the relationship between Purusha and Prakriti is crucial to Patanjali's yoga system. The strands of Samkhya thought can be traced back to the Vedic speculation of creation. It is also frequently mentioned in the Mahabharata and Yogavasishta.

Influence on other schools

Image of Kali poised on Shiva.

The Yoga school derives its ontology and epistemology from Samkhya and adds to it the concept of Isvara. However, scholarly opinion on the actual relationship between Yoga and Samkhya is divided. While, Jakob Wilhelm Hauer and Georg Feuerstein believe that Yoga was tradition common to many Indian schools and its association with Samkhya was artificially foisted upon by commentators such as Vyasa. Johannes Bronkhorst and Eric Frauwallner think that Yoga never had a philosophical system separate from Samkhya. Bronkhorst further adds that the first mention of Yoga as a separate school of thought is no earlier than Śankara's (c. 788–820 CE) Brahmasūtrabhaśya

The dualistic metaphysics of various Tantric traditions illustrates the strong influence of Samkhya on Tantra. Shaiva Siddhanta was identical to Samkhya in its philosophical approach, barring the addition of a transcendent theistic reality. Knut A. Jacobsen, Professor of Religious Studies, notes the influence of Samkhya on Srivaishnavism. According to him, this Tantric system borrows the abstract dualism of Samkhya and modifies it into a personified male–female dualism of Vishnu and Sri Lakshmi. Dasgupta speculates that the Tantric image of a wild Kali standing on a slumbering Shiva was inspired from the Samkhyan conception of Prakriti as a dynamic agent and Purusha as a passive witness. However, Samkhya and Tantra differed in their view on liberation. While, Tantra sought to unite the male and female ontological realities, Samkhya held a withdrawal of consciousness from matter as the ultimate goal

Conscious actions **produces(eb)** sense perceptions

G_{44} : Category one of Conscious actions(Actions are quantise)

G_{45} : Category two of Conscious actions

G_{46} : Category three of Conscious actions

T_{44} : Category one of sense perceptions(information and hence the balance based on ASCII and anagrammatism that the mind acts on the storage. Remember it is not the sense organs that perform actions. It is the self. Lest a dead man would have seen)

T_{45} : Category two of sense perceptions (information and hence the balance based on ASCII and anagrammatism that the mind acts on the storage. Remember it is not the sense organs that perform actions. It is the self. Lest a dead man would have seen)

T_{46} : Category three of sense perceptions (information and hence the balance based on ASCII and anagrammatism that the mind acts on the storage. Remember it is not the sense organs that perform actions. It is the self. Lest a dead man would have seen)

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt}=(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad 67$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients*

$for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients$

$for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{29}')^{(5)}+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a_{30}')^{(5)}+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{matrix}\right]G_{30} \quad 81$$

$Where$ $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ $are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ $are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ $are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$
are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & +(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & +(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & +(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} & -(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)} & -(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)} & -(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)} & +(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)} & +(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)} & +(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$

$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & & \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t) - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T'_{14}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t)-(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)|<(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G-G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}},\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)},(a_i')^{(1)},(b_i)^{(1)},(b_i')^{(1)},(p_i)^{(1)},\ (r_i)^{(1)},i=13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)}+(a_i')^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)}+(b_i')^{(1)}+(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(a_i'')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(b_i'')^{(2)}>0,\quad i,j=16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)},(b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le(p_i)^{(2)}\le(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)\le(r_i)^{(2)}\le(b_i')^{(2)}\le(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)=(p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)=(r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17}-T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t)-(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)|<(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19})-(G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)},i=16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0,\quad i,j=20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)},\ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le(p_i)^{(3)}\le(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(3)}\le(b_i')^{(3)}\le(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23},t)=\ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21}-\ T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}{}',t)-(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)|<(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23}-G_{23}{}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} \ (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\,A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$
$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$
$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t)-G_{16}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t)\leq G_{20}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\right)\right]ds_{(20)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_{i}^{0}) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_{i}^{0}) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t)\leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t)\leq G_{44}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\right)\right]ds_{(44)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i(\mathrm{t})=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i(\mathrm{t})=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad (209)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad (214)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (216)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a_{21}')^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a_{22}')^{(3)}$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)})d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \qquad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{40}')^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$

$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$
$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq$ 273
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}; (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41} \; and \; G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41} \; or \; G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41} \; and \; G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then 278

$T_{41} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t), t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to

279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\big) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279
A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \big((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\big)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\big((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\big)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\Big(\big((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}\big), \big((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)}\big)\Big) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})$: $\big(\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}\big) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), (T_{47})\big)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\big)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\big) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\big)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right) d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on (G_{47})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47})(t), t\big) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47})(t), t\big) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\big(\nu^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\big(u^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\big(\nu^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\big(u^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \leq -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad 294$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t}-e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\le T_{18}(t)\le \quad (315)$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)},(S_2)^{(2)},(R_1)^{(2)},(R_2)^{(2)}$:- (316)

Where $(S_1)^{(2)}=(a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)}-(a'_{16})^{(2)}$ (317)

$$(S_2)^{(2)}=(a_{18})^{(2)}-(p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)}=(b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad (318)$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)}=(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions (319)

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\le -(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}-(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)}\le -(b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)-(b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}),t\big)\le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)},(v_2)^{(3)},(u_1)^{(3)},(u_2)^{(3)}$: (320)

By $(v_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(3)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- (321)

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_0)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)}<(v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)}<(v_0)^{(3)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}<(v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously (322)

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t}-e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\leq T_{26}(t)\leq$ 336

$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\leq-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\leq-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)},(v_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},v^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(v_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)}<(v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(v_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)}<(v_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously 341

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G^0_{32} e^{\left((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G^0_{32} e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)})t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)})t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)})t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)})t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq v^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \le u^{(4)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \le u^{(5)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \leq v^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq v^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation

between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(d) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^* for which $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^* :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^* for which $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a_{36}')^{(7)} + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a_{38}')^{(7)} + (a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a_{40}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a_{42}')^{(8)} + (a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a_{44}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a_{46}')^{(9)} + (a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right] + (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right] + (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right] + (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see

that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^* such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right] + (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{37}^* such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^* such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^* such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left.\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left.\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)} + (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)} + (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)} + (b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\} = 0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 5

Attention And Working Memory, Associations, Prakruti Kshobha, Prakruti Siddhhi Etal. : A Sollbruchstelle- Heiligenschein Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

1. **Associations produce(eb) G or D**
2. **G or D produces(eb) Prakruti Kshobha or Prakruti Siddhhi (production of positive actions or negative actions)**

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

3. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
4. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
5. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

6. Thus, although it is clear that these processes are closely intertwined, the nature of these interactions **depends (=) upon** the specific variety of attention or working memory that is considered.

NOTATION

Module One

Delay of Smoking Gratification as a Laboratory Model of Relapse: Effects of Incentives for Not Smoking, and Relationship to Measures of Executive Function E. Terry Mueller, Reid D. Landes, Benjamin P. Kowal, Richard Yi, Maxine L. Stitzer, Cody A. Burnett, and Warren K. Bickel

Nineteen nicotine-deprived cigarette smokers received monetary rewards for each minute they choose not to initiate smoking in 2-hour laboratory sessions followed by a 30-min period of enforced abstinence from smoking. Reinforcer amounts were delivered according to one of three schedules: increasing, decreasing, and constant. Relapse time (time until first smoke) was shortest in the decreasing condition, longest in the increasing condition, and intermediate in the constant condition. All differences were significant except in the constant-decreasing comparison. The relationships between a battery of baseline assessments and relapse times were examined. Relapse times were predicted by delay-discounting coefficients (k) for $10 and $1000 in money, and for $1000 of cigarettes. Relapse times were also predicted by the number of cigarettes smoked daily and a Wisconsin Card Sorting Test score. Performance on the Stroop Task and the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence differentiated participants dichotomized into those who relapsed "earlier" in sessions versus those who first smoked "later." Variability on some scores from smoking-urges and affect questionnaires administered after smoking-room sessions was explained by measures related to in-session nicotine intake. Results are discussed as they relate to contingency-management procedures, predictors of relapse, and the competing neuro-behavioral decision systems theory of addiction.

Keywords: laboratory model, smoking relapse, smoking abstinence, contingency management, delay discounting, delay gratification, Stroop task, impulsivity, executive function, human

Successful smoking cessation requires a great deal of restraint over an extended period of time. This suggests that it may be fruitful to conceptualize the process of quitting as a test of the smoker's ability to delay the short-term gratification from smoking a cigarette in favor of the long-term health benefits associated with cessation. The study of delay gratification has been undertaken scientifically. In a paradigm developed by Mischel and his colleagues (Mischel et al., 1972; Mischel et al., 1989), children are exposed to a laboratory situation in which they can enjoy a less preferred reward immediately by ringing a bell that will retrieve the experimenter or, alternatively, can receive a more preferred reward if they wait a period of time (e.g., between 10 and 20 minutes) until the voluntary return of the experimenter. The measure of delay gratification is the amount of time until the participant retrieves the experimenter. Extensive research using this measure has shown that the ability to delay gratification during childhood is associated later in life with a lesser tendency toward frustration and aggression, better school and standardized test-score performance, and with greater social responsibility and social competence in adolescence (Mischel et al., 1972, 1989). Thus, the concept of delay gratification has contributed importantly to theories of personality and social psychology (Mischel and Shoda, 1995; Mischel, 2004).Two previous experiments employed delay-of-gratification procedures to study cigarette smoking. McKee et al. (2006) found that alcohol intake decreased the time until the initiation of smoking and increased the amount smoked in a laboratory model where participants could earn money by delaying the start of smoking and by smoking less after they had started. Dallery and Raiff (2007) also used a model in which participants could earn money by not smoking during laboratory sessions. They found that participants smoked less under these conditions than when no money could be earned, and also those participants' measures from delay discounting assessments predicted whether participants abstained or resumed smoking in the paid-abstinence model, a finding that is consistent with another recent study demonstrating that discounting can predict relapse in clinical settings (Yoon et al., 2007).

The present study uses a new laboratory model to examine how the scheduling of monetary rein forcers

for abstinence affects delay to re-initiation of smoking after a determination to avoid smoking for an extended period has been made, and to explore discounting and other measures of executive function as possible predictors of resumption of smoking in these circumstances. The laboratory procedures of the experiment attempt to simulate conditions of the natural environment that frequently terminate in a return to the behavior pattern known as cigarette addiction. While this return of symptomology outside of the laboratory is referred to diagnostically as "relapse," that term also has the more general meaning of "return to past practice," and as such it describes the major dependent measure of this experiment. In the model, a participant's visit to the laboratory occurred near the end of an extended period of nicotine deprivation required by experimental procedures. Past research in our laboratory (Bickel et al., 1991; Johnson and Bickel, 2003, 2006; Madden and Bickel, 1999) has shown that six hours of abstinence prior to a laboratory visit produce an effective incentive to smoke while in the laboratory. Other laboratory procedures in the model countervailed the incentive to break from abstinence, as they made the accrual of money contingent upon sustained abstinence. In addition to a control condition in which money was not earnable for abstinence, three methods of scheduling abstinence-contingent amounts of money were tested: an increasing-amount schedule, a constant-amount schedule, and a decreasing-amount schedule. We hypothesized that all schedules that awarded money contingent upon sustained abstinence would more effectively promote abstinence than the control condition. Previous contingency-management studies (Roll et al., 1996b; Roll and Higgins, 2000) suggest the more specific hypothesis that an escalating schedule of abstinence reinforcement would result in longer periods of abstinence than the schedules in which the pay amount for abstinence remains constant or decreases over time. The study also provided the opportunity to examine measures of delay discounting, and several other measures of executive function, to assess their ability to predict relapse in our laboratory model.

The University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Institutional Review Board approved the use of human participants and the procedures implemented in this experiment. Participants were recruited to volunteer by newspaper and radio advertisements from the Little Rock, Arkansas community. Eligible participants (a) were at least 18 years old; (b) smoked at least 20 cigarettes per day; (c) scored five or higher on the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (Heatherton et al., 1991); (d) met the DSM-IV criterion for nicotine dependence; (e) provided a carbon monoxide (CO) breath level reading (measured with a hand-held monitor; Bed font Scientific Ltd, Kent England) of at least 15 parts per million; and (f) had no plans to quit smoking within 30 days. Persons were excluded from participation if they were pregnant or if they presented significant medical or psychiatric conditions. Visit 2 entailed a behavioral screening session, the purpose of which was to obtain assurance that the participant would use the laboratory smoke self-administration procedures to smoke freely. Therefore, participants who did not use the apparatus to take 18 or more puffs during this session were discontinued prior to inclusion in the experimental design. Some participants did not smoke in any of the incentive-schedule conditions of block 1 of the experimental design. As this was a demonstration of complete insensitivity to the different levels of the incentive-schedule variable that were being assessed in the experiment, such participants were discontinued from further participation in the study and their data were not included in the analysis. Data from the 19 participants who completed all four conditions in both blocks of the design were included in the analyses.

Apparatus and materials

Participants' opportunity-to-smoke sessions occurred in small well-ventilated smoking rooms containing a chair and a table, on which was located the equipment that mediated their smoking activities. On the table was a response console with three Lindsey plungers (Med Associates Inc., St. Albans, Vermont, USA) mounted on the vertical meridian of its 30 cm × 60 cm interface, at the horizontal center and 20 cm left and right of center. Each plunger registered a response when a pull of approximately 20 N of force was applied. A computer's display monitor was situated on top of the response console. The computer was interfaced to gas pressure sensing equipment (Rayfield Equipment, Waitsfield, Vermont, USA), which was attached via approximately 90 cm of tubing to a cigarette holder. Cigarettes of the participant's preferred brand, a lighter, and an ashtray were located on the tabletop near the response

console.

Procedures

Participation entailed up to 10 visits to the laboratory. Upon completion of a visit's task requirements, participants were compensated $25 at the end of visits 1 and 2, and $10 at the end of visits 3-10. Bonus compensation, in the form of a doubling of these payments, was awarded if the participant completed the study. In addition, payment schedules for smoking abstinence that were implemented in six of visits 3-10 afforded participants the opportunity to accrue compensation amounts up to $24. Total possible compensation was $404. Participants were instructed to smoke as normal prior to Visit 1, which entailed the signing of an informed consent document approved by the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Institutional Review Board, providing a baseline CO level reading, and undergoing other participant-intake assessments. Participants were required to abstain from smoking six hours prior to visits 2-10. These visits began with verification of abstinence by self-report and a CO breath sample no higher than 50% of the baseline CO measure. If a participant's CO sample did not comply with this requirement, the experimental session was re-scheduled for another day; and repeated failures to comply resulted in discontinuation from the study and denial of bonus compensation. Those with verified abstinence continued on with activities, which consisted predominately of an opportunity-to-smoke session in a smoking room, followed by a 30-minute wait during which the participant was not allowed to smoke and during which s/he completed questionnaires that measured craving for cigarettes (the Questionnaire on Smoking Urges (Tiffany and Drobes, 1991), nicotine withdrawal (the Minnesota Nicotine Withdrawal Scale, Hughes and Hatsukami, 1986), affect (the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, Watson et al., 1988), and questionnaire assessments of delay and probability discounting for individual cigarette puffs. Data from the discounting-of-cigarette puffs questionnaires are not reported in this paper.

Participant-intake assessments

Baseline assessments collected during the first visit included: Quick Test (a brief assessment of intelligence, Ammons and Ammons, 1962), the Barratt Impulsivity Questionnaire-11 (Barratt, 1985), a cigarette equivalence questionnaire, a utility of cigarettes and money procedure, the Stroop Color-Word Task (Stroop, 1935), the computerized Wisconsin Card Sorting Task (WCST; Heaton et al., 1993), the Time Reproduction Task (McDonald et al., 2003), and delay discounting assessments for money and for cigarettes. Only data from the Stroop Color-Word Task, the WCST, and the discounting assessment are reported in this paper. The computerized WCST assesses the participant's number of trials to discover, and re-discover, an effective card-sorting strategy based upon feedback regarding correct or incorrect sorting responses. Scores are measures of aspects of executive function such as working memory capacity or attention. The Stroop Color-Word Task assessment is administered as three components, in each of which the participant is asked to complete a task as quickly as s/he can while trying to avoid mistakes. Basic scores are collected as times to complete each of these tasks: (a) Color-naming task (SCN) – identify the colors of items presented in a list; (b) Word-reading task (SWR) – read the words in a list; (c) Interference task (SIT) – identify the display-color of listed words that refer to colors, where the display-color may be incongruent with the color referent. In addition to the basic scores, the derived score, SIT-SCN, is here considered as a possible participant-characteristic measure. Higher scores are indicative of lesser ability to make an appropriate response when given two conflicting signals.

A computerized adjusting-amount discounting assessment procedure determined participants' indifference points in hypothetical choices between large reward amounts to be received in the future and smaller present-time rewards whose magnitudes were adjusted across trials. $10 and $1000 amounts of money were assessed. Amounts of cigarettes that participants reported on the cigarette equivalence questionnaire to equivalent in value to $10 and to $1000 were also assessed. Indifference points were determined for those rewards hypothetically to be received at the following temporal distances, assessed in sequence: 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, 1 year, 5 years, and 25 years. The order of presentation

of the $10- and $1000-value amounts of each of the commodities was counterbalanced across participants. The indifference points from each assessment were fitted with Mazur's (1987) hyperbolic model:

$$E(Y)=\frac{1}{(1+kD)},$$


Where E(Y) is the expected indifference point at delay D, conditioned on the discounting coefficient, k. We estimated k with nonlinear regression. Since the distribution of ks are well-described with a lognormal distribution, we took the natural logarithm of k so that it would be approximately normal. All results with regard to discounting assessments are based on ln(k) values.

Experimental design

The study used a within-subjects design. Four conditions (3 implementing schedules of abstinence-contingent reward, and a control condition) were implemented in a random sequence during one block of visits (3-6) and then reassessed using a different random sequence within a second block (visits 7-10).

Smoke self-administration

Participants were instructed to pull the center plunger whenever they wanted two 70-ml-volume puffs from their preferred brand of cigarette. Upon this response, the computer display prompted the participant to light a new cigarette and affix it to the cigarette holder, inhale 70 ml of smoke, hold the smoke in the lungs for five seconds, exhale the smoke, and wait for 25 s (Zacny et al., 1987). This cycle of prompts was repeated twice for each plunger-pull and then the participant was prompted to extinguish the cigarette. Changes detected by the system's gas pressure sensing equipment were reflected in a real-time transformation of an on-screen graphic that prompted the participant to consistently stop inhaling at a puff volume between 65 to 75 ml. Since only two puffs were taken on each cigarette, this procedure avoids extended filtration by the cigarette and thus greater nicotine doses from later versus earlier puffs on the cigarette (Pomerleau et al., 1989).



Pre-smoking-room session instructions

A printed page of instructions given to participants prior to incentive-schedule sessions stated that they "may choose to accumulate money for not smoking or to earn cigarette puffs by pulling brass plungers. You will accumulate money until you make your first response on the brass plunger associated with smoking." The page went on to describe the sequence of events that would take place during puff self-administration, and to state that "It is completely up to you to determine how many cigarette puffs, if any, you will earn during the opportunity to smoke." The page also indicated that the center plunger would be the effective plunger; that the session time would be 120 "minutes"; and that there would be a post-session, no-smoking, wait time in the laboratory lasting 30 minutes. The instruction sheet for an incentive-schedule session indicated which kind of incentive schedule ("Decreasing," "Increasing," or "Constant") would be in effect in the session, and the participant was also given another page that graphically illustrated the potential earnings per minute and the potential cumulative earnings over time in the session about to be implemented. Note that because of a slight error in the timing mechanism of

the computer programs that mediated events, smoking-room sessions were in fact 2 hours and 5 min in duration and were demarked into 62.5-s segments. In the remainder of this report, all terms and data values referring to time recorded or experienced during opportunity-to-smoke sessions in the smoking rooms refer to an appropriate proportion of these 62.5-s "minutes."

Incentives to abstain

Participants could smoke at any time during a smoking room session, but they earned money by refraining from pulling the plunger for the first time, to initiate smoking. Each of the three incentive schedules afforded participants the potential of accumulating $24 if they abstained throughout the incentive-schedule session. For a decreasing-amount schedule the amount earned was 32.33 cents in the first 62.5-s time segment and it decreased by 0.20722 cents per each succeeding segment, ending at 7.67 cents. In an increasing-amount schedule the amount earned in the first time segment was 7.67 cents and it increased by 0.20722 cents in each succeeding segment, ending at 32.33 cents. A constant-amount schedule continually allowed earnings of 20.00 cents per 62.5-s segment until the plunger was pulled. The computer's display monitor continuously displayed a whole-number value labeled "Mins Left:" that was updated after each succeeding 62.5 seconds had transpired. Prior to the first plunger-pull, amounts flashed on the screen for 5 seconds at the end of each "minute" indicating how much was earned in the past "minute" and was earnable in the upcoming "minute."

Control-condition session instructions and contingencies

During control conditions and a behavioral screening session, participants could use the apparatus to smoke freely with no contingency for smoking or not smoking. Written and computer-displayed information in these conditions was identical to that of incentive-schedule conditions except for the absence of descriptions of amounts earnable or amounts earned.

Statistical methods

The primary outcome is the time until relapse, defined as the first plunger-pull, within a smoking-room session that was terminated after the data-collecting computer had recorded the passage of 120 of its "minutes" of session time (or 125 minutes as assessed by a true clock). Any participant not relapsing in a session was assigned a relapse time of 120 minutes, and this was noted as a censored observation in time-to-event analyses. For each of the four experimental conditions, we correlated the block-1 and block-2 relapse times collected from the 19 participants. The analysis revealed a strong positive correlation for the relapse times in each of the three incentive-schedule conditions, as the calculated r values for the decreasing-, constant-, and increasing-amount conditions were 0.64 ($p < 0.005$), 0.68 ($p < 0.001$), and 0.78 ($p < 0.001$), respectively. This suggests that a participant's two relapse times collected for the same incentive-schedule condition are related, and that means of the two relapse times in a condition, which were used in reporting the results, are therefore representative of a participant's data in the condition. Means calculated from censored values are also censored. The censored nature of the data, coupled with the skewed distribution of relapse times, violated usual assumptions when performing analysis of variance; hence nonparametric tests were employed.

To test whether incentive-schedule conditions in general promoted longer abstinence than the control condition, we subtracted each participant's control relapse time from his or her shortest relapse time across incentive-schedule conditions. These differences were then subjected to a signed rank test. When comparing the distributions of relapse times among the incentive-schedules, we used Friedman's test (a nonparametric analogue to a repeated measures one-factor analysis of variance, having a compound symmetric correlation structure on the observations taken within an individual) as the omnibus test, and signed-rank tests, with p-values adjusted by a factor of 3 (Bonferroni's method), for the three pair-wise comparisons. When presenting results from these analyses, we provide medians, along with 95%

confidence intervals. Time-to-relapse (i.e., abstinence-survival) curves, estimated with Kaplan-Meier's product-limit method, are provided for each of the schedules to illustrate further the time-to-relapse distributions. Analyses were conducted to explore which among the 40 measures taken during the participant-intake visit may be useful in predicting relapse outcomes. A dichotomous categorization of participants was constructed based upon a median split of participants' overall means of incentive-schedule relapse times derived from the six observations per participant (median average relapse time = 107.11 min). A logistic regression was performed for each of 40 intake-session measures to determine if the measure predicted participants' status within that dichotomy. Data from the logistic regression are presented as odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs). The OR is the change in the odds of late relapse given a one unit increase in the intake measure, where an OR < 1 indicates decreased odds of late relapse as the predictor increases in value; an OR > 1 indicates increased odds of late relapse as the predictor increases in value; and an OR = 1 indicates the odds of late relapse does not change as the "predictor" changes in value. Theory about each participant-intake measure suggested directional hypotheses and the use of one-tailed tests and corresponding upper or lower 95% confidence bounds. Another analysis linearly regressed the measure of participants' overall mean relapse time on each of the intake-session measures to assess which among the 40 measures predict the summary relapse-time measure as a continuous variable. Again, theory about each of the measures suggested a single direction of effect, so we considered directional (one-sided) alternative hypotheses for the slopes. Due to the small sample size (n=19) and exploratory nature of these analyses, we utilized a priori specified directional hypotheses for each of the intake measures examined, and did not adjust any p-values for multiple comparisons. No unexpected findings went unreported due to the use of one-tailed tests in either this analysis or the dichotomous-category analysis.

In our final analysis, we evaluated whether initial CO level, time to relapse, number of reinforcements obtained by the participant after relapse (i.e., number of plunger pulls), and amount of money earned – all measures collected during incentive-schedule laboratory visits – could explain variability in questionnaire measures collected after the smoking-room sessions – the Questionnaire on Smoking Urges' relief factor (QSU-R) and desire factor (QSU-D), the Minnesota Nicotine Withdrawal Scale (MNWS), and the Positive and Negative Affect Scale's positive subscore (PANAS-P) and negative sub score (PANAS-N). For each post-smoking-room-session measure, a stepwise-selection strategy requiring a significance level of 0.10 to enter and 0.05 to stay was used to select which, if any, incentive-schedule-session measures entered a regression model for that particular post-smoking-room-session questionnaire measure. All analyses were conducted with SAS® version 9.2.

Abstinence-promoting effect of incentive-schedule conditions

Participants' relapse times per condition are illustrated in Figure 1, along with medians and 95% confidence intervals, which are also presented in Table 1. As expected, the median time till relapse for the control condition was extremely short (1.29 min, CI=0.68-3.02), while relapse times under all incentive-schedule conditions were considerably longer. The median difference between participants' control and shortest incentive-schedule relapse time was 91.8 min (CI=76.6-105.1; signed rank test $p<0.001$). Table 1 shows that among the incentive-schedule conditions, median relapse time was shortest for the decreasing-amount condition (96.24 min, CI=86.48-107.35), intermediate for the constant-amount condition (108.40 min, CI=90.88-116.61), and longest for the increasing-amount condition (113.69 min, CI=111.79-119.50). Figure 1 also makes visually evident the differences in variability of relapse times in different conditions, as the increasing interquartile ranges (IQRs) displayed in Table 1 for the control (2.35 min), increasing- (15.66 min), constant- (26.43 min), and decreasing-amount (28.55 min) conditions correspond to the increasing dispersion of data points observable in Figure 1 for those respective conditions.

Relapse times (vertical axis) per condition (horizontal axis), with medians (points on vertical lines) and 95% confidence intervals (vertical lines with bars). CTRL = free smoking control; DECR = decreasing-

amount condition; CONS = constant-amount condition; ...

Medians (with 95% confidence interval) interquartile ranges, and numbers of relapses after minute 110, per condition.

There was evidence that the distributions of relapse times were different among the three incentive-schedule conditions ($\chi 2$[df=2] = 15.64, p = 0.001). Pair-wise differences for each of the three possible comparisons are shown graphically in Figure 2, while Table 2 presents the medians, Bonferroni-adjusted CIs, and signed rank test Bonferroni-adjusted p-values for each comparison. The increasing-amount condition prolonged abstinence significantly more than both the constant-amount condition (signed rank test p = 0. 0051) and the decreasing-amount condition (signed rank test p = 0. 0012); however the degree to which the constant-amount condition more effectively promoted abstinence than the decreasing-amount conditions was not significant (signed rank test p = 0. 2442).

Points (vertical axis) indicate calculated within-participant differences in mean relapse time across specified conditions (horizontal axis). Medians (points on vertical lines) of the difference-score distributions and adjusted 95% CIs (vertical lines ...

Medians, and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals, of differences between relapse times within pairs of incentive-schedule conditions.

Continuation of abstinence may be construed as a form of “survival”; survival curves are shown in Figure 3l, which clearly depicts the differential relapse rate for control versus all incentive-schedule conditions. Abstinence-survival curves per condition. Time into the model-of-relapse session is plotted on the horizontal axis and percentage of participants still abstaining is plotted on the vertical axis. Ultimate survival percentages are shown. Censored observations ...Among the incentive conditions, spatial separation of the curves occurs only after 75 minutes. After this time point, abstinence survival was greatest in the increasing-amount condition, with 21.1% (4 of 19) surviving to the end of the session, least in the decreasing-amount condition with only 5.3% (1 of 19) surviving, and intermediate in the constant-amount condition with 15.8% (3 of 19) surviving to the end of the session. Figure 3 also shows that the incentive-schedule curves converge again as minute 120 is approached. Data in Table 1 show that this convergence is due to differences in the concentration of relapses in different incentive-schedules after minute 110. Comparatively few (3/19, 15.8%) of the relapses in the decreasing-schedule condition occurred after minute 110; more than twice that many (7/19, 36.8%) occurred post-110-min for the constant-amount schedule; and that number is doubled again (14/19, 73.7%) for the increasing-schedule condition. These differences in the way relapse times are concentrated in each incentive-schedule condition are also visually evident in Figure 1.

Predictors of relapse latency

Table 3 presents the significant predictors of participants' status in the “late relapser” category (participant's mean overall relapse times ≥ 107.11 min), along with the associated odds ratio (with the 95% upper confidence bound), and the one-sided p-value. The significant predictors are two measures from the Stroop Color Naming task (SIT, SIT-SCN derived score), and the Fagerstöm Test for Nicotine Dependence score.

Significant predictors of participants being in the “late relapser” category.

The intake measures having statistically significant associations with the continuous measure of relapse times are illustrated in Figure 4, and their estimated slopes, 95% upper confidence bounds, and one-sided p-values are presented in Table 4. The estimates associated with each of the intake measures in Table 4 indicate the direction and magnitude of effect on relapse time (min) expected with a one-unit increase in the intake-session measure. Several delay-discounting measures were significantly associated with time to relapse measures as a continuous variable. The ln(k) for $10 in money exhibited the strongest

association (slope = -4.16, s. e. = 1.72), followed by ln(k) for $1000 worth of cigarettes (slope = -3.03; s.e. = 1.27), and ln(k) for $1000 in money (slope = -2.48; s.e. = 1.34). Reported number of cigarettes smoked per day was also a significant predictor (slope = -0.87; s.e. = 0.40). A rank correlation of 0.552 (two-sided $p < 0.02$) between mean relapse time and the WCST Failure to Maintain Set Score (W-FTMS) suggested a natural logarithm transformation of the latter when assessing its usefulness in predicting relapse time; the slope associated with the natural logarithm of W-FTMS was 15.93 (s.e. = 8.04). Scatter plots for grand mean relapse times plotted against significant predictors, along with estimated regression lines. Grand mean relapse times are across the six incentive-schedule smoking room sessions. "ln(k)" is the natural log ...For both QSU-D and QSU-R, only the number of reinforcements obtained by the participant was selected in the stepwise regression models, having a slope (s.e., p) of -0.93 (0.20, $p< 0.001$) and -0.65 (0.16, $p< 0.001$), respectively. Similarly for the PANAS-P sub score, only one measure remained in the stepwise regression: initial CO, having a slope (s.e., p) of -0.46 (0.18, $p < 0.02$). None of the in-session measures were found to explain a significant amount of variability in the MNWS score or the PANAS-N sub score. Using a laboratory model that presented to deprived smokers the choice between the short-term gratifications of smoking versus more valuable but delayed monetary rewards, it was demonstrated that an increasing-amount schedule for reinforcing sustained abstinence promoted abstinence more effectively than a constant-amount schedule and a decreasing-amount schedule. Incentive schedules, in general, promoted sustained abstinence much more effectively than a control condition that was devoid of reinforcement for abstinence. These relations are illustrated in plots of participants' condition-mean relapse times (Figure 1), and in abstinence-survival curves (Figure 3).

FOR FIGURES PLEASE SEE CITED ARTICLE

This experiment advanced previous efforts (Dallery and Raiff, 2007; McKee et al., 2006) to develop a behavioral laboratory model of the process of abstaining from smoking. The Dallery and Raiff (2007) experiment was comparable to the present study in that different monetary amounts were used in the different reinforcement schedules under study. Our results join those of Dallery and Raiff from a laboratory context, and numerous others from outpatient treatment contexts, in support of the finding that the scheduling of different-sized amounts of reinforcer contingent upon drug abstinence can cause profound decreases in drug use, as compared to control conditions (Higgins and Petry, 1999; Higgins et al., 2002, 2007). Moreover, we observed significantly different effects between conditions in which our reinforcer-magnitude variable was manipulated differently, whereas Dallery and Raiff (2007) did not. Their two levels of the reinforcer-magnitude variable were proportionately different sizes of maximum amounts earnable via abstinence ("high condition" rein forcers were four times larger than "low condition" rein forcers) that were otherwise scheduled for delivery in the same way. By contrast, the levels of our independent variable involved different scheduling algorithms (decreasing-, constant-, and increasing-amount schedules) for delivering rein forcers that in the aggregate were the same in all conditions ($24). Our results in comparison to those of Dallery and Raiff (2007) highlight the behavior-change effectiveness of scheduling techniques that make rein forcers conditional upon behavior change, as compared to operations that manipulate reinforcer size without regard for changes in behavior that reinforcement may cause (Ferster and Skinner, 1957). Two of the conditions used here, the increasing- and constant-amount conditions, involved amount-scheduling algorithms similar to those that have been used in outpatient treatment programs (Roll et al., 2006a,b). Our results provide further evidence, from a new context, that increasing-amount schedules more effectively promote cigarette abstinence than constant-amount schedules (Roll et al., 1996a; Roll and Higgins, 2000). The first instance of smoking after the initiation of an attempt to quit cigarettes is one of the best predictors of failure (Brandon et al., 1990; Garvey et al., 1992; Kenford et al., 1994; Marlatt et al., 1988; Nides et al., 1995; Norregaard et al., 1993). Relapses typically occur soon after the resolution to quit (Shiffman et al., 1996) and early relapses are highly correlated with the return to regular smoking (Garvey et al., 1992; Westman et al., 1997). As the first smoking to occur in a cessation attempt appears to be a critical transition point, it is a worthy subject of investigation for laboratory models of cigarette abstinence (McKee et al., 2006). Dallery and Raiff (2007) collected data on latency to the first instance of smoking, but found no

significant difference across their two non-control conditions. This is probably because their procedures provided for the resetting of the monetary amounts back down to initial values at various times during procedures, thus de-emphasizing the reinforcement of an extended initial period of abstinence. In sum, their laboratory model was designed to affect general levels of abstinence rather than the time to the initial smoke, in particular. The laboratory model used by McKee et al. (2006) was designed to focus on the time until initiation of smoking, as their payment schedule continued without resetting until smoking was initiated. However, McKee et al.'s independent variable, the metabolic presence or absence of alcohol, was hypothesized and observed to decrease time until relapse. To our knowledge, the present experiment is the first to use a laboratory model designed to focus on the time until the first smoke in a cigarette cessation attempt, and to also explore methods that were expected to increase that time.

Our decreasing-, constant-, and increasing-amount schedules are tools for simulating different kinds of change in resistance to relapse over the course of extended time in abstinence. Their general effectiveness for this purpose is exhibited in the systematic levels of separation of the three incentive-schedule survival-of-abstinence curves (Figure 3) after minute 75. However, some of our observed systematic effects contradict expectations prompted by those schedules. The fact that the scheduled reinforcement magnitudes at the end of the sessions are highest for the increasing-amount schedule, intermediate for the constant-amount schedule, and lowest for the decreasing-amount schedule would lead to the expectation that rates of relapse as the end of the smoking-room session approaches would be lowest for the increasing-amount schedule, intermediate for the constant-amount schedule, and highest for the decreasing amount schedule. The relapse-time data collected after minute 110 in this experiment contradict this expectation, suggesting that relapse responding in the incentive-schedule conditions is being controlled by variables in addition to the changing magnitudes of the schedules. The detail in the patterns in Figures 1 and and3,3, and the variability of the relapse time distributions (Table 1) suggest, more precisely, that some relapse responses, rather than being controlled by the continued accumulation of time transpired in the smoking-room session, appear to be controlled instead by delayed events such as termination of the smoking-room session, or the following 30-minute enforced period of abstinence; relapse times of this kind occur predominantly in the constant- and increasing-amount conditions after minute 110, and there are more of them in the increasing-amount condition; these responses may be thought of as delay-influenced consumption. Other relapse times seem to be immediate consumption, as they appear to be controlled by present consummatory cues such as the current state of nicotine deprivation or stimuli that have been associated with smoking; these relapses predominate in the decreasing-amount condition, and in the constant- and increasing-amount conditions they occur predominantly before minute 110. These observations suggest that our laboratory model of relapse may model temporal contingencies of the real world, some retrospective and some prospective (Bickel et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2009; Kowal et al., 2008), such as the periods of enforced abstinence to which cigarette smokers are increasingly exposed as the list of mandated smoke-free areas continues to grow. In our decreasing-amount schedule condition we simulated declining resistance to relapse, and did so using operations (monetary awards) extrinsic to drug effects. Walsh, et al. (2001) and Donny, et al. (2004) used money amounts that decreased across a series of discrete trials that posed to their participants the choice of cocaine administration versus receiving money rewards. We are not aware of any human-subjects research that used decreasing-amount schedules with a free-operant procedure or cigarette consumption. Thus our results extend the knowledge about procedures for managing the reinforcement of response-omission in human subjects, and with nicotine consumption as the response. It is not surprising that the decreasing-amounts schedule promoted continued abstinence least effectively, as it by definition diminishes with the passage of time the amount of reinforcement that is provided contingent upon response omission. More interesting is the resultant pattern of relapsing produced. Figure 3 reveals that the decreasing-amount schedule results in the most uniform pattern of relapses, which is reflected in the variability of the relapse times in different schedules (see Table 1). Variability in relapse times is importantly related to the reason why monetary reinforcers are used in laboratory models of abstinence (McKee et al., 2006). Abstinence-contingent money provides alternative reinforcers in the experimental context, and this diminishes the reinforcing value of the drug under study

(Carroll et al., 1989; Higgins, 1997; Rodefer et al., 1997). This effect, considered alone, increases the likelihood that variables other than reinforcement by the drug will control behavior, and that across a sampling of challenges to abstinence there will greater variability of relapse times and greater sensitivity to variables other than the drug reinforcement effect. However, abstinence-contingent monetary payments are themselves a potentially dominating variable. Such dominance is exhibited for the increasing- and constant-amount schedules of this experiment in the patterns showing their many late relapse times (Figures 1 and and3)3) and their smaller relapse-time variability (Table 1). The inclusion of a decreasing-amount feature in a schedule that reinforces abstinence with money appears to be an effective means of mitigating this dominance, and thus of exposing sensitivity to variables other than reinforcing value of money or the drug under study. Thus the decreasing-amount feature is tool that should not be overlooked when designing laboratory models for examining variables that impact relapse behavior (Donny et al., 2004; McKee et al., 2006; Walsh et al., 2001). We conducted analyses pursuant to explaining variability in the questionnaire measures collected after smoking-room sessions. No variability in the MNWS was explained. The implementation of the MNWS in the present study asked the respondent to rate him or herself "in the last 24 hours" with regard to certain characteristics. As this time period is much broader than the period of laboratory exposure to variables tested for explanatory power, the lack of explained variability is not surprising. Variance in the QSU-R and QSU-D measures was explained by number of reinforcements obtained in the smoking rooms session that day. As reinforcers were self-administrations of nicotine to nicotine-deprived participants, it is reasonable that high numbers of obtained reinforcers would be associated with low scores, and vice versa, on an assessment for which high scores indicated greater urges to smoke. Variability in PANAS-P scores was explained by one measure taken on smoking-room-session visit days: initial (pre-smoking-room-session) CO level. As low scores on this measure reflected greater nicotine deprivation, it is again reasonable that there was a negative relationship between such low scores and higher scores on a measure whose high scores reflect emotional changes likely to be associated with decrease in nicotine deprivation. The fact that neither initial CO nor any other measure taken explained variability in PANAS-N subscores suggests that the two PANAS subscores do indeed assess a distinction between participant characteristics – positive affect versus negative affect – engendered by our experimental procedures. Future studies may be designed to manipulate procedures or utilize statistical analyses so as to explore this difference.

The ability to abstain during an initial period of smoking cessation may reflect individual differences in the ability to delay gratification. A growing body of evidence suggests that deficits in this ability, as reflected in higher delay-discounting rates, are characteristic of drug dependency in general (Bickel and Marsch, 2001; Heil et al., 2006; Kirby et al., 1999; Kirby and Petry, 2004; Madden et al., 1997; Petry, 2001) and nicotine dependence in particular (Baker et al., 2003; Bickel et al., 1999., 2008; Dallery and Raiff, 2007; Johnson et al., 2007; Odum et al., 2002; Yoon et al., 2007). In our exploratory analysis among individual differences assessed at study intake, several measures of delay discounting were strong predictors of the continuous measure of average time to relapse during the smoking-room abstinence tests. This is consistent with our postulation that short relapse times in this laboratory model are reflective of the participant's inability outside of the laboratory to delay gratification sufficient to refrain from smoking. Reported number of cigarettes smoked per day was also a predictor. As this may be a measure of nicotine dependence levels and the reinforcing value of cigarettes for the participant, it is not surprising that larger values are correlated with smoking sooner in a period of extended abstinence. The Failure to Maintain Set Score from the WCST was significantly and positively correlated to the continuous measure of average relapse time. This score is nominally a measure of the participant's number of departures from a currently successful criterion for sorting cards in the absence of feedback indicating a change in the effectiveness of that sorting criterion. As the WCST is considered a measure of executive function, the individual Failure to Maintain Set Score reflects particular aspects of executive function, such that high scores indicate comparative deficits in working memory capacity or in attention. Such deficits may be expected among those less successful in the task presented to them in a smoking room in this experiment. A different pattern of intake-session measures was demonstrated to

predict the categorical outcome of "early relapser" versus "late relapser." These predictors were two Stroop Task measures and the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence Score. As the Fagerström score, like cigarettes consumed per day, is related to nicotine dependence levels, it is again not surprising that higher scores on this measure predict a sooner break from abstinence. Higher scores in the Stroop Task reflect lesser ability to make appropriate responses when given conflicting signals. This experiment created for participants the choice to end nicotine deprivation by smoking, while establishing the conflicting motive to continue abstinence as a means for earning money. When viewed in this context, it is not surprising that measures of diminished ability to respond to conflicting signals were correlated with early relapse. Additional research will be needed to determine why the two outcome measures using continuous versus categorical indicators of relapse yielded a different set of predictors in these exploratory analyses, and what the inter-relationship is among the outcome and predictor measures identified here.

It is of conceptual interest that measures predicting relapse time in our exploratory analyses were scores from the Stroop Task and the WCST – both of which are traditional test of executive function, defined as self-directed action with the purpose of altering behavior to change future outcomes – and performance on delay discounting measures, which have been suggested as indicative of impulsivity (Bickel et al., 1999; Bickel and Marsch, 2001; Perry et al., 2005). Executive function and impulsivity are the two principle concepts that are placed in opposition to each other in an integrative theory of addiction. The competing neuro-behavioral decision systems hypothesis (Bickel et al., 2007; Bickel and Yi, 2009) is a new theory which proposes that substance abuse is due to a hyperactive impulsive system and/or a hypoactive executive system in the brain. As the present laboratory model of relapse engages participants' tendency to be impulse-controlled immediate consumers and also their tendency to be executive-functioning delay-influenced consumers it may be a particularly useful vehicle for studying substance abuse from the perspective of the competing neuro-behavioral decisions systems hypothesis. The results of the present experiment suggest a potential issue for laboratory models of relapse and how it may be addressed in future research. The laboratory relapse-time measure should be highly sensitive to independent variables that affect relapse behavior, while the distribution of relapse times produced should be related in a useful way to a natural phenomenon worthy of study. Our increasing-amount schedule, for example, produced relapse times that were insensitive to the required pre-visit 6-hour period of abstinence, as the relapse times were clustered late in the smoking-room session for that condition. This pattern of delayed relapses is opposite to the pattern of early post-cessation relapses to smoking that generally prevails under natural environment conditions. Our results show that the manner in which changes in reinforcement amounts are scheduled clearly affects sensitivity to independent variables. As discussed earlier, decreasing-amount schedules may be used to increase variability and modify the skewness of an experiment's relapse-time distributions, and thus to more accurately simulate relapse-time patterns worthy of study. This model allows for additional procedural changes that may be implemented to address that issue, and others that may come to the fore. These procedural modifications include extending smoking-room session length, modifying the pre-visit nicotine deprivation time, restricting participants' access to time-keeping cues while in the smoking room, modifying the amounts earnable during smoking-room sessions, devising and implementing other algorithms for the scheduling of abstinence-contingent awards, implementing variable-length smoking-room sessions, removing information about amounts earned or earnable for abstinence while in smoking rooms, and modifying the post-session smoke-free wait period.

There is also a question as to the most suitable type of smokers to use in laboratory models of relapse. As participants in this experiment reported no current intention to give up smoking, the "motivational structure" underlying their abstinence in the present model may not, on its face, be similar to that of someone who is trying to give up smoking. While a model will, by definition, have differences from the phenomenon it models, the usefulness of the model is supported ultimately by its ability to simulate and predict clinical phenomena. The present experiment found results in its constant- and increasing-amount conditions comparable to those from outpatient studies that used constant- and increasing-amount schedules to promote smoking abstinence. This comparability of effects adds face validity to the present

model (McKee et al., 2006). Behavior in a model situation may also be useful for understanding constructs that underlie substance use behavior. In this study, the relationship between delay discounting and smoking relapse times may reflect the operation of delay of gratification or impulsivity (Bickel et al., 1999) as these influence smoking behavior. These points of similarity add support to an analogy implicit in this model of relapse. Results from the present experiment suggest that while in the smoking rooms, participants consume cigarettes in reaction to present states and also consume them proactive to anticipated deprivation (Bickel and Yi, 2009; Kowal et al., 2008). This is a feature of realistic similarity to the world of cigarette smokers, supporting the external validity of the model of relapse. However, there are also limitations of this study that speak to affirmation of the external validity of the model of relapse presented here. We have inferred from our behavioral data that our model simulates both retrospective and prospective temporal contingencies that smokers may be faced with in the world outside of the laboratory. Self-report measures about participants' reasons for responding as they did are worthy of consideration for inclusion in future research. Data from such measures may support inferences about what features of smoker's lives the model simulates; or they may alter the interpretation of the behavioral data. And they may suggest modifications of the model designed to more effectively simulate and study specific aspects of smokers' experiences. The use of self-report measures in experiments that model and simulate real-world phenomena in the laboratory may also be a basis for analyzing the relationship between self-report data and the personal experience that is the subject of self-report. In conclusion, the procedures of this experiment constitute a laboratory model which may be used to study the determinants of the first smoke after a resolution to stop smoking has been formed. This experiment supported existing findings about the relationship of delay discounting to cigarette addiction; and it extended the knowledge about contingency management procedures in general, and as they may be applied particularly to promoting sustained cigarette abstinence under controlled laboratory conditions that attempt to model processes involved in real-world smoking relapse. The model has noteworthy potential as a vehicle for studying the effects on cigarette and other drug consumption by alternative rein forcers (e.g. money), by impulse-inducing past (e.g., deprivation) or present (e.g., cue exposure) events, or by future events (e.g. anticipated deprivation) that may be the objects of executive functioning.

$$E(Y)=\frac{1}{(1+kD)},$$

Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society produce(eb) Gratifications(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in AP)

G_{13} : Category one of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

G_{14} : Category two of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

G_{15} : Category three of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

T_{13} : Category one of **Gratifications(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in AP)**

T_{14} : Category two of **Gratifications(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one**

gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in AP)

T_{15} : Category three of **Gratifications(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in AP)**

Module Two

G_{16} : Category one of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

G_{17} : Category two of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

G_{18} : Category three of **Spatio temporal associations attributed, ascribed to the teaching due to peer and reference groups society**

T_{16} : Category one of **Deprivations (Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

T_{17} : Category two of **Deprivations (Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

T_{18} : Category three of **Deprivations (Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

Module three

$$E(Y)=\frac{1}{(1+kD)},$$

G_{20} : Category one of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE LHS

G_{21} : Category two of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE LHS

G_{22} : Category three of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE LHS

T_{20} : Category one of LOGARITHMIIC TERM ON THE NUMERATOR(IT IS ZERO)

T_{21} : Category two of LOGARITHMIIC TERM ON THE NUMERATOR(IT IS ZERO)

T_{22} : Category three of LOGARITHMIIC TERM ON THE NUMERATOR(IT IS ZERO)

Module four

G_{24} : Category one of RESULT OF MODULE THREE

G_{25} : Category two of RESULT OF MODULE THREE

G_{26} : Category three of RESULT OF MODULE THREE

T_{24} : Category one of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE DENOMINATOR OF RHS

T_{25} : Category two of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE DENOMINATOR OF RHS

T_{26} : Category three of LOGARITHMIC TERM ON THE DENOMINATOR OF RHS

Module five

DEPRIVATION PRODUCES PRAKRUTI KSHOBHA (BELLIGERENCE, CANTANKEROUSNESS, ASTUTE TRUCULENCE, SERENADING WHIMSICALITY, ANATAGONISTIC DISPENSATION, ANACHROSNISTIC DISPOSTION, BLITZY CONVICTION, CHURLISH RENEGADISHNES, RECALCITRANCE, REPUGNANCE AND REFRACTORINESS WHICH MIGHT LEAD TO MURDER, MAYHEM OR APOCALYPSE OR JUGGERNAUT ARMAGGEDDDON. THIS IS EASILY QUANTISED IN TERMS OF LOSS AND MORE THE LOSS IT IS POSSIBLE DEPRIVATION PACKETS AREMORE THERE AND ANOTHER FACTOR THAT IS TO BE TAKEN IN TO CONSIDERATION IS THE ABILITY AND POTENTIALITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO CAN SAFEGUARD THEIR INTERESTS.)

G_{28} : Category one of DEPRIVATION **(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

G_{29} : Category two of DEPRIVATION **(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

G_{30} : Category three of DEPRIVATION **(Quantised by the way of increase names AP and by ASCII character. Note that replication of thought plays an important role in the analysis in the sense the statement is retyped in the synaptic pathways concomitantly respond. More one thinks more one gets elated. This we have assumed takes place in GP)**

T_{28} : Category one of PRAKRUTI KSHOBHA (BELLIGERENCE, CANTANKEROUSNESS, ASTUTE TRUCULENCE, SERENADING WHIMSICALITY, ANATAGONISTIC DISPENSATION, ANACHROSNISTIC DISPOSTION, BLITZY CONVICTION, CHURLISH RENEGADISHNES, RECALCITRANCE, REPUGNANCE AND REFRACTORINESS WHICH MIGHT LEAD TO MURDER, MAYHEM OR APOCALYPSE OR JUGGERNAUT ARMAGGEDDDON. THIS IS EASILY QUANTISED IN TERMS OF LOSS AND MORE THE LOSS IT IS POSSIBLE DEPRIVATION PACKETS AREMORE THERE AND ANOTHER FACTOR THAT IS TO BE TAKEN IN TO CONSIDERATION IS THE ABILITY AND POTENTIALITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO CAN SAFEGUARD THEIR INTERESTS.)

T_{29} : Category two of PRAKRUTI KSHOBHA (BELLIGERENCE, CANTANKEROUSNESS, ASTUTE TRUCULENCE, SERENADING WHIMSICALITY, ANATAGONISTIC DISPENSATION, ANACHROSNISTIC DISPOSTION, BLITZY CONVICTION, CHURLISH RENEGADISHNES, RECALCITRANCE, REPUGNANCE AND REFRACTORINESS WHICH MIGHT LEAD TO MURDER, MAYHEM OR APOCALYPSE OR JUGGERNAUT ARMAGGEDDDON. THIS IS EASILY QUANTISED IN TERMS OF LOSS AND MORE THE LOSS IT IS POSSIBLE DEPRIVATION PACKETS AREMORE THERE AND ANOTHER FACTOR THAT IS TO BE TAKEN IN TO CONSIDERATION IS THE ABILITY AND POTENTIALITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO CAN SAFEGUARD THEIR INTERESTS.)

T_{30} : Category three of PRAKRUTI KSHOBHA (BELLIGERENCE, CANTANKEROUSNESS, ASTUTE TRUCULENCE, SERENADING WHIMSICALITY, ANATAGONISTIC DISPENSATION, ANACHROSNISTIC DISPOSTION, BLITZY CONVICTION, CHURLISH RENEGADISHNES, RECALCITRANCE, REPUGNANCE AND REFRACTORINESS WHICH MIGHT LEAD TO MURDER, MAYHEM OR APOCALYPSE OR JUGGERNAUT ARMAGGEDDDON. THIS IS EASILY QUANTISED IN TERMS OF LOSS AND MORE THE LOSS IT IS POSSIBLE

DEPRIVATION PACKETS AREMORE THERE AND ANOTHER FACTOR THAT IS TO BE TAKEN IN TO CONSIDERATION IS THE ABILITY AND POTENTIALITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO CAN SAFEGUARD THEIR INTERESTS.)

Module six

Recent Trends in Modelling Spatio-Temporal Data SUJIT K. SAHU KANTI V. MARDIA

This model is developed by using what are known as principal kriging functions, see Mardia et al. (1998) and Sahu and Mardia (2005) for full details. Here we only provide a brief outline. Suppose that the mean process is the sum of two processes THEN WE HAVE:

Mu(s (I (t))) $=\sum_1^p$ h(ij)alpha(ij) + x(s(i), t)^t (beta)(t)

G_{32} : Category one of TERM ON LHS

G_{33} : Category two of TERM ON LHS

G_{34} : Category three of TERM ON LHS

T_{32} : Category one of TERM ON RHS

T_{33} : Category two of TERM ON RHS

T_{34} : Category three of TERM ON RHS

Module seven

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

(1) Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
(2) Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

G_{36} : Category one of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{37} : Category two of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{38} : Category three of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

T_{36} : Category one of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.

T_{37} : Category two of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.

T_{38} : Category three of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.

Module eight

Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)**

concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

G_{40} : Category one of variety of dissociable phenomena

G_{41} : Category two of variety of dissociable phenomena

G_{42} : Category three of variety of dissociable phenomena

T_{40} : Category one of EACH CONSTRUCT due to the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions)

T_{41} : Category two of EACH CONSTRUCT due to the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions)

T_{42} : Category three of EACH CONSTRUCT due to the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions)

Module Nine

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
3. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

G_{44} : Category one of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

G_{45} : Category two of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

G_{46} : Category three of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

T_{44} : Category one of **implication (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

T_{45} : Category two of **implication (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

T_{46} : Category three of **implication (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working

memory.

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}),t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b_{20}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b_{22}')^{(3)} - (b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$
$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$
$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$
$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$
$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{25}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$
$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$
$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$
$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$
$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b_{28}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$
$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{29}'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$
$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b_{30}')^{(5)} - (b_{30}'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b_{32}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{16})^{(2)}\,+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{17})^{(2)}\,+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{18})^{(2)}\,+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{c}(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)\;-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)\;-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\;-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\;-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\;-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\;-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{array}\right]T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad 67$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt}=(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{26} \quad (78)$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \qquad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \qquad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \qquad 81$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh

augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} & -(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)} & -(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)} & -(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)} & +(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)} & +(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)} & +(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)|\leq(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17}-T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t)-(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19})-(G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}},\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)},i=16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0,\quad i,j=20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)},\ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\leq(p_i)^{(3)}\leq(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\leq\ (r_i)^{(3)}\leq(b_i')^{(3)}\leq(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)=\ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)},i=24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}>0,\quad i,j=28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le(p_i)^{(5)}\le(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(5)}\le(b_i')^{(5)}\le(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)=(p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)=\ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29}-T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t)-(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31})-(G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{38}(t) = T_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \,,\; T_i(0) = T_i^0 \,,\; G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \,, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , $G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\right)\right] ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{15})^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)=(b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{191}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{192}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 199

$(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{208}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{209}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \tag{214}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad (223)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself (224)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (225)

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \qquad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32}<(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1-(a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36{,}37{,}38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36}, G_{38} and G_{36}, G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\, ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\} ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right) d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a'_{41})^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a'_{42})^{(8)}$$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$

$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$
$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a_{44}'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_{44}'')^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G^0_{16}e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G^0_{16}e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G^0_{16}e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G^0_{16}e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\Big(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^0_{16}}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\Big] + G^0_{18}e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le$ 312

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^0_{16}}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}] + G^0_{18}e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\Big)$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

and analogously 322

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \quad \text{and } \boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \qquad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \qquad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \qquad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \qquad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \qquad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$ 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$ 332

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$ 333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^0_{24}}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G^0_{26}e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+G_{34}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)},(S_2)^{(7)},(R_1)^{(7)},(R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)}=(a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)}-(a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)}=(a_{38})^{(7)}-(p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)}=(b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)}-(b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)}=(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\le-(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(8)}\le-(b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)-(b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(8)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)},(\nu_2)^{(8)},(u_1)^{(8)},(u_2)^{(8)},\nu^{(8)},u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)}>0,(u_2)^{(8)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)},(\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_0)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)}, (v_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, v^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(9)} < (v_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)}-(S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\leq G_{46}(t)\leq\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\leq T_{46}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)},(S_2)^{(9)},(R_1)^{(9)},(R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)}=(a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)}-(a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)}=(a_{46})^{(9)}-(p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)}=(b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)}-(b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)}=(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}=(a_{13})^{(1)}-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}-(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right)-(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)}-(a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \leq (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)} v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, (v_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (v_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(v_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}}{(v_0)^{(4)} - (v_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)v^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}v^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(e) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502
$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$

$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{17}$ given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 513

$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^* = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42}^* = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

$$T_{40}^* = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{42}^* = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{43})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586
A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 6

Verbal Working Memory , Dissociable Phenomena, Phonological Processing, Neuronal Activity, Transpersonal And Bimodal Concepts Et Al.: A Einzelsprachlich-Entscheidungsproblem

Introduction—Variables Used

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
3. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds

of information in working memory.

4. Thus, although it is clear that these processes are closely intertwined, the nature of these interactions **depends (=) upon** the specific variety of attention or working memory that is considered.

Models of Functional Organization of the Lateral Prefrontal Cortex in Verbal Working Memory: Evidence in Favor of the Process Model Laura H. F. Barde etal.

5. Research on the (functional organization of the lateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) in working memory continues to be fairly equivocal between two major frameworks): organization-by-process **or** organization-by-material.
6. Although there is fairly strong evidence for organization-by-process models from event-related fMRI studies, some investigators argue that the nature of the stimulus material **better(eb) defines** the functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).
7. Specifically, the anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45) is hypothesized **to(+,x eb) subserve** semantic processing while the posterior region (BA 44) **may(eb) subserve** phonological processing.
8. In the current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.
9. Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task) **performed a(eb) modified** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance"), or (2) performed a semantic (size reordering) or phonological (alphabetical reordering) task on the word or nonwords lists, respectively ("manipulation").
10. There was evidence during the delay period of our task **to (e) support** claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing.
11. Subjects did, however, display greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials **than (e)** maintenance trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory.
12. development of the concept of transpersonal processes **and t**he bimodal theory of relational cognition
13. bimodal concept illuminates developmental theory **and** facilitates the move from object relations theory toward a relational systems model
14. the attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991) are both **congruent(=) and synergistic** with the transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

NOTATION

Module One

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
3. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds

of information in working memory.

4. Thus, although it is clear that these processes are closely intertwined, the nature of these interactions **depends (=) upon** the specific variety of attention or working memory that is considered.

BRAIN MECHANISMS OF PROACTIVE INTERFERENCE IN WORKING MEMORY: J. JONIDES* AND D. E. NEE

5. It has long been known that storage of information in working memory **suffers(e)** as a function of proactive interference.
6. Here authors review the results of experiments using approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity **that is a(eb) signature** of proactive interference.
7. Many of these results derive from a single paradigm **that (e) requires** one to resolve interference from a previous experimental trial. The importance of activation in left inferior frontal cortex is shown repeatedly using this task and other tasks. Authors review a number of models that might account for the behavioral and imaging finding about proactive interference, raising questions about the adequacy of these models.© 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IBRO.
8. proactive interference and executive function
9. neuroimaging and interfere
10. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processingence-resolution

G_{13} : Category one of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{14} : Category two of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{15} : Category three of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

T_{13} : Category one of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processingence-resolution,

T_{14} : Category two of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processingence-resolution,

T_{15} : Category three of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processingence-resolution

Module Two

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

G_{16} : Category one of(fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information

G_{17} : Category two of (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant

information

G_{18} : Category three of (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information

T_{16} : Category one of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

T_{17} : Category two of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

T_{18} : Category three of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena

Module three

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
3. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

G_{20} : Category one of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

G_{21} : Category two of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

G_{22} : Category three of processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes

T_{20} : Category one of maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

T_{21} : Category two of maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

T_{22} : Category three of maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

Module four

Interactions between attention and working memory E. Awh, , E.K. Vogel, S.-H. Oh

1. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing.
2. Here authors review our current understanding of the interactions between these processes, (fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing) with a focus on how each construct(due to interactions) **encompasses(e) a** variety of dissociable phenomena.
3. By implication, processing during both perceptual and post perceptual stages of processing, and functionally dissociated processes have been **implicated (eb) in the** maintenance of different kinds of information in working memory.

4. Thus, although it is clear that these processes are closely intertwined, the nature of these interactions **depends (=) upon** the specific variety of attention or working memory that is considered.

G_{24} : Category one of the nature of these interactions

G_{25} : Category two of the nature of these interactions

G_{26} : Category three of the nature of these interactions

T_{24} : Category one of specific variety of attention or working memory

T_{25} : Category two of specific variety of attention or working memory

T_{26} : Category three of specific variety of attention or working memory

Module five

BRAIN MECHANISMS OF PROACTIVE INTERFERENCE IN WORKING MEMORY: J. JONIDES* AND D. E. NEE

1. It has long been known that storage of information in working memory **suffers (e)** as a function of proactive interference.
2. Here authors review the results of experiments using approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity **that is a(eb) signature** of proactive interference.
3. Many of these results derive from a single paradigm **that (e) requires** one to resolve interference from a previous experimental trial. The importance of activation in left inferior frontal cortex is shown repeatedly using this task and other tasks. Authors review a number of models that might account for the behavioral and imaging finding about proactive interference, raising questions about the adequacy of these models.© 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IBRO.

G_{28} : Category one of storage of information in working memory

G_{29} : Category two of storage of information in working memory

G_{30} : Category three of storage of information in working memory

T_{28} : Category one of function of proactive interference.

T_{29} : Category two of function of proactive interference.

T_{30} : Category three of function of proactive interference.

Module six

BRAIN MECHANISMS OF PROACTIVE INTERFERENCE IN WORKING MEMORY: J. JONIDES* AND D. E. NEE

1. It has long been known that storage of information in working memory **suffers (e)** as a function of proactive interference.
2. Here authors review the results of experiments using approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity **that is a(eb) signature** of proactive interference.
3. Many of these results derive from a single paradigm **that (e) requires** one to resolve interference from a previous experimental trial. The importance of activation in left inferior frontal cortex is shown repeatedly using this task and other tasks. Authors review a number of models that might account for the behavioral and imaging finding about proactive interference, raising questions about

the adequacy of these models. © 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IBRO.

G_{32} : Category one of approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity

G_{33} : Category two of approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity

G_{34} : Category three of approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity

T_{32} : Category one of proactive interference

T_{33} : Category two of proactive interference

T_{34} : Category three of proactive interference

Module seven

BRAIN MECHANISMS OF PROACTIVE INTERFERENCE IN WORKING MEMORY: J. JONIDES* AND D. E. NEE

1. It has long been known that storage of information in working memory **suffers (e)** as a function of proactive interference.
2. Here authors review the results of experiments using approaches from cognitive neuroscience to reveal a pattern of brain activity **that is a(eb) signature** of proactive interference.
3. Many of these results derive from a single paradigm **that (e) requires** one to resolve interference from a previous experimental trial. The importance of activation in left inferior frontal cortex is shown repeatedly using this task and other tasks. Authors review a number of models that might account for the behavioral and imaging finding about proactive interference, raising questions about the adequacy of these models. © 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IBRO.

G_{36} : Category one of single paradigm

G_{37} : Category two of single paradigm

G_{38} : Category three of single paradigm

T_{36} : Category one of resolution interference from a previous experimental trial.

T_{37} : Category two of resolution interference from a previous experimental trial.

T_{38} : Category three of resolution interference from a previous experimental trial.

Module eight

1. proactive interference and executive function
2. Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processingence-resolution

G_{40} : Category one of proactive interference

G_{41} : Category two of proactive interference

G_{42} : Category three of proactive interference

T_{40} : Category one of executive function

T_{41} : Category two of executive function

T_{42} : Category three of executive function

Module Nine

Studies of attention and working memory address the fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information, and **produce (eb)** concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing-resolution

G_{44} : Category one of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{45} : Category two of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

G_{46} : Category three of fundamental limits in our ability to encode and maintain behaviorally relevant information,

T_{44} : Category one of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing-resolution

T_{45} : Category two of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing-resolution

T_{46} : Category three of concomitant processes that are critical for goal-driven processing-resolution

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \qquad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) \quad -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{array}\right]T_{13} \quad 58$$

59

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

60

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

61

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16}$$

62

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17}$$

63

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad 67$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \quad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \quad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \quad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2$ 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \tag{88}$$
$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \tag{89}$$
$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \tag{90}$$
$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$

$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$ 123

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$

$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,((G_{35}),t) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le \ (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}\ (a_i'')^{(7)}\,(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le \ (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(1)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(1)} - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)} - {G_{23}}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \tag{222}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \tag{223}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \tag{226}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq$$ 259
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad (269)$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: (276)

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)}, (v_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(2)} < (v_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\le u^{(1)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 453

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 454

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(f) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^{*}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 7

Working memory, Cognitive Development, transitory information, verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension et al. : A Sidere Mens

Eadem Mutato-Sic Parvis magna Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

(1) Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated.

(2) This (Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated**) involves (e&eb)** execution of verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension—and makes them available for further information-processing. It is not the same as short term memory.

(3) Working memory tasks require **monitoring (e&eb)** (i.e., manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

(4) The cognitive processes needed to achieve **this (e) include** the executive and attention control of short-term memory, which permit interim integration, processing, disposal, and retrieval of information

(5) These processes are sensitive to age: working memory **is(e&eb) associated** with cognitive development

(6) Cognitive development capacity tends to **decline (e)** with old age.

(7) Working memory is a theoretical concept **central (e&eb) both** to cognitive psychology and neuroscience.

(8) In addition, neurological studies demonstrate a link between working memory **and (e&eb)** learning and attention. Theories exist both regarding the theoretical structure of working memory and the role of specific parts of the brain involved in working memory.

(9) Research identifies the frontal cortex, parietal cortex, anterior cingulate, and parts of the basal ganglia as crucial. The neural basis of working memory has been **derived(e) from** lesion experiments in animals and functional imaging upon humans.

NOTATION

Module One

G_{13} : Category one of multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated.

G_{14} : Category two of multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated.

G_{15} : Category three of multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated.

T_{13} : Category one of Working memory

T_{14} : Category two of Working memory

T_{15} : Category three of Working memory

Module Two

This (Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated**) involves (e&eb)** execution of verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension—and makes them available for further information-processing. It is not the same as short term memory.

G_{16} : Category one of Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated

G_{17} : Category two of Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of

transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated

G_{18} : Category three of Working memory is the system that actively **holds (e)** multiple pieces of transitory information in the mind, where they can be manipulated

T_{16} : Category one of execution of verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension—and makes them available for further information-processing. It is not the same as short term memory.

T_{17} : Category two of execution of verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension—and makes them available for further information-processing. It is not the same as short term memory.

T_{18} : Category three of execution of verbal and nonverbal tasks—such as reasoning and comprehension—and makes them available for further information-processing. It is not the same as short term memory.

Module three

Working memory tasks require **monitoring (e&eb)** (i.e., manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

G_{20} : Category one of Working memory tasks

G_{21} : Category two of Working memory tasks

G_{22} : Category three of Working memory tasks

T_{20} : Category one of manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

T_{21} : Category two of manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

T_{22} : Category three of manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

Module four

The cognitive processes needed to achieve manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions. **(e) include** the executive and attention control of short-term memory, which permit interim integration, processing, disposal, and retrieval of information

G_{24} : Category one of cognitive processes needed to achieve manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

G_{25} : Category two of cognitive processes needed to achieve manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

G_{26} : Category three of cognitive processes needed to achieve manipulation of information or behaviors) as part of completing goal-directed actions in the setting of interfering processes and distractions.

T_{24} : Category one of executive and attention control of short-term memory, which permit interim integration, processing, disposal, and retrieval of information

T_{25} : Category two of executive and attention control of short-term memory, which permit interim integration, processing, disposal, and retrieval of information

T_{26} : Category three of executive and attention control of short-term memory, which permit interim integration, processing, disposal, and retrieval of information

Module five

These processes are sensitive to age: working memory **is(e&eb) associated** with cognitive development

G_{28} : Category one of working memory

G_{29} : Category two of working memory

G_{30} : Category three of working memory

T_{28} : Category one of cognitive development

T_{29} : Category two of cognitive development

T_{30} : Category three of cognitive development

Module six

Cognitive development capacity tends to **decline (e)** with old age.

G_{32} : Category one of old age

G_{33} : Category two of old age

G_{34} : Category three of old age

T_{32} : Category one of Cognitive development capacity

T_{33} : Category two of Cognitive development capacity

T_{34} : Category three of Cognitive development capacity

Module seven

Working memory is a theoretical concept **central (e&eb) both** to cognitive psychology and neuroscience.

G_{36} : Category one of Working memory

G_{37} : Category two of Working memory

G_{38} : Category three of Working memory

T_{36} : Category one of cognitive psychology and neuroscience.

T_{37} : Category two of cognitive psychology and neuroscience.

T_{38} : Category three of cognitive psychology and neuroscience.

Module eight

In addition, neurological studies demonstrate a link between working memory **and (e&eb)** learning and attention. Theories exist both regarding the theoretical structure of working memory and the role of specific parts of the brain involved in working memory.

G_{40} : Category one of working memory

G_{41} : Category two of working memory

G_{42} : Category three of working memory

T_{40} : Category one of learning and attention. Theories exist both regarding the theoretical structure of working memory and the role of specific parts of the brain involved in working memory.

T_{41} : Category two of learning and attention. Theories exist both regarding the theoretical structure of working memory and the role of specific parts of the brain involved in working memory.

T_{42} : Category three of learning and attention. Theories exist both regarding the theoretical structure of working memory and the role of specific parts of the brain involved in working memory.

Module Nine

Research identifies the frontal cortex, parietal cortex, anterior cingulate, and parts of the basal ganglia as crucial. The neural basis of working memory has been **derived (e) from** lesion experiments in animals and functional imaging upon humans.

G_{44} : Category one of lesion experiments in animals and functional imaging upon humans.

G_{45} : Category two of lesion experiments in animals and functional imaging upon humans.

G_{46} : Category three of lesion experiments in animals and functional imaging upon humans.

T_{44} : Category one of neural basis of working memory

T_{45} : Category two of neural basis of working memory

T_{46} : Category three of neural basis of working memory

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$: $(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$,
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$, $(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$
$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$
$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$
$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$
$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for

category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad (72)$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \qquad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \qquad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2$ 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$

are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$

are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$

are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,((G_{35}),t) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32{,}33{,}34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36{,}37{,}38$ 131

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le \ (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}\ (a_i'')^{(7)}\,(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40{,}41{,}42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40{,}41{,}42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44{,}45{,}46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is 205
unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)} - {G_{23}}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(3)} - (a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})})=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq$$ 259
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)}t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)}G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad (269)$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: (276)

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}$ 346

$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le$ 347

$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(8)}, (v_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, v^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0, (v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}(v^{(8)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}(u^{(8)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\le u^{(1)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$v^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(8)} \le v^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(v_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(v_1)^{(8)} \le v^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le v^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 453

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 454

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(g) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$


And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 8

Central Executive, Episodic Buffer, Articulatory Loop Et Al.: A Astra Non

Mentiuntur Sed Astrologi Bene Mentiuntur De Astris

Introduction—Variables Used



NOTATION

Module One

G_{13} : Category one of Central Executive

G_{14} : Category two of Central Executive

G_{15} : Category three of Central Executive

T_{13} : Category one of Phonological loop

T_{14} : Category two of Phonological loop

T_{15} : Category three of Phonological loop

Module Two

G_{16} : Category one of Central Executive

G_{17} : Category two of Central Executive

G_{18} : Category three of Central Executive

T_{16} : Category one of Episodic Buffer

T_{17} : Category two of Episodic Buffer

T_{18} : Category three of Episodic Buffer

Module three

G_{20} : Category one of Central Executive

G_{21} : Category two of Central Executive

G_{22} : Category three of Central Executive

T_{20} : Category one of Visuo Spatial Scratch pad

T_{21} : Category two of Visuo Spatial Scratch pad

T_{22} : Category three of Visuo Spatial Scratch pad

Module four

G_{24} : Category one of Phonological loop

G_{25} : Category two of Phonological loop

G_{26} : Category three of Phonological loop

T_{24} : Category one of Articulatory loop

T_{25} : Category two of Articulatory loop

T_{26} : Category three of Articulatory loop

Module five

G_{28} : Category one of Articulatory loop

G_{29} : Category two of Articulatory loop

G_{30} : Category three of Articulatory loop

T_{28} : Category one of Phonological loop

T_{29} : Category two of Phonological loop

T_{30} : Category three of Phonological loop

Module six

G_{32} : Category one of Phonological loop

G_{33} : Category two of Phonological loop

G_{34} : Category three of Phonological loop

T_{32} : Category one of Acoustic store

T_{33} : Category two of Acoustic store

T_{34} : Category three of Acoustic store

Module seven

G_{36} : Category one of Acoustic store

G_{37} : Category two of Acoustic store

G_{38} : Category three of Acoustic store

T_{36} : Category one of Phonological loop

T_{37} : Category two of Phonological loop

T_{38} : Category three of Phonological loop

Module eight

G_{40} : Category one of Acoustic store

G_{41} : Category two of Acoustic store

G_{42} : Category three of Acoustic store

T_{40} : Category one of Articulatory loop

T_{41} : Category two of Articulatory loop

T_{42} : Category three of Articulatory loop

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of Articulatory loop

G_{45} : Category two of Articulatory loop

G_{46} : Category three of Articulatory loop

T_{44} : Category one of Central Executive

T_{45} : Category two of Central Executive

T_{46} : Category three of Central Executive

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad 67$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \quad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \quad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \quad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2$ 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \quad (123)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (124)

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: (125)

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: (126)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \quad (127)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,((G_{35}),t) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le \ (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}\ (a_i'')^{(7)}\,(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le \ (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \, , \, T_i(0) = T_i^0 \, , \, G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \, , \, T_i(0) = T_i^0 \, , \, G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166 A

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}\left(s_{(44)}\right) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}\left(s_{(44)}\right), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}\left(s_{(44)}\right)\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(1)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(1)} - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)} - {G_{23}}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(3)} - (a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \tag{222}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \tag{223}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}), (\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \tag{226}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\,((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})})=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}),(T_{47}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}|(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)})d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_2\ and\ ((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}(\nu^{(8)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}(u^{(8)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\le u^{(1)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 453

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 454

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(h) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{19})^*\right) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 9

Functional Organization Of The Lateral Prefrontal Cortex, Verbal Working Memory, Phonological Processing Et Al.: A Scire Quod Sciendum Seculo Rum

Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Models of Functional Organization of the Lateral Prefrontal Cortex in Verbal Working Memory: Evidence in Favor of the Process Model Laura H. F. Barde etal.

(1) Research on the (functional organization of the lateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) in working memory continues to be fairly equivocal between two major frameworks): organization-by-process **or** organization-by-material.

(2) Although there is fairly strong evidence for organization-by-process models from event-related fMRI studies, some investigators argue that the nature of the stimulus material **better(eb) defines** the functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).

(3) Specifically, the anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45) is hypothesized **to(+,x eb) subserve** semantic processing while the posterior region (BA 44) **may(eb) subserve** phonological processing.

(4) In the current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.

(5) Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task) **performed a(eb) modified** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance"), or (2) performed a semantic (size reordering) or phonological (alphabetical reordering) task on the word or nonwords lists, respectively ("manipulation").

(6) There was evidence during the delay period of task **to (e) support** claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing.

(7) Subjects did, however, display greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials **than (e)** maintenance trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory.

(8) development of the concept of transpersonal processes **and t**he bimodal theory of relational cognition

(9) bimodal concept illuminates developmental theory **and** facilitates the move from object relations theory toward a relational systems model

(10) the attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991) are both **congruent(=) and synergistic** with the transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

NOTATION

Module One

Organization-by-process **or** organization-by-material.

G_{13} : Category one of Organization-by-process

G_{14} : Category two of Organization-by-process

G_{15} : Category three of Organization-by-process

T_{13} : Category one of organization-by-material.

T_{14} : Category two of organization-by-material.

T_{15} : Category three of organization-by-material.

Module Two

Although there is fairly strong evidence for organization-by-process models from event-related fMRI studies, some investigators argue that the nature of the stimulus material **better(eb) defines** the functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).

G_{16} : Category one of nature of the stimulus material

G_{17} : Category two of nature of the stimulus material

G_{18} : Category three of nature of the stimulus material

T_{16} : Category one of functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).

T_{17} : Category two of functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).

T_{18} : Category three of functional organization of the lateral PFC, particularly in more ventral regions (BA 47/45/44).

Module three

Current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.

G_{20} : Category one of process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task

G_{21} : Category two of process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task

G_{22} : Category three of process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task

T_{20} : Category one of material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.

T_{21} : Category two of material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.

T_{22} : Category three of material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task.

Module four

Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task) **performed a(eb) modified** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance"), or (2) performed a semantic (size reordering) or phonological (alphabetical reordering) task on the word or nonwords lists, respectively ("manipulation").

G_{24} : Category one of Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task)

G_{25} : Category two of Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task)

G_{26} : Category three of Subjects (conditions and circumstances of current event-related fMRI study, is the direct compare process-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task **versus** material-related organizational principles in a verbal working memory task)

T_{24} : Category one of **performance and modification** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance")

T_{25} : Category two of **performance and modification** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance")

T_{26} : Category three of **performance and modification** delayed response task in which they (1) retained a list of five words or five nonwords during the delay period ("maintenance")

Module five

There was evidence during the delay period of task **to (e) support** claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing

G_{28} : Category one of delay period of task

G_{29} : Category two of delay period of task

G_{30} : Category three of delay period of task

T_{28} : Category one of claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing

T_{29} : Category two of claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing

T_{30} : Category three of claims of anterior-posterior specializations in the ventrolateral PFC for semantic versus phonological processing

Module six

Specifically, the anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45) is hypothesized **to(+,x eb) subserve** semantic processing while the posterior region (BA 44) **may(eb) subserve** phonological processing

G_{32} : Category one of anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45)

G_{33} : Category two of anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45)

G_{34} : Category three of anterior region of the ventrolateral PFC (BA 47/45)

T_{32} : Category one of semantic processing

T_{33} : Category two of semantic processing

T_{34} : Category three of semantic processing

Module seven

G_{36} : Category one of posterior region (BA 44)

G_{37} : Category two of posterior region (BA 44)

G_{38} : Category three of posterior region (BA 44)

T_{36} : Category one of phonological processing

T_{37} : Category two of phonological processing

T_{38} : Category three of phonological processing

Module eight

Subjects did, however, display greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials **than (e)** neuronal activity during the delay period of maintenance trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory.

G_{40} : Category one of neuronal activity during the delay period of **maintenance** trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory

G_{41} : Category two of neuronal activity during the delay period of **maintenance** trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory

G_{42} : Category three of neuronal activity during the delay period of maintenance trials in both the dorsolateral PFC and posterior ventrolateral regions. These data are more consistent with the process model of the organization of lateral PFC in verbal working memory

T_{40} : Category one of display of greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials

T_{41} : Category two of display of greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials

T_{42} : Category three of display of greater neuronal activity during the delay period of manipulation trials

Module Nine

development of the concept of transpersonal processes **and t**he bimodal theory of relational cognition

G_{44} : Category one of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

G_{45} : Category two of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

G_{46} : Category three of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

T_{44} : Category one of bimodal theory of relational cognition

T_{45} : Category two of bimodal theory of relational cognition

T_{46} : Category three of bimodal theory of relational cognition

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}, (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30}$ 27

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{28}$ 28

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{29}$ 29

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{30}$ 30

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32}$ 31

$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33}$ 32

$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34}$ 33

$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{32}$ 34

$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{33}$ 35

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{34}$ 36

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36}$ 37

$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37}$ *38*

$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38}$ *39*

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \\ -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \\ -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) \\ -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \\ -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & & \end{array}\right] T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for

category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{20}')^{(3)} & +(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{21}')^{(3)} & +(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{22}')^{(3)} & +(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad (70)$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad (71)$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad (72)$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \qquad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & +(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & +(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & +(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$

are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)}+(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$
$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & +(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & +(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \tag{97}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \tag{98}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (99)

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: (100)

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together

with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}\ (T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\ \big((G_{43}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - \ T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \tag{145}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{25} , $G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8
Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If $G_{24}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t),t))=(b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to\infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}')^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) \quad 237$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}'')^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i(t)\geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)}>0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t))=(b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29}\to\infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t>t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)}-(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)<\varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t)>(m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{32}')^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq$ 248

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)}t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_i)^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}), ((G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered 260

as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)}G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If $G_{36}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right)=(b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{267}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{268}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \tag{269}$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \tag{273}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\,((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t\ > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}\,(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279

A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})} : (\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}), (T_{47}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and

respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G^0_{13}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G^0_{15} e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le\right.$ 286

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le -(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le -(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)},(v_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$ 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0, (v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0, (u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^0_{20}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\Big] + G^0_{22}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \quad 324$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)},(v_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},v^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)}=(v_0)^{(4)},(m_1)^{(4)}=(v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)}<(v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$$ 336

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)}, (v_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, v^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously 341

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+G_{30}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)}\le-(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(7)}\le-(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)},(v_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},v^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)}<(v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\le G_{42}(t)\le\right. \quad 377$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\le T_{42}(t)\le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\le-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\le-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)},(\nu_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},\nu^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

$$\text{it follows } (\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} \quad (387)$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ (388)

It follows (389)

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains (390)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get (391)

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(3)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t)=\frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)}\leq u^{(3)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{u^{(3)}(t)=\frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t)=\frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t)=\frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)v^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}v^{(6)}$$

Definition of $v^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (v_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

$$v^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

418

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

419

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)},(v_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}<(v_1)^{(8)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(8)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}<(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{v^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)}\le u^{(8)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(i) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$, $G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$, $G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, \mathrm{T}^*_{17}$ given by $f(\mathrm{T}^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$\mathrm{G}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{16})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{G}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{18})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{18})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad 513$$

$$\mathrm{T}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{16})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{18})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{21}$ given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0\,, T^*_{25}$ given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*}) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (536)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (537)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (538)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (539)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (541)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (542)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (543)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (544)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (545)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (546)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- (548)

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\big(\,(G_{39})^{**}\,\big) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)})\{((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 10

Transpersonal Processes, Karma (Individual Or Natures General Ledger), Dharma (Rules And Regulations Generally Specified By The Society:Assumed Who Ever Perpetrates Action In Transgression And Prevarication Of The Defined Regulations): A Qualitative Gradient Of Structural Differentiation And Diffuse Solidarity Abstraction Model

Introduction—Variables Used

(1) development of the concept of transpersonal processes **and t**he bimodal theory of relational cognition
(2) bimodal concept illuminates developmental theory **and** facilitates the move from object relations theory toward a relational systems model
(3) the attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991) are both **congruent(=) and synergistic** with the transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)
(4) Asymmetric Freedom and Fourier series classical dynamical systems
(5) Quantum field Theory and Statistical mechanics and yang Baxter Equations
(6) Quantum field Theory and rational Conformal Field Theory
(7) Quantum field Theory and Bose fermi Equivalence Controllable Quantum register and Coherent manipulation of electron spin
(8) Life (We assume that thought is produced by spatio temporal actualization or nonactualisation the General ledger of an individual and natures' General ledger) e Dharma (Accepted rules and regulations, what ever they are. Like childhood, schooling, marriage, etc.,)
(9) Karma (the indiscreet actions performed attributable for the discretionary power vested with the individual: refer Wall Street fat cats example) ebPunishment or death eventually of the basis on which the actions are perpetrated.

NOTATION

Module One

development of the concept of transpersonal processes **and t**he bimodal theory of relational cognition

G_{13} : Category one of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

G_{14} : Category two of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

G_{15} : Category three of development of the concept of transpersonal processes

T_{13} : Category one of bimodal theory of relational cognition

T_{14} : Category two of bimodal theory of relational cognition

T_{15} : Category three of bimodal theory of relational cognition

Module Two

bimodal concept illuminates developmental theory **and** facilitates the movement from object relations theory toward a relational systems model

G_{16} : Category one of bimodal concept and developmental theory

G_{17} : Category two of bimodal concept and developmental theory

G_{18} : Category three of bimodal concept and developmental theory

T_{16} : Category one of movement from object relations theory toward a relational systems model

T_{17} : Category two of movement from object relations theory toward a relational systems model

T_{18} : Category three of movement from object relations theory toward a relational systems model

Module three

attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991) are both **congruent and synergistic** with the transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

G_{20} : Category one of attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991)

G_{21} : Category two of attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991)

G_{22} : Category three of attachment paradigm and the notions of internal working models (Bowlby, 1973) and self-reflective capacity (Fonagy, 1991)

T_{20} : Category one of transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

T_{21} : Category two of transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

T_{22} : Category three of transpersonal and bimodal concepts.(Roy C. Muir)

Module four

Asymmetric Freedom and Fourier series classical dynamical systems

G_{24} : Category one of Asymmetric Freedom

G_{25} : Category two of Asymmetric Freedom

G_{26} : Category three of Asymmetric Freedom

T_{24} : Category one of Fourier series classical dynamical systems

T_{25} : Category two of Fourier series classical dynamical systems

T_{26} : Category three of Fourier series classical dynamical systems

Module five

Quantum field Theory and Statistical mechanics and Yang Baxter Equations

G_{28} : Category one of Quantum field Theory and Statistical mechanics

G_{29} : Category two of Quantum field Theory and Statistical mechanics

G_{30} : Category three of Quantum field Theory and Statistical mechanics

T_{28} : Category one of Yang Baxter Equations

T_{29} : Category two of Yang Baxter Equations

T_{30} : Category three of Yang Baxter Equations

Module six

Quantum field Theory and Rational Conformal Field Theory

G_{32} : Category one of Quantum field Theory

G_{33} : Category two of Quantum field Theory

G_{34} : Category three of Quantum field Theory

T_{32} : Category one of Rational Conformal Field Theory

T_{33} : Category two of Rational Conformal Field Theory

T_{34} : Category three of Rational Conformal Field Theory

Module seven

Quantum field Theory and Bose fermi Equivalence Controllable Quantum register and Coherent manipulation of electron spin

G_{36} : Category one of Quantum field Theory

G_{37} : Category two of Quantum field Theory

G_{38} : Category three of Quantum field Theory

T_{36} : Category one of Bose fermi Equivalence Controllable Quantum register and Coherent manipulation of electron spin

T_{37} : Category two of Bose fermi Equivalence Controllable Quantum register and Coherent manipulation of electron spin

T_{38} : Category three of Bose fermi Equivalence Controllable Quantum register and Coherent

manipulation of electron spin

Module eight

Life (We assume that thought is produced by spatio temporal actualization or nonactualisation the General ledger of an individual and natures' General ledger) e Dharma (Accepted rules and regulations, what ever they are. Like childhood, schooling, marriage, etc.,)

G_{40} : Category one of Life (We assume that thought is produced by spatio temporal actualization or nonactualisation the General ledger of an individual and natures' General ledger)

G_{41} : Category two of Life (We assume that thought is produced by spatio temporal actualization or nonactualisation the General ledger of an individual and natures' General ledger)

G_{42} : Category three of Life (We assume that thought is produced by spatio temporal actualization or nonactualisation the General ledger of an individual and natures' General ledger)

T_{40} : Category one of Dharma (Accepted rules and regulations, what ever they are. Like childhood, schooling, marriage, nonassociation with acts of criminality, and value orientations like integrity and honesty, This of course could be ascertained by the actions themselves.etc.,)

T_{41} : Category two of Dharma (Accepted rules and regulations, what ever they are. Like childhood, schooling, marriage, nonassociation with acts of criminality, and value orientations like integrity and honesty, This of course could be ascertained by the actions themselves.etc.,)

T_{42} : Category three of Dharma (Accepted rules and regulations, what ever they are. Like childhood, schooling, marriage, nonassociation with acts of criminality, and value orientations like integrity and honesty, This of course could be ascertained by the actions themselves.etc.,)

Karma (the indiscreet actions performed attributable for the discretionary power vested with the individual: refer Wall Street fat cats example) eb Punishment or death eventually of the basis on which the actions are perpetrated.

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of Karma (the indiscreet actions performed attributable for the discretionary power vested with the individual: refer Wall Street fat cats example)

G_{45} : Category two of Karma (the indiscreet actions performed attributable for the discretionary power vested with the individual: refer Wall Street fat cats example)

G_{46} : Category three of Karma (the indiscreet actions performed attributable for the discretionary power vested with the individual: refer Wall Street fat cats example)

T_{44} : Category one of Punishment or death eventually of the basis on which the actions are perpetrated.

T_{45} : Category two of Punishment or death eventually of the basis on which the actions are perpetrated.

T_{46} : Category three of Punishment or death eventually of the basis on which the actions are perpetrated.

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ , \ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient

for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{13}')^{(1)}\,-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{14}')^{(1)}\,-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{15}')^{(1)}\,-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt}=(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt}=(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & +(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\left[+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right] & \left[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$
are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (92)$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (93)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} & -(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)} & -(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)} & -(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$

$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t) - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T'_{14}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t)-(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)|<(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G-G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}},\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)},(a_i')^{(1)},(b_i)^{(1)},(b_i')^{(1)},(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)},i=13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)}+(a_i')^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)}+(b_i')^{(1)}+(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(a_i'')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(b_i'')^{(2)}>0,\quad i,j=16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)},(b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le(p_i)^{(2)}\le(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)\le(r_i)^{(2)}\le(b_i')^{(2)}\le(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)=(p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)=(r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},(r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17}-T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t)-(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)|<(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19})-(G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)},i=16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0,\quad i,j=20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)},\ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le(p_i)^{(3)}\le(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(3)}\le(b_i')^{(3)}\le(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23},t)=\ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21}-\ T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}{}',t)-(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)|<(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23}-G_{23}{}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30$$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\,A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$
$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$
$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t)-G_{16}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\right)\right]ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_{i}^{0}) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_{i}^{0}) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t)\leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t)\leq G_{44}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\right)\right]ds_{(44)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i(\mathrm{t})=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i(\mathrm{t})=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad (209)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad (214)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ (216)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a_{21}')^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a_{22}')^{(3)}$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)})d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_2\ and\ ((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \qquad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{40}')^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$

$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$
$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq$ 273
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}; (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41} \; and \; G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41} \; or \; G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41} \; and \; G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then 278

$T_{41} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t), t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\big) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \big((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\big)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\big((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\big)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\Big(\big((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}\big), \big((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)}\big)\Big) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}$: $\big(\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}\big) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), (T_{47})\big)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\big)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\big) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\big(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\big)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right) d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on (G_{47})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47})(t), t\big) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \leq -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad 294$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t}-e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\le T_{18}(t)\le \qquad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)},(S_2)^{(2)},(R_1)^{(2)},(R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)}=(a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)}-(a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)}=(a_{18})^{(2)}-(p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)}=(b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{16})^{(2)} \qquad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)}=(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\le -(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}-(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)}\le -(b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)-(b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}),t\big)\le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)},(v_2)^{(3)},(u_1)^{(3)},(u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(3)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_0)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)}<(v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)}<(v_0)^{(3)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(v_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}<(v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad (326)$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad (327)$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- (328)

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: (329)

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t}-e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\leq T_{26}(t)\leq$ 336

$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\leq-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\leq-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)},(v_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},v^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(v_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)}<(v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(v_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)}<(v_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously 341

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G^0_{32} e^{\left((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G^0_{32} e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}(\nu^{(7)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}(u^{(7)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}(\nu^{(7)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)})t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)})t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)})t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)})t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq v^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \leq v^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq v^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t)=\frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)}=(a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)}=(\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)}=(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)}=(b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)}=(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)}=(u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t)=(u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation

between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(j) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$, $G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^* for which $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^* :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^* for which $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a_{36}')^{(7)} + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a_{38}')^{(7)} + (a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a_{40}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a_{42}')^{(8)} + (a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a_{44}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a_{46}')^{(9)} + (a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right] + (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right] + (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right] + (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see

that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^* such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right] + (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{37}^* such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^* such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^* such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\quad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left.\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left.\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)\right.$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 11

Quantum Entanglement, Non Locality, Computation Of Minimum Energy, Relation Of The Systems Et Al.A Model With Primary Focus And Locus On The Essence ,Sense And Perception

Introduction—Variables Used

(1) Quantum entanglement and nonlocality
(2) Computation of the minimum energy of some system of n particles and(e) exponentially increasing number of steps in n
(3) Actual relation of the system and (e) Exponential time for relaxation
(4) Quantum system and(e) computations
(5) Quantum gates and Spaces between one or two qubits
(6) Quantum computing(e) and Quantum Logic gates
(7) Number of input Qubits in a Quantum gate=(equivalent to Number of Qubits in a quantum Gate

NOTATION

Module One
Quantum entanglement and nonlocality

G_{13} : Category one of **Quantum entanglement**

G_{14} : Category two of **Quantum entanglement**

G_{15} : Category three of **Quantum entanglement**

T_{13} : Category one of **nonlocality**

T_{14} : Category two of nonlocality

T_{15} : Category three of nonlocality

Module Two

Computation of the minimum energy of some system of n particles and(e) exponentially increasing number of steps in n

G_{16} : Category one of **exponentially increasing number of steps in n**

G_{17} : Category two of **exponentially increasing number of steps in n**

G_{18} : Category three of **exponentially increasing number of steps in n**

T_{16} : Category one of **Computation of the minimum energy of some system of n particles**

T_{17} : Category two of **Computation of the minimum energy of some system of n particles**

T_{18} : Category three of **Computation of the minimum energy of some system of n particles**

Module three

Actual relation of the system and (e) Exponential time for relaxation

G_{20} : Category one of **Exponential time for relaxation**

G_{21} : Category two of **Exponential time for relaxation**

G_{22} : Category three of **Exponential time for relaxation**

T_{20} : Category one of **Actual relation of the system**

T_{21} : Category two of **Actual relation of the system**

T_{22} : Category three of **Actual relation of the system**

Module four

Quantum system and(e) computations

G_{24} : Category one of **computations**

G_{25} : Category two of **computations**

G_{26} : Category three of **computations**

T_{24} : Category one of **Quantum system**

T_{25} : Category two of **Quantum system**

T_{26} : Category three of **Quantum system**

Module five

Quantum gates and Spaces between one or two qubits

G_{28} : Category one of **Quantum gates**

G_{29} : Category two of **Quantum gates**

G_{30} : Category three of **Quantum gates**

T_{28} : Category one of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

T_{29} : Category two of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

T_{30} : Category three of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

Module six

G_{32} : Category one of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

G_{33} : Category two of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

G_{34} : Category three of **Spaces between one or two qubits**

T_{32} : Category one of **Quantum gates**

T_{33} : Category two of **Quantum gates**

T_{34} : Category three of **Quantum gates**

Module seven

Number of input Qubits in a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Number of Qubits in a quantum Gate

G_{36} : Category one of **Number of input Qubits in a Quantum gate**

G_{37} : Category two of **Number of input Qubits in a Quantum gate**

G_{38} : Category three of **Number of input Qubits in a Quantum gate**

T_{36} : Category one of **Number of Qubits in a quantum Gate**

T_{37} : Category two of **Number of Qubits in a quantum Gate**

T_{38} : Category three of **Number of Qubits in a quantum Gate**

Module eight

Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate and (X) Vector which represents the quantum gate

G_{40} : Category one of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

G_{41} : Category two of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

G_{42} : Category three of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

T_{40} : Category one of**(X) Vector which represents the quantum gate**

T_{41} : Category two of**(X) Vector which represents the quantum gate**

T_{42} : Category three of**(X) Vector which represents the quantum gate**

Quantum Registers and (e) basis for scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System.

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of **Quantum Registers**

G_{45} : Category two of **Quantum Registers**

G_{46} : Category three of **Quantum Registers**

T_{44} : Category one of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

T_{45} : Category two of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

T_{46} : Category three of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15}$ 6

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16}$ 7

$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17}$ 8

$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18}$ 9

$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16}$ 10

$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17}$ 11

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18}$ 12

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$ 13

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21}$ 14

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22}$ 15

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20}$ 16

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21}$ 17

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30}$ 27

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad (67)$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \quad 70$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \quad 71$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \quad 72$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2$ 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\left[-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \quad (123)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (124)

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: (125)

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: (126)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \quad (127)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166 A

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_{0}^{t}(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_{0}^{t}\{(a_{13}')^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a_{13}')^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\,G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{13}'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_{13}'')^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a_{14}')^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(3)} - (a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq$$ 259
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad (269)$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: (276)

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})})=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}),(T_{47}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}|(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)})-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)})d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};(G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)})_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}\left((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}(\nu^{(8)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}(u^{(8)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\le v^{(1)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\le u^{(1)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 453

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 454

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(k) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 12

Quantum Gate, Scalable Optically Coupled Quantum Information System, Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation Et Al. : A Model Si Tacuisses, Philosophus

Mansisses -Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

(1) Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate and (X) Vector which represents the quantum gate
(2) Quantum Registers and (e) basis for scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System.
(3) Electron spins in a coupled semi conductor quantum dots and Quantum gate mechanism
(4) Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation or by magnetic fields Dephasing due to nuclear spins in GCAs
(5) Quantum Computation and Quantum Logic gates
(6) Quantum Computation and Interaction of n pairs of Quantum Bits
(7) Quantum gates and Measurement sequences
(8) MBQC(Measurement based Quantum Computation) and Resource state suitable for gate teleportation
(9) Effective coupling in the Heisenberg Model and Magnetic fields and electric fields

NOTATION

Module One

Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate and (X)Vector which represents the quantum gate

G_{13} : Category one of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

G_{14} : Category two of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

G_{15} : Category three of **Action of a Quantum gate and (equivalent to) Matrix representing the gate**

T_{13} : Category one of **(X)Vector which represents the quantum gate**

T_{14} : Category two of **(X)Vector which represents the quantum gate**

T_{15} : Category three of **(X)Vector which represents the quantum gate**

Module Two

Quantum Registers and (e) basis for scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System

G_{16} : Category one of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

G_{17} : Category two of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

G_{18} : Category three of **scalable optically coupled Quantum Information System**

T_{16} : Category one of **Quantum Registers**

T_{17} : Category two of **Quantum Registers**

T_{18} : Category three of **Quantum Registers**

Module three

Electron spins in a coupled semi conductor quantum dots and Quantum gate mechanism

G_{20} : Category one of **Electron spins in a coupled semi conductor quantum dots**

G_{21} : Category two of **Electron spins in a coupled semi conductor quantum dots**

G_{22} : Category three of **Electron spins in a coupled semi conductor quantum dots**

T_{20} : Category one of **Quantum gate mechanism**

T_{21} : Category two of **Quantum gate mechanism**

T_{22} : Category three of **Quantum gate mechanism**

Module four

Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation or by magnetic fields and Dephasing due to nuclear spins in GCAs

G_{24} : Category one of **Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation or by magnetic fields**

G_{25} : Category two of **Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation or by magnetic fields**

G_{26} : Category three of **Dynamical Nuclear Spin Polarisation or by magnetic fields**

T_{24} : Category one of **Dephasing due to nuclear spins in GCAs**

T_{25} : Category two of **Dephasing due to nuclear spins in GCAs**

T_{26} : Category three of **Dephasing due to nuclear spins in GCAs**

Module five

Quantum Computation and Quantum Logic gates

G_{28} : Category one of **Quantum Computation**

G_{29} : Category two of **Quantum Computation**

G_{30} : Category three of **Quantum Computation**

T_{28} : Category one of **Quantum Logic gates**

T_{29} : Category two of **Quantum Logic gates**

T_{30} : Category three of **Quantum Logic gates**

Module six

Quantum Computation and Interaction of n pairs of Quantum Bits

G_{32} : Category one of **Quantum Computation**

G_{33} : Category two of **Quantum Computation**

G_{34} : Category three of **Quantum Computation**

T_{32} : Category one of **Interaction of n pairs of Quantum Bits**

T_{33} : Category two of **Interaction of n pairs of Quantum Bits**

T_{34} : Category three of **Interaction of n pairs of Quantum Bits**

Module seven

Quantum gates and Measurement sequences

G_{36} : Category one of **Quantum gates**

G_{37} : Category two of **Quantum gates**

G_{38} : Category three of **Quantum gates**

T_{36} : Category one of **Measurement sequences**

T_{37} : Category two of **Measurement sequences**

T_{38} : Category three of **Measurement sequences**

Module eight

MBQC(Measurement based Quantum Computation) and Resource state suitable for gate teleportation

G_{40} : Category one of **MBQC(Measurement based Quantum Computation)**

G_{41} : Category two of **MBQC(Measurement based Quantum Computation)**

G_{42} : Category three of **MBQC(Measurement based Quantum Computation)**

T_{40} : Category one of **Resource state suitable for gate teleportation**

T_{41} : Category two of **Resource state suitable for gate teleportation**

T_{42} : Category three of **Resource state suitable for gate teleportation**

Module Nine

Effective coupling in the Heisenberg Model and Magnetic fields and electric fields

G_{44} : Category one of **Effective coupling in the Heisenberg Model**

G_{45} : Category two of **Effective coupling in the Heisenberg Model**

G_{46} : Category three of **Effective coupling in the Heisenberg Model**

T_{44} : Category one of **Magnetic fields and electric fields**

T_{45} : Category two of **Magnetic fields and electric fields**

T_{46} : Category three of **Magnetic fields and electric fields**

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}, (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$

are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \qquad (70)$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \qquad (71)$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad (72)$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \qquad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{29}')^{(5)}+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{30}')^{(5)}+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt}=(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(b_{28}')^{(5)}-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$

are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)}+(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} \qquad 95$$

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)} & +(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} & +(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & +(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & +(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \tag{97}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \tag{98}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (99)

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: (100)

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together

with $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with
$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}\ (T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\ \big((G_{43}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - \ T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{25} , $G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8
Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If $G_{24}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t),t))=(b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to\infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}')^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) \quad (237)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}'')^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i(t)\geq T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. (241)

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t))=(b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29}\to\infty$. (242)

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t>t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}-(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)<\varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t)>(m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. (252)

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. (253)

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_i)^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to (254)

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}), ((G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered 260

as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)}G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right)=(b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{267}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{268}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \tag{269}$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \tag{273}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\,((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t\ > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}\,(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ \ t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279

A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})} : (\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}), (T_{47}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and

respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G^0_{13}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G^0_{15} e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le\right.$ 286

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)},(v_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$ 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0, (v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0, (u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^0_{20}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\Big] + G^0_{22}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \quad 324$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)},(v_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},v^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)}=(v_0)^{(4)},(m_1)^{(4)}=(v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)}<(v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le$$ 336

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)}, (v_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, v^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$$

and analogously 341

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+G_{30}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)}\le-(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)}\le-(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)},(v_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},v^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)}>0,(v_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0,(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(7)}<(v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\le G_{42}(t)\le\right. \quad 377$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\le T_{42}(t)\le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\le-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\le-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)},(\nu_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},\nu^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \Big((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\Big) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\Big((a_{14})^{(1)}\big(v^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\Big) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\Big((a_{14})^{(1)}\big(v^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\Big)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(3)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t)=\frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)}\leq u^{(3)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{u^{(3)}(t)=\frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t)=\frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t)=\frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)v^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}v^{(6)}$$

Definition of $v^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$v^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

418

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

419

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)},(v_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}<(v_1)^{(8)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(8)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}<(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{v^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)}\le u^{(8)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(l) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, \mathrm{T}^*_{17}$ given by $f(\mathrm{T}^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$\mathrm{G}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{16})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{G}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{18})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{18})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \qquad 513$$

$$\mathrm{T}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{16})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{18})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{21}$ given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0\,, T^*_{25}$ given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*}) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (536)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (537)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (538)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (539)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (541)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (542)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (543)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (544)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (545)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (546)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- (548)

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\big(\,(G_{39})^{**}\,\big) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\{(\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\{(\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\{(\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 13

Quantum Computation, Measurement-Based Quantum Computation, Fermionic Measurement: A Sapient A Et Veritas Eloquent A Model

Introduction—Variables Used

Measurement-based quantum computation H. J. Briegel1,2, D. E. Browne3, W. Dür1,2, R. Raussendorf4 & M. Van den Nest2,5

(1) Quantum computation offers a promising new kind of information processing, where the non-classical features of quantum mechanics are harnessed and exploited. A number of models of quantum computation exist. These models have been shown to be formally equivalent, but their underlying elementary concepts and the requirements for their practical realization can differ significantly. A particularly exciting paradigm is that of measurement-based quantum computation, **where (e) the** processing of quantum information takes place by rounds of simple measurements on qubits prepared in a highly entangled state. Authors review recent developments in measurement-based quantum computation with a view to both fundamental and practical issues, in particular the power of quantum computation, the protection against noise (fault tolerance) and steps towards experimental realization. Finally, they highlight a number of connections between this field and other branches of physics and mathematics.

Quantum information and computation Charles H. Bennett & David P. DiVincenzo

(2) In information processing, as in physics, our classical world view provides an incomplete approximation to an underlying quantum reality. Quantum effects like interference and entanglement play no direct role in conventional information processing, but they can—in principle now, but probably eventually in practice—**be harnessed(e) to** break codes, create unbreakable codes, and speed up otherwise intractable computations.

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(3) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

(4) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC), which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state.

(5) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions.

(6) In particular, paper provides(eb) a general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC **based (e)on the** fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

(7) Paper gives a specific fermionic states which enables universal MBQC and demonstrate that the nonlocality inherent in fermion systems can be properly **taken care(e&eb) of with** suitable measurement schemes. Such a framework opens up possibilities of finding MBQC resource states which can be more readily realized in the laboratory.

A graphical approach to measurement-based quantum computing :Ross Duncan

(8) Quantum computations are easily represented in the graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus. Authors demonstrate graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus and its use in **reasoning(eb) about** measurement-based quantum computing,

(9) graphical syntax directly **captures(e)** the structure of the entangled states used to represent computations,

(10) graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states **gives rise (eb)to** rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs.

NOTATION

Module One

Measurement-based quantum computation H. J. Briegel1,2, D. E. Browne3, W. Dür1,2, R. Raussendorf4 & M. Van den Nest2,5

Quantum computation offers a promising new kind of information processing, where the non-classical features of quantum mechanics are harnessed and exploited. A number of models of quantum computation exist. These models have been shown to be formally equivalent, but their underlying elementary concepts and the requirements for their practical realization can differ significantly. A particularly exciting paradigm is that of measurement-based quantum computation, **where (e) the** processing of quantum information takes place by rounds of simple measurements on qubits prepared in a highly entangled state. Authors review recent developments in measurement-based quantum computation with a view to both fundamental and practical issues, in particular the power of quantum computation, the protection against noise (fault tolerance) and steps towards experimental realization. Finally, they highlight a number of connections between this field and other branches of physics and mathematics.

G_{13} : Category one of processing of quantum information takes place by rounds of simple measurements on qubits prepared in a highly entangled state

G_{14} : Category two of processing of quantum information takes place by rounds of simple measurements on qubits prepared in a highly entangled state

G_{15} : Category three of processing of quantum information takes place by rounds of simple measurements on qubits prepared in a highly entangled state

T_{13} : Category one of measurement-based quantum computation

T_{14} : Category two of measurement-based quantum computation

T_{15} : Category three of measurement-based quantum computation

Module Two

Quantum information and computation Charles H. Bennett & David P. DiVincenzo

In information processing, as in physics, our classical world view provides an incomplete approximation to an underlying quantum reality. Quantum effects like interference and entanglement play no direct role in conventional information processing, but they can—in principle now, but probably eventually in practice—**be harnessed(e) to** break codes, create unbreakable codes, and speed up otherwise intractable computations

G_{16} : Category one of break codes, create unbreakable codes, and speed up otherwise intractable computations

G_{17} : Category two of break codes, create unbreakable codes, and speed up otherwise intractable computations

G_{18} : Category three of break codes, create unbreakable codes, and speed up otherwise intractable computations

T_{16} : Category one of Quantum effects like interference and entanglement

T_{17} : Category two of Quantum effects like interference and entanglement

T_{18} : Category three of Quantum effects like interference and entanglement

Module three

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

G_{20} : Category one of Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles

G_{21} : Category two of Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles

G_{22} : Category three of Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles

T_{20} : Category one of quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

T_{21} : Category two of quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

T_{22} : Category three of quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

Module four

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(1) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.
(2) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC), which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper

fermionic many-body resource state

G_{24} : Category one of manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC)

G_{25} : Category two of manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC)

G_{26} : Category three of manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC)

T_{24} : Category one of quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state

T_{25} : Category two of quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state

T_{26} : Category three of quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state

Module five

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(1) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.
(2) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC), which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state.
(3) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions.

G_{28} : Category one of fermions.

G_{29} : Category two of fermions.

G_{30} : Category three of fermions.

T_{28} : Category one of spin MBQC

T_{29} : Category two of spin MBQC

T_{30} : Category three of spin MBQC

Module six

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(1) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.
(2) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC),

which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state.

(3) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions.

(4) In particular, paper provides(eb) a general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC **based (e)on the** fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

G_{32} : Category one of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

G_{33} : Category two of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

G_{34} : Category three of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

T_{32} : Category one of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

T_{33} : Category two of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

T_{34} : Category three of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

Module seven

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(1) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.

(2) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC), which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state.

(3) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions.

(4) In particular, paper provides(eb) a general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC **based (e)on the** fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

(5) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions

G_{36} : Category one of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

G_{37} : Category two of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

G_{38} : Category three of fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.

T_{36} : Category one of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

T_{37} : Category two of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

T_{38} : Category three of general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC

Module eight

Fermionic measurement-based quantum computation :Yu-Ju Chiu, Xie Chen, and Isaac L. Chuang

(1) Fermions, as a major class of quantum particles, **provide (eb)** platforms for quantum information processing beyond the possibilities of spins or bosons, which have been studied more extensively.
(2) One particularly interesting model to study, in view of recent progress in manipulating ultracold fermion gases, is the fermionic version of measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC), which **implements (eb) full** quantum computation with only single-site measurements on a proper fermionic many-body resource state.
(3) However, it is not known which fermionic states can be used as the resource states for MBQC and how to find them. In this paper, authors generalize the framework of spin MBQC **to (e)** fermions.
(4) In particular, paper provides(eb) a general formalism to construct many-body entangled fermion resource states for MBQC **based (e)on the** fermionic projected entangled pair state representation.
(5) Paper gives a specific fermionic states which enables universal MBQC and demonstrate that the nonlocality inherent in fermion systems can be properly **taken care(e&eb) of with** suitable measurement schemes. (Such a framework opens up possibilities of finding MBQC resource states which can be more readily realized in the laboratory).

G_{40} : Category one of fermionic states which enables universal MBQC and demonstrate that the nonlocality inherent in fermion systems

G_{41} : Category two of fermionic states which enables universal MBQC and demonstrate that the nonlocality inherent in fermion systems

G_{42} : Category three of fermionic states which enables universal MBQC and demonstrate that the nonlocality inherent in fermion systems

T_{40} : Category one of suitable measurement schemes. (Such a framework opens up possibilities of finding MBQC resource states which can be more readily realized in the laboratory).

T_{41} : Category two of suitable measurement schemes. (Such a framework opens up possibilities of finding MBQC resource states which can be more readily realized in the laboratory).

T_{42} : Category three of suitable measurement schemes. (Such a framework opens up possibilities of finding MBQC resource states which can be more readily realized in the laboratory).

Module Nine

A graphical approach to measurement-based quantum computing :Ross Duncan

(1) Quantum computations are easily represented in the graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus. Authors demonstrate graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus and its use in **reasoning(eb) about** measurement-based quantum computing,

G_{44} : Category one of measurement-based quantum computing,

G_{45} : Category two of measurement-based quantum computing,

G_{46} : Category three of measurement-based quantum computing,

T_{44} : Category one of graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus

T_{45} : Category two of graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus

T_{46} : Category three of graphical notation known as the ZX-calculus, a.k.a. the red-green calculus

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45}, t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{16}')^{(2)} & +(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{17}')^{(2)} & +(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{18}')^{(2)} & +(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) \\ +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \\ +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & & \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{20}')^{(3)} & -(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{21}')^{(3)} & -(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \tag{72}$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{22}')^{(3)} & -(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ & \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ & \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ & \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ & \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \quad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \quad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \quad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)} & +(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)} & +(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)} & +(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21}-T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}{}',t)-(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)|<(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23}-G_{23}{}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}},\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}<1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)},i=20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)}+(a_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)}+(b_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(a_i'')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(b_i'')^{(4)}>0,\quad i,j=24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)},(b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le(p_i)^{(4)}\le(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(4)}\le(b_i')^{(4)}\le(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)=(p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)=\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25}-T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t)-(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27})-(G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)},i=24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}>0,\quad i,j=28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le(p_i)^{(5)}\le(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(5)}\le(b_i')^{(5)}\le(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)=(p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)=\ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29}-T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t)-(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31})-(G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \le \ (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38 \qquad 131$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} \qquad 132$$

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{38}(t) = T_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \,,\; T_i(0) = T_i^0 \,,\; G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \,, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , $G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\right)\right] ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)=(b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{191}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{192}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a_{16}')^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 199

$(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{208}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{209}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \tag{214}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad (223)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself (224)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (225)

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)})d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \qquad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32}<(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1-(a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36{,}37{,}38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36}, G_{38} and G_{36}, G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\, ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\} ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \leq \qquad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+\ (a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right) d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a'_{41})^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a'_{42})^{(8)}$$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})} : (\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}),(T_{47}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$

$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$
$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a_{44}'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_{44}'')^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45}\to\infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}-(b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t)>(m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt}\geq(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}-\varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_9 t})+T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\leq-(a_{13}')^{(1)}+(a_{14}')^{(1)}-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)}\leq-(b_{13}')^{(1)}+(b_{14}')^{(1)}-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)},(\nu_2)^{(1)},(u_1)^{(1)},(u_2)^{(1)},\nu^{(1)},u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G^0_{16} e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G^0_{16} e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G^0_{16} e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G^0_{16} e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\Big(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G^0_{16}}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\Big] + G^0_{18} e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le$ 312

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G^0_{16}}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}] + G^0_{18} e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\Big)$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

and analogously 322

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \quad \text{and } \boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \qquad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \qquad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \qquad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \qquad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \qquad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously

331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^0_{24}}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G^0_{26}e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+G_{34}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)},(S_2)^{(7)},(R_1)^{(7)},(R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)}=(a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)}-(a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)}=(a_{38})^{(7)}-(p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)}=(b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)}-(b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)}=(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\le-(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(8)}\le-(b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)-(b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(8)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)},(\nu_2)^{(8)},(u_1)^{(8)},(u_2)^{(8)},\nu^{(8)},u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)}>0,(u_2)^{(8)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)},(\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_0)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44} e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44} e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G^0_{44} e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G^0_{44} e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)}-(S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\leq G_{46}(t)\leq\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\leq T_{46}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)},(S_2)^{(9)},(R_1)^{(9)},(R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)}=(a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)}-(a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)}=(a_{46})^{(9)}-(p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)}=(b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)}-(b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)}=(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}=(a_{13})^{(1)}-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}-(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right)-(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)}-(a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \le u^{(1)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (v_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (v_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(v_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \leq (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq v^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)} v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, (v_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (v_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(v_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(4)} - (v_0)^{(4)}}{(v_0)^{(4)} - (v_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)v^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}v^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

414

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

415

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, (v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (v_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)} - (v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(m) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$

$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 513

$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^* = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42}^* = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

$$T_{40}^* = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{42}^* = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{43})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586
A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 14

Graphical Syntax, Structure Of The Entangled States, Quantum Programs, Et Al. Manifestation Of Histories And Determinate And Differential Aposteori Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

A graphical approach to measurement-based quantum computing :Ross Duncan:.

(1) graphical syntax directly **captures(e)** the structure of the entangled states used to represent computations,

(2) graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states **gives rise (eb)to** rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs

Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants: Akimasa Miyake

(3) Authors find that multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively), are **derived (e) from** a duality between entangled states and separable states.

(4) By means of the hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure

entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank in the bipartite case which **reveals (eb) how** inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.

(5) In particular, the generic entangled class of the maximal dimension, distinguished as the nonzero hyper determinant, does not include the maximally entangled states in Bell's inequalities in general (e.g., in the n>~4 qubits), contrary to the widely known bipartite or three-qubit cases **which (eb)suggests** that not only are they never locally interconvertible with the majority of multipartite entangled states, but they would have no grounds for the canonical n-partite entangled states. Our classification is also useful for the mixed states.

Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels: Charles H. Bennett, Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Richard Jozsa, Asher Peres, and William K. Wootters

(6) An unknown quantum state ‖φ〉 can be disassembled into, and then later **reconstructed (e) from**, purely classical information and purely nonclassical Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations. To do so the sender, ''Alice,'' and the receiver, ''Bob,'' must prearrange the sharing of an EPR-correlated pair of particles. Alice makes a joint measurement on her EPR particle and the unknown quantum system and sends Bob the classical result of this measurement. Knowing this, Bob can convert the state of his EPR particle into an exact replica of the unknown state ‖φ〉 which Alice destroyed.

Impossibility of deleting an unknown quantum state: Arun Kumar Pati1,2 & Samuel L. Braunstein1

(7) A photon in an arbitrary polarization state cannot be cloned perfectly1, 2. But suppose that at our disposal we have several copies of a photon in an unknown state. Is it possible to delete the information content of one or more of these photons by a physical process? Specifically, if two photons are in the same initial polarization state; is there a mechanism that produces one photon in the same initial state and the other in some standard polarization state? If this could be done, then one would create a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning3, 4 or exactly, by probabilistic cloning5, 6., which could (e)in principle be **useful in** quantum computation, where one could store new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information. Here we show, however, that the linearity of quantum theory **does not allow (e) us to delete a** copy of an arbitrary quantum state perfectly, though in a classical computer information can be deleted (reversibly) against a copy7, the analogous task cannot be accomplished, even irreversibly, with quantum information.

Unknown Quantum States: The Quantum de Finetti Representation Carlton M. Caves, Christopher A. Fuchs, Ruediger Schack

(8) Authors present an elementary proof of the quantum de Finetti representation theorem, a quantum analogue of de Finetti's classical theorem on exchangeable probability assignments. This contrasts with the original proof of Hudson and Moody [Z. Wahrschein. verw. Geb. 33, 343 (1976)], which relies on advanced mathematics and does not share the same potential for generalization. The classical de Finetti theorem **provides (eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

(9) The quantum de Finetti theorem, in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable density-operator assignments **and provides(eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an ``unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography. This result is especially important for information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature. Authors further demonstrate that the theorem

fails for real Hilbert spaces and discuss the significance of this point.

NOTATION

Module One

A graphical approach to measurement-based quantum computing :Ross Duncan, graphical syntax directly **captures(e)** the structure of the entangled states used to represent computations,

G_{13} : Category one of structure of the entangled states used to represent computations

G_{14} : Category two of structure of the entangled states used to represent computations

G_{15} : Category three of structure of the entangled states used to represent computations

T_{13} : Category one of, graphical syntax

T_{14} : Category two of, graphical syntax

T_{15} : Category three of graphical syntax

Module Two

A graphical approach to measurement-based quantum computing :Ross Duncan:.

(1) graphical syntax directly **captures(e)** the structure of the entangled states used to represent computations,

(2) graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states **gives rise (eb)to** rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs

G_{16} : Category one of graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states

G_{17} : Category two of graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states

G_{18} : Category three of graphical syntax shows that the notion of information flow within the entangled states

T_{16} : Category one of rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs

T_{17} : Category two of rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs

T_{18} : Category three of rewriting strategies for proving the correctness of quantum programs

Module three

Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants: Akimasa Miyake

Authors find that multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively), are **derived (e) from** a duality between entangled states and separable states.

G_{20} : Category one of duality between entangled states and separable states

G_{21} : Category two of duality between entangled states and separable states

G_{22} : Category three of duality between entangled states and separable states

T_{20} : Category one of multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively),

T_{21} : Category two of multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively),

T_{22} : Category three of multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively),

Module four

Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants: Akimasa Miyake

(1) Authors find that multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively), are **derived (e) from** a duality between entangled states and separable states.
(2) By means of the hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank in the bipartite case which **reveals (eb) how** inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.

G_{24} : Category one of hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank

G_{25} : Category two of hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank

G_{26} : Category three of hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank

T_{24} : Category one of inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.

T_{25} : Category two of inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.

T_{26} : Category three of inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.

Module five

Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants: Akimasa Miyake

(1) Authors find that multidimensional determinants "hyper determinants," related to entanglement measure (the so-called concurrence, or 3-tangle for two or three qubits, respectively), are **derived (e) from** a duality between entangled states and separable states.
(2) By means of the hyper determinant and its singularities, the single copy of multipartite pure entangled states is classified into an onion structure of every closed subset, similar to that by the local rank in the bipartite case which **reveals (eb) how** inequivalent multipartite entangled classes are partially ordered under local actions.
(3) generic entangled class of the maximal dimension, distinguished as the nonzero hyper determinant, does not include the maximally entangled states in Bell's inequalities in general (e.g., in the n>~4 qubits), contrary to the widely known bipartite or three-qubit cases **which (eb) suggests** that not only are they never locally interconvertible with the majority of multipartite entangled states, but

they would have no grounds for the canonical n-partite entangled states. Our classification is also useful for the mixed states

G_{28} : Category one of generic entangled class of the maximal dimension, distinguished as the nonzero hyper determinant, does not include the maximally entangled states in Bell's inequalities in general (e.g., in the n>~4 qubits), contrary to the widely known bipartite or three-qubit cases(systemal classification: we are talking of the classification based on the systemic characterstics)

G_{29} : Category two of generic entangled class of the maximal dimension, distinguished as the nonzero hyper determinant, does not include the maximally entangled states in Bell's inequalities in general (e.g., in the n>~4 qubits), contrary to the widely known bipartite or three-qubit cases(systemal classification: we are talking of the classification based on the systemic characterstics)

G_{30} : Category three of generic entangled class of the maximal dimension, distinguished as the nonzero hyper determinant, does not include the maximally entangled states in Bell's inequalities in general (e.g., in the n>~4 qubits), contrary to the widely known bipartite or three-qubit cases(systemal classification: we are talking of the classification based on the systemic characterstics)

T_{28} : Category one of interconvertibilites with the majority of multipartite entangled states, but they would have no grounds for the canonical n-partite entangled states. (Our classification is also useful for the mixed states)

T_{29} : Category two of interconvertibilites with the majority of multipartite entangled states, but they would have no grounds for the canonical n-partite entangled states. (Our classification is also useful for the mixed states)

T_{30} : Category three of interconvertibilites with the majority of multipartite entangled states, but they would have no grounds for the canonical n-partite entangled states. (Our classification is also useful for the mixed states)

Module six

Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels: Charles H. Bennett, Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Richard Jozsa, Asher Peres, and William K. Wootters

Unknown quantum states ‖φ^i can be disassembled into, and then later **reconstructed (e) from**, purely classical information and purely nonclassical Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations. (To do so the sender, ‘‘Alice,’’ and the receiver, ‘‘Bob,’’ must prearrange the sharing of an EPR-correlated pair of particles. Alice makes a joint measurement on her EPR particle and the unknown quantum system and sends Bob the classical result of this measurement. Knowing this, Bob can convert the state of his EPR particle into an exact replica of the unknown state ‖φ〉 which Alice destroyed)

G_{32} : Category one of purely classical information and purely nonclassical Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations. (To do so the sender, ‘‘Alice,’’ and the receiver, ‘‘Bob,’’ must prearrange the sharing of an EPR-correlated pair of particles. Alice makes a joint measurement on her EPR particle and the unknown quantum system and sends Bob the classical result of this measurement. Knowing this, Bob can convert the state of his EPR particle into an exact replica of the unknown state ‖φ〉 which Alice destroyed)

G_{33} : Category two of purely classical information and purely nonclassical Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations. (To do so the sender, ‘‘Alice,’’ and the receiver, ‘‘Bob,’’ must prearrange the sharing of an EPR-correlated pair of particles. Alice makes a joint measurement on her EPR particle and the unknown quantum system and sends Bob the classical result of this measurement. Knowing this, Bob

can convert the state of his EPR particle into an exact replica of the unknown state ‖φ〉 which Alice destroyed)

G_{34} : Category three of purely classical information and purely nonclassical Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations. (To do so the sender, ‘‘Alice,’’ and the receiver, ‘‘Bob,’’ must prearrange the sharing of an EPR-correlated pair of particles. Alice makes a joint measurement on her EPR particle and the unknown quantum system and sends Bob the classical result of this measurement. Knowing this, Bob can convert the state of his EPR particle into an exact replica of the unknown state ‖φ〉 which Alice destroyed)

T_{32} : Category one of Unknown quantum states ‖φ^i can be disassembly into, and then later **reconstruction**

T_{33} : Category two of Unknown quantum states ‖φ^i can be disassembly into, and then later **reconstruction**

T_{34} : Category three of Unknown quantum states ‖φ^i can be disassembly into, and then later **reconstruction**

Module seven

Impossibility of deleting an unknown quantum state: Arun Kumar Pati1,2 & Samuel L. Braunstein1

A photon in an arbitrary polarization state cannot be cloned perfectly1, 2. Suppose that at our disposal we have several copies of a photon in an unknown state. Is it possible to delete the information content of one or more of these photons by a physical process? Specifically, if two photons are in the same initial polarization state; is there a mechanism that produces one photon in the same initial state and the other in some standard polarization state? If this could be done, then one would create a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning3, 4 or exactly, by probabilistic cloning5, 6., ***which could (e)in principle*** be **useful in** quantum computation, where one could store new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information. Here authors show, however, that the linearity of quantum theory **does not allow (e) us to delete a** copy of an arbitrary quantum state perfectly, though in a classical computer information can be deleted (reversibly) against a copy7, the analogous task cannot be accomplished, even irreversibly, with quantum information

G_{36} : Category one of quantum computation, where one could store new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information

G_{37} : Category two of quantum computation, where one could store new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information

G_{38} : Category three of quantum computation, where one could store new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information

T_{36} : Category one of creation a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning3, 4 or exactly, by probabilistic cloning5, 6.,

T_{37} : Category two of creation a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning3, 4 or exactly, by probabilistic cloning5, 6.,

T_{38} : Category three of creation a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning3, 4 or exactly, by probabilistic cloning5, 6.,

Module eight

Unknown Quantum States: The Quantum de Finetti Representation Carlton M. Caves, Christopher A. Fuchs, Ruediger Schack

(1) Authors present an elementary proof of the quantum de Finetti representation theorem, a quantum analogue of de Finetti's classical theorem on exchangeable probability assignments. This contrasts with the original proof of Hudson and Moody [Z. Wahrschein. verw. Geb. 33, 343 (1976)], which relies on advanced mathematics and does not share the same potential for generalization. The classical de Finetti theorem **provides (eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

(2) The quantum de Finetti theorem,(again by implication the systemic characterstics that act as the basis for the stratification purposes) in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable density-operator assignments **and provides (eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an ``unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography. This result is especially important for information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature. Authors further demonstrate that the theorem fails for real Hilbert spaces and discuss the significance of this point.

G_{40} : Category one of classical de Finetti theorem (Here we are talking of the systems to which the de Finetti theorem could be applied. And the primordial characterstics could be used for the classification purposes)

G_{41} : Category two of classical de Finetti theorem (Here we are talking of the systems to which the de Finetti theorem could be applied. And the primordial characterstics could be used for the classification purposes)

G_{42} : Category three of classical de Finetti theorem (Here we are talking of the systems to which the de Finetti theorem could be applied. And the primordial characterstics could be used for the classification purposes)

T_{40} : Category one of unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

T_{41} : Category two of unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

T_{42} : Category three of unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

Module Nine

Unknown Quantum States: The Quantum de Finetti Representation Carlton M. Caves, Christopher A. Fuchs, Ruediger Schack

(1) Authors present an elementary proof of the quantum de Finetti representation theorem, a quantum analogue of de Finetti's classical theorem on exchangeable probability assignments. This contrasts with the original proof of Hudson and Moody [Z. Wahrschein. verw. Geb. 33, 343 (1976)], which relies on advanced mathematics and does not share the same potential for generalization. The classical de Finetti theorem **provides (eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an unknown probability in Bayesian probability theory, where probabilities are taken to be degrees of belief instead of objective states of nature.

(2) The quantum de Finetti theorem,(again by implication the systemic characterstics that act as the basis for the stratification purposes) in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable

density-operator assignments **and provides (eb) an** operational definition of the concept of an ``unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography. This result is especially important for information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature. Authors further demonstrate that the theorem fails for real Hilbert spaces and discuss the significance of this point.

G_{44} : Category one of quantum de Finetti theorem,(again by implication the systemic characterstics that act as the basis for the stratification purposes) in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable density-operator assignments

G_{45} : Category two of quantum de Finetti theorem,(again by implication the systemic characterstics that act as the basis for the stratification purposes) in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable density-operator assignments

G_{46} : Category three of quantum de Finetti theorem,(again by implication the systemic characterstics that act as the basis for the stratification purposes) in a closely analogous fashion, deals with exchangeable density-operator assignments

T_{44} : Category one of 'unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography and information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature.

T_{45} : Category two of 'unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography and information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature.

T_{46} : Category three of 'unknown quantum state" in quantum-state tomography and information-based interpretations of quantum mechanics, where quantum states, like probabilities, **are taken to be** states of knowledge rather than states of nature.

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$
$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$
$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$
$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$
$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for

category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \qquad (72)$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \qquad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \qquad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \qquad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$

$are\ sixth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$

$are\ seventh\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

$are\ eighth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \qquad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \qquad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{33}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \qquad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{34}')^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \le \ (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ (116)
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \quad (118)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (119)

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: (120)

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: (121)

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28{,}29{,}30 \quad (122)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \le (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$ 128

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

$(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46$ 146 A

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$

$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \, , \, T_i(0) = T_i^0 \, , \, G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \, , \, T_i(0) = T_i^0 \, , \, G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\ \hat{P}_{40}\ )^{(8)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\ \hat{Q}_{40}\ )^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\ \hat{P}_{40}\ )^{(8)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{40}\ )^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\ \hat{Q}_{40}\ )^{(8)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{40}\ )^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\ ,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\ ,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\ ,\ G_i^0 \le (\ \hat{P}_{44}\ )^{(9)}\ , T_i^0 \le (\ \hat{Q}_{44}\ )^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\ \hat{P}_{44}\ )^{(9)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{44}\ )^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\ \hat{Q}_{44}\ )^{(9)} e^{(\ \hat{M}_{44}\ )^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^{0} + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^{0} + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^{0} + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^{0}) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^{0} + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right) \quad (180)$$

From which it follows that (181)

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} = \left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose (182)

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (183)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) \left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}')^{(3)}|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)})|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}) - (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)} - {G_{23}}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) \quad 214$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(3)} - (a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}), (T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 238

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \quad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on (G_{35})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

257

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$
It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq$$ 259
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad (269)$$
$$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: (276)

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)}, (v_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(v_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(v^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(2)} < (v_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)} v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)} u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G^0_{36} e^{\left((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G^0_{36} e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}(\nu^{(8)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}(u^{(8)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \leq G_{45}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \leq G_{46}(t) \leq \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \leq T_{44}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \leq T_{46}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},(v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}<(v_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}<(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\leq\frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(1)}\leq(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}\leq\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{v^{(1)}(t)=\frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)}\leq u^{(1)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(1)},\quad\boxed{u^{(1)}(t)=\frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the

theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

$$\text{For } 0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (v_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

$$v^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (v_0)^{(7)}}{(v_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(v_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((v_1)^{(7)} - (v_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$, we obtain 419

$$(v_1)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq v^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{v^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $v^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)v^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}v^{(8)}$$

Definition of $v^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \le u^{(8)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}<(v_1)^{(9)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(9)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}<(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)}-(v_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(9)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)}=\frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)}\le v^{(9)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{v^{(9)}(t)=\frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)}\le u^{(9)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(9)},\quad\boxed{u^{(9)}(t)=\frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)} T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(n) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17})(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}')^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)}(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{21}')^{(3)}(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21})(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24}')^{(4)}(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{25}')^{(4)}(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25})(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28}')^{(5)}(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{29}')^{(5)}(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29})(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}')^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)}(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{33}')^{(6)}(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}')^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36}')^{(7)}(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{37}')^{(7)}(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37})(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}')^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40}')^{(8)}(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{41}')^{(8)}(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41})(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}')^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)}(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{45}')^{(9)}(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45})(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$, $G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$

$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$, $T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$, $G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$ 513

$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$, $T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]}$ 514

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$, $G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$

$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$, $T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$, $G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\quad \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^{*})=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{15}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T^*_{13}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T^*_{14}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T^*_{15}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{17})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T^*_{17}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{16}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G^*_{17}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}')^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}')^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{47})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}+(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}+(r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)} + (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)} + (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)})\{((\lambda)^{(8)} + (a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 15

Principle Of Locality And Quantum Theory, Bell's Inequalities, Atomic Cascades Et Al. : A Scire Quod Sciendum Seculo Rum-Semper Anticus –Semper Ardens

Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

(1) Principle of locality and quantum theory(classificication is based on the parameters of the systems that are investigated)
(2) Proof of security and (e) Bell's inequalities
(3) Quantum interaction and Quantum interaction
(4) Compatible measurements (e&eb) and Bell like correlations
(5) Velocity of effects due to entanglement and(e) velocity of light
(6) Entanglement of two part state and (e) nonlinearity of the state
(7) Entangled particles and (e) Atomic cascades
(8) Superdense coding and (e)Quantum Entanglement
(9) Quantum Teleportation and (e) Quantum entanglement

NOTATION

Module One

G_{13} : Category one of Principle of locality

G_{14} : Category two of Principle of locality

G_{15} : Category three of Principle of locality

T_{13} : Category one of quantum theory(classificication is based on the parameters of the systems that are investigated)

T_{14} : Category two of quantum theory(classificication is based on the parameters of the systems that are investigated)

T_{15} : Category three of quantum theory(classificication is based on the parameters of the systems that are investigated)

Module Two

Proof of security and (e) Bell's inequalities

G_{16} : Category one of Bell's inequalities

G_{17} : Category two of Bell's inequalities

G_{18} : Category three of Bell's inequalities

T_{16} : Category one of Proof of security

T_{17} : Category two of Proof of security

T_{18} : Category three of Proof of security

Module three

Quantum Entanglement and Quantum interaction

G_{20} : Category one of Quantum interaction

G_{21} : Category two of Quantum interaction

G_{22} : Category three of Quantum interaction

T_{20} : Category one of Quantum Entanglement

T_{21} : Category two of Quantum Entanglement

T_{22} : Category three of Quantum Entanglement

Module four

Compatible measurements (e&eb) and Bell like correlations

G_{24} : Category one of Compatible measurements

G_{25} : Category two of Compatible measurements

G_{26} : Category three of Compatible measurements

T_{24} : Category one of Bell like correlations

T_{25} : Category two of Bell like correlations

T_{26} : Category three of Bell like correlations

Module five

Velocity of effects due to entanglement and(e) velocity of light

G_{28} : Category one of velocity of light

G_{29} : Category two of velocity of light

G_{30} : Category three of velocity of light

T_{28} : Category one of Velocity of effects due to entanglement

T_{29} : Category two of Velocity of effects due to entanglement

T_{30} : Category three of Velocity of effects due to entanglement

Module six

Entanglement of two part state and (e) nonlinearity of the state

G_{32} : Category one of nonlinearity of the state

G_{33} : Category two of nonlinearity of the state

G_{34} : Category three of nonlinearity of the state

T_{32} : Category one of Entanglement of two part state

T_{33} : Category two of Entanglement of two part state

T_{34} : Category three of Entanglement of two part state

Module seven

Entangled particles and (e) Atomic cascades

G_{36} : Category one of Atomic cascades

G_{37} : Category two of Atomic cascades

G_{38} : Category three of Atomic cascades

T_{36} : Category one of Entangled particles

T_{37} : Category two of Entangled particles

T_{38} : Category three of Entangled particles

Module eight

Superdense coding and (e)Quantum Entanglement

G_{40} : Category one of Quantum Entanglement

G_{41} : Category two of Quantum Entanglement

G_{42} : Category three of Quantum Entanglement

T_{40} : Category one of Superdense coding

T_{41} : Category two of Superdense coding

T_{42} : Category three of Superdense coding

Module Nine

Quantum Teleportation and (e) Quantum entanglement

G_{44} : Category one of Quantum entanglement

G_{45} : Category two of Quantum entanglement

G_{46} : Category three of Quantum entanglement

T_{44} : Category one of Quantum Teleportation

T_{45} : Category two of Quantum Teleportation

T_{46} : Category three of Quantum Teleportation

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}, (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for

1,2,3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt}=(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt}=(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt}=(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)}\,+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)}\,+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \tag{67}$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \tag{68}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \tag{69}$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \tag{72}$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \tag{73}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \tag{74}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \tag{75}$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \qquad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{32}')^{(6)} & -(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \qquad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{33}')^{(6)} & -(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{34}')^{(6)} & -(b_{34}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (92)$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (93)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \quad (94)$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$

95

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)} & +(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} & +(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) \\ & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} & -(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} & -(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) \\ & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)} & -(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} \qquad 96$$
$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)} & +(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & +(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}$$
$$-\begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & +(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \tag{97}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \tag{98}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (99)

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: (100)

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together

with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

)The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$ 132

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}\ (T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\ \big((G_{43}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - \ T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \tag{145}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + \ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\,, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions 154
$G_i\,,\ T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) G_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right), s_{(13)}\right)\right) T_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''^{(9)}_{44}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}\right)\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$ 169

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{20})^{(3)}t\right)G_{21}^{0}+\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{25} , $G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad (184)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}} :(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If $G_{24}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t),t))=(b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to\infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}')^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}'')^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) \quad (237)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}'')^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ (239)

it results

$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i(t)\geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)}>0$ for $t>0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}')^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}')^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}')^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. (241)

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t))=(b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29}\to\infty$. (242)

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t>t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)}-(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)<\varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t)>(m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. (252)

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(6)}((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. (253)

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_i)^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to (254)

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}), ((G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered 260

as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)}G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If $G_{36}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right)=(b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{267}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{268}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \tag{269}$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \tag{273}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If $G_{40}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\,((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t\ > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}\,(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279

A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})} : (\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}((G_{47}), (T_{47}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and

respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G^0_{13}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G^0_{15} e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le\right.$ 286

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ (293)

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ (294)

Definition of $(v_1)^{(2)},(v_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(v_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(v^{(2)})^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (302)

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- (303)

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by (304)

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$ 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0, (v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0, (u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^0_{20}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\Big] + G^0_{22}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \quad 324$$

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le -(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le -(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)},(v_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},v^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)}=(v_0)^{(4)},(m_1)^{(4)}=(v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)}<(v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$ 335

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$$ 336

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(5)}, (v_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, v^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(v_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(v^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(5)} < (v_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (v_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (v_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G_{28}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+G_{30}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)}\le-(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)}\le-(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)},(v_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},v^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)}>0,(v_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0,(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)}<(v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}}G^0_{40}e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\le G_{42}(t)\le\right. \quad 377$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\le T_{40}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\le T_{42}(t)\le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\le-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\le-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)},(\nu_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},\nu^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(3)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t)=\frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)}\leq u^{(3)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(3)},\quad\boxed{u^{(3)}(t)=\frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}+(C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}+(\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t)=\frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t)=\frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)v^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}v^{(6)}$$

Definition of $v^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (v_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

$$v^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

418

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

419

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)\le\frac{dv^{(8)}}{dt}\le-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)},(v_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}<(v_1)^{(8)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$

$$v^{(8)}(t)\ge\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(8)}=\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(v_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(v_0)^{(8)}}{(v_0)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(\bar{v}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}<(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le\frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0<(v_1)^{(8)}\le(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}\le\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(v_1)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}\le(v_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)}\le v^{(8)}(t)\le(m_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{v^{(8)}(t)=\frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)}\le u^{(8)}(t)\le(\mu_1)^{(8)},\quad\boxed{u^{(8)}(t)=\frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\ ,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 427$$

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0 \quad 428$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0\ , \quad 429$$

$$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0 \quad 430$$

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(o) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0\,, T^*_{14}$ given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0\,, \mathrm{T}^*_{17}$ given by $f(\mathrm{T}^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$\mathrm{G}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{16})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{G}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{a}'_{18})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}''_{18})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}^*_{17})]} \qquad 513$$

$$\mathrm{T}^*_{16} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{16})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}^*_{18} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}}{[(\mathrm{b}'_{18})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0\,, T^*_{21}$ given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}({G_{23}}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0\,, T^*_{25}$ given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*}) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\big((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\big((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (536)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (537)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (538)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (539)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (541)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (542)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad (543)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (544)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (545)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (546)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (547)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- (548)

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\big((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\big((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\big((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\big(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\big(\,(G_{39})^{**}\,\big) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\big((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\big((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\big((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\big(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)})\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)})\{((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)})\{((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)} + (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)})\{((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)})$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Section 16

Experimental Quantum Teleportation, Quantum Computation Networks, Quantum Memory Etal.: A Usus Est Magister Optimus -Ut Infra- Veritas Omnia Vincit Model

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Experimental quantum teleportation: Dik Bouwmeester1, Jian-Wei Pan1, Klaus Mattle1, Manfred Eibl1, Harald Weinfurter1 & Anton Zeilinger1

(1) Quantum teleportation — the transmission the state of a quantum system — and reconstruction over arbitrary distances of the state of a quantum system — is demonstrated experimentally.

(2) During teleportation, an initial photon which carries the polarization that is to be transferred and one of a pair of entangled photons are subjected to a measurement such that the second photon of the entangled pair (classification is based on various such systems under investigation and surveillance and the bastion of stratification is the characterstics and parameters of the system) **acquires (eb) the** polarization of the initial photon. This latter photon can be arbitrarily far away from the initial one. Quantum teleportation will be a **critical ingredient** for quantum computation networks.

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(3) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.

(4) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].

(5) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability

required for large-scale quantum networks.

(6) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ~108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.

(7) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication

(8) quantum computation and light–matter interface

Quantum Teleportation Between Distant Matter Qubits: S. Olmschenk1,*, D. N. Matsukevich1, P. Maunz1, D. Hayes1, L.-M. Duan2, C. Monroe1

(9) Quantum teleportation is the faithful transfer of quantum states between systems, relying on the prior establishment of entanglement and using only classical communication during the transmission. Authors report teleportation of quantum information between atomic quantum memories separated by about 1 meter. A quantum bit stored in a single trapped ytterbium ion (Yb+) is teleported to a second Yb+ atom with an average fidelity of 90% over a replete set of states. The teleportation protocol **is (e)based on** the heralded entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each atom and guided through optical fibers. This scheme may be used for scalable quantum computation and quantum communication.

NOTATION

Module One

Experimental quantum teleportation: Dik Bouwmeester1, Jian-Wei Pan1, Klaus Mattle1, Manfred Eibl1, Harald Weinfurter1 & Anton Zeilinger1

(1) Quantum teleportation — the transmission the state of a quantum system — and reconstruction over arbitrary distances of the state of a quantum system — is demonstrated experimentally.

(2) During teleportation, an initial photon which carries the polarization that is to be transferred and one of a pair of entangled photons are subjected to a measurement such that the second photon of the entangled pair (classification is based on various such systems under investigation and surveillance and the bastion of stratification is the characterstics and parameters of the system) **acquires (eb) the** polarization of the initial photon. This latter photon can be arbitrarily far away from the initial one. Quantum teleportation will be a **critical ingredient** for quantum computation networks.

G_{13} : Category one of transmission the state of a quantum system

G_{14} : Category two of transmission the state of a quantum system

G_{15} : Category three of transmission the state of a quantum system

T_{13} : Category one of reconstruction over arbitrary distances of the state of a quantum system

T_{14} : Category two of reconstruction over arbitrary distances of the state of a quantum system

T_{15} : Category three of reconstruction over arbitrary distances of the state of a quantum system

Module Two

G_{16} : Category one of an initial photon which carries the polarization that is to be transferred and one of a pair of entangled photons are subjected to a measurement such that the second photon of the entangled pair (classification is based on various such systems under investigation and surveillance and the bastion of stratification is the characterstics and parameters of the system)

G_{17} : Category two of an initial photon which carries the polarization that is to be transferred and one of a pair of entangled photons are subjected to a measurement such that the second photon of the entangled pair (classification is based on various such systems under investigation and surveillance and the bastion of stratification is the characterstics and parameters of the system)

G_{18} : Category three of an initial photon which carries the polarization that is to be transferred and one of a pair of entangled photons are subjected to a measurement such that the second photon of the entangled pair (classification is based on various such systems under investigation and surveillance and the bastion of stratification is the characterstics and parameters of the system)

T_{16} : Category one of polarization of the initial photon. This latter photon can be arbitrarily far away from the initial one. Quantum teleportation will be a **critical ingredient** for quantum computation networks.

T_{17} : Category two of polarization of the initial photon. This latter photon can be arbitrarily far away from the initial one. Quantum teleportation will be a **critical ingredient** for quantum computation networks.

T_{18} : Category three of polarization of the initial photon. This latter photon can be arbitrarily far away from the initial one. Quantum teleportation will be a **critical ingredient** for quantum computation networks.

Module three

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb) crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].
(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.
(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ~108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.
(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication
(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{20} : Category one of Quantum teleportation and quantum memory(THERE ARE VARIOUS SYSTEMS WITH VARIOUS PARAMERES WHICH ARE USED FOR THE CLASSIFCATION PURPOSES)

G_{21} : Category two of Quantum teleportation and quantum memory THERE ARE VARIOUS SYSTEMS WITH VARIOUS PARAMERES WHICH ARE USED FOR THE CLASSIFCATION PURPOSES)

G_{22} : Category three of Quantum teleportation and quantum memory THERE ARE VARIOUS SYSTEMS WITH VARIOUS PARAMERES WHICH ARE USED FOR THE CLASSIFCATION PURPOSES)

T_{20} : Category one of large-scale quantum networks.

T_{21} : Category two of large-scale quantum networks.

T_{22} : Category three of large-scale quantum networks.

Module four

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distribution OF entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].
(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.
(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.
(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication
(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{24} : Category one of prior distribution OF entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation

G_{25} : Category two of prior distribution OF entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation

G_{26} : Category three of prior distribution OF entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation

T_{24} : Category one of intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].

T_{25} : Category two of intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett

70(13):1895–1899].

T_{26} : Category three of intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].

Module five

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].
(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.
(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ~108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.
(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication
(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{28} : Category one of Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system)

G_{29} : Category two of Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system)

G_{30} : Category three of Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system)

T_{28} : Category one of controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.

T_{29} : Category two of controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.

T_{30} : Category three of controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.

Module six

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].
(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.
(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, WHICH **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing
(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication
(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{32} : Category one of (with the Combination these two capabilities, here authors realize) quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects

G_{33} : Category two of quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects

G_{34} : Category three of quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects

T_{32} : Category one of quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing

T_{33} : Category two of quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and

distributed quantum computing

T_{34} : Category three of quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing

Module seven

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett 70(13):1895–1899].
(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.
(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ~108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.
(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication
(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{36} : Category one of cold atomic ensembles

G_{37} : Category two of cold atomic ensembles

G_{38} : Category three of cold atomic ensembles

T_{36} : Category one of long-distance quantum communication

T_{37} : Category two of long-distance quantum communication

T_{38} : Category three of long-distance quantum communication

Module eight

Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories: Xiao-Hui Baoa,b,c, Xiao-Fan Xuc, Che-Ming Lic,d, Zhen-Sheng Yuana,b,c, Chao-Yang Lua,b,1, and Jian-Wei Pana,b,c,1

(1) Quantum teleportation and quantum memory are **two (e&eb)crucial elements** for large-scale quantum networks.
(2) With the help of prior distributed entanglement as a "quantum channel," quantum teleportation **provides(eb) an** intriguing means to faithfully transfer quantum states among distant locations without actual transmission of the physical carriers [Bennett CH, et al. (1993) Phys Rev Lett

70(13):1895–1899].

(3) Quantum memory(here the classification is attributable and ascribable to the number of systems and the characterstics of the system) **enables(eb)** controlled storage and retrieval of fast-flying photonic quantum bits with stationary matter systems, which is essential to achieve the scalability required for large-scale quantum networks.

(4) Combining these two capabilities, here authors realize quantum teleportation between two remote atomic-ensemble quantum memory nodes, each composed of ∼108 rubidium atoms and connected by a 150-m optical fiber. The spin wave state of one atomic ensemble is mapped to a propagating photon and subjected to Bell state measurements with another single photon that is entangled with the spin wave state of the other ensemble. Two-photon detection events herald the success of teleportation with an average fidelity of 88(7)%. Besides its fundamental interest as a teleportation between two remote macroscopic objects, this technique **may be useful** for quantum information transfer between different nodes in quantum networks and distributed quantum computing.

(5) cold atomic ensembles and long-distance quantum communication

(6) quantum computation and light–matter interface

G_{40} : Category one of quantum computation

G_{41} : Category two of quantum computation

G_{42} : Category three of quantum computation

T_{40} : Category one of light–matter interface

T_{41} : Category two of light–matter interface

T_{42} : Category three of light–matter interface

Module Nine

Quantum Teleportation Between Distant Matter Qubits: S. Olmschenk1,*, D. N. Matsukevich1, P. Maunz1, D. Hayes1, L.-M. Duan2, C. Monroe1

Quantum teleportation is the faithful transfer of quantum states between systems, relying on the prior establishment of entanglement and using only classical communication during the transmission. Authors report teleportation of quantum information between atomic quantum memories separated by about 1 meter. A quantum bit stored in a single trapped ytterbium ion (Yb+) is teleported to a second Yb+ atom with an average fidelity of 90% over a replete set of states. The teleportation protocol **is (e)based on** the heralded entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each atom and guided through optical fibers. (This scheme may be used for scalable quantum computation and quantum communication).

G_{44} : Category one of entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each atom and guided through optical fibers. (This scheme may be used for scalable quantum computation and quantum communication).

G_{45} : Category two of entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each atom and guided through optical fibers. (This scheme may be used for scalable quantum computation and quantum communication).

G_{46} : Category three of entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each atom and guided through optical fibers. (This scheme may be used for scalable quantum computation and quantum communication).

T_{44} : Category one of teleportation protocol

T_{45} : Category two of teleportation protocol

T_{46} : Category three of teleportation protocol

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$
$(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}: (a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},$
$(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}\ , (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ , (a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41}, t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45}, t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = \quad (67)$$

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = \tag{70}$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{20}')^{(3)} & -(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = \tag{71}$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{21}')^{(3)} & -(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = \tag{72}$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{22}')^{(3)} & -(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22}$$

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} & -(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} & -(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} \quad 85$$

$$= (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{32}')^{(6)} & +(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \quad 86$$

$$= (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} \quad 87$$

$$= (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} \quad 88$$

$$= (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \quad 89$$

$$= (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} \qquad 90$$

$$= (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)} & +(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)} & +(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)} & +(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together
With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}\,(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},$ are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)},i=24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i')^{(4)}+\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}>0,\quad i,j=28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le(p_i)^{(5)}\le(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(5)}\le(b_i')^{(5)}\le(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)=(p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)=\ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29}-T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t)-(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31})-(G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

M) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

)The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

)$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} |T_{37} - T_{37}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)} ||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to

the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \ \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{38}(t) = T_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ A which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right) \quad 169$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\right)\right] ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \le \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)}\left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{44})^{(9)}t\right)G_{45}^{0}+\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t)-G_{44}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_{45}^{0}}{G_{45}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \qquad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)}, T^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is

unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{15})^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)=(b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{191}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \tag{192}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 199

$(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$ 205

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{208}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \tag{209}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \tag{214}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad (223)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself (224)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (225)

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\,(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions $G_i\,, T_i$ satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \qquad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \qquad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32}<(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1-(a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36{,}37{,}38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36}, G_{38} and G_{36}, G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\, ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{40})^{(8)} \left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\} ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+\ (a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right) d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a'_{41})^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a'_{42})^{(8)}$$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\big((G_{43})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})}, \widetilde{(T_{47})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{44}')^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$

$(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$
$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a_{44}'')^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a_{44}')^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a_{44}'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_{44}'')^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If $G_{44}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45}\to\infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}-(b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t)>(m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt}\geq(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}-\varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_9 t})+T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right)=(b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\leq-(a_{13}')^{(1)}+(a_{14}')^{(1)}-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)+(a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)}\leq-(b_{13}')^{(1)}+(b_{14}')^{(1)}-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)},(\nu_2)^{(1)},(u_1)^{(1)},(u_2)^{(1)},\nu^{(1)},u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}=0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)},(m_2)^{(1)},(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)}=(\nu_1)^{(1)},(m_1)^{(1)}=(\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad 293$$

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T^0_{16}}{T^0_{17}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G^0_{16} e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G^0_{16} e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G^0_{16} e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G^0_{16} e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\Big(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G^0_{16}}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\Big] + G^0_{18} e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le$ 312

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G^0_{16}}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}] + G^0_{18} e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\Big)$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}}T_{16}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

and analogously 322

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}, \quad \text{and } \boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \qquad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \qquad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \qquad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \qquad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \qquad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 331

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T^0_{24}}{T^0_{25}}}$

$$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 332

$$G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}}G^0_{24}e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$ 333

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^0_{24}}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G^0_{26}e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le\right.$$ 334

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$$T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}}T_{24}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

and analogously 352

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T^0_{32}}{T^0_{33}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}}G_{32}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+G_{34}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\left(u^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}$, $(m_2)^{(7)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)},(S_2)^{(7)},(R_1)^{(7)},(R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)}=(a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)}-(a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)}=(a_{38})^{(7)}-(p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)}=(b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)}-(b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(R_2)^{(7)}=(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)},(\sigma_2)^{(8)},(\tau_1)^{(8)},(\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\le-(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(8)}\le-(b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)-(b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(8)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)},(\nu_2)^{(8)},(u_1)^{(8)},(u_2)^{(8)},\nu^{(8)},u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)}>0,(u_2)^{(8)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}-(a_{40})^{(8)}=0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)}-(b_{40})^{(8)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)},(\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)},(m_2)^{(8)},(\mu_1)^{(8)},(\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_0)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},$ ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)}=(\nu_1)^{(8)},(m_1)^{(8)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)}<(\nu_0)^{(8)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)}=\frac{G_{40}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}, (m_2)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

and analogously

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)}-(S_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)}-(p_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\leq G_{46}(t)\leq\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{44}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)}-(a'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+G_{46}^{0}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}\leq T_{44}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\leq T_{46}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)},(S_2)^{(9)},(R_1)^{(9)},(R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)}=(a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)}-(a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)}=(a_{46})^{(9)}-(p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)}=(b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)}-(b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)}=(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}=(a_{13})^{(1)}-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}-(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right)-(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)}-(a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq v^{(1)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \le u^{(3)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$ then $v^{(3)}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (v_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)v^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}v^{(4)}$$

Definition of $v^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(4)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}, (v_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (v_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$

$$v^{(4)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(v_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(4)}-(v_0)^{(4)}}{(v_0)^{(4)}-(v_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)v^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}v^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \le v^{(5)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

414

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

415

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition

$(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \le \nu^{(8)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, (v_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (v_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(v_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(9)} - (v_0)^{(9)}}{(v_0)^{(9)} - (v_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (v_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(p) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$, $G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$, $G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$, $G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{41} for which $f(T^*_{41}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$, $G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T^*_{41})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{45} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{45} for which $f(T^*_{45}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$, $G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T^*_{45})]}$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$

$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$

$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see 504
that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$

$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$

$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if

$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^* = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42}^* = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}^*}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

$$T_{40}^* = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{42}^* = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}^*}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{545}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{546}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{31})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{43})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586
A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586\,G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\Big)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

References

[1] Quantum Signatures of Chaos, Fritz Haake, Edition: 2, Springer, 2001, ISBN 3-540-67723-2, ISBN 978-3-540-67723-9.
[2] Michael Berry, Quantum Chaology, pp 104 5 of Quantum: a guide for the perplexed by Jim Al-Khalili (Weidenfeld and Nicolson 2003), http://www.physics.bristol.ac.uk/people/berry_mv/the_papers/Berry358.pdf.
[3] Closed Orbit Bifurcations in Continuum Stark Spectra, M Courtney, H Jiao, N Spellmeyer, D Kleppner, J Gao, JB Delos, Phys Rev Let 27, 1538 (1995).
[4] a b c Classical, semiclassical, and quantum dynamics of lithium in an electric field, M Courtney, N Spellmeyer, H Jiao, D Kleppner, Phys Rev A 51, 3604 (1995).
[5] M.V. Berry and M. Tabor, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 356, 375, 1977
[6] Heusler, S., S. Müller, A. Altland, P. Braun, and F. Haake, 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 044103
[7] Courtney, M and Kleppner, D [1], Core-induced chaos in diamagnetic lithium, PRA 53, 178, 1996
[8] Driven chaotic mesoscopic systems,dissipation and decoherence, in Proceedings of the 38th Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics, Edited by P. Garbaczewski and R. Olkiewicz (Springer, 2002). http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0403061
[9] Marklof, Jens, The Berry–Tabor conjecture
[10] Barba, J.C. et al. (2008). "The Berry–Tabor conjecture for spin chains of Haldane–Shastry type".

EPL 83. arXiv:0804.3685. Bibcode 2008EL.....8327005B. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/83/27005.
[11] Rudnick, Z. (Jan. 2008). "What Is Quantum Chaos?". Notices of the AMS 55 (1): 32–34.
[12] Martin C. Gutzwiller (1971). "Periodic Orbits and Classical Quantization Conditions". Journal of Mathematical Physics 12 (3): 343. Bibcode 1971JMP....12..343G. doi:10.1063/1.1665596.
[13] Martin C. Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics, (1990) Springer-Verlag, New York ISBN=0-387-97173-4.
[14] Stöckmann Hans-Jürgen, Quantum Chaos: An Introduction, (1999) Cambridge University Press ISBN=0-521-59284-4.
[15] Eugene Paul Wigner; Dirac, P. A. M. (1951). "On the statistical distribution of the widths and spacings of nuclear resonance levels". Proc. Cambr. Philos. Soc. 47 (4): 790. Bibcode 1951PCPS...47..790W. doi:10.1017/S0305004100027237.
[16] Fritz Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos 2nd ed., (2001) Springer-Verlag, New York ISBN=3-540-67723-2.
[17] Quantum chaos on arxiv.org
[18] Karl-Fredrik Berggren and Sven Aberg, "Quantum Chaos Y2K Proceedings of Nobel Symposium 116" (2001) ISBN 978-981-02-4711-9
[19] John Searle (1997). The Mystery of Consciousness. The New York Review of Books. pp. 53–88. ISBN 978-0-940322-06-6.
[20] Stenger, Victor, "The Myth of Quantum Consciousness", The Humanist Vol 53 No 3 (May–June 1992) pp. 13-15
[21] a b Tegmark, M. (2000). "Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes". Physical Review E 61 (4): 4194–4206. arXiv:quant-ph/9907009. Bibcode 2000PhRvE..61.4194T. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.61.4194.
[22] a b Charles Seife (4 February 2000). "Cold Numbers Unmake the Quantum Mind". Science 287 (5454): 791. doi:10.1126/science.287.5454.791. PMID 10691548.
[23] David J. Chalmers (2003). "Consciousness and its Place in Nature". In Stephen P. Stich & Ted A. Warfield. Blackwell Guide to Philosophy of Mind. Blackwell. ISBN 978-0-631-21774-9.
[24] David J. Chalmers (1995). "Facing Up to the Problem of Consciousness". Journal of Consciousness Studies 2 (3): 200–219.
[25] David J. Chalmers (1997). The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory. Philosophy of Mind Series. Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-511789-9.
[26] David Bohm (1980). Wholeness and the Implicate Order. London: Routledge. ISBN 0-203-99515-5.
[27] Piaget, J. (1956). The Origin of Intelligence in the Child. Routledge.
[28] Hameroff, Stuart (2008). "That's life! The geometry of π electron resonance clouds". In Abbott, D; Davies, P; Pati, A. Quantum aspects of life. World Scientific. pp. 403–434. Retrieved Jan 21, 2010.
[29] Hameroff, S.R. (2006). "The entwined mysteries of anesthesia and consciousness". Anesthesiology 105 (2): 400–412. doi:10.1097/00000542-200608000-00024. PMID 16871075.
[30] Hameroff, S. (2009). "The "conscious pilot"—dendritic synchrony moves through the brain to mediate consciousness". Journal of Biological Physics 36 (1): 71–93. doi:10.1007/s10867-009-9148-x. PMC 2791805. PMID 19669425.
[31] a b Roger Penrose & Stuart Hameroff (2011). "Consciousness in the Universe: Neuroscience, Quantum Space-Time Geometry and Orch OR Theory". Journal of Cosmology 14.
[32] Bennett, M.V.L., and Zukin, R.S. (2004). "Electrical Coupling and Neuronal Synchronization in the Mammalian Brain". Neuron 41 (4): 495–511. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(04)00043-1. PMID 14980200.
[33] Dermietzel, R. (1998). "Gap junction wiring: a 'new' principle in cell-to-cell communication in the nervous system?". Brain Research Reviews 26 (2–3): 176–183. doi:10.1016/S0165-0173(97)00031-3. PMID 9651521.
[34] Draguhn, A., Traub, R.D., Schmitz, D., and Jefferys, J.G.R. (1998). "Electrical coupling underlies high-frequency oscillations in the hippocampus in vitro". Nature 394 (6689): 189–192. Bibcode 1998Natur.394..189D. doi:10.1038/28184. PMID 9671303.

[35] Fries, P., Schroder, J.-H., Roelfsema, P.R., Singer, W., and Engel, A.K. (2002). "Oscillatory Neuronal Synchronization in Primary Visual Cortex as a Correlate of Stimulus Selection". Journal of Neuroscience 22 (9): 3739–3754. PMID 11978850.

[36] De Zeeuw, C.I., Hertzberg, E.L., Mugnaini, E. (1995). "The dendritic lamellar body: A new neuronal organelle putatively associated with dendrodentritic gap junctions". Journal of Neuroscience 15 (2): 1587–1604. PMID 7869120.

[37] Reimers, J.R., McKemmish, L.K., McKenzie, R.H., Mark, A.E., and Hush, N.S. (2009). "Weak, strong, and coherent regimes of Fröhlich condensation and their applications to terahertz medicine and quantum consciousness". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106 (11): 4219–4224. Bibcode 2009PNAS..106.4219R. doi:10.1073/pnas.0806273106. PMC 2657444. PMID 19251667.

[38] McKemmish, L.K., Reimers, J.R., McKenzie, R.H., Mark, A.E., and Hush, N.S. (2009). "Penrose-Hameroff orchestrated objective-reduction proposal for human consciousness is not biologically feasible". Physical Review E 80 (2): 021912–021916. Bibcode 2009PhRvE..80b1912M. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.80.021912.

[39] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an Unknown Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895-1899 (1993) (online).

[40] The Physics arXiv Blog (November 15, 2012). "First Teleportation from One Macroscopic Object to Another". MIT Technology Review. Retrieved November 17, 2012.

[41] Bao, Xiao-Hui; Xu, Xiao-Fan; Li, Che-Ming; Yuan, Zhen-Sheng; Lu, Chao-Yang; Pan, Jian-wei (November 13, 2012). "Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories". arXiv. Retrieved November 17, 2012.

[42] Ma, X. S.; Herbst, T.; Scheidl, T.; Wang, D.; Kropatschek, S.; Naylor, W.; Wittmann, B.; Mech, A. et al. (2012). "Quantum teleportation over 143 kilometres using active feed-forward". Nature 489 (7415): 269–273. doi:10.1038/nature11472. PMID 22951967.

[43] D. Boschi; S. Branca1, F. De Martini1, L. Hardy, and S. Popescu (1998). "Experimental Realization of Teleporting an Unknown Pure Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels". Physical Review Letters 80 (6): 1121. arXiv:quant-ph/9710013. Bibcode 1998PhRvL..80.1121B. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.1121.

[44] Rupert Ursin (August 2004). "Quantum teleportation across the Danube". Nature. Retrieved 2010-05-22.

[45] Xiao-song Ma, Thomas Herbst, Thomas Scheidl, Daqing Wang, Sebastian Kropatschek, William Naylor, Alexandra Mech, Bernhard Wittmann, Johannes Kofler, Elena Anisimova, Vadim Makarov, Thomas Jennewein, Rupert Ursin, Anton Zeilinger (17 May 2012). "Quantum teleportation using active feed-forward between two Canary Islands". Retrieved 2012-05-24.

[46] Lee, Noriyuki; Hugo Benichi, Yuishi Takeno, Shuntaro Takeda, James Webb, Elanor Huntington, and Akira Furusawa (April 2011). "Teleportation of Nonclassical Wave Packets of Light". Science 332 (6027): 330–333. ArXiv:1205.6253. Bibcode 2011Sci...332..330L. doi:10.1126/science.1201034. Retrieved 2011-04-26.

[47] Trute, Peter. "Quantum teleporter breakthrough". The University Of New South Wales. Retrieved 17 April 2011.

[48] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an Unknown Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895-1899 (1993) (pdf). This is the seminal paper that laid out the entanglement protocol.

[49] L. Vaidman, Teleportation of Quantum States, Phys. Rev. A 49, 1473-1476 (1994)

[50] G Brassard, S Braunstein, R Cleve, Teleportation as a Quantum Computation, Physica D 120 43-47 (1998)

[51] A. Peres, "What is actually teleported?", IBM Journal of Research and Development, Vol. 48, Issue 1, (2004)(this document online)

[52] G. Rigolin, Quantum Teleportation of an Arbitrary Two Qubit State and its Relation to Multipartite Entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 71 032303 (2005)(this document online)

[53] Shi-Biao Zheng, "Scheme for approximate conditional teleportation of an unknown atomic state without the Bell-state measurement", Phys. Rev. A 69, 064302 (2004).
[54] W. B. Cardoso, A. T. Avelar, B. Baseia, and N. G. de Almeida, "Teleportation of entangled states without Bell-state measurement", Phys. Rev. A 72, 045802 (2005).
[55] Michael N. Leuenberger, Michael E. Flatte, David D. Awschalom, "Teleportation of Electronic Many-Qubit States Encoded in the Electron Spin of Quantum Dots via Single Photons", Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 107401 (2005).
[56] D. Bouwmeester, J.-W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, Experimental Quantum Teleportation, Nature 390, 6660, 575-579 (1997).
[57] D. Boschi, S. Branca, F. De Martini, L. Hardy, & S. Popescu, Experimental Realization of Teleporting an Unknown Pure Quantum State via Dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 6, 1121-1125 (1998)
[58] Y.-H. Kim, S.P. Kulik, and Y. Shih, Quantum teleportation of a polarization state with a complete bell state measurement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1370 (2001).
[59] I. Marcikic, H. de Riedmatten, W. Tittel, H. Zbinden, N. Gisin, Long-Distance Teleportation of Qubits at Telecommunication Wavelengths, Nature, 421, 509 (2003)
[60] R. Ursin et al., Quantum Teleportation Link across the Danube, Nature 430, 849 (2004)
[61] M. Riebe, H. Häffner, C. F. Roos, W. Hänsel, M. Ruth, J. Benhelm, G. P. T. Lancaster, T. W. Körber, C. Becher, F. Schmidt-Kaler, D. F. V. James, R. Blatt, Deterministic Quantum Teleportation with Atoms, Nature 429, 734-737 (2004)
[62] M. D. Barrett, J. Chiaverini, T. Schaetz, J. Britton, W. M. Itano, J. D. Jost, E. Knill, C. Langer, D. Leibfried, R. Ozeri, D. J. Wineland, Deterministic Quantum Teleportation of Atomic Qubits, Nature 429, 737 (2004).
[63] S. Olmschenk, D. N. Matsukevich, P. Maunz, D. Hayes, L.-M. Duan, and C. Monroe, Quantum Teleportation between Distant Matter Qubits, Science 323, 486 (2009).

Authors

Dr. K. N. Prasanna Kumar has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics and Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was awarded D.litt. For his work on ‘Mathematical Models in Political Science’ Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India **drknpkumar@gmail.com**

Prof. B. S. Kiranagi is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.--UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Asst Prof Gnanendra Prabhu, Dept. of PG Studies & Research in Mathematics, & Computer Science, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India .Asst Prof. Prabhu is a prolific thinker and is considered a primogeniture in such subjects as algebraic topology, differential geometry, complex analysis, functional analysis and theory of relativity

